

I refer to the application P2012/1717 for development of fields 622, St. Owen and wish this document to be considered by Mr. Bushby in advance of the Public Inquiry 2 July 2013.

This document concentrates on questions I would like to be put to Mr. A Morris of Morris Architects Limited.

Please can you put the following questions to Mr. A Morris of Morris Architects Limited (MAL).

All questions arise from Morris Architects Limited letter date 7th January 2011, abbreviated to MAL page and paragraph.

## Planning Policies - Green Zone MAL 1/6

"We and the Parish have been through a very long and time consuming exercise, we have considered numerous fields and locations....."

### Question

1. What date were you appointed Architect.
2. What is the exact date of Morris Architects Limited Drawing No. 4591/01 dated Nov. 07.
3. How many sites were evaluated by you, and/or the Parish between Mrs. Coulter's death on the 9th October 2007 and Morris Architects Limited Drawing 4591/01 identifying field 622 dated Nov. 07.

Planning Policies ~ Green Zone MAR 16

"that the site is located within the boundaries of the urban fringe"

Please can you clarify this statement in light of the Ombudsman's panel's declaration that any further development westwards from the eastern boundaries of field 422 and 423 would constitute an extension into the countryside.

Is the area you identify countryside or urban?

Drainage MAL 2/3.

" Our engineer, Rothwell and Partners, believe that with flexibility of being able to utilise the field as a whole for a future drainage system means that we will be able to overcome any surface water issues that may arise. therefore, surface water will not be an issue."

### Question

1. Please clarify your statement to the inquiry and why the western side of field 622 even enters into this project as we are unaware that it is subject to any planning or development in application 2/2010/1711 or any future development.
2. Are we led to believe that adequate drainage on the development pertaining to the eastern edge of field 622 is reliant upon being able to utilise the field as a whole for a future drainage system.
3. Has this been made clear to all the parties concerned, if so when?
4. How will the Covenant be removed?

The Marsh Area MAL 3/5

"the site is located next to the Marsh, it is not on the marsh or adjacent to it and therefore should have very little effect"

Question

Please can you categorically confirm to the inquiry and assure all parties that development in the Eastern part of field 622 will have no effect on the Marsh or its water courses.

The parties concerned are the people who live on the Southern and Western edges of the Marsh and the people who live on the Marsh at La Maison du Marais, who are vulnerable to flooding, and the farmers who farm downstream and use a steady flow of water that enables them to irrigate the early Jersey Royal potatoes on the south facing slopes.