St John's Road Safety Record of Discussion



Date: 16/11/22

Venue: Highlands College (Meeting Room B)

Purpose: St John's Road Safety

Present Inna Gardiner (IG)

Lyndsey Feltham (LF)

Tristen Dodd (TD)

St Helier North Deputy
St Helier South Deputy
GoJ IHE Head of Transport

GoJ IHE Principal Engineer – Sustainable Transport

GoJ IHE Engineering Technician

WSP UK Ltd – Associate Director, Transportation Planner

Haute Vallee Headteacher St John's Road Resident St John's Road Resident St John's Road Resident

Apologies

St Helier Roads Committee

Distribution

As above

		ltem	
1	Introductions		
	1	Background	
	1.1	IG, TD & discuss agenda and provide background	
	1.2	: Legal responsibility for roads. Engagement with community. Board to present to Minister of Infrastructure	
	1.3	TD: Jersey's Challenge. Downward road casualty trend is slow, high proportion of vulnerable road users. Injury pie-chart. Safe System Approach to Road Safety. Proposition brought forward for Road Safety. Expense & coordinate. Data-led approach. Accident clusters = focus. LF: Risk is waiting for casualties. TD: Department receives emotive letters. We prioritise road safety. LF: Any technology that can predict & assess hotspots? IG: High risk. TD: Demand & unsafe areas. Prior to implementing crossings, people are aware of danger and will take care. After zebra crossing installed, statistics show on average one accident occurs every 2 years. IG: Not all accidents are recorded. TD: Accidents resulting in injuries (not vehicle only collisions) Reactive approach. TD: Lagging indicator. Resourcing: 50% staff vacancies in the department and no funding for this. Circulate collision slides after today's meeting	
	2	Presentation	
	2.1	: Looking at the collision data slide – not clustered in narrow or on the bend area of St John's Road : Vehicle accidents but not resulting in injuries. Adaptive measures are taken. Statistics do not prioritise this road. TD: 30mph at present : Reduce to 20mph	

St John's Road Safety Record of Discussion



	lower section of St John's Road. Main priority is to prioritise pedestrians over traffic impacts.
3	Presentation – Road Safety Requests Review Panel
3.1	 & TD: Reducing the speed of the road from 30mph on St John's Road; Introducing traffic calming alongside the widening of Trafalgar Terrace bend; Encouraging electric car drivers to utilise their 'petrol noise' function
	 when driving in residential areas; reconsider the platform / kerb arrangement with a possible midway island or traffic calming feature;
	 Regular cleaning and maintenance of overhanging trees where mirrors are placed; Dropped kerbs are not available to cross St John's Road (opposite Trafalgar Terrace) at designated points; and
	Repeater signs on St John's Road to signify crossing points.
3.2	IG: HV School – dangerous zone
	■ : Pavements slippery due to leavesTD: Cleaning regime – sweepers
	: Sent letters. Walk to work – stay close to the wall to avoid wing mirrors. 7:45am and 5-5:30pm traffic; bag gets clipped by wing mirrors; lorry on pavement. Pavement is not wide enough for prams or elderly. Numerous letters sent. Recent concerns about the dangers.
	TD: Aware of issues. The problem is Jersey has a historic road network. Incidents with injuries are prioritised.
3.3	TD: Active travel and background processes : 6-8 weeks ago; incident by
3.4	TD: Proceed with the presentation (time restriction)
4	Pet Cabin Crossing
4.1	: Pet Cabin is an issue. Vehicles reversing.
4.2	Planning & historic building officers. Agreed design for bollards. Approval in principle. Spring 2023.
	: Designs need sending to us. IG: Circulated in Board meetings. : Permanent static bollards?
	: Permanent bollards. Drop bollards? : Revisited site to redo pavement and the crossing over the weekend. IG: Last weekend
	: Last two weeks and closed on Sunday. Railings and bollards. Access through the archway. : Access through the archway will be dealt with.
	TD: Tactile paving. : Issues & costs. Twice. TD: First time was a temporary measure. Ramp & engineering.
	: No bollards now. Significant difference with railings. Only incident since the crossing has been installed (near the Pet Cabin).
5	Options for St John's Road Improvement





5.2	LF: Difficult junction	
	IG: 2010 rejected	
	: What was the objection? : Traffic along Parade Road – residents objected.	
	not here to discuss – Parish Roads.	
5.3	IG: 7 options reduced to 2 options with & (St Helier Roads	
	Committee)	
	: Old St John's Road is now access only. LF: Reduces traffic coming into town.	
	QM: Resumes presentation.	
6	Outcomes of Traffic Modelling Assessment (
6.1	: Discusses the computer simulations and changes in traffic growth to date	
	and the process followed when assessing Option 5 and Option 7.	
6.2	Modelling results with Overdale Westmount Campus sees vehicle congestion and delay - both Option 5 and Option 7 work.	
6.3	Option 7 sees full benefits.	
6.4	IG: advises she needs to leave at 11:55am.	
6.5	TD: With the OHP Westmount campus, junction and signalling increase	
	capacity on Westmount Road. Now it is less likely to go ahead, the viability	
	has changed.	
6.6	IG: We need to progress and take to the Roads Committee.	
6.7	TD: The Roads Committee needs to be okay with it.	
6.8	IG: Leaves at 11:59am.	
6.9	: Continues presentation. Existing flows – AADT. Southbound traffic	
7.0	~3,500 vehicles	
7.0	LF: Where is the traffic coming from? : A large number is looking to access properties/businesses in the area,	
	so it is not through-traffic.	
	: Island traffic models – runs a series of iterations. Best representation.	
	Calibrated. Data from traffic management.	
	: Yes, used TM data so evidence led. : Predict future development traffic changes?	
	TD: Works with input data only. New developments increase pressure.	
	: Planning permissions. Coded-in data.	
	3,500 vehicles southbound. Proposing to change direction therefore we model it?	
	: With OHP, Queen's Road is heavily congested. Trade-off, plus wider	
	rerouting. It is not a pure reallocation.	
	Option 7 includes Option 5? Cumulative?	
	Option 7 – no southbound traffic. Few signals, ring road dominates. Option 5 seems a good starting point.	
	: Impacts of a multi-site hospital.	
	400-450 vehicles AM; 300-350 vehicles PM.	
	Spread 3,500 over the whole day.	
	Traffic signals improve Westmount & St Aubin's 2-way with Overdale LF: Mitigation with Overdale - cutting into car park/park.	
	TD: Millions of pounds work and regrading of Westmount Road.	
	LF: We have no idea of what traffic mitigations will be implemented.	
	TD: Bowling club – sweeping bend. Ambulances and large vehicles passing.	
	LF: Shuttle bus. TD: Unknown facilities and amenities. POSH loss of parking.	
<u> </u>	וט. טוגוווסאוז ומכווווופs and amenities. אוס ווסכי סו parking.	

St John's Road Safety Record of Discussion



		 Unknowns. St John's Road go ahead? Board received a letter response from Lyndon Farnham stating it is not within the remit. No full clarity on construction plan. Engaging with Parish as clarity is needed. TD: Hospital project complimented these proposals. As existing. Unknown traffic implications. TD: Infrastructure improvements. No right from Tower Hill, Clubley Estate and come back. Up St John's Road − quicker route to hospital? TD: Traffic reroutes like water. Signage and enforcement. LF: Walking & make safer up St John's Road & down Westmount. Westmount and Tower Hill not great roads. TD: Town hospital situation. Intensity of use. Difficult to access hospital. Displaced/moved. Wait until we know more regarding OHP? What happens when a new government comes in? TD: Present officer recommendations to Minister. LF: Closed Old St John's Road with a sign? What is the quick win? More time needed. 	
		: Continues presentation. Next Steps.	
		: Board needs to reconvene with the Parish. Asked for 20mph. New Minister may have a different view? Show before and after data. TD: Slow traffic – 2.5mph speed change on 85% speed. : Alternative? LF: Peaks mentioned previously. 20mph not achievable. TD: Doesn't work late at night. Reduction in speed and use. From first step to implementing is approx. 8 months. : St Helier 20mph – why 8 months? TD: Resources. Signs, land ownership. LF: Give Road to Parish – it would be quicker. TD: Details take time. Law drafting.	
		 □: Option 5. Are raised tables speed bumps? □ TD: Yes. □: Shows Option 5 Cross Section slides.1.5m wide pavements and 3m wide carriageway therefore one-way needed. □: Expensive option. Midvale Road took how long? □ TD: 15years? 13 years since Simon Crowcroft put it into a proposition. □ 15 year process? □ TD: Scheme and money. Midvale Road would have been 2years. 1st year design and consultation; 2nd year construction. When funded. □ Look at options and engage with Roads Committee. Pet Cabin was in place within 1 year. □ This is a prime candidate for 20mph – let's do it. Minimal cost. □ TD: Parish – Minister – Law Drafting …it does not have priority at the moment. □ Longer meeting needed with the Roads Committee. □ Longer meeting needed with the Parish. Issues such as Andium own Roussel Mews. □ Gain a better understanding as process evolves. □ How can we as residents help? □ Board need familiarise themselves with the options before community 	
		engagement. Opposing views – community consensus needs recording. How far until community engagement – end of next year? TD: Possibly. Room vacated at 12:33pm due to another room booking.	
1	1	,	