

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 November 2024 07:30  
**To:** Tom Binet  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Covid Vaccine safety Updstes

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Tom,

I hope you are well.

I wanted to drop you a line to update you on a few key happenings surrounding the Covid vaccine.

I know you are busy and aren't researching this matter as much maybe as others are. That said these are important updates which I hope you will take a look at.

1. Covid vaccines banned in the state of Idaho due to the risk v reward profile not being aligned.
2. Special Council Meeting held on 11 October 2024 in the Town of Port Hedland, Australia, where a significant motion was carried concerning serious health concerns related to synthetic DNA contamination in the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines. It appears that evidence of DNA contamination breaches the levels that were set out in regulatory approvals granted. This motion is being pushed on to other states to consider further.
3. A number of trials are currently taking place globally against the manufactures of the vaccines. One in particular involves the trial participants who were badly injured within the trail phase where injuries were not disclosed and inadequate safety testing took place. This case has been possible as there was an agreement between the trial participants and the companies. This could show negligence in the actions taken by the manufacturers in the pursuit of rolling out an unsafe product.

Clearly there is continued growing concern surrounding the safety of these vaccines. I appreciate you are taking your lead from the UK regulatory bodies as well as the UK government. I would however suggest that this is a worry when clear signals of harm are being ignored bringing into question conflicts of interest etc.

As a small island we could certainly opt to consider whether our continued use of these vaccines is justified as new information presents. How you go about asking ministers to consider and vote on such matters is beyond my knowledge, I just feel that they should be considering this information as we can't be ignorant to the issues in the sake of public safety and health which is already suffering.

Thank you for your time in considering these point.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 05 November 2024 09:04  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] Tom Binet  
**Subject:** RE: Covid Vaccine safety Updstes

Good morning, [REDACTED]

I hope you are keeping well.

Thank you very much for the update, it is very much appreciated. I will ensure the Minister is aware of your email.


Kind regards  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Tom Binet <T.Binet@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 05 November 2024 09:47  
**To:** Peter Bradley  
**Subject:** Fw: Covid Vaccine safety Updstes

Good morning, Peter  
One for discussion  
Best regards  
Tom

Sent from Outlook for Android



---

**From:** Peter Bradley <P.Bradley2@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 06 November 2024 12:03  
**To:** Tom Binet  
**Subject:** RE: Covid Vaccine safety Updstes

Thank you.



[REDACTED]

---

**From:** VIBJSY Support Group <VIBJSY@[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 27 November 2024 14:27  
**To:** undisclosed-recipients:  
**Subject:** Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider  
**Attachments:** Covid Vaccines Concerns - Jersey Gov .pdf; NORTH\_Group\_Letter-2024-11-25.pdf; MHRA - Guernsey FOI2024.00624 Response.pdf; MHRA Jersey FOI202400527.pdf

Some people who received this message don't often get email from vibjsy@[REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear States Members,

**Covid Vaccine Safety Concerns - IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

Please find attached copy of my letter dated 27 November 2024, kindly requesting as elected members of the Sates of Jersey that you all consider the evolving information concerning the safety of the Covid Vaccines, together with the attached data from the MHRA on our local reported adverse events for both Jersey and Guernsey (split out), which are noted to be significantly under reported but nevertheless show a very similar pattern on the numbers of harms reported over a 4 year period.

I would welcome consideration of this matter and responses within 10 days.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

VIB Jersey



Members of the States of Jersey

27 November 2024

Dear Members of the States of Jersey,

**COVID VACCINE: MATTERS OF CONCERN REQUIRING YOUR ATTENTION**

I am writing to you as a concerned member of the local community, particularly in regard to the serious health issues reported by individuals adversely affected by the COVID-19 vaccines. I seek your support through VIBJSY. In light of new findings and growing concerns about vaccine safety, I believe it is critical for you to carefully consider whether it remains appropriate to continue offering the COVID-19 vaccination in Jersey, especially given emerging evidence regarding potential contamination and adverse health effects.

I wish to highlight the recent Special Council Meeting held on 11 October 2024 in the Town of Port Hedland, Australia, where a significant motion was carried concerning serious health concerns related to synthetic DNA contamination in the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines.

Reports indicate that contamination levels have significantly exceeded the legal DNA limit of 10 ng per dose, which aligns with UK guidelines. Consequently, this raises the concern that the UK, and by extension Jersey, may be in breach of the same legal limits. Details evidencing the same is attached herein <https://www.porthedland.wa.gov.au/council-meetings/special-council-meetings/special-council-meeting-11-october-2024/247>.

The findings presented during this meeting have raised alarming questions about the safety of the Covid Vaccines, particularly with respect to both DNA contamination and the SV40 sequencing in the Pfizer vaccines. SV40 (Simian Virus 40) is known to act as a cancer promoter by integrating its DNA into host cells and disrupting normal cellular functions. It produces proteins that can interfere with tumour suppressor mechanisms, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation.

In response to these findings, the Council resolved the following actions be taken:

(A) The Council will deliver a letter to the Prime Minister endorsing the letters from The Honourable Russell Broadbent MP dated 20 and 25 September 2024, which call for an immediate suspension of the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 products.

(B) The Council will circulate to all registered health practitioners and medical clinics in the Port Hedland Local Government Area a copy of a letter informing them of Dr. Speicher's report and the findings of the Science Summary attached to Mr. Broadbent's letter of 25 September 2024. The Council strongly urges practitioners to share this information with

patients considering the Pfizer and Moderna vaccines to ensure they can provide legally valid informed consent.

(C) The Council will also circulate to all other Australian Local Government Councils and will inform them of Dr. Speicher's findings and the Science Summary, urging them to disseminate this information to health practitioners and clinics in their areas.

Given that these motions have been carried, based on independent findings, I ask that this matter receive the attention it demands.

In addition to this, I enclose a copy of the NORTH Group letter dated 25 November 2024 addressed to all Heads of State, calling for the immediate withdrawal of the COVID-19 vaccines due to the same concerns about potential DNA contamination. I would respectfully ask that you take the time to read the concerns raised here.

In addition, as these relate to the Channel Islands specifically, I attached two recent FOI responses provided by the MHRA concerning both Jersey and Guernsey in which they breakdown the numbers of adverse events reported over a 4 year period relating to the Covid Vaccines. You will see the numbers share a similar pattern over the period of mass vaccination roll out which saw a spike in reported events and deaths. Please be advised that these numbers are known to be significantly under reported, by up to 100%.

It is vital that the members of our local Jersey Government meet to consider the content of this letter and the implications for public health. Outsourcing such important matters of public health fully to the UK Government and their regulatory bodies should be something which is considered more fully.

Many of the issues raised here were covered in the Webinar which you would have been invited to attend on the Devastating Health Crisis in the Channel Islanders, following the roll out of the Covid Vaccination. I attach a link for those who are interested to hear what was referenced here with Senator Ron Johnson acting as Chair and various speakers who are expert medical professionals in their respective field. None of which were paid to participate and risked their reputation in doing so. <https://rumble.com/v4ryjyt-covid-vaccines-the-devastating-health-crisis-in-the-channel-islands-and-aro.html>

Given the increasing global shift regarding vaccine safety, it is imperative that Jersey not be the last jurisdiction to address these concerns. I trust that the States of Jersey will act in the best interest of public health and the safety of our community.

Please consider this matter urgently and respond with your proposed course of action within the next 10 days.

Sincerely,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Medicines & Healthcare products  
Regulatory Agency

MHRA Central Freedom of  
Information Team  
10 South Colonnade  
Canary Wharf  
London  
E14 4PU  
[foi.request@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:foi.request@mhra.gov.uk)  
[MHRA Website](https://www.mhra.gov.uk)

Our Ref: **2024/00624**

08<sup>th</sup> November 2024

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (FOI) request dated 15<sup>th</sup> October 2024 where you asked,

*"I would like to make a FOI regarding the numbers of adverse reactions related to the Covid Vaccines reported via the Yellow Card Scheme for people resident in Guernsey. I would like a breakdown over the years from 2020 to date and I would like to understand the numbers which were considered "serious"*

**MHRA Response**

We confirm that we hold the information you have requested. The MHRA works closely with the UK devolved administrations as well as the governments of the Channel Islands. All individuals receiving a vaccine are encouraged to report side effects to COVID-19 vaccines to the Yellow Card Scheme by the governments in these territories.

The MHRA has received 429 spontaneous suspected Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) reports for COVID-19 vaccinations from Guernsey between 01/01/2020 and 01/11/2024 (inclusive) of which 269 reports are classified as serious.

Yellow Card reports are classified as either non-serious or serious (including fatal). A Yellow Card report is considered serious according to two criteria; firstly, a reported reaction can be considered serious according to our medical dictionary. Secondly,



## Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

whether the original reporter considers the report to be serious according to 6 criteria<sup>1</sup>.

Please find below Table 1 which provides a breakdown of the UK spontaneous ADR reports received from Guernsey relating to COVID-19 vaccines for the requested timeframe and the number of reports classified as serious.

**Table 1: UK Spontaneous ADRs from Guernsey for COVID-19 vaccines received between 01/01/2020 and 01/11/2024**

Year	Number of Yellow Cards	Number considered serious
2020	4	1
2021	360	218
2022	51	37
2023	9	9
2024 (up to 01/11/2024)	5	4

It is important to note that conclusions on the safety and risks of the vaccines cannot be made on this data alone. Reporters are asked to submit Yellow Card reports even if they only have a suspicion that the vaccine may have caused the adverse reaction.

Please note that the information supplied in this response relies on the reporter providing a Guernsey postcode in the original Yellow Card. If the postcode is incorrectly provided, or if the reporter has provided an email address in place of a postal address, the Yellow Card will not be included in this data. As the data has been extracted using available postal addresses only, it may not reflect the true number of ADR reports following COVID-19 vaccinations reported from Guernsey. It is important to note that the number of reports received for Guernsey does not directly equate to the number of people who may have experienced adverse reactions and therefore cannot be used to determine the incidence of reactions. ADR reporting rates are influenced by many aspects, including the extent of use.

The existence of an adverse reaction report does not necessarily mean that the vaccine has caused the reaction. It may be difficult to tell the difference between

---

<sup>1</sup>The seriousness criteria for ADR reporting were determined by a working group of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) and are defined as 6 possible categories which are documented on the Yellow Card. Reporters can select one or more of the following criteria by ticking the appropriate box on the Yellow Card. The criteria are: (1) patient died due to reaction (2) life threatening (3) resulted in hospitalisation or prolonged inpatient hospitalisation (4) congenital abnormality and (5) involved persistent or significant disability or incapacity or (6) if the reaction was deemed medically significant.





## Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

something that has occurred naturally and an adverse reaction. Sometimes reactions can be part of the condition being treated rather than being caused by the vaccine and many suspected ADRs reported on a Yellow Card do not have any relation to the vaccine or medicine and it is often coincidental that symptoms occurred around the same time as administration.

I hope the information provided is helpful. If you have any queries about this letter, please contact us quoting the reference number above.

Yours sincerely,

MHRA Central Freedom of Information Team  
Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

---

### Your right to complain under the Freedom of Information Act

If you are not happy with this response you may request an internal review by e-mailing [foi.request@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:foi.request@mhra.gov.uk) or by writing to: MHRA Central Freedom of Information Team, 10 South, Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London, E14 4PU

Any request for an internal review must be received by us within 40 working days of the date of this letter. Please note we are not obliged to provide a review if it is requested after more than 40 working days.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner's Office for a decision. Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted our own complaints procedure. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: The Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF.

Website: [ICO FOI and EIR complaints](http://ico.org.uk/for-the-public/foi/) or telephone 0303 123 1113.

### Re-use of our information

The MHRA information supplied in response to your request is subject to Crown copyright. Information created by the MHRA which is disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act is made available for re-use under the Open Government Licence (OGL) v3.0, except where this is otherwise stated. There are some restrictions on re-use under the OGL and these can be viewed here:

<https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>



**Medicines & Healthcare products  
Regulatory Agency**

MHRA Central Freedom of  
Information Team  
10 South Colonnade  
Canary Wharf  
London  
E14 4PU

[foi.request@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:foi.request@mhra.gov.uk)

[MHRA Website](https://www.mhra.gov.uk)

Our Ref: **FOI2024/00527**

03 October 2024

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your Freedom of Information (Fol) request received on 9 September. You wrote:

*I would like to make a FOI regarding the numbers of adverse reactions related to the Covid Vaccines reported via the Yellow card Scheme for people resident in Jersey. I would like a breakdown over the years from 2020 to date and I would like to understand the numbers which were considered "serious".*

**MHRA Response**

We confirm that we hold the information you have requested and provide it in the format you requested below.

The MHRA has received 473 spontaneous suspected Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR) reports following COVID-19 vaccination from Jersey between 01/01/2020 and 22/09/2024 (inclusive) of which 279 are classified as serious.

Yellow Card reports are classified as either non-serious or serious (including fatal). A Yellow Card report is considered serious according to two criteria; firstly, a reported reaction can be considered serious according to our medical dictionary. Secondly, whether the original reporter considers the report to be serious according to 6 criteria<sup>1</sup>.

Please find below Table 1 which provides a breakdown of the UK spontaneous ADR reports received from Jersey relating to Covid-19 vaccines for the requested timeframe and the number classified as serious.

---

<sup>1</sup> The seriousness criteria for ADR reporting were determined by a working group of the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS) and are defined as 6 possible categories which are documented on the Yellow Card. Reporters can select one or more of the following criteria by ticking the appropriate box on the Yellow Card. The criteria are: (1) patient died due to reaction (2) life threatening (3) resulted in hospitalisation or prolonged inpatient hospitalisation (4) congenital abnormality and (5) involved persistent or significant disability or incapacity or (6) if the reaction was deemed medically significant.

**Table 1: UK Spontaneous ADRs from Jersey 01/01/2020 and 22/09/2024**

Year	Number of Yellow Cards	Number considered serious
2020	4	1
2021	420	237
2022	30	24
2023	14	12
2024	5	5

It is important to note that conclusions on the safety and risks of the vaccines cannot be made on this data alone. Reporters are asked to submit Yellow Card reports even if they only have a suspicion that the vaccine may have caused the adverse reaction. The existence of an adverse reaction report does not necessarily mean that the vaccine has caused the reaction. It may be difficult to tell the difference between something that has occurred naturally and an adverse reaction. Sometimes reactions can be part of the condition being treated rather than being caused by the vaccine and many suspected ADRs reported on a Yellow Card do not have any relation to the vaccine or medicine and it is often coincidental that symptoms occurred around the same time as administration.

Please note that the information supplied in this response relies on the reporter providing a Jersey postcode in the original Yellow Card. If the postcode is incorrectly provided, or if the reporter has provided an email address in place of a postal address, the Yellow Card will not be included in this data. As the data has been extracted using available postal addresses only, it may not reflect the true number of ADR reports following COVID-19 vaccinations reported from Jersey. It is important to note that the number of reports received for Jersey does not directly equate to the number of people who may have experienced adverse reactions and therefore cannot be used to determine the incidence of reactions. ADR reporting rates are influenced by many aspects, including the extent of use.

If you have any queries about this letter, please contact us quoting the reference number above.

Yours sincerely,

MHRA Central Freedom of Information Team  
Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

---

#### **Your right to complain under the Freedom of Information Act**

If you are not happy with this response you may request an internal review by e-mailing [foi.request@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:foi.request@mhra.gov.uk) or by writing to: MHRA Central Freedom of Information Team, 10 South, Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London, E14 4PU



Any request for an internal review must be received by us within 40 working days of the date of this letter. Please note we are not obliged to provide a review if it is requested after more than 40 working days.

If you are not content with the outcome of the internal review, you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner's Office for a decision. Generally, the Commissioner cannot make a decision unless you have exhausted our own complaints procedure. The Information Commissioner can be contacted at: The Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire SK9 5AF.

Website: [ICO FOI and EIR complaints](#) or telephone 0303 123 1113.

#### **Re-use of our information**

The MHRA information supplied in response to your request is subject to Crown copyright. Information created by the MHRA which is disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act is made available for re-use under the Open Government Licence (OGL) v3.0, except where this is otherwise stated. There are some restrictions on re-use under the OGL and these can be viewed here:

<https://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/>

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Rose Binet <R.Binet@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 30 November 2024 16:27  
**To:** Peter Bradley  
**Cc:** Tom Binet  
**Subject:** Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider  
**Attachments:** Covid Vaccines Concerns - Jersey Gov .pdf; NORTH\_Group\_Letter-2024-11-25.pdf; MHRA - Guernsey FOI2024.00624 Response.pdf; MHRA Jersey FOI202400527.pdf

Dear Peter

Thought I should make you aware of an email that all States Members have received from [REDACTED].

Best wishes

Rose

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Rose Binet <R.Binet@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 30 November 2024 16:32  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Tom Binet; Barbara Ward; Andy Howell  
**Subject:** Re: Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email which I have forwarded to the Director of Public Health and which I shall read as soon as time allows.

Kind regards

Rose

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** VIBJSY Support Group <VIBJSY@[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 01 December 2024 09:42  
**To:** R.Binet@gov.je  
**Cc:** T.Binet@gov.je; B.Ward@gov.je; A.Howell@gov.je  
**Subject:** Re: Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider

Some people who received this message don't often get email from vibjsy@[REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Rose

Thank you for taking the time to respond. I do hope each and every Minister can find the time to read and consider the content.

In the interest of public health I would like to see this being debated by the States Assembly in the near future.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Tom Binet <T.Binet@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 01 December 2024 10:09  
**To:** Peter Bradley  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Fw: Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider  
**Attachments:** Covid Vaccines Concerns - Jersey Gov .pdf; NORTH\_Group\_Letter-2024-11-25.pdf; MHRA - Guernsey FOI2024.00624 Response.pdf; MHRA Jersey FOI202400527.pdf

Good morning, Peter

Just a quick note to ask if this could be added to our next agenda, please.

With thanks and regards

Tom

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Peter Bradley <P.Bradley2@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 02 December 2024 09:25  
**To:** Rose Binet  
**Cc:** Tom Binet  
**Subject:** RE: Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider

Dear Rose

Yes thank you, that's helpful to know. Tom and I met [REDACTED] and we have agreed that we will review the recommendations of the UK Covid enquiry which is looking at vaccine safety. This information should be available in early 2025. That will give us information will be balanced and quality checked. If we rely on local data, serious side effects would not be detected because they are too rare.

I have also looked at our death certificates a few times and we do not have any recorded deaths related to Covid vaccination. In addition, anyone who feels there health has been damaged by (any) vaccine can apply to the UK vaccine damage scheme, which means I see all the reports and have an overview.

Best wishes  
Peter

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Peter Bradley <P.Bradley2@gov.je>  
**Sent:** 02 December 2024 09:28  
**To:** Tom Binet  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Covid Vaccines Safety Concern - information to consider

Yes. No problem

Thanks  
Peter