RECORD OF THE STATES DECISION ON THE BARPETT PEROPT

IN THE STATES OF THE ISLAND OF JERSEY

In the year nineteen hundred and sixty-three, the twentysixth day of March.

THE STATES commenced the consideration of the Report of the Island Development Committee submitting recommendations on the Jersey Development Plan (Part II), presented by Act of the said Committee, dated 15th. February, 1963, (lodged "au Greffe" on 19th, February, 1963) and, after discussion -

- (a) (i) agreed the zoning proposals shown on Map 5
 as the basis for the consideration of development applications but decided that any
 proposal with regard to the use of the Woodford Estate should be considered at the same
 time as the proposals to be made by the
 Committee regarding the development of St.
 Brelade's Bay;
 - (ii) approved the immediate implementation of the Rue des Prés Trading Estate; and
 - (iii) charged the Finance Committee to take the necessary measures to make available out of the General Development Loans 1972/77 the sum of £70,000 under the heading "kue des Prés Trading Estate" for the purpose of acquiring land and preparing sites for re-sale; and

Overtaken by

EVENTS.

(b)

rejected a Proposition of Deputy hobert
Reginald Jeune of St. Helier, that the whole
of Section B (Communications) of the Report
should be referred back to the Committee for
reconsideration and report to the Assembly,
and, adopting a Proposition of Senator Halph
Vibert, approved the making of investigations
by the Communications proposals for the town
of St. Helier and the priorities associated
therewith, as shown on Map 6 as tabled, and
such other preliminary investigations as might
be necessary.

(signed) A.D. Le Brocq

. Deputy Greffier of the States.

IN THE STATES OF THE ISLAND OF JURSEY

In the year nineteen hundred and sixty-three, the twentyeighth day of March.

THE STATES, referring to their Act dated 26th. March, 1963, continued the consideration of the Report of the Island Development Committee submitting recommendations on the Jersey Development Plan (Part II), presented by Act of the said Committee, dated 15th. February, 1963, (lodged "au Greffe" on 19th February, 1963), and, after discussion, endorsed the principle of acceptance of Village Development Plans as the basis of future development in the areas concerned and, in OVERTACEN particular, approved the investigation of a pilot sewage scheme for St. John in consultation with the Constable of that parish.

AND THE STATES adjourned the consideration of the Report to a later date.

NO FURTHER STATES CONSIDERATION GNEN, WITH RESULT THAT PROPOSALS FOR THE TOWN, IN PARTICULAR, WERE NEVER AFREED.

(signed) A.D. Le Brocq.

Deputy Greffier of the States.

MEMORANDUM

To:-

From:-

Planning Officer.

Chief Executive Officer.

Our ref. 1/3/4

Date 13th December, 1966.

Reports submitting recommendations on the Jersey Development Plan, Part 2

An Act dated 15th February, 1963, of the Island Development Committee and report submitting recommendations on the Jersey Development Plan (Part 2) (lodged Au Greffe on 19th February, 1963) has not so far been debated in regard to page 11 of report (redevelopment) and two recommendations on page 13 (P.16/63). This is a long drawn out matter, and the President considers that it should not remain indefinitely lodged Au Greffe with no date fixed. Accordingly there appears to be two alternatives open, namely to fix a date for it to be considered or to withdraw the remaining part of the report and recommendations and substitute it with another. In either case the President wants to obtain a decision of the Committee in the matter before the President's meeting in the New Year of which dates will be fixed for various matters to be debated.

never prepaced

ADOPTED.

You will of course be much better informed than I on the course of this document, but it does seem that it would be preferable to withdraw those parts which have still not been considered and revise it where necessary by a new document. In this connection the Committee is of course going forward separately on Dumaresq Street, and Westmount appears to be a matter that has been overtaken by buildings. There remains the question of Jersey Development Plan Map 1.

DONE

As you will remember during his visit, Mr. Barrett strongly recommended that the Town Map or Maps should be made statutary maps in the same way as Zoning Map No. 5, and I know that you also hold this view. In my opinion the sooner that this is done, the better, from the point of view not only of development control but also to answer queries on redevelopment areas in connection with the purchase and renovation of property. We are getting an increasing number of enquiries as you know of this nature, and a statutary Town Map would undoubtedly be very helpful in dealing with them.

Perhaps you will let me know your views in the matter so that we may advise the President and Committee, and if necessary prepare a short revised report and proposition together with town maps and plans.

How has the Committee been able to dual with the town in the absence of a statutory plan? (Host is a wholoncal overtim!)