

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

13th October 1994

Sea Cadet Unit: Relocation. 60/62/23(218)

13. The Committee with reference to its Act No. 2 of 7th July 1994, recalled that efforts were continuing to be undertaken in order to identify a suitable site for the relocation of the Sea Cadet Corps Headquarters, for which a sum of £600,000 had been set aside in its 1995 Capital Budget.

A.C.O.

The Committee received a report from the Acting Chief Officer dated 4th October 1994 and noted that the proposal to relocate the Sea Cadets to the end of the Albert Quay had been rejected by the Harbours and Airport Committee following which further plans for an alternative site at the old customs shed had been deemed as being too restrictive by the Sea Cadets.

The Committee noted that a meeting had been scheduled for 16th October 1994 between the Presidents of the Harbours and Airport, Defence and Finance and Economics Committees in order to discuss the proposal to relocate the Sea Cadets at the Territorial Army Centre at Mount Bingham, St. Helier. The Committee requested that it be advised of all developments.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

7th July 1994

Sea Cadet  
Unit:  
relocation.  
60/62/23 (21  
8)

A.C.O.

2. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 3 of 17th March 1994, received an oral report from the President on his discussions with the President of the Harbours and Airport Committee regarding the proposed re-location of the Sea Cadet Corps Headquarters to the Albert Quay. It noted that whilst there had been no objection to the location concern had been expressed at the size of the proposed building and its close proximity to the ramp.

The Committee asked the President and Vice-President to meet the Harbours and Airport Committee to allay its fears and asked that the plans be submitted to the next meeting for final consideration.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

17th March 1994

Sea Cadet  
Unit:  
Relocation.  
E.O.

3. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 12 of 17th February 1994, recalled that it had supported the re-location of the Sea Cadet Corps at the very end of the Albert Quay, St. Helier, and that the sum of £600,000 had been inscribed in the 1995 Capital Programme for this project.

The Committee noted that the Executive Officer had attended a meeting on 16th March 1994 with the Harbour Master in order to discuss a footprint for the facilities and design of the new location. In addition, a feasibility study was underway and due for completion at the end of April 1994.

The Committee requested that the Executive Officer advise it of all future developments.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

17th February 1994

Sea Cadet Unit:  
Relocation.  
60/122/1/9  
(16)

12. The Committee, with reference to Act No. 8 of 7th September 1993 of the Committee as previously constituted, noted that, for some time, difficulties had been experienced in locating an alternative site for the re-location of the Sea Cadet Corps.

E.O.

The Committee received an oral report from the Executive Officer regarding recent developments and noted that following a meeting with the Harbourmaster, Chairman of the Waterfront Enterprise Board, and Director of Planning and Building Control, an agreement had been reached to re-locate the Sea Cadet Corps at the very end of the Albert Quay, St. Helier. While there was a bunker already located in this area, the Committee was advised that it was intended to construct a building and allow the Sea Cadet Corps to provide the internal fitting out. The sum of £600,000 had been inscribed in the 1995 Capital Programme for this project.

The Committee agreed to support the proposal and the Executive Officer was authorised to take the necessary action.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

7th September 1993

Sea Cadet Unit: Relocation. 60/122/1/9 (16)  
E.O.  
I.D.C. (2)

8. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 7 of 1st June 1993 and with Mr. G. Smith, Assistant Director of Planning, Department of Planning and Building Control, in attendance, considered the plans for the conversion and extension of the former Fuel Supplies building to house the Sea Cadet Corps. It noted that a series of design studies had been undertaken by the Project Group, in consultation with the Sea Cadets, and that the proposed scheme was acceptable to both.

The Committee noted that to maximise the parade ground area the Main Deck was to be built at the edge of the site with a galley to service both the Main Deck and the Armoury. This would provide larger and much improved facilities for the Unit with a total area of 6,191 square feet as compared with the existing 5,114 square feet. It noted that it was envisaged that the site would become available in late 1994. It noted that the plans were sufficiently advanced to enable a request for planning permission to be submitted to the Island Development Committee

The Committee noted that the estimated cost of the proposals had not yet been received from the Quantity Surveyor, but recalled that a sum of £600,000 had been inscribed in its 1995 Capital Programme for this purpose. It agreed that when this was available discussions would need to be held with the Sea Cadets on the question of funding and also on the possibility of alternative use of the site.

Deputy P.A. Bailhache felt that the Sea Cadets were being given preferential treatment by the provision of this facility and asked that her dissent be recorded to the proposal to fund the project from the Committee's Capital Programme. However, the Committee recalled that it was bound by a decision of the Fort Regent Development Committee on 3rd February 1971 when a commitment had been given that the headquarters of the Jersey Unit of the Sea Cadet Corps should be available for, "so long as the Unit was able to maintain the interest of the youth of the Island".

The Committee approved the plans and agreed that, in view of the recent establishment of the Waterfront Enterprise Board with responsibilities for the overall area of the Waterfront, it should be consulted on the proposals.

The Executive Officer was authorised to take the appropriate action and the Project Group was requested to continue with its investigations.

The Committee expressed its appreciation to Mr. Smith for his work on its behalf and also to the Architect, Mr. M. Drouin, for producing the excellent plans.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

1st June 1993

Sea Cadet  
Corps:  
Relocation.  
60/122/1/9(  
16)

7. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 4 of 9th February 1993, noted that plans had been prepared for the modification of the Rowing Club Building at La Folie to provide alternative accommodation for the Sea Cadet Corps and that arrangements for the vacation of the site were proceeding well.

C.E.O.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

9th February 1993

Sea Cadet  
Corps:  
Relocation.  
60/122/1/9(  
16)

C.E.O.

4. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 8 of 17th November 1992, received an oral report from the Operations Manager regarding the progress of the investigations of the Working Party which had been formed to investigate the provision of alternative accommodation for the Sea Cadet Corps. It noted that there was a strong possibility that the Rowing Club Building at La Folie would become available and agreed that this would be an ideal site.

The Committee, having noted that confirmation was awaited from the Harbours and Airport Committee regarding the lease, asked to be kept informed of developments.

SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

17th November 1992

Sea Cadet Corps:  
Relocation.  
60/122/1/9 (16)  
C.E.O.

8. The Committee, with reference to its Act No. 4 of 12th November 1991 and with Mr. G. Smith, Department of Planning and Building Control, in attendance, received the report of the Working Party which had been formed to investigate the provision of alternative accommodation for the Sea Cadet Corps. It noted that if it were decided to relocate the Unit the Working Party was recommending that the location of the South Hill Gym be the subject of a detailed study and design analysis.

The Committee was mindful that the Fort Regent Development Committee, in its Act No. 22 of 3rd February 1971, had agreed to make the existing headquarters available to the Jersey Unit of the Sea Cadet Corps for, "as long as the Unit was able to maintain the interest of the youth of the Island". However, in view of the fact that the building was now in need of total refurbishment and that the acquisition of the site could enable the plans for the construction of a leisure pool to proceed, the Committee felt that it would not have reneged on this agreement if it assumed responsibility for the relocation of the Unit.

The Committee also considered a supplementary report regarding the inspection of two further possible sites and noted that, whilst the Balloon Store at La Collette was not considered suitable, the Agriculture and Fisheries and Rowing Club Building at La Folie was worthy of further investigation as preliminary estimates had identified that it could be converted at a lower cost than the South Hill Gym proposal.

The Committee, having noted the additional advantages of this site -

close proximity to the slipway  
safe playing area for the cadets  
ample car parking provision

asked the Working Party to continue with its investigations into its suitability and to enter into negotiations with the Harbours and Airport Committee regarding its acquisition. The Committee also nominated the Connétable of Grouville to act as its representative on the Working Party.

The Committee asked that its appreciation be extended to the Members of the Working Party for their excellent report.



SPORT, LEISURE AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

12th November 1991

Sea Cadet  
Corps.  
Relocation.  
60/122/1/9  
(16)

C.O.

4. The Committee received an oral report from the Operations Manager regarding the investigations of the Working Party formed to investigate the provision of suitable alternative accommodation for the Sea Cadet Corps. It noted that an alternative site had been identified at the headquarters of the Territorial Army Unit and that, subject to this being acceptable to the Corps, costings would be prepared and a full report and recommendations submitted in due course.