Jersey Shoreline Management Plan

Hydraulic Modelling Report: Still Water Level (Appendix B):

Government of Jersey

Project number: 60580871

January 2020

Project number: 60580871

Quality information

Prepared by	Checked by	Verified by	Approved by
Baoxing Wang Principal Coastal Modeller	Paul Norton Technical Director	Paul Norton Technical Director	Mark Davin Associate Director

Revision History

Revision	Revision date	Details	Authorised	Name	Position
1	February 2019	Draft	February 2019	Mark Davin	Associate Director
2	April 2019	Final	April 2019	Mark Davin	Associate Director
3	May 2019	Revised Final (study naming and formatting changes only)	June 2019	Tara-Leigh McVey	Regional Director
4	November 2019	Revised Final (following public consultation)	January 2020	Tara-Leigh McVey	Regional Director

Distribution List

# Hard Copies	PDF Required	Association / Company Name
0	1	Government of Jersey (Jamie Mason and Fiona Glover)

Project number: 60580871

Prepared for:

Government of Jersey

Prepared by:

AECOM Infrastructure & Environment UK Limited
Midpoint, Alençon Link
Basingstoke
Hampshire, RG21 7PP
United Kingdom

T: 01256 310 200
aecom.com

Table of Contents

Figures

Tables

B. Still Water Level

B.1 Still Water Level at St Helier

The accurate assessment of still water levels is of critical importance for subsequent stages of the Jersey SMP study. HR Wallingford (2009) and Prime (2018) have provided estimates for still water levels at Jersey, although a relatively consistent discrepancy of 0.33m in predicted levels between the two studies was previously noted (Table B-1). AECOM has undertaken a detailed investigation of available data to provide an independent check of the two studies, including any applied datum conversions.

Table B-1: Comparison of Still Water Level in HR Wallingford (2009), Prime (2018) and AECOM (2018)

RP (years)	AEP (%)	HR Wallingford (2009) (m AOD)	Prime (2018) (m AOD)	Difference (m)	AECOM (m AOD)
1	**100**	6.557	6.24	0.32	6.12
10	**10**	6.788	6.46	0.33	6.39
25	**4**	6.880	6.55	0.33	6.50
50	**2**	6.949	6.62	0.33	6.58
100	**1**	7.019	6.69	0.33	6.67
200	**0.5**	-	6.76	-	6.76
1000	**0.1**	-	6.93	-	6.97

Still water levels presented in HR Wallingford (2009) were based on the information produced by HR Wallingford (1991) taking account of sea level rise over the intervening period. Still water levels for various return periods were derived from the mean high water spring tidal level and a surge component for each site. Prime (2018) used water level data from the tide gauge in St Helier Harbour to derive still water levels for a range of return periods. The study replicates the methodology known as the skew surge joint probability method (SSJPM) for the coast of Jersey. The results in Prime (2018) consist of extreme sea levels of annual exceedance, ranging from 100% AEP and 0.1% AEP. Table B-2 shows the return period values and the 95% confidence interval for levels above CD and OD. The Admiralty Chart Datum at St. Helier is 5.88m below Ordnance Datum Local (ODL or OD).

Table B-2: Still water level at St Helier presented by Prime (2018)

RP (years)	AEP (%)	Still water level (m ACD)	95th percentile confidence interval (m ACD)		Still water level (m AOD)	95th percentile confidence interval (m AOD)	
1	**100**	12.12	12.11	12.12	6.24	6.23	6.24
10	**10**	12.34	12.33	12.36	6.46	6.45	6.48
20	**5**	12.41	12.40	12.44	6.53	6.52	6.56
25	**4**	12.43	12.42	12.47	6.55	6.54	6.59
50	**2**	12.50	12.48	12.56	6.62	6.60	6.68
75	**1.33**	12.54	12.52	12.62	6.66	6.64	6.74
100	**1**	12.57	12.55	12.66	6.69	6.67	6.78
200	**0.5**	12.64	12.61	12.76	6.76	6.73	6.88
1000	**0.1**	12.81	12.76	13.08	6.93	6.88	7.20

To evaluate the previous studies, AECOM obtained water level measurements, including tide and surge data, from the operational gauge at St Helier, Jersey. The dataset was made available by the British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) and covers a period of 25 years from 1993 to 2018 with data provided at 15 minute intervals. Using this dataset, standard fitting techniques were applied assuming a Weibull probability distribution to derive estimates of extreme water

level for this location. The results of the analysis are given in Table B-1 and presented graphically in Figure B-1. It can be seen that the AECOM derived values are very similar to Prime (2018), particularly for the higher return periods. For lower return periods (i.e. <50yrs) the AECOM values are slightly lower than those derived by Prime. It is therefore recommended that the Prime (2018) still water levels should be used as the reference values for the estimation of extreme water levels at other locations around the island, which provide marginally conservative estimates at lower return periods.



Figure B-1: Comparison of Extreme Still Water Level Estimates

B.2 Water Level Variation around the Jersey Coastline

The variability in still water levels around the Jersey coastline was presented in reports by HR Wallingford (1991 and 2009). The locations where still water levels were estimated are identified in Figure B-2. Table B-3 and Table B-4 provide these estimated still water levels including the mean high water spring (MHWS) tidal level and a surge component for the different return periods. HR Wallingford (2009) updated water levels to account for changes in mean sea level over the last two decades or so, assuming a rise of 2mm per year.

Relative changes in still water level around the island have been derived from Table B-3 and Table B-4, in which HR8 is used as the reference site. Table B-5 presents the differences in still water level relative to Site HR8.

Table B-3: Still Water Level (m AOD) from HR Wallingford (1991) with MHWS levels for reference

RP (years)	HR3	HR4	HR7	HR8	HR20	HR19	HR14
MHWS	4.5	4.9	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.2
0.2	5.3	5.7	5.9	5.9	5.9	6.0	6.0
1	5.9	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.6	6.6
5	6.1	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.8	6.8
10	6.2	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.9
20	6.2	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.9	6.9	6.9
50	6.3	6.7	6.9	6.9	7.0	7.0	7.0

Table B-4: Still Water Level (m AOD) from HR Wallingford (2009)

RP (years)	HR5	HR7 / HR8	HR14 / HR19
1	6.356	6.557	6.657
10	6.588	6.788	6.887
20	6.657	6.857	6.957
50	6.749	6.949	7.049
100	6.820	7.019	7.119

Table B-5: Change in Still Water Level (m) relative to HR8

HR3	HR5	HR7	HR8	HR20	HR19	HR14
-0.6	-0.2	0	-	0	+0.1	+0.1

To account for the variation of water level around the island, AECOM has used the values in Table B-5 derived from HR Wallingford (1991) and HR Wallingford (2009). For this analysis the island has been divided into four zones to characterise the variation in still water level, as defined in Figure B-3 and Table B-6.

Table B-6: Assignment of key locations within zones

Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
Area 4 Royal Bay of Grouville	Area 1 Area 2 Area 3 St Catherine's Bay	Bonne Nuit Bouley Bay St Ouen's Bay	La Greve de Lecq Le Pulec



Figure B-2: Location of Still Water Level Estimates (HR Wallingford, 1991)



Figure B-3: Zones Defined for Still Water Level Adjustments

B.3 Climate Change Adjustments

Flood risk will be assessed both for present day and in the future, incorporating the effects of climate change. The impact of sea level rise (SLR) has been assessed by considering present, short, medium and long-term epochs, i.e. 2020, 2040, 2070 and 2120.

Following discussions with NOC in August 2018, it has been agreed that AECOM will use the 50th percentile results for the conservative RCP8.5 climate change emission scenario ("business as usual") to inform planning policy for the island. Results from the analysis of SLR and assessment of vertical land movement (Prime, 2018) are summarised in Table B-7. These estimates were provided up to 2100 and therefore a non-linear extrapolation of the data has been used to derive values for the year 2120.

As no information is available for still water level caused by the skew surge for Jersey, the skew surge adjustments provided in UKCP09 for the nearest UK coastal region (Southwest of England) are used as a best estimate (Table B-8). It can be seen that the contribution of skew surge to SLR is relatively small (i.e. in the range from 2% to 10%).

Table B-7: SLR and Contribution of Vertical Land Movement

Year	SLR (m)	Vertical Land Movement (m)
2020	+0.07	+0.005
2040	+0.19	+0.009
2070	+0.40	+0.015
2120	+0.83	+0.024

Table B-8: Increase due to Skew Surge (m)

RP (years)	AEP (%)	2020	2040	2070	2120
1	**100**	+0.002	+0.005	+0.011	+0.019
10	**10**	+0.004	+0.010	+0.020	+0.036
20	**5**	+0.004	+0.012	+0.022	+0.041
25	**4**	+0.005	+0.012	+0.023	+0.042
50	**2**	+0.005	+0.013	+0.026	+0.047
75	**1.33**	+0.005	+0.014	+0.028	+0.050
100	**1**	+0.006	+0.015	+0.029	+0.052
200	**0.5**	+0.006	+0.016	+0.031	+0.057
1000	**0.1**	+0.007	+0.020	+0.038	+0.068

Measurements from the tide gauge at St Helier have also been used to analyse historic trends in the data between 1993 and 2017. Figure B-4 shows the tide gauge data combined with sea level projections. The thin black line represents the monthly average level and the thick black line the annual average values. The three lines are sea level projections at 5% (pink), 50% (green) and 95% (black) probability under the RCP8.5 scenario for Jersey. The remaining three solid lines are sea level projections at 5% (red), 50% (yellow) and 95% (blue) probability under the RCP4.5 scenario.

Figure B-4 shows the significant variability in sea levels dependent on the averaging period. The observed variation in mean sea levels to date is compatible with the predicted SLR trend from 2020 onwards.



Figure B-4: Historic Mean Sea Level Variation and Projected SLR under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 Climate Change Scenarios

B.4 Summary of Still Water Level

Following our independent check of previous studies, the application of still water level estimates provided by Prime (2018) are considered to be appropriate for subsequent use in the Jersey SMP study. By incorporating the effects of climate change and variation around the island, still water levels in four zones have been derived for the present day (2020) and the future epochs in 2040, 2070 and 2120. The results are summarised in Table B-9 to Table B-12.

Table B-9: Still Water Level (m AOD) projected for 2020

RP	AEP (%)	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
1	**100**	6.42	6.32	6.12	5.72
10	**10**	6.64	6.54	6.34	5.94
20	**5**	6.71	6.61	6.41	6.01
25	**4**	6.73	6.63	6.43	6.03
50	**2**	6.81	6.71	6.51	6.11
75	**1.33**	6.85	6.75	6.55	6.15
100	**1.00**	6.88	6.78	6.58	6.18
200	**0.5**	6.95	6.85	6.65	6.25
1000	**0.1**	7.12	7.02	6.82	6.42

Table B-10: Still Water Level (m AOD) projected for 2040

RP	AEP (%)	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
1	**100**	6.55	6.45	6.25	5.85
10	**10**	6.77	6.67	6.47	6.07
20	**5**	6.84	6.74	6.54	6.14
25	**4**	6.86	6.76	6.56	6.16
50	**2**	6.93	6.83	6.63	6.23
75	**1.33**	6.97	6.87	6.67	6.27
100	**1.00**	7.00	6.90	6.70	6.30
200	**0.5**	7.08	6.98	6.78	6.38
1000	**0.1**	7.25	7.15	6.95	6.55

Table B-11: Still Water Level (m AOD) projected for 2070

RP	AEP (%)	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
1	**100**	6.77	6.67	6.47	6.07
10	**10**	7.00	6.90	6.70	6.30
20	**5**	7.07	6.97	6.77	6.37
25	**4**	7.09	6.99	6.79	6.39
50	**2**	7.17	7.07	6.87	6.47
75	**1.33**	7.21	7.11	6.91	6.51
100	**1.00**	7.24	7.14	6.94	6.54
200	**0.50**	7.31	7.21	7.01	6.61
1000	**0.10**	7.49	7.39	7.19	6.79

Table B-12: Still Water Level (m AOD) projected for 2120

RP	AEP (%)	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4
1	**100**	7.21	7.11	6.91	6.51
10	**10**	7.45	7.35	7.15	6.75
20	**5**	7.52	7.42	7.22	6.82
25	**4**	7.54	7.44	7.24	6.84
50	**2**	7.62	7.52	7.32	6.92
75	**1.33**	7.66	7.56	7.36	6.96
100	**1.00**	7.69	7.59	7.39	6.99
200	**0.5**	7.77	7.67	7.47	7.07
1000	**0.1**	7.95	7.85	7.65	7.25

B.5 Further Review of Still Water Levels after publication of UKCP18

Revised climate change from recently issued guidance on UK Climate Projections (UKCP18), has now been made publicly available which comes after the modelling was largely completed for the Jersey SMP study. UKCP18 provides the most up-to-date consideration of how the climate of Jersey may change up to 2100 and beyond. The UKCP18 guidance has been reviewed to consider any implications to the modelling completed for the SMP study.

Existing still water levels at Jersey have been derived using the RCP8.5 50th percentile climate change scenario to calculate / incorporate:

(a) water level extremes (based on Prime 2018);

(b) Sea Level Rise (SLR) (based on Prime 2018) and

(c) skew surge (based on UKCP09, Reference Year 2008) for the present day (2020) and the future epochs in 2040, 2070 and 2120.

To make a comparison between the work undertaken to date and UKCP18 output, AECOM have downloaded the SLR and still water levels from the UKCP18 website (https://ukclimateprojections-ui.metoffice.gov.uk/). UKCP18 assumes that the changes in extreme water levels are mostly driven by SLR and the surge components do not change. Table B-14 to Table 5-3, Figure 5-1 and Figure B-6 show the SLR, still water levels and their differences between the AECOM analysis and the UKCP18 projections.

It can be seen from Table B-13 and Table 5-3 that the differences in SLR and still water level as a result of projected SLR and the effects of skew surge are relatively small (<10cm) for all four epochs (Table 5-1). The present (2020) AECOM data are slightly higher (8-10cm) than UKCP18 results, which would lead to a more conservative estimate for wave overtopping. Considering the small differences in projected still water level, repeating the calculations for wave overtopping and updating the inundation modelling is not considered to be necessary.

Table B-13: Comparison of SLR and Surge Skewness

Year	AECOM		UKCP18		Difference (m)	
	SLR (m)	Skewness (m)	SLR (m)	Skewness (m)	SLR (m)	Skewness (m)
2020	0.075	0.006	0.054	0.000	-0.021	-0.006
2040	0.199	0.015	0.170	0.000	-0.029	-0.015
2070	0.415	0.029	0.410	0.000	-0.005	-0.029
2120	0.854	0.052	0.942	0.000	0.088	-0.052

Table B-14: Comparison of Still Water Level projected for 2020 and 2040

AEP (%)	2020			2040		
	AECOM (m, AOD)	UKCP18 (m, AOD)	Difference (m)	AECOM (m, AOD)	UKCP18 (m, AOD)	Difference (m)
100	6.32	6.23	-0.09	6.45	6.35	-0.10
10	6.54	6.46	-0.08	6.67	6.58	-0.09
5	6.61	6.53	-0.08	6.74	6.65	-0.09
4	6.63	6.56	-0.07	6.76	6.67	-0.09
2	6.71	6.63	-0.08	6.83	6.74	-0.09
1.33	6.75	6.67	-0.08	6.87	6.78	-0.09
1.00	6.78	6.70	-0.08	6.9	6.81	-0.09
0.50	6.85	6.77	-0.08	6.98	6.88	-0.10
0.10	7.02	6.94	-0.08	7.15	7.06	-0.09

Table B-15: Comparison of Still Water Level projected for 2070 and 2120

AEP (%)	2070			2120		
	AECOM (m, AOD)	UKCP18 (m, AOD)	Difference (m)	AECOM (m, AOD)	UKCP18 (m, AOD)	Difference (m)
100	6.67	6.59	-0.08	7.11	7.12	+0.01
10	6.90	6.82	-0.08	7.35	7.35	0.00
5	6.97	6.89	-0.08	7.42	7.42	0.00
4	6.99	6.91	-0.08	7.44	7.44	0.00
2	7.07	6.98	-0.09	7.52	7.51	-0.01
1.33	7.11	7.02	-0.09	7.56	7.55	-0.01
1.00	7.14	7.05	-0.09	7.59	7.58	-0.01
0.50	7.21	7.12	-0.09	7.67	7.66	-0.01
0.10	7.39	7.30	-0.09	7.85	7.83	-0.02



Figure B-5: Comparison of SLR between AECOM and UKCP18



Figure B-6: Comparison of Still Water Level between AECOM and UKCP18: (a) 2020 (b) 2040 (c) 2070 and (d) 2120

B.6 Uncertainty and Limitations

There is a high degree of uncertainty associated with the prediction of future sea level rise. Prime (2018) made use of a European climate projection model to predict SLR for Jersey. The strong influence of physical processes such as storm tracks and jet streams are currently not well represented in the model. Moreover, Figure B-4 shows the variability in present SLR projections, both across climate scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) and four epochs. Therefore, although the research is the most up to date in terms of regional SLR projections, there remain inherent limitations and uncertainties.

The detailed design of any future scheme would need to consider the above uncertainties in more detail so the range of SLR is understood and taken account of during development of any scheme design.

B.7 References

HR Wallingford (1991), Jersey Coastal Management Study. HR Wallingford Report EX2490, December 1991.

HR Wallingford (2009), The effects of climate change on Jersey's Coastal defence structures. Report EX5964, Release 6.0.

Prime (2018), Jersey sea level and coastal conditions climate review. National Oceanography Centre.

aecom.com