

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 28

UserID	Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.
284102657	All of the above based on my experience as the owner of an electric vehicle.
285018575	The carbon aim is a very narrow perspective. It doesn't consider the freedom of the individual, the enjoyment of cars for what they are or the simple fact that going first costs more. This is another area of bureaucratic overreach.
289709002	Does the JEC network have sufficient capacity to cope with the charging demands?
286493733	It hasn't been properly thought through and EVs are in their infancy are not practical as transport nor for the environment. Wont buy one.
284582226	All of these things will be resolved once government legally commits to 2030, and the industry and the public make decisions on the basis that this is definitely happening. JEC obviously need to build more public chargers, which they are doing. Re: cost of motoring, I pay far less overall now that I drive an electric car - it will pay for itself with the fuel savings and cheaper maintenance over its lifetime. Bear in mind that only 20% of car sales are of new cars; almost everyone buys second-hand cars. <a href="https://www.statista.com/statistics/299841/market-volumes-of-new-and-used-cars-in-the-united-kingdom/">https://www.statista.com/statistics/299841/market-volumes-of-new-and-used-cars-in-the-united-kingdom/</a> Electric cars are easily affordable for the top 20% of households by income, and there are plenty of cheap electric cars now too that are comparable to petrol and diesel cars. Electric cars only seem unaffordable to people who wouldn't be in the 20% buying new cars anyway.
286655651	It goes without saying that if many more EV are used then the infrastructure will need to keep up. However, I am an EV user and think the current set-up is fantastic and have confidence in the Gov/JE etc to increase this provision where required. The costs must be kept in line with where they are now (i.e. much cheaper than petrol/diesel) because if electric is the only option the consumer needs some level of protection against disproportionate price rises.  The price of vehicles should reduce as the technology improves and more EV become available. I do have concerns about disposal of batteries, however I have faith in those with more brain capacity than me to find a solution to this problem.
286162312	Electric vehicle safety - once on fire, they don't go out. Fires from damaged batteries are also a risk - especially in high-density areas and carparks.
289852433	Ironically old people carriers and 4x4's could appreciate in value and old vehicles will be kept on the roads for longer.
289365777	The high cost of new electric vehicles will ensure that the value of used vehicles will substantially increase. By deferring the plan by 10 years it would give islanders a chance to acquire a (by then) reasonably priced 10-15 year old

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	electric vehicle that has depreciated substantially, with minimal environmental impact.
284040198	<p>There has been no meaningful exploration of alternatives such as synthetic or sustainable fuels, which could allow existing vehicles to remain in use while achieving carbon neutrality. The current approach feels like an “EV or nothing” solution, which does not suit all circumstances.</p> <p>For example:</p> <p>Long-distance travel: I regularly use my car for family holidays and trips to the UK and Europe. Doing this in an EV would be impractical without significantly longer travel times and charging stops.</p> <p>Lack of flexibility: EVs are being pushed as the only option, without considering hybrid technologies or other low-carbon solutions that could deliver similar benefits without the same infrastructure challenges.</p> <p>Infrastructure gap: Jersey’s charging network is far from ready for a wholesale switch, and this needs to be addressed before imposing restrictions.</p> <p>A more balanced strategy should include investment in charging infrastructure, exploration of synthetic fuels, and phased adoption of EVs alongside other technologies.</p>
286762711	<p>life expectancy of an electric vehicle battery pack.</p> <p>Jersey Car parks are not electric vehicle rated.</p> <p>Tunnel not rated for electric battery Fire.</p> <p>Range for off island travel would be limited reducing possible trips to France and UK</p> <p>Training on how to use vehicles and chargers especially for elders</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
285423180	<p>There are several wider concerns related to the 2030 phase-out that I believe need further consideration.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grid capacity and energy security The electricity grid must be able to handle increased demand from EV charging. Without substantial upgrades and redundancy, reliability could be compromised, especially during winter peaks or emergencies.</li> <li>2. Housing inequalities in charging access A large proportion of Islanders live in flats, shared parking, or housing without off-street parking. Without a clear solution for home or near-home charging, many residents will be placed at a disadvantage and face higher costs through reliance on public chargers.</li> <li>3. Road infrastructure impacts of heavier EVs EVs are significantly heavier than their petrol or diesel equivalents. On an island with many narrow roads, rural lanes, and older infrastructure, this increased weight risks accelerating wear, potholes, and structural strain, adding cost to taxpayers.</li> <li>4. Impact on small businesses and trades Many small businesses rely on affordable used vans and cars. Forcing early electrification before the technology, range, or vehicle choice is suitable for Jersey risks increasing operating costs and reducing business flexibility.</li> <li>5. Affordability for lower-income households A rapid phase-out may create a situation where private motoring becomes unaffordable for some Islanders. The cost of EV purchase, insurance, tyre replacements and repairs are all higher, and without a strong local second-hand EV market, many lower-income households will struggle.</li> <li>6. Uncertainty over battery lifespan and resale values Battery degradation, insurance write-offs, and volatile resale values make EV ownership financially risky. This risk is transferred to ordinary motorists, who may not be able to absorb large unexpected costs.</li> </ol> <p>Overall, while reducing emissions is important, the pace and structure of the phase-out must reflect fairness, affordability, infrastructure readiness, and Jersey’s unique context. A more balanced, evidence-based transition would avoid significant unintended consequences for residents and businesses.</p>
284254314	<p>Any plans on Lorries coaches over 25 years old on jersey roads these are the bad ones, look at Tantivy Waverley They should be in a scrap yard. How can you say green with out these type of vehicle the worst type still on the Jersey roads every day ?????? Keep it simple stupid.</p>
286217522	<p>Currently all EV vehicles can not be scraped in Jersey adding to the expense of disposal of a an EV Vehicle.</p>
286429828	<p>cost cost cost</p>
290210798	<p>costs for small businesses that need vehicles will go up and be passed on to the customer. this will fuel inflation</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286135260	No
286451894	No
286844110	<p>As an EV owner, with no way of charging my vehicle at home, it's obvious to me that there is no where near enough charging points around the island. And definitely not enough faster charging points.</p> <p>It's like you got excited about it, sprinkled a few around and forgot about it. You need to appreciate that a lot of people, probably circa 50%, are not able to charge at home, so we need more of a network for this. People are not going to change to electric if they have to constantly scratch around for somewhere to charge it. And the 6kw chargers aren't doing much, are they. People need to be able to charge and go.</p> <p>And the fact that there's no charging at the waterfront and other car parks is a joke. Absolutely none of the plans for transitioning to electric power work if there's no network of chargers. There's currently more places to buy petrol!</p>
289446251	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Electric vehicle fire risk</li> <li>2. The structural integrity of infrastructure given the added weight of vehicles</li> <li>3. The extra demand on the electricity grid increasing prices for those who already have made the eco friendly choice and heat their home and water with electricity.</li> </ol>
289441959	<p>An effective transition plan will seek to mitigate the adverse consequences across all of these areas through sensible policy making and active support. The questionnaire doesn't adequately consider the impact of policy on the second hand car market and value of cars owned by individuals.</p>
289556963	<p>Any move to promote an all electric vehicle future must be matched by significant investment in electric vehicle charging points particularly in St Helier and other areas where cars are parked on the street or in communal car parks. The investment must also be matched with competition in electric supplies, to avoid high prices for charging, which we currently experience in the duopoly of petrol and diesel supplies in the island.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286198581	<p>Lake Baotou and Battery Production Waste</p> <p>Lake Baotou (also known as Baotou Tailings Lake) is an artificial lake located near Baotou City in Inner Mongolia, China. It was created as a disposal site for waste produced by the region’s rare earth mineral processing industry. These rare earth elements—such as neodymium and lanthanum—are essential components in electric vehicle (EV) motors and batteries, as well as in wind turbines and electronics.</p> <p>The processing of these minerals generates large quantities of toxic and radioactive waste, including heavy metals and acidic by-products. These waste materials are pumped into Lake Baotou, creating a vast, black, sludge-like area that has become a significant environmental concern. Reports and satellite imagery have highlighted pollution of nearby soil and water, with local communities facing potential health risks and loss of agricultural land.</p> <p>While rare earth materials are crucial for the green technology sector, Lake Baotou illustrates the environmental cost of mining and processing these resources. It underscores the need for cleaner, more sustainable supply chains, recycling of existing materials, and continued innovation in alternative technologies for electric vehicles and renewable energy systems.</p> <p>Electric vehicles are anything but clean energy and are not entirely “zero emission” due to the manufacturing process of EV battery packs. This must be taken into account when promoting so called "Green Energy".</p>
284364083	<p>Don’t politicians realise that rare earth metals are used to build these batteries and these are hugely damaging the planet, they are far more environmentally damaging to the planet mining these materials, but like sheep we are sleep walking into more expensive in reliable vehicles that won’t last like petrol vehicles do. Just leave motorists alone.</p>
286502668	<p>Please read all my other comments that support my grave concerns about this planned action.</p>
289632335	<p>"My high level of concern across all categories stems from the fact that 2030 is too soon for a small island with limited infrastructure and high living costs. This policy risks creating a two-tier society where only the wealthy can afford to drive."</p>
288681629	<p>Electric cars are not eco friendly</p>
283898951	<p>I’ll get off my soap box if you promise you will listen</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 28

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
285393980	<p>I'm very concerned that this policy has not considered the full impact on Jersey's infrastructure, economy, and people.</p> <p>The island already struggles with high living costs, limited space, and shipping constraints. EV prices, charging points, and repair skills are nowhere near ready for a full transition.</p> <p>Batteries and heavy EVs also create major environmental and logistical challenges for shipping, ferry capacity, and safe disposal.</p> <p>The government should focus on supporting hybrids, biofuels, and sustainable fuels rather than forcing electric-only options before the island is prepared.</p>
286037664	No to phase out.
286034240	The implications of pure electric vehicle fleet for the island would be catastrophic for the island power supply.
289185945	<p>Life expectancy of batteries</p> <p>Dangers of utilising lithium batteries</p> <p>Poor infrastructure for ev</p>
284382567	I regularly travel 100s of miles whe outside of Jerzey. This phase out will seriously, negatively impact my quality of life and freedom of movement
284041340	<p>The whole proposal is ill-conceived and doomed to fail. I am especially concerned at the lack of rigour and due process in respect of the proposed phase-out. If implemented, this will affect every islander directly. It isn't good enough to hide behind a 'commitment' made in the States years ago in circumstances where most people haven't thought about what they are going to have for dinner that night, let alone what car they might want or need to drive in multiple years' time in the future. It is foolhardy, irrational and perverse to seek to impose such a draconian policy without REAL due process and consultation - not just motoring interest groups and car clubs, but actual working people, tax payers etc. To have any chance of your arguments being vindicated in the inevitable legal proceedings that will follow if implemented, you would need to show that the consultation was not just a papering exercise but actually one where you had taken into account all of the relevant considerations, disregarded irrelevant considerations and asked open-minded and non-leading questions with a view to objectively ascertaining the populations' views. I regret that this has not been my experience - even the way in which the questions on this form are formulated and its length makes that abundantly clear.</p>
288679019	<p>Jersey is ill-equipped to support e-vehicles in the numbers proposed. The changes are founded on ideology rather than in reality. The lack of consideration for the severely negative impact of this policy on the daily lives of Jersey residents undermines any suggestion that there is a democratic mandate.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286197415	Have statistics for the impact of electric car accidents been considered
286429152	<p>My main concern is the lack of understanding of the benefits of moving to electric.</p> <p>Amongst other sources, the BBC has clearly shown that the cost of purchase and of ownership of an electric car over the first 4 years is cheaper than that of a petrol version of the same make and model (eg. Kia Kona).</p> <p>The misinformation about the risk of fire with EVs is rife - Petrol cars are, in fact, up to 80 times more likely to catch fire than EVs.</p> <p>If the Government wants this phase-out to be accepted more readily, it needs to dispel the myths and put out some accurate information on the subject.</p>
289591909	<p>Electric is produced using power stations / fossil fuels</p> <p>Electric batteries cause mass devastation on production</p> <p>Electric car fires cant be extinguished</p> <p>Electric batteries / cars can't be recycled or disposed of/ electric vechiles will end up being dumped in fields</p>
287908193	<p>Costs and avaiability of fuels for the future.</p> <p>Availability of parts for Petrol /Diesel vehicles</p> <p>A second hand Petrol or diesel vehicle can be ususally repaired or fixed, not so with electric, making them less long term reliable</p> <p>Alos, there is no range with electric veichles, so what happens with trucks lorries</p> <p>is there a lot of funding availble to replace all the big petrol/diesel electric vehicles , as that will be a huge cost</p>
287296218	<p>There are multiple issues with fully electric cars. Running out of power. Not starting when left undriven for a number of weeks (known issue garages/mechanics have advised when this has happened to colleagues fully electric cars)</p>
288699533	<p>Leave people to make their own choices, do not continue to increase socialist controls</p>
289436751	<p>You are removing the people's choice. I make 100's of eco and environmentally conscious choices every day it's at the forefront of everything I do. HOWEVER electric vehicles are not environmentally friendly; the batteries alone are worse for the environment for manufacturing and disposable than driving around in a fuel car is. But this is not being considered because they'll be manufactured off island it won't count into the governments little 'statistics' of your net zero goal. It's a total sham. It's heading for dictatorship if you remove people's choice. A ridiculous idea and with the state this government has put the island in you will experience riots in the near future. How can you justify removing our freedom of choice.</p> <p>You are screwing over the public. You are unjustifiable removing our freedom of choice.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289445337	International ferry operators that specialise in vehicle freighters banned carrying battery cars due to hazardous nature of carrying battery cars. What happens if the islands ferry operator in 4 years time has a battery explode and is unable to carry battery cars due to insurance risk? Whilst I would expect them to change insurer what if they don't.
289466806	I support that existing fossil fuel powered vehicles will remain in use. 2030 will bring about change but not likely to be hugely significant
289458069	Stop this work and use levers to get people walking or on bikes.
288808986	I own livestock. Electric cars have become a huge hazard due to their silence and are the bane of my existence. I cannot keep my animals safe if I cannot hear the hazard safely. The noise inserted in EV's are simply not enough.
284349091	I have not seen any mention of further training for the transition to electric vehicles for those who work adjacent to fossil fuelled vehicles, e.g. petrol station attendants (slight concern), or how we will deal with decommissioned petrol stations, as they are considered contaminated land and can cost a significant amount of money to make good for other purposes (concerned).
289729058	Does the Government intend to impose a pay per mile on electric vehicles? What is the impact on the extra weight of electric vehicles on roads, car parks etc. JEC have a monopoly and vested interest (part owned by the Government) likely to force up cost of living.
289508930	I haven't a brand-new petrol car and it's only 18 months old so what happens in 2030
289438898	There should not be any phase out of vehicles.
289450563	<p>While the cost of new electric vehicles is beginning to reduce, it is still high and is an impediment to many islanders. Has the government budgeted for the existing grant scheme to be extended into the 2030s to cover this mandatory transition period? Also, has the government considered other, potentially more budget efficient incentives like low cost loans, rather than inefficient grants?</p> <p>Access to suitable charging infrastructure is another major impediment for many, especially for all those living in flats. Solutions are also required for this, and should be rolled out in support of this transition.</p> <p>The assembly recently passed a requirement for all new build properties to have at least one parking space. Why was there no mandate to also have charging infrastructure with that parking space? It is far more cost effective to include the charging infrastructure from the outset rather than adding retrospectively.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289438661	The rate of technological advances in the design, manufacture and disposal of electric vehicles and components will most likely make a 2030 target easily achievable.
284180009	The environmental cost of battery production and disposal must be publicly displayed and discussed. In factual terms rather than hyperbole/spin.
283974068	The whole electric car debate has been avoided in that disposal of cars and batteries has not been properly addressed nor indeed the damage to roads from much heavier cars.
284061207	As written before, this whole project is badly thought out and based on wrong information. It is being discredited and ignored the world over and Jersey is hurting itself and its residents wasting time and money on this.
289435098	<p>Why is the government not looking into the ability to convert petrol cars to renewable</p> <p>Most cars can be converted to run on Ethanol (E85) for £500</p> <p>Synthetic e-Fuels (carbon-neutral petrol substitutes) no need to do anything to the car other than fill up with the new fuel</p> <p>Jersey can not produce the necessary electricity to run thousands extra vehicles and most people can afford the additional cost, their is the skill shortage to maintain the electric cars and what are we going to do in 2040 with loads of dead batteries</p>
289465881	You should not be doing this
289466447	<p>Very concerned regarding disposal , level of public transport available post “ban” (which is what this is) , available electricity supply given much higher demand and cost given basic principal of supply and demand, True cost of charging (opaque at best) , damage to roads given material difference in electric car weights , available mechanics and cost of servicing , risk of fire from electric batteries and consequent inevitable rise in insurance (car and home) cost of implementing home charging especially where existing capacity is insufficient , charging for those living in blocks of flats where minimal charge points exist , traffic congestion caused by increase in vehicles blocking roads as running out of charge , cost to environment of extractive industries required for battery production - many more issues that need to be considered which given SOJ history on planning and cost benefit to taxpayer (ie hospital, incinerator etc)</p>
289455717	<p>We may end up with a pile of battery's at the reclamation site we cant get rid of.</p> <p>The longer we can hold this off the better.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289562540	People think electric is clean but it has to be generated it has been proven that wind is not cost effective and unreliable, solar is only available in good weather and Hugh battery farms are required for continuous supply, nuclear power is the cleanest but there is very dangerous waste produced if we rely totally on green energy we will have massive blackouts especially in cold weather
289440416	Read prior answers
289445100	While EVs offer lower emissions during use, their production and disposal raise significant concerns. Battery manufacturing for EVs is energy-intensive, requiring large quantities of raw materials like lithium, cobalt, and nickel—often sourced through mining practices that degrade ecosystems and exploit labor. At the end of their life, EV batteries pose recycling and disposal challenges, with limited infrastructure to safely reclaim toxic materials, potentially leading to soil and water contamination. In contrast, internal combustion engine vehicles, while emitting more during operation, typically have a less resource-intensive production process and more established recycling pathways. Thus, when considering the full life cycle, EVs can present greater environmental harm in production and disposal phases, especially in regions with carbon-heavy energy sources.
289450803	All of it
288829888	All the above comments are a concern as they affect the livelihood of thousands of islanders
289854544	The disposal of the EV batteries and the short life thereof is of more environmental concern than what government are proposing
289443214	The batteries in electric cars are bad for the environment and the cars are very unreliable, and extremely dangerous if involved in a crash
286489103	The push towards electric cars is damaging the environment and causing social harm. The market shows that it is not supported by the public at large. Please review the latest data.
285909152	Who's funding everyone to buy new cars then
285918014	can JEC cope with the electrical demand. I know our area cannot have additional load of home chargers.
289562172	Let's move to full electric now

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289563099	This whole proposal will have an inflationary impact on the economy and the required infrastructure even within St Helier will not be possible in the proposed timeframe. I envisage a strong backlash to this proposal from the public.
285917569	Even the UK is immature in its electric vehicle disposal facilities. Jersey will never be able to justify building such facilities, so it is critical that Jersey negotiates arrangements to be able to ship end of life electric and hybrid vehicles elsewhere- almost certainly to the UK.
289562156	Government rally needs to stop this type of oppressive policy and leave people alone to make their own life choices
288679116	<p>Electric vehicles, whilst not emitting greenhouse gasses, still pose massive ecological risks and can be a detriment to the environment.</p> <p>It is bizarre that the notion of greener fuel, and not fully electric vehicles, has not been fully explored. In theory, this could be rolled out far easier and quicker, whilst providing more time to explore the options rather than this knee/jerk response.</p>
288731886	<p>Not enough chargers and never will be. There is no viably way of disposing of the batteries.</p> <p>Trying to buy new batteries is nearly impossible as they are classed as hazardous good.</p> <p>Maybe we should go back to horse and cart as that is what is being asked.</p>
289503713	very very concerned with diesel vehicles, people's life in more danger than ever been before so scary ... only thing easy charging points. oh and once is all electric in jersey electricity prices will go up 100% more as an advantage from government to make more money, so electricity in jersey is gonna go through the roof with the pricing, good luck for the wars your causing for the future right now. thank you for making jersey life 100% worse for the next 10 years. i believe this island one day will be empty cause of bad government that's all...
284210904	This could so have an impact on ferries as people with electric cars will have concerns about charging availability in the UK and France so less likely to take cars off island. The DFDS contract was modeled on predicted volumes so it has an impact on their pricing model.
289493640	yes, this net zero is all bull
288819179	<p>Issues with lithium batteries</p> <p>Disposal of the batteries and other non recyclable items etc</p> <p>Reliance on electric power only</p>
289453068	If everyone had an electric car the jec couldnt supply enough power to charge them all. The extension leads alone the pavements in town streets would be insane

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289218312	<p>Is there an impact assessment on current infrastructure for the public to scrutinise?  Road tax?  Road surface replacement costs for much heavier vehicles?  Forecasted electricity costs?  Fire service additional costs?</p>
289441426	<p>I'm very concerned, not only can the lithium batteries not be recycled they are dangerous, electric car fires can't be put out easily at all we don't have the fire service to be dealing with that sort of problem anyway.</p>
284040451	<p>Beyond the specific areas listed, I have significant concerns about the wider implications of the 2030 phase-out. The policy appears to be moving faster than the Island's infrastructure, economy, and technical capacity can realistically support. A transition of this scale requires careful sequencing, yet the current approach risks creating disruption without delivering proportional environmental benefits.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grid capacity and energy resilience  A large-scale shift to electric vehicles will place substantial pressure on Jersey's electricity network. Without clear evidence that the grid can reliably support widespread home and public charging—especially during peak demand—there is a real risk of instability and increased costs for consumers.</li> <li>2. Equity and social impact  The phase-out risks disproportionately affecting lower-income households, young drivers, and small businesses. These groups are least able to absorb rising vehicle prices, higher insurance costs, and the upfront expense of electric alternatives. A fair transition must avoid creating a mobility divide.</li> <li>3. Impact on the second-hand market  Restricting petrol and diesel imports will distort the used vehicle market, reducing choice and driving up prices. This could leave many islanders with fewer affordable options and force premature scrappage of perfectly serviceable vehicles.</li> <li>4. Environmental trade-offs  The policy does not adequately consider the lifecycle impacts of electric vehicles, including battery production, raw material extraction, and end-of-life disposal. Without robust recycling and waste-management systems, the environmental gains may be overstated.</li> <li>5. Economic consequences for the motor trade  Local garages and independent mechanics may struggle to adapt to rapid electrification without significant support. A shortage of trained EV technicians could lead to higher repair costs, longer wait times, and reduced consumer confidence.</li> </ol> <p>Overall concern  The 2030 phase-out feels premature and risks creating more problems than it</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	<p>solves. A more flexible, evidence-based approach—focused on infrastructure readiness, affordability, and gradual transition—would be far more appropriate for Jersey’s scale and circumstances.</p>
288967696	<p>Yes, the islands infrastructure for EV's is horrendously under prepared. EV's should be avoided and Hydrogen powered vehicles should replace Petrol/Diesel.</p>
289449784	<p>Inability of Island infrastructure to cope with requirements.  Pricing and availability severely impacted.  Closures and terminations of businesses leading to skills degradation and the loss of light engineering skills</p>
284232266	<p>Electric vehicles are not the final answer and have many problems, having owned ones. There is not the infrastructure and the technology is patchy, I have a 3 year old electric and the battery is already not working as it should be. My son bought a brand new electric motorbike 2 years ago, and already the batteries are almost impossible to find, so the costs have spiralled for the available ones. He could also not complete his motorbike test because the range of the battery was insufficient.  The infrastructure and technology needs to be in place before bold decisions like this are made.</p>

UserID	Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.
289446246	ldk
289462263	<p>The top 4 points are strong causes for concern and the government should be as well. If the price of cars increases a lot their will be more reliance on public transport. Also electric cars are heavier that petrol/diesel and therefore cars and other infrastructure will need rebuilding or altering. This will lead to increased costs and probably an increase in taxes.</p> <p>There currently is not enough charging points in the island and what will islanders in flats do.</p> <p>The disposal of battery's is a bigger concern and a waste of a battery. The mining to make more batteries will make further carbon emissions apposed to a standard petrol/diesel care which does not require this.</p> <p>Electric batteries also pose a concern when they catch fire as we have seen them do elsewhere in the world. The batteries burn for hours - I wonder the carbon emissions from these fires are for one individual battery?</p>
288799523	<p>The economy of the Government of Jersey is out of balance. This ban will put more pressure on Jersey's finances due to the loss of revenue from fuel taxes.</p> <p>Clubs like the Jersey Motor Cycle and Light Car Club provide an outlet for youngsters to race motorcycles. This ban would curtail this sport whilst making negligible difference to Co2 emissions.</p>
288863997	<p>Same again, provide a better, reliable, cheaper alternative and we will buy it. Why do you feel that this argument can only be won by government intervention?</p>
287916992	<p>This shouldnt come as a surprise to anyone. We have had time to prepare and adjust and this phase out is required to get the laggards to act.</p>
289502052	<p>No concerns as stated most above, cost over differences to benefits, to me this is just another over the top reaction to something that will work itself out</p>
284341422	<p>The whole policy is misguided and should be reversed.</p>
284108241	<p>Huge environmental concerns regarding electric vehicles</p>
284196687	<p>Like I say it is a complete utter liberal fantasy 2030 is four years away. There is absolutely no way you're phasing out every single vehicle and putting in the infrastructure to support over 100,000 Evie or hybrid vehicles in four years - they can't even sort out the pot holes on the roads</p>
283955067	<p>The car/van will expire before the battery does.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284050991	Follow EU, UK phase out dates.
289551850	<p>On the positive side, I believe the motor trade will be able to 'up skill' for electric vehicles relatively quickly and should be ready for 2030. And I assume new vehicle manufacturers will make suitable electric vehicles available to local dealers.</p> <p>In all other areas, the concern is greater because there are so many unknowns -</p> <p>Will there be anything like sufficient infrastructure/facilities in place for vehicle charging and battery disposal?</p> <p>Will the cost of electric vehicles fall sufficiently to match IC vehicles? Or will IC vehicle prices be artificially raised to electric levels, to compensate manufacturers for lower sales and cover (UK) government levied penalties?</p> <p>How will the drop in current IC fuel duty revenue be clawed back by government? Higher levels of duty on petrol/diesel? Do electric car drivers realise there may be a re-introduction of road tax to compensate? More heavier/larger electric vehicles will require more road maintenance.</p>
284439503	SoJ is adopting a proposal that has moved on from their current stance and it needs to be re-evaluated against the new science and ultimately what is good economically for our community. The SoJ has no place adopting a passion agenda where the pendulum has moved to far because of social media rabble rousing and now outdated science.
289314149	<p>I am very concerned about what happens if the UK or EU later change or delay their own phase-outs. Jersey is proposing to go harder and earlier, including on used imports. If bigger economies row back, Islanders will be left stuck with a more extreme policy and higher costs, with no sign of any compensation. That is not a responsible way to legislate in a small jurisdiction.</p> <p>I am also worried about the combined effect of this policy with our island geography. We rely on ferries and flights. If future rules on the carriage of EVs on ferries tighten, Islanders could end up boxed in by decisions we have taken now. It is reckless to drive us into a rigid technology corner when our mobility and trade depend on a few external links.</p> <p>Finally, I am concerned about the wider civil-liberties precedent. Using climate policy to justify banning whole categories of lawful private property, when modern ICE cars are already far cleaner than the old ones they replace, is a big step. It goes beyond normal regulation and risks undermining public trust in both net-zero policy and government itself.</p>
284043766	<p>Please see previous comments.</p> <p>Whole thing is off the wall dulally.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284247470	A full ban is very limiting. People should have the freedom to choose. A positive case needs to be made for electric vehicles, rather than punishing people with bans or negative financial incentives. Electric cars do work well in a small island like Jersey, many people have cars for motorway driving in the UK or France and at present, electric cars do not cut it.
286386386	This is all just a collection of half baked ideas with no thoughts to the provision of infrastructure and the levels of cost that will be involved in the purchase ownership and ultimate disposal of vehicles
284057171	I have not seen any plans for the replacement of petrol/diesel vehicles. Who is going to buy the existing vehicles? The cost will be huge and I do not think Jersey can afford to subsidise replacement vehicles. A full costed plan needs to be published and voted on.
283949866	I would be concerned by price fixing and profiteering by car sales firms as phase out timelines approach.
289433862	Its already been seen the island infrastructure can't support it.
289435013	The combined weight of electric cars in the multi storey car parks and the danger of collapse.  The risk of battery fires in home garages or in car parks particularly.
289522854	Government should be trying to reduce living costs not increasing them
289436328	Not concerned about the availability of charging electric vehicles as I will never buy one.
284438088	EV's produce far more CO2 to produce than ICE cars - and is it not true that by the time they have been driven long enough to offset this, the batteries are dead and need to be replaced? The spent batteries are hard to dispose of I understand. Not to mention how dirty, polluting and water consuming the mining of the material for batteries is. Hardly eco friendly.
284111960	As I said before I think the States are trying to be the first to do this when the UK government has pushed it back. In the big picture what this will do the environment is so small it will make no difference. I agree we have to do something but all that you are doing is moving the pollution from vehicles to power stations. Nuclear is not clean and nor is the oil that's used in the power station at La Colette to produce steam to make electricity. The infrastructure for this is none existent and who is going to pay for this to be installed. How do people who live in a block of flats charge their car, especially when you're building block after block with not enough parking spaces. I think you should be looking at the cost to the Island for this and the loss of revenue from petrol/ diesel sales. The roads are in an absolute mess which are not being fixed and there is a tax raised from the sale of petrol/ diesel so how will this be raised with EV's. Just a bad idea when the rest of the world is putting it back 5

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	years. You are also putting all our eggs in one basket so we all have to pay what ever price the states choose for electricity as they are 51% owners of the JEC.
288794832	Good luck to anyone trying to get a broken EV fixed over here and DFDS won't take a broken EV on the ferry.
289552365	Charging infrastructure is not fit for purpose. Lack of public chargers for all charger types is a problem. Different charger types is also a problem. There should only be one type of charger allowed (like with mobile phones).
289487147	Cannot dispose of batteries. The dangers of having an accident in an EV if tragic, no chance of calling firebrigade or ambulance to try cut out drivers. The whole EV would be in flames by the time they are called out.
289435275	The roads cannot sustain the weight. The parking garages cannot either.
284331660	The recycle technology for batteries is approaching 90% so have little concern on the recycling of batteries.  I have a major concern that our politicians will be swayed by pathetic posturing and BS in the UK in relation to net zero ambitions and the proposed restrictions.
284104289	Road damage from electric vehicle weight. Issues of no infrastructure. Why not look at other technologies as well.
289607140	Improve public transport AND walking/cycling routes. Reduce speed limits on roads such as Route d'Ebenezer. Reduce the permitted size of personal vehicles.
284376979	Once again - STOP engage brain and instead of blindly continuing down this mad path really consider what it will actually achieve and at what cost?

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289444779	<p>1) Impact on low-income households. A huge percentage of people in Jersey have on-street parking. This doesn't work well at all with pure-electric cars. Hybrids perhaps, slightly more so. These families cannot make a pure EV into their lifestyle, nor can they afford one. Even if they can afford an electric car, they will not find it easy to charge it, and from the experience of several friends who early-adopted into EVs, the batteries simply do not last, and cost so much to replace that the car in many cases is worthless after ten years. Battery life of 70 miles is not much use on a ten year old electric car which a lower-income family might afford when compared to a ten year old petrol, diesel, or hybrid which will have very nearly the same range as the day on which it was constructed.</p> <p>2) Journeys off the island. Whilst an electric car might be suitable for short island journeys, a huge proportion of islanders taken their vehicle away with them, for business and pleasure, both to the UK and Europe. It seems very unfair to islanders that they will not be allowed to choose which vehicle is most suitable for these long-distance journeys whereas our European neighbours are not forced into this.</p> <p>3) disposal costs (monetary and environmentally) are high. Jersey would need to spend considerable amounts to facilitate safe and environmentally responsible disposal at end of life. This has not been anyway considered.</p>
289457963	I just hope that there will be some open minds in operation about all this. At the moment I am not seeing many at government level.
288796760	I am concerned about the weight of car batteries and the effect on roads and multi-storey car parks. Also fire risks.
284089374	We do not have the infrastructure, the cost is highly expensive and the batteries are terrible we are just going to create other problems
289433974	<p>Concerned about sole monopoly supplier - JEC</p> <p>Apartments where no capacity (or fire service preventive measures re charging in underground garages)</p> <p>Doesn't get polluters off the roads</p> <p>Doesn't explain where tax (currently on ICE purchase/registration and fuel) will be replaced by ICE vehicles</p> <p>How ferry's will react to large volume ICE transportation?</p>
289895410	As I have said we should only replace a technology with an improved technology

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289433981	<p>The islands grid probably can't handle it.</p> <p>Have the clowns looked at that?</p> <p>What about charging infrastructure?</p> <p>(Obviously the masters of this survey have other intentions - fewer cars, idiots - because only an idiot would overlook these points).</p>
284177337	<p>There is an element of "chicken and egg" about the phaseout. The supporting infrastructure (chargers, repairs, disposal) will happen more quickly with a definite and consistent date for phase out.</p>
284124911	<p>Every car should be phased out to electric in 2030. Most cars today are mild hybrid with a tiny electric battery that helps when the car is at iddle. These should not be considered hybrid at all. I would say only plug in hybrid and also with an electric range of over 50 miles should qualify for the 2035 deadline. Everything else should be 2030</p>
284351657	<p>Very concerned about availability of charging points and ability for every car owner to have access to one . Can't see how this is achievable and not seen any plans etc</p>
284091184	<p>A lot of people have concerns about the recycling of car batteries. The government should make it clear that this is completely possible and state the difference in the carbon life cycle of mining for these minerals versus liquid carbon fuels.</p>
283955527	<p>I think it should be extended as 4 years is not long now.</p>
289457670	<p>A lithium fire in an electric vehicle takes almost 2 full fire engines to extinguish. No provision for the fire service has been given. What about underground garages under apartments? There is a massive danger here. I'd also be pretty [TEXT REDACTED] if my ICE car got destroyed in a fire and I couldn't replace it for the same model.</p> <p>Also the ferry will not accept broken EV vechiles so what do we do with them?</p>
289537122	<p>My only concerns are the availability of cheap electric cars for the lower end of the price points and the charging infrastructure. We need many more on street chargers.</p>
289294477	<p>Where are the facilities to scrap EVs coming into Jersey. None at present.</p>
289462267	<p>For people living in town or flats there will not be suffecient charges to charge the number of vehicles.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288793966	<p>Man made climate change is a scam designed to control peoples behaviour. The government controlling what the public can and cannot buy is communism.</p> <p>If people want to buy ICE vehicles OR hybrid and electric vehicles they should be able to voluntarily.</p> <p>Let the free markets decide.</p>
289268666	<p>The danger to our smart phone “scrolling or checking emails whilst walking”, public and to animals of not hearing silent electric vehicles approach. This has and will cause death in the future. Electric vehicles should be required to make the equivalent noise to the quiet end of standard ICE cars especially in built up zones so that fair warning of an approach is given. The EU is already rolling back its commitments for economic reasons, let’s avoid all the embarrassment of ill conceived, uninformed commitments when we don’t know what options future technology will bring. We just don’t know enough at this time. With fast changes to technology it’s utter madness, reckless and irresponsible to make a decision binding so far into the future. Electricity is unlikely to be the greenest choice in 50 years time due to fuel cell and hydrogen derivative or other forms of technology some of which we have not even thought of yet. Deciding based on insufficient facts is needless and dangerous gambling. No responsible government should do it.</p>
289439690	<p>The government must be proactive in supporting people who cannot install a charger at home. For instance by supporting charging at work or shops. The price of public charging must be kept low to avoid penalising people who have no choice.</p>
289544199	<p>Most repairs to damaged electric cars have to be carried out in UK at present and no indication this will change - this is a decision made by manufacturers/ insurers and not one over which Jersey will have much if any control This is expensive &amp; inconvenient and unlikely to change by 2030</p>
288793567	<p>The whole experiment needs to be shelved for</p>
284045405	<p>A large number of the population buy 2nd hand cars that are between 3 and 7 years old and mostly cost between £8K and £16K.</p> <p>Considering the very low uptake number of electrical or hybrid vehicle as of June 25, and considering there is no financial support from the government to buy those vehicles that are generally 20% or more than their petrol equivalent, that 2nd hand market is going to be sparse so if people cannot buy used petrol vehicles and there is a shortage of electrical 2nd hand ones, what is the solution?</p>
289440689	<p>Lack of range of the vehicles that will be available</p>
289461541	<p>Have no interest in owning hybrid or electric vehicles so no concerns.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288925472	Loss of skilled technicians to service and maintain petrol and diesel cars. Availability of parts locally. More expensive maintenance costs
289536670	I would need to drive to a charging point which defeats the object! I take the bus at the moment and only need fuel every 2 months.
289674633	There is no need to phase out vehicles by 2035
284257645	The price of electric cars is steadily dropping, and the choice of vehicles, range and speed of charging are all rapidly increasing as the rest of the world is embracing electric vehicles. We need to confirm the phase out date as soon as possible, so that the motor trade can gear up for the change and improved charging infrastructure can be put in place.
284141634	Island will still need petrol for lawn mowers etc and remaining petrol vehicles. What will happen as forecourts start to close as numbers of petrol vehicles reduce. Cars will have to travel further.  How will loss revenue of on dropping fuel sales be funded
286668969	The Gov infrastructure for electric supply is surely not going to be able to support electric only sales from 2030?
289674630	In summary, designing parallel legislation to the UK (and in part the EU) would have two main effects:  1) Unnecessary expense when larger jurisdictions have already designed complex legislation which can be mirrored for free, and 2) The extra restrictions suggested for Jersey beyond what the UK (and in part the EU) are proposing seems to punish Jersey residents simply because they do not live on the mainland. And finally: 3) Electric cars may be all very well for short journeys in Jersey, but what about Jersey residents who want to drive to Europe? Electric cars at present are nowhere near as suitable for long journeys as there are many places in Europe with no chargers at all, and a big queue for the ones which are there. This makes family holidays and business trips much lengthier and inconvenient. Additionally some ferry companies refuse to carry electric cars after a series of well-publicised ship fires caused by burning EVs which are very hard to extinguish.
288843733	Maintain choice. Plus UK will back down like EU is about to. It's just wrong on so many levels and out of step with the reality that Jersey needs to be competitive.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288793815	<p>Electric vehicle FIRE RISKS &amp; strain on our Fire Service. Increased risk of severe and deadly fires caused by electric vehicle batteries and charging points. There should be a robust audit of all current data and statistics of electric vehicle related /lithium battery related fires and their impact.</p> <p>2) As LITHIUM is the fundamental requirement for electric &amp; hybrid vehicles, i think it is only right that the Government of Jersey carry out a fait and transparent comparative analysis of the overall environmental impact for vehicles requiring lithium battery components vs the overall environmental impact of petrol vehicles vs overall impact of diesel vehicles. From the little I know, the cost to the environment from the mining of lithium for ‘eco friendly’ vehicles is far greater than the benefits to the environment for the entire duration of that lithium battery’s lifespan when used.</p>
289440390	<p>Concerned re all of the above, we do not have the availability of pure electric cars, do not have the infrastructure, people’s homes are not equipped and the cost of pure electric vehicles in my experience is higher and there is no way of recycling the batteries which are expensive to replace.</p>
283943877	<p>There is no solid scientific evidence that emissions are changing the climate</p>
289731721	<p>It is just impossible for an island to put in place the infrastructure needed given the diversity of manufacturers and focus on volumes not small locations.</p> <p>The tax position of the island is a huge concern how will we cover the GST and duty currently paid on fuel of circa 30m.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284131401	<p>The High Cost of EV Battery Logistics (Dangerous Goods)  EV batteries are classed as Hazardous Goods (specifically UN 3480/3481 Lithium-ion batteries), which means they cannot be disposed of locally or shipped easily, leading to high transportation costs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inflationary Risk: The high, mandated cost of specialised logistics and certified recycling must be recovered by the waste contractor, the vehicle owner, or the producer. This recovery is a non-trivial factor in the overall cost of EV ownership in Jersey.</li> <li>• Consumer Cost/Inflation Risk: If the long-term solution shifts the full cost of battery removal, packaging, and hazardous transport back to the owner (in the absence of an EPR law or government subsidy), this cost could be significant—potentially hundreds or thousands of pounds—turning "scrapping" an EV into a major expense and a point of future inflationary pressure.</li> <li>• Conclusion: The practicality of EV battery disposal in Jersey is one of logistical complexity and high cost, driven by the island's lack of local processing facilities and the hazardous nature of shipping batteries. This cost is currently being managed via confidential commercial arrangements and a government pilot, but the long-term financing mechanism is uncertain. Until Jersey legally implements an Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) framework that mandates and funds the take-back process by manufacturers, the risk remains that the high costs of transporting and recycling batteries will ultimately be absorbed into the overall cost of EV ownership or passed to the general taxpayer/consumer base.</li> </ul> <p>Critical Safety and Logistics concerns for Ferry Travel (EV Battery Fire Risk)  For an island community, dependence on ferry transport raises unique safety concerns that must be comprehensively addressed before mandating a shift to EVs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elevated Fire Risk on Ferries: Lithium-ion (Li-ion) battery fires, while statistically less likely to start than petrol fires, are uniquely dangerous on a vessel at sea. The chemical reaction (thermal runaway) is extremely aggressive, generates massive heat, is difficult to extinguish with conventional firefighting equipment, and can reignite hours or days later.</li> <li>• Inadequate Mitigation: While the main Channel Island ferry operator, DFDS, has introduced new equipment (like fire blankets) and provided some crew training, they have publicly acknowledged they are still working with the wider ferry industry to agree on standard safety guidelines.</li> </ul> <p>o Comment: It is irresponsible and not acceptable to force mass adoption of EVs when the critical maritime lifeline (ferry services) is still grappling with the safety and logistical challenges of transporting a high volume of Li-ion powered vehicles. The government must provide conclusive, independently verified proof that the fire risk leading to a mass casualty event has been 100% mitigated by the ferry operator, including the stability risks of using large volumes of seawater to cool a battery fire.</p> <p>Detrimental Impact on Local Motorsport, Car Clubs, and Enthusiasts  The policy signals a future that actively penalises Jersey's deep-rooted motoring heritage, enthusiasts, and local motorsport community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Threat to Heritage and Culture: Local motorsport, classic car clubs, and</li> </ul>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	<p>enthusiasts rely on the long-term viability of the ICE ecosystem. While current vehicles are not banned, the policy signals the end of that infrastructure. These groups are who are custodians of a significant cultural sector.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fuel Availability and Cost: The phase-out of ICE vehicles will inevitably lead to a long-term increase in the price and eventual scarcity of petrol and diesel, which will impact local fuel stations and make it prohibitively expensive to run classic cars or participate in local motorsport events.</li> <li>o Comment: By accelerating the end of ICE vehicles, the policy risks dissolving a significant part of Jersey's cultural and leisure landscape and threatens the future of organisations like motor clubs that contribute to the island's community life.</li> <li>• Premature Obsolescence: Forcing a change before alternative synthetic or e-fuels are widely available and affordable leaves enthusiasts with the expensive and often controversial choice of EV conversion or simply retiring their cherished vehicles.</li> </ul>
288794113	I do not believe that the states have within their present legislation considered any of the above points. It would appear to me that the original net zero proposals considered what the economic or social cost would be and how the most financially vulnerable would be helped manage increased costs resulting from them
289005570	I don't think the bureaucracy making these statements and consultations actually have actively engaged with the public or businesses. Which is a great shame. The island has a rich history of Motorsport which hasn't even been mentioned in this consultation? Seems a tad rudimentary.
284023680	What are we going to do with all of the depleted batteries. I have friends who are taxi drivers who are now on their third battery
289717716	In a crash is there any danger with electric vehicles.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284344339	World resources and methods of extraction (workers conditions) of the rare minerals / elements required.
289215235	Travel off island with unsuitable vehicles
289260431	<p>Nowhere else in the world is going to follow through with this- EU have already binned the idea.</p> <p>The electrical draw for all the vehicles would be enormous and require significant upgrading to the grid. To be economically viable, jersey would require its own nuclear power plant. The UK now produces less energy than it did in 1997. France has stable nuclear power but has 5 reactors that are going to be refurbished and it no longer gets cheap uranium from its African colonies and the purchase of uranium from Russia is likely to be stopped.</p> <p>Therefore we can expect large increases in electricity prices from France in the next 3- 5 years. Windmills and solar are not sufficiently stable.</p> <p>What will you do with all the dead batteries? Current ideas involve wrapping in concrete then dropping them in a trench off Alderney.</p> <p>There is no value in a 5 year old EV that has a battery life of 8 years and costs £40k to replace.</p> <p>EV fires cannot be put out, burn very intensely and give off highly toxic fumes.</p>
284456626	<p>Why not start off making all the hire car companies out not have electric fleet? That could create better infrastructure faster and help create more affordable vehicles once the rules come into effect.</p>
283958079	<p>You have also failed to consider the fact that electric vehicles weigh significantly more than their ICE counterparts - this raises the three following concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Additional weight means additional harm caused in collisions, meaning you are endangering islanders in pursuit of net zero. Heavier cars on average mean bigger crashes and more injuries.</li> <li>2. Additional weight means heavier wear on road surfaces and other infrastructure, meaning the states will have to spend more time and money maintaining road surfaces - which is seemingly already a struggle under the current parameters.</li> <li>3. Additional weight means heavier forces under braking which means a much higher level of break dust and particulates generated by operating electric vehicles. Studies suggest that due to the size and chemical make up of break dust and related particulates, they also contribute towards harming (not to mention their dark colour means they will stain buildings and surrounding areas in heavy traffic areas - picture an unwashed alloy wheel after a month of driving, for example). You are trading one form of emission for another?</li> </ol>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
283976701	See my comments in Phase 1 in this survey
284592912	The extra weight of EV's will cause problems for multiple story car parks and road wear, has this been taken into account?
289893855	Try find a landfill site on island big enough to bury all the old electric cars when they die, oh wait have to send them off to the mainland on diesel powered ferries.
289447001	This in not going to be possible in my lifetime. Charging alone will be a red line to implementing
285417543	This policy should never have been implemented in the first place. Freedom of choice, not a controlled, non-democratic system
289454448	<p>I am concerned about the lack of honesty around the full carbon and environmental costs of electric vehicles. While electric cars may reduce emissions at the point of use, there is a substantial carbon and environmental cost associated with their manufacture — particularly the mining, processing and transport of battery materials such as lithium, cobalt and nickel, much of which occurs overseas.</p> <p>These processes are energy-intensive, often rely on fossil fuels, and are largely carried out in countries with lower environmental and labour standards. As a result, emissions are not eliminated but displaced elsewhere.</p> <p>There are also well-documented environmental and labour concerns within parts of the battery supply chain, including poor working conditions and, in some regions, the involvement of child labour in mineral extraction. These impacts are largely hidden from consumers and are not reflected in local emissions accounting.</p> <p>I am concerned that phasing out petrol and diesel vehicles by a fixed date risks prioritising appearance over genuine global emissions reduction, while imposing significant financial costs on islanders. A more balanced approach — including extending the life of existing vehicles, improving efficiency, and focusing on measures with clear local and global benefits — should be considered.</p>
289333654	<p>Insurance is skyrocketing for EVs for reasons you have identified above. Car Tax has not yet been suitably addressed, how will you tax EVs (which are inherently heavier causing more road wear than traditional ICE vehicles). The current PAYG system by a tax on fuel is by far the best and fairest way of capturing road tax, how can you do this for an EV without implementing an unfair system? (ie pay xyz per car size per year, this does not account for actual usage)</p> <p>Parking, specifically multi-story car parks. Can they sustain the extra weight if all vehicles were EVs? What would happen in the event of a fire? EV batteries are substantially more dangerous than Petrol.</p> <p>Private underground parking (think under a block of flats). What would happen</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	<p>should insurance/fire service say that of the 40 spaces in a basement, only 30% of the cars could be EVs?</p> <p>Ferries, how many EV's are permitted on the Ferry at any one time?</p>
289721944	I can see electrical service providers rubbing their hands.
288048322	This is not a Green initiative. Rare Earth minerals have to be mined for lithium batteries and China, where these are often made, emit the CO2 associated with heavy industry that we have destroyed at home and merely contracted out to China and India.
289436410	<p>Shipping of currently available lithium batteries could be a problem at end of life or accident damaged.</p> <p>Newer batteries shouldn't be a problem the the different chemical make ups</p>
284206865	<p>I think, if this changes are applied, that are going to cost the taxpayers lots of money, for the little amount of carbon that we produce.</p> <p>New products coming out every day to improve the motoring industry and to put all our eggs in a basket, in matter of speaking, it's totally and utterly wrong</p>
289920205	<p>The Carrot and the Stick.</p> <p>I and many others will follow the carrot but I will fight the stick.</p>
284537378	When you remove something the cost is affected. There needs to be a plan in place for this so that the removal of petrol does not see a massive increase on electricity etc.
284240950	Inability to travel for holidays,
289528000	Car and motorbike club usage of collections
289539182	<p>We will end up with a massive problem in disposing of used car batteries.</p> <p>There are no facilites for this in Jersey and I understand that they can't be transported to the UK for recycling.</p>
289631645	This is way too close. It's unmanageable. At a time when islanders are struggling with many costs, forcing their hand into used electric vehicles (I've tried using a 'cheap' one, it didn't work) is an awful idea.

UserID	Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.
289437069	COST
289445119	The price of 2nd hand vehicles will rise The price of electricity will rise The Island cannot cope with the infrastructure required The damage old batteries cause is not even thought through The cost to insure electric vehicles will rise dramatically
289150084	We have no infrastructure for charging or disposal of these vehicles
289739705	Electric vehicles are very expensive and also heard that electric vehicles are nearly as costly to dispose of. What are you plans to sort that due to the battery?? Not everyone earns over £100k think of normal everyday people.
283960504	Is is common knowledge is it not that EV's are not green to build and even worse to dispose of.
289455226	Increased use of electricity not economical. The infrastructure is not in place. Majority of public who live in flats in town will not have access to their own charging point. It is just not feasible.
287987383	Battery technologies aren't clean, damage to roads and transport infrastructure is increased due to the additional weight of battery vehicles and isn't factored into this legislation. New tech is evolving fast and pure lithium "wet" battery tech is being questioned, it has inherent dangers of fire explosion etc. Box clever Jersey defer the decision and wait. Use other controls such as emmision limits etc.
289307510	I believe electric vehicle mechanical training is sadly lacking in the island
289570013	As previous - please consider the EU studies and policy direct as well as other G7 nations. If choice is good - let people choose. Undemocratic to ban what is available and used elsewhere. How will we travel in UK/Europe without their infrastructure being there too. This can't all fall to Jersey.
288934418	Just why? Jersey is falling apart at the seems... no hospital, empty flats, negative equity and the government concentrates on this!?!
289449229	Please remember that a lot of the publicity around low emission vehicles comes from the vehicles manufacturers who want to sell more vehicles
289437457	Disposal of end of life of batteries is my biggest concern. How has this been addressed. What happens to fuel duty. What happens to livelihoods of small garages dependent on petrol and diesel cars.

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 28

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284067811	Jec should add car charges to it heating rate to encourage users not to put cars on charge during high periods of demand.
289435301	Jersey do not have any recycling facilities that could dispose of batteries, how will you do it, dump it to La collette?
289247850	The quest for Net Zero can be summed up In the words originally of Prince Buster and latterly the group Madness - "Madness, madness, they call it madness".
289456192	Any concerns about any of these things evaporate very quickly after you have owned an electric car for a few weeks or months. There is a lot of misinformation spread by the fossil fuel lobby and fossil motor makers but none of it - except perhaps for range over long distances which is not a concern for Jersey - is true.
284107668	Historic vehicles over 25 years should continue to be allowed to be traded between Jersey and the rest of the world
289536823	A number of significant manufacturers are phasing out electric vehicle production. An electric vehicle fire in a multi storey car park in town will be a nightmare.
284037614	See my first response which included affects of environment and our rescue teams, and our ferry/transport companies due to fire / damage.
289434042	Like all other locations worldwide, we do not and will not have the infrastructure in place to support this timeline
289194200	I have explain it more than enough times in this form. But to summarise: Need to look at alternative fuels instead of dismissing them like carbon neutral fuels. Current batteries do more damage to the environment when created. Batteries are a huge weak point of electric and a huge fire hazard. New battery tech is still needed for electric cars to be truly acceptable. I still want to be able to do road trips and this cannot be done in an electric car. I still like and want my petrol cars. There are far bigger issues in the world causing much more pollution. My rights are being taken away from me.
288214162	So much to be extremely concerned about, lots of 'boxes being ticked' to look good as an island in the net zero game but huge issues for islanders
289438291	I will drive my diesel truck until it falls apart. Electric cars are the worst thing ever invented. No mention of the dangerous fires that occur and can't be put out. I don't not want to wait for an ambulance because it's in charge!

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284030762	Don't do it
289538940	The JEC will not be able to handle the huge demand of Power needed to charge the islands fleet. The TAX payer will NOT stomach the cost of government vehicle replacement.
289738769	All of these areas are key issues. If in 4 years these changes come into effect people will die due to lack of training and accidents that the works is not prepared for
283968916	I have an electric car that has had a motor drive unit fail. It is not economical to repair. I'm now left with a car i can't sell can't scrap and can't ship of island It's just a very expensive paper weight.
289535174	See my several above comments. Jersey is supposed to be independent. Let's keep away from the madness of our governments.
284358728	see final box 21
288932982	See above
284085919	The ban is crazy when the mad EU wakes up and changes thier crazy ban but we haven't learnt it is crazy yet says something about how slow our political class is.
284106817	<p>I am concerned about the unnecessary potential early disposal of used petrol and diesel cars if these cars are not possible to trade. This represents a huge waste of materials and energy unless it is properly thought through. Perhaps an example of 'throwing out the baby with the bath water'!</p> <p>I am concerned about the longevity of car batteries. To date, I am not sure the technology has proved itself. I worry about the recycling/disposal of batteries and the sourcing and disposal of the materials used to build them.</p> <p>I am not confident that travelling through France, Spain and Italy after 2030 will be a pleasurable experience without building rows of ugly charging ports everywhere.</p>
284065620	<p>The lifetime of an electric car is too short and will remain so until battery technology is improved.</p> <p>When travelling in the UK and Europe it is likely to be hard to find charging points in remote parts, this will become increasingly a problem the older the electric car.</p> <p>Lithium batteries are a fire risk.</p> <p>Electrically powered vehicles are potentially only an iterim solution until a more practicable technology is available, potentially hydrogen combustion engines with the hydrogen produced using renewable resources.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	Charging time of an electric vehicle. Limited vehicle range, which will get worse as a car ages.
289436602	The cost of putting enough electric chargers in place and doing it safely. The cost and environmental impact of decommissioning and destroying thousands of functional vehicles. The devastating damage and high carbon footprint of low emission cars. The cost of replacing or reinforcing every car park which has more than one storey, as no multi-storey car park is designed to support the additional weight of low emission vehicles. The financial impact of every car-owning household in Jersey having to replace functioning vehicles. The laughable suggestion that the UK, EU and Channel Islands will be able to procure enough low emission vehicles to actually support this phase out.
288949177	Loss of fuel duty to maintain roads, monopolistic effect of charging costs, loss of employed people on the mechanic trades, increased agricultural and transport costs.
288797446	The government has failed to define the wider impact on motoring costs and how it will replace the tax it would fail to raise under the existing model related to internal combustion engined vehicles. I would like all of these details to be fully costed and published to help me make informed future decisions which is impossible without full set of facts
289524619	I'm extremely concerned that every aspect of battery disposal and manufacture are not good for the environment. I am extremely concerned that liquid fuel prices will be increased as a coercive tactic to force people to swap to EVs. I am extremely concerned that the price and import costs of petrol and diesel vehicles will be increased as a coercive tactic to force people to swap to EVs. I am extremely concerned about the Electricity Grid for Jersey being unable to support island wide EV usage, including rising power costs due to monopolisation of a service for allowing vehicle mobility to the everyday household. I am extremely concerned about the charging infrastructure for island wide usage of EVs, and the costs to the tax payer and or individuals per household for their instillation, if EVs are forced on to the general public with no other choice.
283966523	Taking away personal choice.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
283977509	I think Jersey needs to ensure electric prices are lowered and that there are enough charging points for all especially for emergency situations and vehicles.
289475291	What is the government's plan to dramatically improve the charging system on island. For over 10 years the motor industry has been fighting with government over disposing of airbags for instance and still no solution, cant scrap electric cars so I no of 2 sitting around unable to be scrapped.
284439636	It's kept quite at the moment but disposal of electric cars over 10 y old will be huge an expensive. Services of these cars an insurance will go through the roof. Cost of live in Jersey will increase and island will be facing depopulation and will struggle for working people.
289650165	There are better ways to meet Net zero.
283942858	I feel I covered most of my concerns earlier . Please refer to my answers to previous questions .
284360497	Price of petrol and EV will likely increase. If EV is the only option manufacturers can price higher. This is basic supply-demand economics. Monopolies and single markets are generally “ not good” from an economic standpoint. Disposal of EVs is a huge issue. It is very unlikely they could be scrapped on island. DFDS may follow Norwegian ferry companies in banning EVs due to the fire risk involved.
289435116	Stop value signalling nonsense - it will make absolutely no difference and merely cause huge inconvenience to ordinary people
289452482	I cannot afford an EV and I don't aspire to buy an EV. You must buy them new due to the battery issues and then sell them before 10 years due to the warranty expiry. Also not brilliant that the cars can be hacked and they shut down in car parks because of software updates requirements when there is no signal in the car parks. I can see a lot of ICE cars in Jersey going for decades like we are Cuba.
289552642	Trade in values extremely low due to limited shelf life of electric cars. No-one wants a second hand e-vehicle.
289452328	1. Resale values are terrible, nobody will buy second hand electric cars because they have very limited life and cannot be dumped. 2. Trade in values are awful as per point 1. 3. Depreciation related to buying a new electric car is massive, as per points 1 & 2. 4. Once you buy an electric car you are stuck with it, ref points 1, 2, 3 above.
289462697	If jersey will switch to using more electricity, can a plan be brought to the States sooner about how jersey can provide our own electric, for example wind farm

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284097763	The 2030 phase-out risks creating significant social and economic challenges in Jersey. Many residents cannot afford electric vehicles, and charging infrastructure is insufficient, particularly for flats, rural areas, and rented properties. Tradespeople, rural workers, and small businesses may face disruption due to limited vehicle options and higher motoring costs. The motor trade may struggle to maintain sufficient skills and services for EVs, and end-of-life battery disposal could create environmental and logistical issues if not properly managed. Overall, a rigid 2030 phase-out is likely to be unfair, costly, and disruptive without delivering meaningful local environmental benefits.
283961767	<p>What are the ferry companies' views of a hold full of electric vehicles, risk-wise?</p> <p>What level of subsidy will there be in the 2030s for purchasing electric vehicles and installing chargers at home?</p> <p>Will all new houses with parking (including social housing) be provided with charging points?</p>
284439008	Creating batteries is very carbon heavy and the disposal add additional issues, plus the increased use of electricity, and on a small island there will be a lack of charging points to the volume of cars.
288589510	How the price of these vehicles would affect the islands industries, surviving and passing costs onto consumers etc. E. G. Agricultural and dairy industries.
288808550	Had electric for a while now and infrastructure is not there
284248282	this is a direct attack on the poorest in the island
288791898	Electric vehicles have 8 year battery life and the BMW i3s are already expiring and are get traded in at Jackson rather than repaired/ having the batteries replaced as they cost over £10,000 to replace
288870335	We need a lot more chargers in a lot more places - wed need to police petrol cars parking in electric parking spots as this happen a regularly and this who leave cars on charge All day taking up space when they are not being charged - we need to start to view electric charging spaces in the same way as a petrol station rather than a packing space - I am incredibly concerned about the pollution of petrol and deisal as I have noticed a difference in my health moving to town from a More rural are a two years ago - the noise and dirt is getting worse and cars are getting to big for the roads and parking spaces here
288800428	Costs and expenses will spiral upwards. Too many people will be out of work and thus building the unemployment statistics. Insurance is already expensive and will increase. Small insurance businesses will fold and die. Some will go into liquidation, some may file for bankruptcy.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284133245	<p>Off Island travel with vehicles could see further restriction due to Fire Risk: Lithium-ion battery fires burn hotter, longer (thermal runaway), and are extremely hard to extinguish with standard ship firefighting equipment, potentially endangering the entire vessel as has been seen with major incidents such as the 'Morning Midas' incident where an EV caught fire, destroying not only 3,000 vehicles but ultimately the loss of the vessel. <a href="https://maritime-executive.com/article/burned-out-car-carrier-sinks-in-pacific-three-weeks-after-fire-began">https://maritime-executive.com/article/burned-out-car-carrier-sinks-in-pacific-three-weeks-after-fire-began</a></p> <p>Following the above, some Greek ferries have stopped fully charging EVs due to safety concerns. [TEXT REDACTED] that I drive to. Do I now need to purchase an additional car in Greece? That is not very green due to the additional manufacturing overhead required.</p> <p>Havila Kystnuten (Norway) have banned EVs, hybrids, and hydrogen cars on their Hurtigruten coastal route, citing fire risks from hard-to-extinguish battery fires.</p> <p>Have these factors been considered being as we live in an Island and are dependent on lifeline maritime services if we travel with vehicles? As the EV fleet ages, no doubt the prevalence of fires will only increase... The ferry companies prudently ask you to disclose if you have an EV when sailing already, so that they park it close to the loading bay with a strategy of ejection if ignition (fire) occurs.</p> <p>Will the GoJ accept all responsibility if we have a fire at sea on DFDS resulting from the introduction of this policy and the subsequent loss of life of all on board?</p>
289437045	Long distance travel to UK and Europe as mentioned before
288891925	Whether electric vehicles are adequate for long distance journeys off island. The impact on repairs for my current petrol vehicle as it is very new
289441066	I hope this will be reviewed regularly, in order to deal with any unforeseen problems.
289438009	Electric infrastructure capability and safety is a major issue. I will not buy an EV car.
289444637	Camper vans and Locals wanting to tour in Europe

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284106036	<p>I predict that the pursuit of an ideology in our small community with disregard for huge contributors to greenhouse gases such as China, India, and USA will make the island an object of ridicule.. Until the whole of Europe ( where many of the vehicles are actually manufactured) moves totally to non polluting vehicles I see Jersey as a morally righteous King Canute trying to hold back forces vastly beyond its power to affect.</p> <p>Do we really believe that the Jersey Electricity Company can produce the necessary generating force ( or buy it in) to power this vastly increased consumption?</p> <p>I have previously written about the 2050 target and am aware that many other voices have beenraised against it. How many of the members of the States assembly will be around to witness the outcomes they have provoked?</p>
284354175	<p>Knowing how governments work if petrol and diesel cars etc stay popular you will just put up the taxes on petrol and diesel like on cigarettes and price them off the road. No confidence at all that you will allow non electric vehicles to stay on the road. You will do what you want to do whatever we say. It's how you work.</p>
288888536	<p>The risk or fear that additional penal taxes may be applied to petrol vehicles and or fuel in this wholly unnecessary net zero virtue signalling</p>
289723030	<p>We should not move alone on this issue. We should move in lockstep with Europe. That will avoid undue hardship on Jersey citizens and minimise Jersey-specific bureaucracy whilst maintaining the principle of maximising freedom of choice wherever possible.</p> <p>The car industry and car consumers will find a better balance through financial incentives, not through prohibition. Electric vehicles will not be a practical choice for everyone - charging points, distance travelled off island primarily</p> <p>I take this position as a fan of electric vehicles - I intend to buy one when the technology and infrastructure matures - but I do NOT believe that other forms of propulsion should be banned</p>
289543198	<p>Jersey does not have anywhere near the right infrastructure in place to support electric vehicles for the whole population</p>
289440592	<p>Concerns about our roads being able to handle the weight and impact of EVs. Roadworks galore! Same goes for the car parks. Please advise on the wider plans for infrastructure- not just chargers!</p>
289446457	<p>The motor car provides freedom and independence to many and people on limited income drive old cars</p> <p>Government seem to think that public transport can fill the gaps. It costs more to use than a cheap car.</p> <p>[TEXT REDACTED] less than £30k, my car is 20 years old and I have kept it running, smoothly.</p> <p>How may I afford an electric vehicle ?</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289485241	You know full well this will come at enormous cost to ordinary islanders. EVs are extremely expensive, have huge depreciation, and most islanders have no realistic ability to charge vehicles. The rest of the world has already woken up to this folly and is backtracking, but of course the States of Jersey just has to continue at full speed towards the ice-berg.
289681605	The lack of Jersey-specific lifecycle analysis is a major concern. The policy assumes environmental benefits that may never materialise in a low-mileage island context, while ignoring affordability, vehicle longevity, and the risk of increasing total environmental harm through forced replacement.
289446563	<p>The phase out should be abandoned asap.</p> <p>This is very much against Jersey's functioning as a free market in a capitalist economy. Why should government bureaucrats decide which type of car people should buy and drive ? Last I looked Jersey is not a planned centrally controlled economy.</p> <p>Resources should be devoted by governments towards adaption to climate change, which is happening whether we like it or not. Ignoring this and grandstanding, which is the effect of this type of action/policy will damage Jersey's economy, peoples lifestyle, cost of living AND the climate. Get real, tell the truth and start implementing policies that make sense please.</p>
289442294	Electric vehicles are not the Holy Grail. There are other options and these should be explored.
289894712	The cost, both financially and for the environment are very high to dispose of batteries and cars.
289435650	Where will everyone charge these electric vehicles. There are no charging points on the andium estate [TEXT REDACTED].
289502536	While electric vehicles (EVs) offer zero tailpipe emissions, their overall environmental impact—including the high carbon footprint and resource-intensive process of manufacturing batteries—combined with serious safety concerns such as fire risk and low-noise hazards, and the lack of facilities for battery disposal, make them less practical for the Island. These challenges are compounded by infrastructure gaps, high upfront costs, and increased vehicle weight. In contrast, biofuels provide a sustainable, cost-effective, and immediately deployable alternative that leverages existing infrastructure, reduces lifecycle emissions, and avoids the environmental and safety issues associated with EV adoption.
289443592	Electric vehicles is not the only answer to reduce emissions. It's dangerous to follow just one option and take the islanders choices away
289540856	Part of the proactive approach should be to help Islanders understand the 'end of life' disposal for electric vehicle batteries - the what and the how. Will this be a cost to the motor vehicle owner?

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284095340	Price of cars needs to come down both new & second hand fi make this viable. So many cost effective cars available but greed getting in the way.
284339873	The island does not have the infrastructure to take this venture on. Nor do we have the skills to do so. We already lack skilled workers, this would be an even greater impact.
289434106	Please follow the concerns of the electorate not external "experts" and consultants, not least of all UK dictats
289244655	Any phase out needs to be considered in the wider context of transport policy. For example, I believe that further steps should be taken before 2030 to promote the use of the island's public transport system and cycling networks.
289443090	JEC have implemented charging and are the SOLE OPERATOR - this is a HUGE weakness and single point of failure and needs URGENT addressing.
289884864	I think you should scrap this policy. I do not support it at all. It is government overreach of the highest order and will make zero difference to the environment given that the EU has decided to no longer ban petrol and diesel cars, and that the UK is likely to follow suit.
288191730	<p>The charging infrastructure will take decades to create, and will create unsightly street furniture all over Jersey. The impact of charging will be felt particularly by low earners in St Helier who rely on public parking and will not be able to benefit from cheap home charging. As an example, where are all the people who park their cars overnight on Victoria Avenue going to charge their cars? You cannot put chargers on Victoria Avenue as they will become swamped by corrosive sea water and pose an electrocution hazard whenever the sea comes over the sea wall (multiple times per year).</p> <p>The phase out is discriminatory and will not survive legal challenge. It discriminates against car drivers in favour of motorcycle riders. The evidence of this is as follows. As of 2026:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a 1000cc Toyota Aygo car emits 111g/km. Its registration will be banned.</li> <li>- a 1000cc Honda Fireblade motorcycle emits 155g/km. Its registration will not be banned.</li> </ul> <p>Not every citizen is able-bodied enough to ride a motorcycle, the ban therefore discriminates against those who cannot, whilst allowing motorcyclist to continue importing a same sized internal combustion engine.</p>
288799504	Several Jersey residents have a vehicle for use on the continent where charging provision is still lacking
283975052	Tackling the owning/importing of petrol/diesel vehicles is too punishing on people who enjoy those vehicles and have them for minimal use, contribution a tiny amount towards to emissions issue. The problem to tackle is usage, so rather than imposing ever increasing VED and blocking imports in future, as

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 28

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	proposed, the focus should be on encouraging less use of the vehicles and a move to low/zero emissions for daily used vehicles.
284046919	There are more significant priorities for the Island to deal with. This legalisation is unnecessary and a waste of money. The island will not be able to do anything different from the UK considering all vehicles used will be produced according the UK standards
289011287	na
289431989	Lithium been mined and been disposed of at the end of its life is a bigger risk to are planet then fossil fuels.
289436605	Polluting third world countries so that we can say we are carbon neutral
289513139	Very concerned how people with cope in the huge increase of costs which will be necessary as prices for all commodities will increase immensely. There will be so much unnecessary regulation and senseless rules. Jersey is such a small fragile place and there will be so little gain when comparing with huge countries and huge travel distances in places like India and China, in fact most other countries.
288895545	i dont think electric cars are the answer to jersey they lose a lot of value very quickly especially high end cars high cost of parts batteries / disposal workshop times etc If electric vehicles are so good why are the new buses diesel
284082189	Question. Does the end justify the means. I think not.
289436352	As I said previously, the disposal of the batteries, the weight of the batteries in these electric vehicles and the consequences in carparks which were not built to hold the weight of these vehicles with their batteries.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289436265	<p>Currently the rare mineral requirements for high capacity batteries is causing concern, not only in the environmental costs of extraction, but in overall availability given the low take up rate for fully electric vehicles to date. Quite how it is anticipated globally that production can be up scaled sufficiently to meet these and other proposed deadlines is beyond practical. I am therefore very concerned as to the knock on of this. Add to that the environmental costs of recycling/disposing of spent materials, I am at a loss as to how this is overall good for the environment and am very concerned about this. We benefit from the importation of power from France, but in other parts of the world where nuclear power is not readily available and generation comes from more traditional resources this is just as beneficial as re-arranging the deckchairs on the Titanic. Add to this the known fact that wind power never repays is environmental debt just makes us question the whole approach. The combined effect here is that I am very concerned that the infrastructure (power generation, distribution and end point charging) will lag greatly behind the deadlines being suggested.</p> <p>Current battery technology is driving vehicle life down. Currently the suggested lifetime (hours/charging cycles) for a battery pack is far far shorter than that suggested for an ICE driven vehicle and the cost of replacement batteries is so high that second hand values will plummet and wastage of serviceable vehicles (save the battery pack) will increase manyfold.</p> <p>Whilst I personally don't have any answers I do think that without a 100% rethink on battery technology, what we have today is just swapping one set of issues for another and very soon battery power will become the villain of the show. I have been following many user groups of fully electric smaller vehicles (Mini, Renault 5 etc) for a while now and a very common complaint is that when temperatures drop battery ranges can drop as much as 50% from normal. This means that in colder times or climates, cost of ownership will increase as will charging costs. It all seems to be a little rushed and I feel that fully electric vehicles as being produced today are only an interim solution which overall give no discernible benefit to the environment.</p>
289513952	All detailed in previous questions
289674688	We can't even dispose of electric cars batteries yet, so why on earth would we want them over here? they are also unreliable, and are much easier to overheat & catch fire than a petrol car
289744777	The charging stations will not be enough by 2030
284582156	Idea is crazy. What about people in flats? Where is all the electricity coming from.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288798658	<p>Cost of living impact, everyone having to buy new electric when supply of existing petrol/diesel diminishes</p> <p>Social impact - families who can't afford the heightened costs of electric cars, charging and infrastructure.</p> <p>Local business - impact to businesses with petrol/diesel forecourt. Also less maintenance on engines etc. Reduces mechanics/engineering jobs.</p> <p>Local environment - pressure on government to find more charging infrastructure locations SHOULD NOT compromise green field sites</p>
288799212	<p>Has Government had serious conversations with the businesses in the vehicle market already and taken time to learn about the cost to businesses of adapting to EV tech, availability of competent staff to service existing tech, affordability of currently available vehicles and vehicle servicing and so on? Do not think that lots of us can be forced onto public transport. Lots of us want personal defensible space on an increasingly overcrowded and stressful island. Don't imagine for a moment that the way to improve that is to force us onto cramped buses that often don't go where we need to go at the time we need to be there. Stop overlooking the scope to derive benefit from motorcycles and scooters - especially smaller engined ones - and perhaps make it easier to get on one and learn to ride to a good standard.</p>
289434995	<p>Discrimination between those with driveways and those without - no such discrimination for petrol/diesel refuelling.</p> <p>Electricity supply and competition.</p> <p>Road safety - electric cars are almost completely silent. Some people use their sense of hearing to determine the presence or distance of a vehicle, which is pretty much impossible to do with electric vehicles.</p>
289438198	<p>As previously mentioned to make these proposed changes a more affordable way to get rid of cars with batteries must be addressed, furthermore insufficient charging stations are currently available.</p>
289575689	<p>China has a virtual monopoly on the production of EV batteries though it still holds on the production of rare earth metals which are an essential (and not substitutable) component of all batteries. Do we really want to be the commercial mercy of a draconian super-power by killing-off our domestic (European) car and van industry?</p>
289444059	<p>The health information is clear and we need to reduce petrol and diesel fuelled vehicles for the health of everyone on the island.</p>
283989442	<p>We are retired have always driven for over 50 years all kind of cars or vans no driving convictions and we do not want to change to electric vehicles. We will both change our cars before the new laws step in but it will not be for anything other than petrol or even a good diesel car .</p>
288647586	<p>You presently cannot ship replacement batteries to Jersey which led to the end of our personal hybrid experiment</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 28

UserID	Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.
289623981	The loss of revenue from petrol/diesel sales has to be made up in another way. To my knowledge there has been no indication as to how this will be achieved. Further information is needed regarding as to how this will affect the traveling public.
284119265	All of these point are covered in detail on the previously referenced website, please take time to read through in full.
284052058	I am not bothered by the last three as I don't believe EVs are a viable alternative.
288966538	what are they currently doing with EV batteries , Fields of Used EVs throughout Europe , Enough discarded EV batteries to fill Wembley stadium twice over! The Planet does not currently have enough mineral resources to supply all the Minerals needed
284070705	No
284065152	We are a long way from being ready for this target. We need a huge attitude change in private car ownership, electric or otherwise. Public transport or moped bicycle should be the main forms of transportation for an island not dominated by cars. Imagine the space that would be freed up for parks wildlife markets etc
283964730	The issue you have at the moment is there is no current provision to dispose of EV's you are in the similar situation as the disposal of Asbestos were it is all stored in containers at La Collette, The motor trade don't seem to have a great deal of interest in EV maintenance other than the main dealers. By changing the importation rules for motor vehicles you run the risk of vehicle dealerships particularly large ones like Van Mossel who have multi franchise and multi dealerships pulling out of Jersey as it doesn't fit in with their business model. currently cars are shipped between the islands and the mainland so that stock levels can be maintained evenly across all parts of the company, if you change the rules you run the risk of loosing that company the impact would be quite severe.
289439663	I'm not sure that you even currently have any sensible and environmentally friendly plan or way of disposing of EVs - have you? Is it even possible to do this safely. Have you even looked at / calculated the full environmental impact of EVs versus petrol / diesel cars and done this honestly!
284391546	I understand that it is already impossible to dispose of an EV in Jersey and that they need to be shipped to the UK for disposal purposes. This is an untenable situation that needs to be addressed as part of the overall strategy.
289438075	Stupidly expensive and not even a better product??? Are we mad!!!!??? I'd these plans go ahead we're going backwards, not forwards
289544719	The state of the road / car parks. The loss of potential revenue. The lack of choice.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289245014	There will be problems for sure with charging, personally I understand the reasoning behind the ban so instead of allowing petrochemical / hybrid to only 2035, to extend this to 2040, allowing the island to get the infrastructure in place fully around the island, can you picture all the vehicles which have no home charging system all parked queuing try to charge don't bear thinking about
289434549	Field wil go up And people will suffer even more
284254600	The JEC has already stated that they don't and won't have the infrastructure in place by 2030.
289896633	The island would need a lot of charging infrastructure.. and it is not fair for people in flats to have to pay commercial rates to charge. It needs to be thought through as to how they can access household charging
289427636	In private rentals, are you going to force landlords to provide electric charging ports?  What is going to happen to on street parking?  How are you going to stop trip hazards for all the cables lying around all over the place.  The island is not ready for all electric cars.  What are you going to do about road tax on petrol? Start charging people on their electric?  Will you have sufficient fire safety measures in place for multi story cars parks as if one car goes up, the whole car park could if not dealt with very quickly.
289448508	I am told that electric car battery's lifecycle isn't more than 7-8 years. Will there be government incentives or grant to support end of life battery's replacement? If govt will not support then it will be an inflicted pain and dealers / repair centres will take advantage.
289650097	Electric vehicles don't always work. What is we can't charge them bc of power black out.
289650001	Look at the supply chain with a critical eye, not listen to the ideologies.
289650313	The EV charging infrastructure isn't up to current demand (UK & Europe) let alone when nearly all cars will have to rely on this.
289674641	Fire risks from EVs

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289674627	My concerns are well voiced in the above choices. We don't have the infrastructure in place and we have not being given the cost of all the changes. We see what it takes to re-cycle what we have already in the normal white goods we have.
289674625	It is short sighted to go electric. The infrastructure is not there and never will be. The longevity is less. The vicarage are too high to purchase. Not enough mechanics prepared to change batteries. Look at the impact of lithium mining and disposal of electric vehicles as well as fire hazards in built up areas and car parks.
283919087	Concerned that European manufacturers are backtracking on commitments to all electric production. But fewer European manufacturers will survive anyway given the rise in cheap Chinese imports in the next 4 years.
289435153	The island is not ready for electric cars and no one voted for it
289536237	EVs are heavier and more damaging to roads which will increase the cost of road maintenance and potholes. EVs are more dangerous in crashes due to their weight, risk of fire and risk of electrocution for emergency services.
283905722	The original cost of purchase and running costs are far higher than people believe.  I also am very concerned that we have one supplier of electricity in Jersey, Jersey Electricity, and we could be held to ransom if we pursue this policy with flagrant disregard to the cost of living issues resulting from the decisions.  This island already has an unacceptably high cost base that will only force people to leave the island
284052461	What is the net-zero impact of building these EV vehicles and the disposal of these EV vehicles?
288912226	How will the 2nd hand ev market work? Not everyone can afford new ev car and the 2nd hand petrol diesel market is going to get small and more costly.
289083743	There should be a delay to any implementation of a phase out until proper information on the consequences has been established and published. Also in light of UK and EU positions, Jersey should not be going alone!!!!
289769398	The JEC has a monopoly on our electricity and charging infrastructure. This is not good for consumer choice and by forcing this change you are benefiting and uk plc which does not benefit the island. The energy company should be owned and controlled within Jersey and profits can be invested in the infrastructure and new technologies for renewable electricity and charging infrastructure. The current situation means the money flows to shareholders or huge bonuses for the management. This is not right.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284133752	<p>Jersey can not accept any electric vehicle to scrap due to the dangers of these vehicles, at least with petrol/diesel they can on which these scrap companies make profit.</p> <p>Also with a LED batteries they can also accept unlike a LITHIUM batteries.</p> <p>Jersey will see loads of people just dumping there vehicles on peoples property yes/sides of the roads.</p>
284134064	<p>As I've mentioned in the previous comments if you go electric /hybrid the costs are an issue as it's all production past down to the consumer, fuel if sustainable currently slightly more but with volume even cheaper possibly , electric is an issue the demand at 6pm at night would put huge strain on demand and as we buy it in make us vulnerable to price hikes from the French , that's if by some miracles you gave the island access to the 60.- 70,000 charging points needed ( most families would generate to two cars ) also these would mostly be outside St Helier as the new builds in town seem less interested in providing parking places</p> <p>Technicians to work on electric is a major issue as to the most part especially the drive train is a closed loop , and supply of parts has t even been addressed yet , but you need apprenticeships to bring people into the business, whereas the push is for people in AI and banking and not people in the hands on skills so this skill set is evaporating before you even get to electric</p> <p>Then again we are back to the disposal of the cars / batteries , as yet no idea anywhere in the world what to do with them , you can't just look away it's a real problem , China has acres and acres of scrap electric cars , at least they have space we have not</p>
283965148	<p>There are far too many risks associated with this phase out.  Infrastructure is the main one. For someone who rents somewhere where parking is at a distance and roadside - what do we do?</p> <p>There is only one energy supplier - it becomes a huge risk if there are any power failures or cyber attacks to the network. How will you mitigate this?</p> <p>The costs of electricity have sky rocketed in the last few years - how will this be kept down when we hear that the prices need to go up for investment into an aging Infrastructure?</p> <p>Where are the hundreds of mechanics who are specialist in these types of cars for the future?</p> <p>Due to the much high risk of fire of lithium and other types of batteries and knowing the costs to the fire service of dealing with these fires, where are the funds to invest in the fire department once these changes are forced?</p> <p>Where are the plans, what is the financial cost and above all, the environmental cost to dispose of the batteries for all the cars that will be electric here in Jersey?</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284278749	<p>Safety - risk of electrified vehicles fires is real and whilst it hasn't happened here yet to my knowledge, we need to make absolutely sure we know what we're dealing with and how to handle it. For example - why is it that the Electric Charge points in multi storey car parks are situated on the lower floors?</p> <p>Efficacy - I'm yet to see why electric vehicles are seen as the panacea for net zero and carbon neutrality, when there is evidence that production of these types of vehicles is in fact more polluting to the planet, and that the vehicles wear through tyres faster (additional weight), and are likely to fail (irreparably) before equivalent petrol/diesel vehicles.</p>
284438494	<p>Road tax should be introduced for electric vehicles.  They are heavier than standard vehicles and are leading to road surface damage.</p>
289442789	<p>Currently it is not possible to scrap an electric car on island when it has reached the end of its life. Infrastructure for this needs to be put in place.</p>
289880846	<p>Jersey is an expensive place to live. Compounding this problem with increased motoring costs should be avoided.</p>
289442684	<p>Don't need to phase out if you use Carbon Neutral Fuels instead of Carbon Free Fuels.  Jersey is reliant on France for its Electricity which at the moment is being purchased ok. However. there is always the possibility of blackouts or disagreements which would leave the Island completely reliant on a foreign country not only for its basic electricity for cooking, heating, light etc but also for transportation of foods, healthcare and livestock. Using Carbon Neutral fuels reduces the transportation issue and also reduces the requirement of electricity from France.</p>
289435238	<p>2030 is too early to try and make such a large change to the industry. The impact on the climate by enforcing this change will be non existent.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289434234	<p>Two broader concerns, both of which this consultation keeps skirting.</p> <p>Cost-of-living shock and distributional harm. Jersey is already a high-cost jurisdiction. You are proposing to constrain the most important affordability channel, the ability to import and register used vehicles, while your own material acknowledges EVs cost more to buy and that home charging has a material installation cost. That combination is a direct route to higher prices and higher household expenditure, especially for working families and younger drivers. It is not enough to gesture at “availability”, you need to model the price impact and publish it.</p> <p>Policy fragility and misalignment risk. The consultation justifies this timetable by alignment with the UK, which was shaped by EU policy. That external anchor is now visibly shifting. If the UK and EU loosen, Jersey risks being left with a uniquely restrictive regime in a thin downstream market, producing scarcity and higher costs for no meaningful strategic benefit.</p> <p>Other operational issues:</p> <p>Public charging pricing power. People without home charging are captive to public tariffs and reliability, which turns “transition” into a permanent two-tier system unless regulated.</p> <p>Grid and connection constraints. It is easy to announce a charger rollout; it is harder to deliver power, upgrades, and maintenance at pace in dense areas.</p> <p>Insurance and repair economics. EV repairability, parts availability, and insurer pricing are material for total cost of motoring, and Jersey will be price takers.</p> <p>Consultation design itself. The survey is drafted to normalise the ban and then ask about consequences, rather than asking whether the ban is the right instrument in the first place. That is backwards, and it is not how you build legitimacy for a policy this disruptive.</p>
289429303	<p>Where are all the expired (ten year old for electric) vehicles going to be dumped? They cannot be recycled as an ICE vehicle. An electric vehicle fire can be controlled but not extinguished, making them a safety concern. Also insurance companies advise their catch fire rate is 3 x that of an ICE. Which is why they're banned in the Channel Tunnel. They must also be banned from ferries - there's already been two large car cargo ships lost due to fire. Having battery-powered cars / vans is a folly that has major, significant problems. Commercial - eg lorries, buses etc is even worse. There are other, more sensible options that are overlooked.</p>
284067067	<p>How will home charging be made available for residential areas will unallocated parking? Current garage is separate from property with no electrical supply.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284080737	Personally I don't have a problem with charging, but I can see it could be a problem for those who have to park on the street or away from their homes.
289860499	I live in an apartment with a communal, underground garage. Aside from the very real fire risk associated with electric cars (some public building no longer allow electric car parking), the lack of power to enable more than a handful of residents to charge their vehicles is a major issue. I am told the cost to run the required cable would be circa £250k.
284249447	<p>This is a very emotive issue - particularly for the petrol-heads and the motor trade - and you are always going to have objectors to the removal of fossil fuel vehicles.</p> <p>In some cases , the objections are valid, so exceptions must be found. In addition , it also has to be recognised that many vehicles are crowded into St Helier where it will be well nigh impossible to provide sufficient charging stations if all vehicles are EVs.</p> <p>It would be much easier to effect change by introducing a relevant economic instrument - the simple one being higher fuel tax. However, you could also levy higher sales tax on fossil fuel vehicles. Either of these economic instruments would be less emotive than a ban. They</p>
284450279	This is concerning as people will be “forced” to phase out good working diesel/ petrol cars. Financially concerning.
289747292	What are the proposed taxes on EV running? What is the cost impact in lost duty from fuel? What are the disposal costs of EVs? What is the processing capacity for disposals?
289433955	<p>Yes, several critical areas require attention: (1) GRID CAPACITY AND ENERGY INFRASTRUCTURE: Jersey has not published grid capacity assessments for rapid EV penetration. Current electricity demand is ~500 GWh annually; adding 4,000-5,000 EVs requiring average 2,500 kWh per vehicle annually creates 10-12.5 GWh additional annual demand (2-2.5% increase). However, peak charging demand (evening hours) could require 50-100 MW of new generating capacity. Jersey's stated grid resilience targets and diesel backup capacity are untested for this scale. (2) EMPLOYMENT IMPACT ON MOTOR TRADE: Jersey has approximately 400-500 employees in the vehicle retail and servicing sector. Rapid transition to EVs eliminates service work (EVs require 40% fewer service operations annually per vehicle), potentially threatening 150-200 jobs. Retraining programs and alternative employment pathways are not funded or planned. (3) ECONOMIC INEQUALITY AND TRANSPORT POVERTY: The ban creates a two-tier system where affluent households can purchase expensive new EVs while lower-income households face elimination of affordable used vehicle imports. This violates principles of horizontal equity in transport policy and creates transport poverty for those unable to access alternative mobility. (4) SUPPLY CHAIN VULNERABILITY: Jersey's dependence on UK importers means UK supply constraints automatically create local shortages. The proposed ban removes fallback options (used petrol/diesel imports) during UK supply disruptions, increasing transport system fragility. (5) CLIMATE JUSTICE: The ban falls hardest on rural residents without charging</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	infrastructure, older people with limited technical familiarity with EVs, and lower-income households. Wealthier urban residents benefit from infrastructure investment while bearing minimal personal costs. This violates procedural justice principles. These areas require substantive policy response before implementation.
284014943	I believe the authorities will artificially raise the cost of maintaining petrol/diesel vehicles in every way possible to force our population to buy these alternatives.
289435629	There is significant negative impact on the environment in the production and disposal of batteries for electric vehicles as indeed for solar panels. Also a geo-political risk with dependancy on China and evidence of slavery in said production.
289454231	We are being bullied into EV's and I don't think that they are the only solution. There are too many vested interests into Electric Vehicles
285655387	Manufactures aren't investing in electric vehicles anymore . They are investing in hydrogen fuel cells which are combustion engines
284402904	DONT DO IT
288606670	Electric cars do not have the range to allow long distance travel in all countries. The batteries are not replaceable. If it fails the whole car has to be scrapped. They are too expensive for those of us on low incomes. I don't very want one.
289740623	Whole policy is unfit for purpose

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289748433	No
289202869	The noise is also another reason for me. For people with sight impairment and guide dogs for example. These vehicles are so quiet it can be dangerous to cross a road as a lot of people use noise as guidance
289558791	<p>There are real concerns in relation to the cost of living as a direct consequence of these policies.</p> <p>All these policies will create uncontrolled inflation, over regulation and unnecessary states expenditure on monitoring and enforcement.</p> <p>The policies will cause unemployment in the motor trade, and excessive insurance costs of ZEVs and oblige islanders to acquire fast depreciating assets with limited future life (7 to !0 years) potentially harming the intention to reach Net Zero due to replacement manufacturing costs.</p> <p>Even a small collision with an electric car usually writes it off for insurance purposes.</p> <p>The DVLA are understood not to currently have sufficient staff able to examine and certify ZEVs so training and additional staffing costs are anticipated. There are no scappage or recycling facilities in Jersey and transporting damaged electric vehicles safely to the UK is a problem.</p> <p>2030 is considered to be an inapproriate early date. EU timelines rather than UK timelines should be in preference be followed.</p>
289682382	My concern about the costly importation and use of so many electric vehicles is partly based on the fact that child slave labour is used to dig in mines for the metals used to build these ghastly things. China has cornered the market, and Jersey SHOULD NOT be participating and encouraging such a cruel thing. We as a civilised island should stop this nonsense right in its tracks, and get back to common sense.
289083203	Not enough charging stations or access to charging in flats. Insurance not addressed re 17-25 year olds
289436512	As above
284534481	We are not capable of disposing of significant volumes of lithium batteries - a significant health & fire risk.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289289485	<p>I feel that the phase out is an opportunity to answer wider questions of what role cars are able to fill in the wider mobility of the island.</p> <p>There is an opportunity to use this transition to "alternatives to petrol and diesel cars" (phase-out plan principle 5) to examine greater use of walking, e-bikes, public transport, micro-cars (quadricycles), car sharing schemes, before simply looking at one-to-one replacement of cars with electric equivalents.</p> <p>I believe that we should use the total "tonnage" of the islands' private car fleet in formulating policy. A reduction in tonnage can then be specified, and I feel that halving this tonnage is a realistic aspiration if both reducing in the number of cars in circulation and their individual size.</p> <p>Finally, diesel and petrol are treated equally in this consultation. Diesel is actually very badly suited to the island - particulate filters clog up due to short journeys with lots of cold starts - and should be eliminated from our roads.</p>
289728157	<p>Previous answers describe everything - stop and concentrate on the really important sectors...</p>
284443866	<p>This is a preposterous policy put forward as a knee-jerk reaction by a government that seems to think that it is a major global player, rather than the administration of a tiny speck of granite which is what it really is. It will make no difference to anything, other than to involve Islanders in considerable expense, inconvenience and possibly even risk, given the unpredictability of electric vehicles.</p>
284290605	<p>Disposal of batteries is actually a revenue opportunity as these are recycled and bought avidly by battery makers outside China due to reduced availability of source material outside China.</p>
284381606	<p>yes the local government can not fully recycling plastic here so how do you plan to recycling an end of life EV and how much of the cost you will pass on to the consumer?</p>
284924040	<p>I raise other related issues here: <a href="https://cantheban-jersey.com/practically-unworkable">https://cantheban-jersey.com/practically-unworkable</a></p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289860299	<p>Not only are the above statements of the effects to people and the island concerning but also, are the government going to take responsibility for fires caused by the lithium batteries that spread to other cars, buildings, and cause serious injuries or even death. How can the roads and multi-story car parks cope with the extra weight of electric vehicles? Electric cars are about 300–500 kg heavier than petrol cars of equivalent size. That’s about a 20–30% increase in vehicle weight. The cost of building new infrastructures and multi-story car parks will be enormous. Being hit by a heavier vehicle will cause more serious injuries. You can’t hear them very well either. Where will all the electricity come from to cope with over 120,000 electric vehicles all charging overnight? What are people going to do if they can’t afford an electric vehicle? How can anyone run a business efficiently when they are worried their vehicle will run out of battery power? You can’t push an electric vehicle. They have to be towed and I saw the problems caused when one car blocked Patriotic Street car park. We need a referendum on all this because a handful of politicians who a small percentage of the island voted in should not be making massive decisions like this without an islandwide mandate.</p>
289002704	<p>We don't have the infrastructure and we are no where near being able to deliver it either for electric cars</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289540839	<p>other governments are delaying the implementation of phasing out ICE cars and now looking at the fuel that drives cars as opposed to dictating that everyone should be buying one type of car, i.e. EVs.</p> <p>Certainly the overall view is that 2030 is far to early to be focusing on EV cars only as the future of car transport, which does mean that Jersey residents would be disadvantaged in relation to choice of new and used cars if you proceed with your plans. As such car companies are no longer just focusing on EV cars only.</p> <p>Here are the companies heavily focusing on or recommitting to ICE vehicles:  Stellantis: The automotive giant, which owns brands like Jeep, Ram, Dodge, Fiat, and Peugeot, recently announced a \$6 billion investment in ICE development. Despite their EV goals, they are hedging by upgrading their combustion engine lineup.  Volkswagen Group (VW, Skoda): Despite a 2033 target to be fully electric in Europe, Volkswagen is redirecting some of its EV budget to improve the competitiveness of its ICE products. Skoda is updating its ICE lineup (Octavia, Kamiq, Scala) and taking over responsibility for a new generation of EA211 engines for the group.  Porsche: Contrary to earlier, faster electrification plans, Porsche is developing new, updated ICE variants of its core models (Cayenne, Panamera) due to slower-than-expected demand for luxury EVs, particularly in China.  BMW: The company is focusing on making its remaining ICE vehicles look, feel, and function more like EVs, focusing on a "plug-in hybrid" and "intelligent ICE" approach rather than going all-electric immediately.  Ford: Ford has structurally separated its business into Ford Blue(focused on ICE and hybrid vehicles) and Ford Model e (dedicated to electric vehicles). Ford Blue focuses on maximizing profitability from iconic, established gasoline-powered vehicles.  Toyota: A vocal proponent of a multi-pathway strategy, Toyota continues to push hybrids and advanced ICE technology as a more practical alternative to BEVs for many consumers.  Chinese Automakers (MG/SAIC, BYD): While huge in EVs, Chinese firms like MG (owned by SAIC) are seeing massive success in Europe with hybrid and ICE models, with sales more than doubling in some markets in early 2025.  Key Trends Driving Continued ICE Focus:  "Equal Intelligence" for Oil and Electric: Companies are upgrading ICE models with the same digital, AI-driven, and "smart" features found in EVs to keep them attractive.  Hybrid Growth: Many consumers are opting for plug-in hybrids (PHEVs) over battery electric vehicles (BEVs), leading to increased investment in these technologies.  Slowdown in EV Demand: Slower than expected EV adoption in the US and Europe has forced manufacturers to extend the life of their combustion engine portfolios.</p> <p>Also, in relation to limited production cars, this is the UK's stance which for</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	<p>some reason you want to ignore, which also doesn't seem fair to the Jersey consumer. And this stance will have a very negative impact on the Island's motor sport scene.</p> <p>Exemptions from Regulations: Recognising the unique challenges faced by specialized manufacturers, the UK government has granted exemptions to small and micro-volume makers (like Morgan, Caterham, and Ariel) from certain upcoming bans, such as the 2030 Zero Emission Vehicle mandate, allowing them to continue producing internal combustion engine cars for longer.</p>
284026830	<p>E-fuels  Hydrogen  Both of which are a viable alternative but use ice engines and your banning them too with these rules.</p>
289452165	<p>Will Sand Street or any other car park take the weight of all the cars if it's full of electric cars?</p> <p>What happens if there is a fire in a car park full of electric cars?</p>
289536035	<p>I currently own an electric vehicle, the insurance costs are very high and the depreciation has been astonishing (66% over 12 months). The vehicle has been close to financially ruinous.</p>
288658418	<p>The Government needs to provide meaningful financial incentives to switch to electric. This is all about economics. If done badly, the motor industry will exploit the regulatory change to enhance their own profits, at the expense of consumers. People need to have a clear economic motivation to switch to electric.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284134038	<p>All electric vehicles have to be imported to Jersey. There have been a surprising number of these cars spontaneously combusting (including bicycles and other electric vehicles) which if this happens on the boat to the UK of France is beyond serious. Battery fires are not easily extinguishable because the nature of the batteries is that they feed the fire with oxygen AND fuel. Battery safety is not yet tip top.</p> <p>Regulations would need to ensure all boats have the means to deal with a fire in the battery off an electric vehicle - and extra cost...</p>
289440322	<p>What happens to lithium car batteries, they do not degrade, why is no one telling us this very very important fact I ask? What are the States of Jersey plans?</p>
288686183	<p>As it is art the moment, Infrastructure incapable of coping with the roads, car parks coping with the weight of these electric vehicles, very slow roll out of chargers, insufficient amount of chargers for block of flats.</p>
289267472	<p>Electric vehicles are not as green as our uniformed politicians believe USA Russia India have no intention to change this quick so to penalise 105 thousand Jersey residents is wrong</p>
289434275	<p>We do not have the infrastructure here for everyone to by an electric vehicle. I know JE are rolling out a big upgrade in the hope you can persuade everyone to go electric, but it won't be enough. If everyone gets home and put their car on charge at a similar time, the grid will fail most probably.</p>
289559501	<p>Yes lots of areas of concern, the below are in no particular order.</p> <p>Costs of new vehicles &amp; insurance.</p> <p>The life span of the new vehicles is alot less than the current petrol/diesel ones so it is not cost effective</p> <p>The fact that Hybrid cars are also being phased out, surely a vehicle that re charges itself is a good thing.</p> <p>Price of electric.</p> <p>People who do not have on site parking cannot charge at home.</p> <p>Fires...this is a huge concern of mine, electric vehicle fires are so fierce they are lethal.</p> <p>The weight of these vehicles is immense and if 2 cars/ vehicles collide at speed there is going to be deaths.</p> <p>The weight of these cars affecting the state of our roads, already in the past 5 years our roads are a mess all dimpled everywhere.</p> <p>How about all the mechanics jobs....?</p> <p>Where are ALL the disguardred batteries going to go? DO NOT PUT THEM IN LAND FILL.</p> <p>We should not be looking at THIS as an answer to global warming...we must look at converting current vehicles..re-use, recycle repurpose not buy new...the normal working people of Jersey cannot afford it.</p> <p>Look at using our TIDES to power our electric grid...we have the 3rd biggest tide on the planet why are we not harnessing it</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289446905	At my age, I might be able to keep my existing car for the rest of my life. Many people won't have that luxury. The current and planned charging infrastructure are completely inadequate for the proposed changes. Jersey has very little idea of the vast cost of Net Zero and continue to pretend that it will be "business as usual". The whole issue of Net Zero is economic suicide of the Western world. BUT, no one in The States is listening.
288787657	PRice, infrastructure which is non existent, forcing people to keep old and less roadworthy petrol and diesel cars as they will have no choice.
289448882	The islands infrastructure is not suitable.
289736929	The risks associate with the concentration in residential areas of electric vehicles, also the position of transport to and from the island of electric vehicles, the willingness of ferry operators, insurance cover availability and overall cost.
284060689	Main concern is watering down of commitments, when Jersey's small mileage makes it ideally suited to electric vehicles. I would also like to see:  - stronger support for home solar PV, to support holistic charging ecosystems for residents. This would also help mitigate the increased grid demand. - commitment by the Parishes to swap refuse vehicles and street sweepers to electric.
284103899	I think this a democratically flawed policy. There has been no referendum nor have we had the opportunity to vote for this policy either directly or through our elected members.
283974256	Grid going down
289461634	Cuts down taking vehicles to Europe so easily because have to think about distances/time/charging points etc
289445780	Jersey would not have the infrastructure for either the supply of electricity the roads and car parks cannot cope with the extra weight most home garages would not be built up to the safety standards required to cope with the risk of fires and explosions the car ferries would not cope with the weight or risk of explosion
289435320	EVs are not sustainable and their life span is less than ICEs. Plus EVs are being dropped by a number of car manufacturers making the choice for islanders less equitable than other islands / countries making this policy unlawful.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289385370	<p>It is very wasteful to throw away usable cars.  Many of the poorer people in Jersey will not be able to afford electric cars.  Many homes will be unable to have their own charging points.  The increased use of electricity will require another cable and France is itself suffering electrical shortages because nuclear power stations cannot operate with low water levels in summer.  The loss of revenue from petrol tax will have to be replaced by a mileage charge and the cost of administering this will be enormous.  It may be that in a few years time a better alternative to electric cars may become available.</p>
289233076	<p>This was a knee jerk reaction to climate fanatics. Jersey won't make any difference, you will end up punishing islanders with this nonsense.</p>
284405361	<p>The UK 2030 phase out will not happen. The Americans, the Russians, the Chinese and the Indians will not phase out petrol or diesel vehicles.</p>
289484358	<p>Part exchange prices for petrol/ diesel vehicles against electric vehicles will be very low ( scrap value)</p>
289691800	<p>Jersey is trying to run before it can walk. Let other countries experiment and then adopt the successful ones.</p>
289508532	<p>2030 is to soon if the date changes which it will I would like clarification that we will follow suit with the uk and not go it alone as we do not have the expertise in government to go it alone</p>
284511461	<p>The government should ensure that all car parks it operates have ample charging facilities.</p>
289434339	<p>As I have explained, this doesn't seem to be informed by experts. If it had been then I don't believe they would be proposing this strategy.</p> <p>This feels like it has been decided by a politician who has no scientific or economic background. They've just decided net zero is something we should do without actually understanding the implications.</p>
288797758	<p>The questions seemed to be designed to offer a conclusion the government wants.</p>
288896214	<p>No comment</p>
288905214	<p>Damage to road surfaces caused by the weight of electric vehicle batteries</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288976226	I think Jsy has a long way to go to get the kids walking to school and adults walking too. I do not wish to ride a bike but do feel for those road users could do with more separate tracks to encourage others to cycle in safety
284131152	<p>Have you considered the coats of conversion of homes to charge vehicles? We purchased a hybrid vehicle with the idea of using electricity as the main source of fuel.</p> <p>We had the jec around to look at converting the house. They quoted c£40k for conversion of the house including all infrastructure. Even with the states funding of 50% the cost at £20k would equate to a 20 year payback period.</p> <p>The cost for conversion is exorbitant and until something is done about the infrastructure costs I will not be supportive of the phase out of petrol vehicles.</p>
289495809	<p>The proposals for the large batteries utilised in electric cars disposal are unclear and presumably would have to be shipped off island?</p> <p>We have no confidence in DFDS being cooperative because of the perceived fire / other risk and heightened insurance as a result.</p>
284285664	As a woman who drives alone in mainland Europe on a regular basis I do not want an electric vehicle. My very efficient diesel is reliable and I can refuel it in a couple of minutes. Were I to have to rely on an electric vehicle in France I would feel unsafe due to frequent electricity outages and the length of time taken to charge a vehicle. Jersey is very small and a lot of people need vehicles that are suitable not just for Jersey but also in the great big world outside.
288871551	It will not work

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289115112	<p>I am very concerned about all of these areas because the 2030 phase-out risks creating significant economic, practical, and social problems for Jersey. First, vehicle availability is a major concern. Jersey has a small and constrained market that relies on imports, and restricting petrol and diesel vehicles will sharply reduce consumer choice. This could lead to long waiting times, limited model availability, and vehicles that are poorly suited to local needs, particularly for families, tradespeople, and those requiring specialist or reliable vehicles.</p> <p>Second, vehicle prices are likely to rise substantially. Electric vehicles generally have higher upfront costs, and limiting supply through regulation will push prices even higher in a small island market. This will disproportionately affect lower- and middle-income households, making car ownership less affordable and increasing inequality.</p> <p>Third, the overall cost of motoring is a serious concern. Electricity prices are volatile and already high, charging at home may require costly upgrades, and insurance for electric vehicles is often more expensive due to repair costs and battery replacement. Fuel choice restrictions remove a key mechanism that helps keep running costs competitive.</p> <p>Fourth, the availability and reliability of charging infrastructure is a critical weakness. Many Jersey residents do not have off-street parking and cannot install home chargers. Public charging capacity is limited, vulnerable to outages, and may not scale fast enough to meet demand, creating inconvenience, queues, and reduced mobility.</p> <p>Fifth, there is a significant skills gap within the local motor trade. Jersey’s garages and technicians may not yet have sufficient training, qualifications, tools, or experience to safely maintain and repair electric vehicles. This risks higher repair costs, longer downtimes, and greater dependence on off-island support, undermining local businesses.</p> <p>Sixth, the disposal of end-of-life electric vehicles and batteries raises unresolved environmental and logistical concerns. Jersey lacks local recycling or processing facilities, meaning batteries will need to be exported at high cost and environmental risk. The long-term liability, safety, and sustainability of battery disposal remain uncertain.</p> <p>In addition, I am concerned about grid capacity and energy security, as increased demand from electric vehicles could strain Jersey’s electricity supply and increase dependence on external sources. There are also concerns about resilience during power outages, the loss of personal mobility in emergencies, and the cumulative economic impact on households, businesses, and essential services.</p> <p>Overall, these issues could make living and working in Jersey more expensive, less flexible, and less resilient, placing unnecessary strain on residents and the local economy without clear evidence that the benefits would outweigh the risks.</p>
289435447	<p>Has anyone stopped to think of how a simple, (Regular occurrence in Jersey),power cut would affect the whole island if all we had were electric vehicles?</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284085208	<p>The whole concept is breathtakingly bonkers when you consider the cost to the average motorist in Jersey and the lack of any effect on global emissions, irrespective of what Jersey may eventually end up doing.</p> <p>I can see the price of second hand petrol and diesel cars increasing as we near and pass any eventual threshold bans.</p>
284573350	<p>As per previous - looking further than the UK, european leaders including Germany and Italy have confirmed that its plan to ban the sale of new ICE vehicles in the EU from 2035 has been scrapped!</p>
289915831	<p>DFDS could ban all electric vehicles due to insurance and risk of fire. (The Norwegian ferry company Havila Kystruten) has banned all EV's</p> <p>Electric cars will only last for a certain period of time, therefore costing a lot of money to deal with the batteries therefore long term is not sensible.</p> <p>All electric does not suit all people therefore we should have the choice.</p> <p>Not everyone can charge at home therefore costing a lot of money and time to charge the car.</p> <p>Hybrid and Plugin Hybrid should be encouraged more.</p> <p>large Motorsport community in Jersey and should be encouraged not restricted. We have seen how popular the Motoring Festival is locally as is the Rally.</p> <p>EV's are not carbon neutral due to mining of the lithium and other rare earth materials used</p> <p>The EU will allow new internal combustion engine (ICE) cars running exclusively on e-fuels to be sold after 2035. This should be extended to Jersey and fully expect the UK to follow suit</p> <p>Let the technology dictate not the government telling voters what they can and cant do</p>
289534271	<p>Many people still put forward the myth that EV batteries cannot be recycled and will pile up in landfill. Government needs to educate people, because the people won't educate themselves, they would rather believe the anti-EV propaganda in the tabloids than to do any fact checking themselves.</p> <p>"The highest percentage for EV battery recycling in the UK is over 97% for lithium and 99% for graphite, achieved by companies like Altilium using proprietary technologies like EcoCathode."</p> <p>I suggest Government takes up one of the empty shops in the centre of St Helier, preferably in the precinct, to offer a walk-in free advice facility where people can ask any questions they have on EV's.</p> <p>Also, a 'myth busting' mail campaign should be run to all residential addresses, to give everyone the full facts and benefits of EV's.</p>
289316645	<p>Electric vehicles may not be as green as appear due to issues with battery production and disposal. Safety of electric vehicles and chargers are also a concern. Other technologies may be available then</p>
289433689	<p>Can the island's electricity cope with the change.</p> <p>Current can't support the islands needs now with external or diesel power.</p> <p>What happens with aviation and ferries where electricity isn't really an option</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284225200	<p>Very concerned!</p> <p>1 There is a better way to reduce carbon emissions than phasing out petrol/diesel</p> <p>2 Maintain existing road and garage networks to replace petrol and diesel private cars with bio-fuel. Work with other governments and experts to bring forward the use of bio-fuels and reduce costs. Using electric commercial vehicles will be more effective logistically and will reduce our emissions.</p> <p>3 There are many negatives re the manufacture, use, charging, disposal, costs, risks and side-effects of electric private cars:</p> <p>Manufacture - it is no greener to manufacture an electric car than a petrol/bio-fuel one.</p> <p>Use - the road network is not set up to charge electric cars on the run. Electric cars are much heavier than petrol cars and already damage is being seen to multi-story car parks. Silent cars will cause many more accidents as they are much harder to hear for pedestrians and other road users. Electric cars are so much quicker at lower speeds there will be more accidents.</p> <p>Charging - the amount of electricity needed to power Jersey, along with all existing needs, will need to be ramped by 4 times! The core generation of this electricity will itself hugely increase carbon emissions.</p> <p>Disposal - where on earth are we going to safely dispose of the batteries. Electric cars are so more torquey than petrol cars, tyres are being worn out at three times the speed of petrol cars. Disposal of tyres also needs to be considered.</p> <p>Costs - the cost of the car, the battery, spare parts, repairs (if you can find anyone to repair them) and insurance will provide a hugely false long-term economy.</p> <p>Risks - apart from the already mentioned road accident risks because of the quiet nature, the risk and damage caused from battery fires cannot be ignored!</p> <p>Jersey politicians should not force poorly thought-through policies through on Jersey residents such as this, without offering a viable alternative. Using bio-fuels for existing petrol/diesel private cars, and introducing some electric commercial vehicles, along with finding other ways to reduce our carbon footprint is the only way forward. Do not phase out petrol cars like sheep in favour of a solution that does not offer choice and is no better when all other factors are considered.</p>
289436445	<p>Why the States is determined to make life as difficult and expensive for residents by implementing this proposal in such a short timescale is truly mistifying. We are not even a drop in the global ocean. The proposal should be scrapped now to allow certainty for all concerned, the external market availability of all types of vehicles should determine what we decide to use, not some artificial dictat issued by the States.</p>
288838599	<p>It would help if Jersey provided its own electricity to keep the cost down people only worry that the price is for charging alone now, but will definitely go up like everything does. Plus the disposal this is a big concern.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289435957	This will affect finances of individuals
283977560	Not really thank you. Although my responses have been quite vocal about not banning petrol and diesel, I am not against electric cars. We even have an electric car in our household and is my partner's daily driver. But I also have my 'fun' car. Which isn't used often but when it is, it's greatly enjoyed and I'd hate to think that this could be the last one I own.
289558503	Has anyone in Government done any research into the damage that BEVs can do to the roads? My car weighs 980kg, the direct BEV equivalent weights 1,350kg - 370kg more and many BEVs weight over 400kg more than their ICE equivalents. That's an awful lot of weight to stop in an emergency. If we were all to switch to BEVs could the multi storey car parks take the additional weight? 300 cars in Sand Street with an additional weight of 400kg/each is an extra 120,000kg to cope with - is it up to it?
284178218	<p>I am also concerned about the impact on household affordability, resale values of existing vehicles, and the risk of forcing people to retain older cars for longer because suitable replacements are unavailable or unaffordable. There are further concerns around grid capacity, long-term electricity pricing, and Jersey's ability to manage battery recycling and disposal sustainably.</p> <p>Taken together, these issues suggest the pace of the proposed phase-out is not aligned with current infrastructure or market readiness.</p>
283980150	I currently own a plug in hybrid and a fully electric- but I am concerned that this initiative is being pushed through despite very borderline supportive data.
284061364	Jersey is already on a knife edge. This proposal is nonsense and will just make the poor poorer. When the rest of the world has proven a difference, then maybe we follow suit. But only when the rest of the world has done so. We're a 9x5 island, and we can't afford this. It's that simple.
289686657	Not concerned as it will never bother me.

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288196740	<p>The 2030 phase-out of new petrol and diesel vehicles presents several significant challenges that justify a high level of concern across the automotive landscape.</p> <p>One of the primary issues is the price of vehicles, as electric vehicles (EVs) still command a substantial premium over their internal combustion engine (ICE) counterparts. While manufacturing costs are falling, the reliance on expensive battery minerals keeps the entry price high, potentially pricing lower-income households out of the new car market. This creates a secondary concern regarding the availability of vehicles, particularly in the used market. If the supply of affordable new EVs is limited, the second-hand market—which the majority of drivers rely on—will remain thin for years, leading to inflated prices for aging petrol and diesel cars.</p> <p>The cost of motoring also faces significant volatility. While electricity is generally cheaper per mile than liquid fuels, this benefit is largely restricted to those with home charging. Drivers relying on public infrastructure face much higher tariffs and 20% VAT (compared to 5% at home), creating a "pavement tax" that penalises urban dwellers without driveways. Furthermore, insurance costs for EVs are often higher due to the specialized nature of repairs and the high cost of battery replacement in the event of an accident.</p> <p>The availability of chargers remains a critical bottleneck. Despite rapid growth, the rollout of infrastructure is uneven, with rural areas and regions outside major cities often neglected. This "range anxiety" is compounded by a massive skills gap within the motor trade. There is currently a shortage of technicians qualified to work on high-voltage systems, which leads to longer wait times for repairs and higher labour rates.</p> <p>Finally, the disposal of end-of-life batteries is an looming environmental and logistical headache. The industry lacks a fully matured, large-scale recycling infrastructure for lithium-ion batteries. Without effective "second-life" programs or efficient recycling, we risk trading tailpipe emissions for toxic landfill waste and hazardous fires caused by damaged cells.</p>
289436344	<p>It's early days and we need to learn more of the pros and cons of mainland users including emergency situations, how to deal with defective, fire and rescue etc.</p>
289637921	<p>This is unacceptable govt over-reach.  The whole policy is misguided and illogical.  This plan needs to be scrapped</p>
289461441	<p>This is again a poorly thought out policy. One that ties us to our adversaries, raises the cost of living, does little to solve the problem and will affect the poorest islanders most.</p>
289290920	<p>Garages will close  People will be made redundant  The weight of them is greater than now  The government owns the jec that why you want them all to be electric</p>
289497094	<p>No doubt car drivers with petrol diesel will be heavily penalized,you either turn electric or you will pay !</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288797957	Price and quality of EVs
284233692	<p>Electric vehicles require a whole new technique to drive, who will pay for this training. How will it be provided what dispensation will be provided to those who are involved in rtc's that didn't realise this?</p> <p>Where does the waste go,</p> <p>Electricity is already expensive for a lot of people vastly more than petrol depending on journeys and use.</p>
284246577	The electricity grid needs to be upgraded and more public charging points made available by 2030. No attempt appears to have been made yet to upgrade the grid.
289522719	I am concerned about all of this. It is hugely concerning.
289224246	<p>Lack of charging infrastructure</p> <p>Cost of using public charging points</p> <p>2030 is only 4 years away - hasn't the UK government put the same phase out back by 5 years</p> <p>The scrapyards will not accept electric vehicles.</p> <p>Electric vehicle insurance is expensive</p>
284397218	<p>I have serious concerns over the classic car market in Jersey, a 50 year limit is ridiculous. By this I include the modern Classic market. Monaco's classic car ownership has become a tourist attraction. Guernsey has a large market in classic vehicle storage and servicing as well as registration. Classic cars cover small annual mileages locally and thus have very low annual pollution levels per vehicle.</p> <p>The classic vehicle market will stagnate if classics cannot be brought into the Island.</p> <p>Will wealthy residents be put off coming to the Island if they cannot keep their future car acquisitions at home.</p>
288968890	<p>The cost of the vehicles are going to be high and potentially disposing of them as the batteries in some of these models do not appear to have a long life span. The lack of chargers, not everyone can have a charger in their place, especially if they rent and it is not cost effective to keep driving to a car park to get your car charged up. I really don't think the infrastructure is in place as the demand on the electric grid would be so much more substantial than it is now. What is going to happen to all the garages that provide reasonable priced servicing? Will they have to become specialised?</p> <p>Also, people who have to take their cars away, how will they know where to charge up in the UK or abroad, do they have the infrastructure?</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288679957	<p>The fact you'll never own a car because the battery is too expensive so they'll all become leased then replaced after 3 years then our carbon foot print becomes a lot higher not lower.</p> <p>Car Parks can Sand Street designed in the 60's take the weight when every electric car weighs 2 tonnes?</p> <p>What happens if there is a fire of electric vehicles in a confined space like a multi story. How is it going to put out? Or will the carpark building be destoried?</p> <p>No extra carbon risk there.</p>
289480187	<p>Electric vehicles represent a significant challenge for waste and recycling, storage of batteries and waste has not been detailed or costed. The public are told this is a greener approach but where is the data.</p> <p>How will jersey deal with waste batteries, faulty batteries units and provide safe transport to UK or Europe.</p>
284114668	<p>This question is channelled at electric vehicles and the survey is again targeted at the car, which largely is run by the public locally, ie the taxpayer, whilst giving exemption by delay and lack of emission control to vessels and commercial vehicles. it is therefore a closed survey.</p>
284064111	<p>Massive investment is needed to develop the charging infrastructure</p>
284123980	<p>Very concerned about all of the above as stated before i don't think any of this has ben thought through on the longterm implications of this proposal</p>
289443008	<p>Disposing these ev are impossible at the moment your unable to dump these vehicles I have now seen first hand atleast 3 written off EVs that can't be disposed of.</p>
289460414	<p>where will the electric cars due to be scrapped be stored ?</p>
289450962	<p>Again, the issue of not being able to own a vehicle capable of long journeys off island or a camper van</p>
284053305	<p>None. Get on with it. We are not the UK.</p>
288033106	<p>How do you dispose of the batteries ??  What when they catch fire ???  Hastle in accidents ????</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288807946	<p>Island infrastructure is not ready for this change, and there are no clear pathways identified to tackle these issues. For example charging infrastructure (especially for individuals who live in town without designated parking areas) and end of life vehicle disposal for aged or crash damaged vehicles.</p> <p>Road surfaces are already deteriorated due to the extra weight associated with EVs, this will result in increased road maintenance costs and more road closures.</p> <p>Multistory car parks are not designed to take the additional weight of EVs.</p> <p>Vehicle capacity on ferries will be decreased due to additional weight issues.</p> <p>Circa £20million will be lost in VED and fuel duty. How will this be recouped?</p> <p>Limited onisland vehicle maintenance workshops equipped with equipment/staff to look after EVs.</p> <p>Many EVs are silent and pedestrians/horseriders are unaware of their presence. Horses become spooked with EVs appear out of nowhere. Loud pipes save lives!</p>
289439668	<p>What is the Government of Jersey's response to possible pushbacks or delays of the UK's timeline to 2035 or beyond?</p> <p>What is the roadmap or plan to have the charging facilities in place to support electric vehicle use on a large island wide scale?</p>
289434144	<p>Battery stability, recycling, the ability of the fire service to deal with lithium fires - from e-bikes to e-cars and more are not being addressed. Also the weight differential between electric and ICE cars and their impact on the local infrastructure are being glossed over.</p>
289460203	<p>As much as I understand the vision, I believe what is missing is the mid-long term view on where are we going to store the E-batteries? I doubt our maritime companies are willing to transport those time bombs off island.</p>
289080094	<p>The effect on local motorsport could be detrimental. Governing bodies around the world are avoiding EVs as the risk they propose amongst other reasons. Motorsport likely contributes less than 1% of all emissions, so it would make no different to ban these things.</p> <p>EVs don't fit into everyone's life style. Currently if I owned an electric Van, I would not be able to make the trips I currently do, as range and wait times at service stations are impractical.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289551130	<p>I would just emphasise that I do not believe that the island has yet developed an infrastructure for the disposal of electric car batteries.</p> <p>I am also concerned as to the fire risk of electric cars, a number of incidents having been highlighted in the media.</p>
289448522	<p>Europe is already moving away from EV's with France and Germany both investing 9 billion euros in hydrogen production from wind power which they intend to use for all aspects of production and transport. Jersey should be ahead of the game with the possibility of wind power to produce hydrogen which is easily stored and transported. Failing which it will be paying to import hydrogen from Europe for land, sea and air transport, together with domestic heating.</p>
289440904	<p>We have already seen BYD and other Chinese manufacturers overtake the western car companies. They have few to no environmental standards, and the impact on where mining is done is quietly swept under the carpet. We need to consider the environmental impact of lithium mining, cobalt mining, not to mention the huge sodium sulphate sludge that is produced (2 tonnes for every 1 tonne of cathode material). Electric vehicles are not the environmental "silver bullet" that people think they are.</p>
289457300	<p>All of the above cause concern. Jersey is very slow to adapt to logistical challenges but very quick to adopt policies with marginal, if any, gains simply because they 'tick the box'. Given the environmental challenges of water and agriculture in the island; populating an island with acidic/poisonous fuel cells without a policy for proper disposal will at the very least pose a threat to the environment. A reduction in cars using liquid fuels will inevitably increase the cost of the same when there is nothing wrong with the vehicle, potentially causing early termination of vehicles well before the end of their useful life thereby increasing CO2 production. On the basis of over 125,000 cars registered in Jersey, if 20% of these are electric then the infrastructure will likely have to cater for an extra 200 MWh of distribution/use. This is an extra 40% of that already used.</p>
284443812	<p>The Life expectancy of electric vehicles is much shorter than petrol and diesel. Therefore a very real concern is the disposal of electric vehicles and the environmental danger of disposing of their batteries.</p>
289880976	<p>Has any thought been given to EV potentially being banned by our sole ferry operator due to fire risks and insurance liabilities? This is happening in other locations.</p> <p>This is a draconian measure, which has not been voted for by the public.</p>
289674615	<p>EV batteries cannot be safely disposed of, the replacement cost is unrealistic, and will create more problems than we currently have(is any)</p>
289444449	<p>I remain of the opinion that imposing this approach across the board is a crude and ungainly approach and does not take into account the wider implications.. including so-called benefits to our climate..nor the longer term impact of both battery manufacture and ultimate disposal.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289487375	<p>EV-Home Power Connectivity</p> <p>A thorough consideration should be given to drive carbon savings and reduction of emissions together with energy security  Home solar panels and electric car charging with home batteries are now all available and rapidly becoming affordable  Jersey recently published its map to show where solar panels can be installed but this did not take into account renters the majority of car owners  Systems are now available that allow for mounting panels on flats balconies and in peoples gardens that can easily be removed when re locating properties. This should be a consideration together with the installation of electric charging systems for cars at homes to give all the befits this provides.  There is a you tube channel called The Electric Viking which covers many of these issues and has 5 video updates daily. It is not only a good source for news but also analysis of technology , companies and consumers. It also features the cars that are coming and the ones that are being removed from our lives.  Electric vehicles (EVs) connect to house power systems via bidirectional charging, enabling vehicle-to-home (V2H), vehicle-to-grid (V2G), and vehicle-to-load (V2L). In V2H, an EV battery powers the home during outages or peak times, using a compatible bidirectional charger that converts DC to AC, plus equipment like CT meters for energy monitoring and automatic transfer switches for grid isolation. Average EV batteries (65kWh) can supply an average home for days, far exceeding home systems like Tesla Powerwall (13.5kWh), and integrate with solar for self-sufficiency. The Electric Viking highlights EVs as superior home storage, reducing bills by storing cheap off-peak power or solar, potentially eliminating grid reliance with setups like solar-charging trucks.</p> <p>Benefits from Electric Viking Insights  The Electric Viking emphasizes EVs lowering "cost of motoring" through cheaper electricity vs. fuels, with prices already matching or undercutting ICE vehicles in markets like the US and China due to falling battery costs and incentives. Videos stress bidirectional tech making EVs "grid-optional," powering homes off-peak or via solar, countering phase-out fears on infrastructure by turning vehicles into backup batteries. Channel content notes global EV transitions as inevitable, with V2H/V2G stabilizing grids and cutting long-term costs despite upfront investments.</p> <p>Addressing 2030 Phase-Out Concerns  Availability and Price of Vehicles: Not at all concerned. UK investments exceed £6bn in gigafactories; batteries suffice to 2050, with EV prices dropping below ICE by 2035 via scale and tech.</p> <p>Cost of Motoring: Slightly concerned short-term, but optimistic long-term. Electricity, insurance, and maintenance beat fuels; bidirectional setups yield savings/profits via off-peak charging and V2G credits.</p>

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	<p>Charging Infrastructure: Slightly concerned. Home charging dominates (90% needs met); public rollout targets 1.2m work/300k public points by 2030, aided by V2H reducing grid strain.</p> <p>EV Skills in Motor Trade: Not at all concerned. Transition drives training; bidirectional installs need electricians, but EV simplicity eases adoption.</p> <p>End-of-Life Disposal: Unsure, but low concern. Regulations mandate 8-year/100k-mile warranties; recycling scales with demand, resources ample.</p> <p>Additional Areas  Bidirectional EV integration mitigates outage risks and grid overloads from phase-out, turning concerns into assets—e.g., EVs as "five Powerwalls" for resilience. Electric Viking argues this makes 2030 bans realistic, as EVs enhance energy independence.</p>
284319681	If this is such a green option what about the vast batteries used and all the poisons within them?
289465782	Manufacturers are already providing disposal facilities for batteries. My concern is that shipping companies are not moving with the times regarding the transport of EV's and insurance companies are in a similar vane.
289230170	<p>Electric cars are expensive to buy and run. Price of electricity will rise. The government is the majority shareholder in the one company producing electricity.</p> <p>Availability of chargers to everyone who is now supposed to use electric. I am also concerned that nearly all electric cars have Chinese origin for parts. Who will we be benefiting the most with the phase out plan?</p>
288330353	There appears to be no risk analysis attached to the consultation. Citizens must be able to see a full risk analysis, and I guess the ministry too

UserID	<b>Q28.7. Are there any other areas related to the 2030 phase-out that you would like to raise? Please describe these, explaining any level of concern you have and why. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289443209	My concerns about battery disposal is due to the lack of proven ability to dispose of current waste products like fridges at La Collette we need to adapt to that now and evidence it is a proven capability before having a huge known problem like car batteries happen
288668930	I hope the fire brigade have had training in how to extinguish the fires from lithium batteries. Also where are all these electric cars going to be charged? Jersey lacks the electricity infrastructure to have chargers everywhere. What if you live in a block of flats and don't have a parking space? It's almost like this is a bad idea that has been put in place for virtue-signalling rather than any benefit to anyone.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284102657	Electric works well in Jersey for cars
286162312	I enjoy driving manual vehicles which will be de-facto prohibited from being imported.
286762711	My employer is funded by the public so in reality shielded is from commercial realities
285423180	I cannot afford electric vehicles, they are all massively more expensive than petrol equivalents. Mostly I am concerned for those less well off who are not being considered at all within these proposals.
286421271	<p>The air will be cleaner and there will be less risk from the climate emergency, if we are proactively working to reduce our emissions. This is a much bigger issue than how it affects my personal finances or my ability to get around. I am much more concerned about the future for my children if we do not act now.</p> <p>However, I understand that not everyone is in a lucky position to understand this or be able to consider it. Some people are simply struggling to survive financially so the affect on them and the support for them needs to be considered carefully.</p>
286128920	If the phase out is enforced i believe it will have a financial impact on my household by forcing me to get rid of a vehicle that will have a declining appeal and therefore price and forcing me to acquire a new vehicle that is more expensive for the equivalent
286451894	At some point will be forced to buy. On the other hand since VRD has killed large engine cars coming in to the island, the demand for these will be high on the second hand market which will positive for us owners.
284037475	<p>Everyone in Jersey will benefit from reducing our emissions and having better air quality. Vehicle owners will benefit from cheaper running costs and lower total cost of ownership.</p> <p>Mechanics will need to learn how to repair electric vehicles, which they are already doing. As electric cars need less maintenance, there may be a reduction in mechanics required. (But Jersey promised to implement the Vienna convention? There should be more work from MOT-style annual checks when that eventually comes in.) Fuel stations will switch to offering the fastest electric chargers, and can continue providing a similar service for people who want this option.</p>
284364083	<p>I have 1 EV and 1 full diesel van. I expect to swap the van out at the end of its life-cycle for another electric vehicle. I am aware that when this happens, the market for my van probably won't be there, so financially I will certainly lose out. However, the wider benefits far out-weigh my personal circumstances.</p> <p>Amongst other benefits, Jersey's air would improve, the roads would become safer and we would be leading on an important environmental issue. The world that my daughter and her generation grow up in is far more important that a slight financial inconvenience for me and others in my position with petrol/diesel vehicles.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286502668	I travel Europe regularly, electric is going to make this very difficult, and I don't believe the infrastructure for charging electric vehicles is possible without significant cost to my electric bill.
285393980	Additional expense
286034240	I cannot afford to purchase a new or even used electric vehicle, my budget would be for a 15 year old internal combustion vehicle, when owners of internal combustion vehicles know that potential buyers have fewer choices because they can't purchase a new or near-new vehicle then the value of the cheaper, older used I.C vehicles will substantially increase without actually solving the whole issue that this legislation is trying to address.
284382567	Responding to this as an individual not as part of a business or organisation.
286429152	Jersey simply has not got the infrastructure to support the transition this soon. People are already struggling with the cost of living and this would add costs to transportation. Going mostly electric would also create a monopoly for the JEC and there are no plans to regulate price increases on their end. Cost of a new electric vehicle is still greater than a fossil fuel one, so is maintenance, insurance, add the cost of personal infrastructure installation and you get less mileage than a traditional vehicle.
289591909	<p>The phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030 is likely to significantly negatively affect me because it will reduce affordability, limit vehicle choice, and increase the cost of motoring. New electric vehicles are considerably more expensive than equivalent petrol or diesel models, and Jersey currently lacks a strong second-hand EV market, making it harder for many people to replace their vehicles at a reasonable cost.</p> <p>There are also wider impacts that affect daily life, including concerns about charging availability, grid capacity, insurance costs, and the lack of clear end-of-life battery solutions for an island. These issues create uncertainty and financial risk for motorists.</p> <p>Taken together, these factors mean the phase-out will have a substantial negative impact unless the supporting infrastructure and market conditions improve significantly.</p>
287908193	Any plans on Lorries coaches over 25 years old on jersey roads these are the bad ones, look at Tantivy Waverley They should be in a scrap yard. How can you say green with out these type of vehicle the worst type still on the Jersey roads every day ?????? Keep it simple stupid.
288699533	I own a number of petrol vehicles. Will I be affected?
283976628	I think all of my responses add up to the reason for this box.
289441950	I support our proud tradition of motorsport and already take action to offset the carbon footprint of my activities. I am seriously concerned that the current proposals will make the traditional hill climb and rally events impossible to stage in future. There has not been enough consideration given to this. Many young people are involved in motorsport and these proposals will restrict their opportunities.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289440416	For all the reasons stated in the previous statements I've made. Nanny state controlling everything, no fun, nothing to look forward and a silent dull world. Can't wait!
285918014	This plan is assault on basic freedom
285906981	Home electricity prices will sky-rocket. Demand will cripple the power grid. Being forced into EV's is devastating to one's mental health.
289628090	I am responding in a personal capacity
288679116	We already have an electric car and are thinking of replacing our petrol car with a hybrid, purely to have a better driving range than a second fully electric vehicle when driving off island for longer distances due to lack still of electric charging points. A bigger negative would be when our children start to learn to drive- buying a cheap 2nd hand petrol vehicle would be cheaper than an electric vehicle. Also electric vehicles all tend to be automatic so unable to practice with manual gear stick, though presumably all Driving School vehicles will move to electric too?
289503713	Especially for small businesses with limited profit margins.
289455370	Inconvenience with parking/charging in public places and private residences. Unfair on Jersey residents vs UK residents - most cars come from the UK and Jersey should still have access to the wider market, to ensure pricing remains fair, through a longer phase out period
284040451	This is already destroying Jersey's economy.
288967696	Responding under a personal capacity.
289449784	You're just adding more cost under the illusion you are protecting the environment, however this is just not the case, These vehicles are highly polluting, won't last anywhere near as long as well serviced combustion vehicles do. Leave the motorists alone.
284232266	Most of people do not have the liquidity to purchase any decent cars even less an electric car. They are dangerous. Where I work twice at different times the fire brigade had to come to put down a fire of electric cars. They hardly cover a decent amount of miles when travelling. It will never happen. Do you really believe owners of petrol company worth trillions would let that happen. Keep dreaming!

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288799523	<p>"As an Islander, this policy will have a significant negative impact on my cost of living and personal mobility.</p> <p>Financial Strain: The upfront cost of electric vehicles remains prohibitively high compared to the petrol vehicles I currently rely on. By banning the importation of newer used petrol/diesel cars, the government is effectively destroying the affordable second-hand market that most of the population depends on.</p> <p>Infrastructure Barriers: Like many residents, I do not have access to private off-street parking where I can install a home charger. Relying solely on a public charging network—which is currently insufficient and often more expensive than home electricity—makes owning an EV logistically impossible and financially draining.</p> <p>Lack of Practicality: For a small island, forcing this transition by 2030 feels like a 'wealth tax' on those who cannot afford to buy new cars or live in homes with driveways. Until the government can guarantee that an EV is as affordable to buy and as easy to 'fuel' as a petrol car for everyone (not just those with driveways), this policy will negatively impact my ability to live and work in Jersey."</p>
288973164	<p>The principle should be abandoned. Gov Je should be focusing rresources on matters that are of benefit to Jersey</p>
288924873	<p>My business would not be able to carry on as there is no viable cost effective alternative for what I drive at the moment.</p>
289081831	<p>i work for jersey electricity, i drive an electric van everyday. Wouldn't buy one personally.</p>
288745592	<p>You literally said don't share person details</p>
289314149	<p>I will not be able to afford to replace my car with a newer second hand model.</p>
284043766	<p>The 2030 phase-out would have a negative effect on both individuals and businesses. It will make vehicles more expensive, reduce consumer choice, and increase costs for transport, deliveries, and local trades.</p> <p>The phase-out also risks damaging Jersey's motoring community, car trade, and tourism events that are built around vehicles — from classic car gatherings to hill climbs.</p> <p>Without proper planning or infrastructure, this move will harm everyday islanders rather than help the environment.</p>
284104289	<p>See above</p>
289888657	<p>My responses above are repeated. This is an unacceptable and draconian overreach by the government which cannot be implemented.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
283955527	<p>On a personal level, I think it would be a significantly positive move, in the same way that banning smoking in public was.</p> <p>Aside from the obvious benefits of reduced pollution, road/traffic noise would make everyone's quality of life better. Living on a busy main road, it is the fossil fuel vehicles that create all the intrusive noise.</p> <p>The cost of driving would be lower; on electric, even my 10 year old PHEV costs me just 20% of the cost of running on petrol per mile. Many people would be able to charge at home and not have to go to fuel stations, and some will be able to charge from their own solar PV panels.</p>
289294477	I do not believe Jersey is ready for this and we have 3 years
288793966	I know a lot of local mechanics and they are all going to be impacted by this. I think I've said enough through this form.
289268666	This will be a huge hit on many dealerships, guarantee many will close, then specially trained mechanics and technicians will leave the island, leaving this island without qualified professionals
288790439	Losing value of current cars / assets
284005054	<p>You are removing the people's choice. I make 100's of eco and environmentally conscious choices every day it's at the forefront of everything I do. HOWEVER electric vehicles are not environmentally friendly; the batteries alone are worse for the environment for manufacturing and disposable than driving around in a fuel car is. But this is not being considered because they'll be manufactured off island it won't count into the governments little 'statistics' of your net zero goal. It's a total sham. It's heading for dictatorship if you remove people's choice. A ridiculous idea and with the state this government has put the island in you will experience riots in the near future. How can you justify removing our freedom of choice.</p> <p>You are screwing over the public. You are unjustifiable removing our freedom of choice.</p> <p>No one can buy an EV second hand because they have a timeframe on battery life. The cost of a new EV in a garage and the cost of a new battery if even available for the type of vehicle when it's second hand will be HUGE. This expense will kill the islands small businesses even further (yes I have a small business)</p>
289466031	<p>On a personal level it would hinder my current hobby/sport. As mentioned earlier I travel Europe to take it up at international level. I also take my family away at the same time for short breaks before I then carry on with my sport after dropping them to an airport to return to the island.</p> <p>There is currently not a vehicle that fits my requirements to meet the islands planned policy to service a simple 500 mile return journey without stopping for charging. I shouldn't have to make sacrifices. Especially when those making global decisions fly around on private jets etc.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 29

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation: How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030? If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286668969	The business I work for will have to pay more for vehicles, overall increasing cost to customers.
288793815	Tourism farming
288824205	I have two sons, who I am hoping will stay in Jersey as adults. The proposals will affect affordability for them as well as us.
289215235	Availability of new vehicles. Restricted to electric with no infrastructure available. How will I charge when I live in a flat with no parking
284456626	Going to be too expensive for business to cope with going full ev. In recession atm how's this going to help
284592912	It restricts people leaving the island in a vehicle not everyone wants to fly
285417543	I distinctly remember during the COVID lockdown going for a walk and how pleasant it was to walk the streets, the air was clearer, the sounds of nature were audible thanks to how quiet it was. While I'm aware the number of cars on the roads will not likely be affected by the transition to electric vehicles (though I hope that is also true eventually) if cleaner air could be the reality everyday, so much the better.
289333654	Cleaner environment for my family
288048322	Prices of vehicles, fuel, electricity will all increase. The Government are likely to use price rises to force vehicle owners of all types to pay more.
288449876	More costs to consumers and businesses
284588252	I would STRONGLY object to a government that prevents me from using my cars (ie my property) for the purpose I bought them. I am incredulous that this is even being considered...
285122813	Less pollutants means better health and therefore less social and health care costs.
287987383	There is no infrastructure to charge an electric vehicle at either of my premises, as the parking is some distance from the property. I would like the states to pay for the installation of one for all homes and business premises if they are proposing to force us to use electric vehicles.
289570013	I have a car as an investment and a fun drive. I also have a 4x4 to tow my boat. The first would be effectively banned from the road after 2030 with no fuel available. I drive less than 500 miles a year in this. The value would be lost and enjoyment too. No EV 4x4 is good enough to keep using for my boat.
289449229	Not applicable
284067811	Every motorist will financially suffer for a VERY long time and it seems that our elected government doesn't seem to care so I'm very worried about their poor decisions.
289468945	You are removing choice for zero climate impact
288959026	Cost
289458272	Responding as a citizen, but impact on peoples health and hospital could be very positive

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 29

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation: How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030? If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288645061	Read prior answers
288214162	Jersey residents often travel abroad and make longer road trips. Until there is proven infrastructure (which is not the case in the UK) to enable this with an electric car then islanders who travel in their cars will be adversely affected.
284030762	I tend to buy second-hand cars and keep them for long. There is not much offering currently in second hand electric vehicles and I think they would become more available with the proposed phase out.
289538940	This will be negatively impact the overall economy and quality of life of Islanders. Indirectly impacting my business.
284065620	This will have a catastrophic impact on the motor trade and cause hundreds of dress to lose employment
288797446	It's limiting my freedom of choice and is government over reach
289524619	Please see my responses generally
284097763	Purely based on health that this policy will have a net positive impact on everyone
283961767	If people cannot afford cars we might need to do more deliveries which would affect how we run and the need for company vehicles that we cannot afford
284248282	My employees live in flats. Where do you suppose they will leave a van and charge overnight every night
289438009	There will be a significant reduction in the range of commercial vehicles available which will drive up ultimate prices .
289894712	I think this will effect everyone on the island.
289502536	It will be a limitation on choice, but this is the right move to make to reduce emissions in Jersey.
289540856	Clearly this will add to the costs of businesses which are already strained. This strain is significantly increased by government vanity projects
289846931	All the extra cost of vans for companies will be passed on.
288792758	everyone that is low and medium class, will be badly affected with this, the ones who can afford less, once again will be ripped off, and abused by new rules when these vehicles are all electric. keep nicking from the poor. lol greed
289884864	It would be negatively impacted in terms of accelerated depreciation write offs for existing fleets and from a cash flow perspective the ability to finance new vehicles. Cost would have to be passed onto customers in what is already a very expensive place to live.
284046919	We are reducing choice Costs Electric infrastructure and cost Fully unknown real benefits of doing this
289011287	Cost
288895545	I use a van for my sales and delivwry buisness which is driven all day 6 days a week i would need multiple elwctric vans to achieve this.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289513952	As a Jersey born, educated and raised individual I am leaving the island to start a new life where politicians listen and act for the people with a fuller understanding of the world not the bubble of Jersey.
289575689	<p>I expect to be negatively affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030. The policy is likely to increase the cost and complexity of motoring, reduce the availability of suitable vehicles, and limit my ability to make rational, affordable choices based on my own circumstances.</p> <p>In particular, I am concerned about higher purchase prices for vehicles, uncertainty in the second-hand market, and the risk that electric alternatives may not be affordable, practical, or reliable enough for my needs by 2030. The lack of guaranteed charging infrastructure, questions over grid capacity, and potential increases in running costs (including insurance and maintenance) all add to this concern.</p> <p>Overall, the phase-out feels premature and inflexible, with the burden of risk and cost falling on ordinary motorists rather than being matched by clear, realistic plans for infrastructure, affordability, and long-term support.</p>
284391546	I am a collector of classic and vintage cars. I know that I will intend to acquire such vehicles in the future, and the inability to import them into the Island will significantly impact on my desire to remain resident in Jersey.
284077217	My current diesel vehicle has many more miles to cover, I do not want to change it for a new electric car any time soon
289896633	this ban is ideological and alternatively we need free choice with no limits
289674627	Idk
283919087	The cost for electric cars is more for used cars. Having to charge an electric car especially living in a flat is a big problem.
289435153	If I need to purchase a new vehicle, I could find myself having to buy something that isn't future-proofed in terms of its technology; with no way to adequately charge the vehicle.
286797439	Issues with charging would be one issue. The other is holidays, where I would not want the concern of where I could charge my car when away.
284134064	I am too old, to be fair I don't care, but I feel for the generations following you should not hamstring/ruin/destroy the economy.
284571596	I have young children and the sooner they do not have to breath exhaust fumes from petrol or diesel vehicles the better
289435629	It's a scam
285655387	I cycle to work every day (roughly 2,000 miles per year) and use petrol vehicles only for essential trips. I have two cars under the age of ten years old and plan to continue to service and run these as long as I can. So long as fuel supply is not affected, these regulations will not impact me. Clearly they will impact the motor trade however.
284402904	Cleaner air and a lower cost of motoring

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289202869	<p>The option to bring s/hand collector vehicles in to the island will be curtailed by the proposals.</p> <p>The cost of running IC vehicles will I believe be likely to rise markedly over a relatively short period of time (e.g. increased fuel duty).</p>
289682382	<p>Jersey's electricity supply infrastructure could not support the 2030 deadline, simples. It is not viable.</p> <p>I travel abroad for business often, most of Jersey's income is derived from off island which means Islanders do and have always travelled let alone for recreation and to see relatives, electric cars don't have the range and therefore the safety, its not just the convenience of the ICE engine, they are not safe, they cause anxiety , the rare metals required exploit Africans and will hold back emerging nations which we so desperately need to come up to par with our more developed nations. It is all too fast and not thought through.</p>
284924040	<p>This policy will significantly restrict individuals future vehicle choices, increase the cost of owning and replacing a car on a small island, and may trap people in a shrinking, ageing ICE market that they cannot easily exit. It interferes with how individuals manage their own property and mobility, for a very small environmental gain and creates long-term uncertainty if the UK and EU later change course.</p> <p>Most Islanders are effectively sleepwalking into this. They have not been clearly told that this means tighter limits on what we can drive, higher motoring costs, and greater dependence on fragile off-island links. The combined effect is a slow erosion of everyday freedoms: how we travel, what we can own, and how easily we can move on and off the Island.</p>
289002704	Please see previous comments.
284026830	Costs associated with changing to electric
289440322	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I will be forced to buy a replacement car at my cost.</li> <li>2. My existing vehicle will only have scrap value.</li> <li>3. I will be forced to install a charging point at home.</li> <li>4. The availability of public charging points.</li> <li>5. The rising cost of electricity.</li> <li>6. Why aren't governments demanding that all new vehicles are self charging?</li> </ol>
289559501	We live in the 21st century where people should have freedom of expression and the right to peaceful enjoyment of possessions. People should have the option of choice based upon their individual preferences.
284060689	Having visited cities well ahead of Jersey in terms of the adoption of electric vehicles, I have experienced first hand the enormous benefits of a pollution free environment even in a traffic busy city centre. It will significantly benefit everyone (other than in the pocket if Jersey does its usual and exceeds prices seen elsewhere).

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289691800	I have no desire to drive an EV and all this will do is kill the second-hand market as prices will be forced sky high as there will be no choice of used cars as the VED is also ridiculous.
284511461	You are taking away our rights of choice and making us move to EV's
284285664	High cost of importing family cars is currently a problem limiting availability. Cars on Island are already overpriced and the VED on these cars aggravates the problem.
289435668	Sales
289435447	Our business is in financial services, so unaffected.
283980150	I am a car enthusiast and as a result have absolutely no desire to stop driving my classic cars. I am not adverse to using a hybrid or electric car as my "primary" vehicle.
284061364	If we get some old oil leaking vehicles off the road the safer it will be for me on 2 wheels. Air quality should also improve - on such a small island it amazes me why people have to drive such big cars such short distances.
289686657	Higher costs on everything including food due to transportation costs.
284178059	No choice. Huge increase in costs. All based on wrong science. Simply a tax . Plus no one I voted for expressed any support for this . I have been ignored as a voter by lying politicians.
289497094	Look at all my previous answers and put them in capital letters with a few exclamation marks
289224246	It seems unfair to force Jersey residents into an artificially stringent set of requirements in pursuit of emissions gains which are negligible in the context of global emissions, whilst the worst global polluters who should do something about it do nothing. The EU have established that the best way to do this is to continue to reduce emissions but in a way which does not cause its citizens undue financial and practical pain.
284064111	I rarely use a cars in Jersey My biggest use is driving in Europe, long distances. EV doesn't cut it - yet - and I would hope hydrogen would but with no ETA petrol remains only viable option
289465701	Having to spend more on a car, plus the limited mileage to take car abroad
289443008	I often travel to Europe the drawbacks of charging fills me with dread
289460414	I am very excited to take a healthier and more environmentally conscious path forward. I think this will have a huge benefit on society
289450962	I like cars and intend to continue enjoying all manner of vehicles into the future
289460203	Electric cars value goes down faster than Jacques Cousteau's diving belt! I have no intentions of purchasing an electric vehicle and losing substantial amounts of money. I suspect many others will purchase the last vehicle available in 2029 and retain forever which is hardly in the interests of new cleaner technologies than if I were replacing my petrol / diesel car every 4 years.
289465782	Not a business

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289230170	Many people are moving over to electric and hybrid vehicles now. Within the next few years, a realistic supply of them will become available on the second-hand market. As it stands 2030 will still be too early to force people to buy this type of vehicle. The risks of creating inequality in car ownership where only the rich will be able to afford a car are very real.
289236156	I need a car for work, I would not chose an expensive electric car with a much shorter usable life and to invest in the cost of infrastructure at this time. I will therefore be holding on to my petrol car for much longer.
288668930	As per previous message, I drive through France and England all the time and need a petrol car that can do long distances. There is no alternative for my needs
289025012	Motorsport will decline, car clubs will be hard for new members to join...
289596846	I would like to change My car in 2030 and would prefer petrol fuel
288904402	Increased costs.
287923248	No longer able to buy the car of choice when they are still avaiable in the rest of the world.
289310210	As a member of the Jersey Old Motor Club and owner of several 1930's cars I am concerned about the availability of petrol fuel and being able to bring any future purchases of that era of car into the island.
289462718	Travelling abroad and to the UK would be more inconvenient, with the limited mileage on each charge
289217229	Man made climate change is a scam designed to control peoples behaviour. The government controlling what the public can and cannot buy is communism. If people want to buy ICE vehicles OR hybrid and electric vehicles they should be able to voluntarily. Let the free markets decide.
289457325	Costs and lack of choice .. unless ev's have elvil ed sufficiently to overcome all current problems surrounding range and recharge times across all models and prices this will be an inflationary event that [TEXT REDACTED] some magnitude that will disable and restrict personal mobility and freedoms
287832697	I prize freedom of choice in making purchases based on all the information available at the time of making the choice. I do not want that freedom artificially eroded, none of us should. This is a fundamental liberty that has existed for over a century. We should be very reluctant to interfere with it and especially not so prematurely. All individuals should make their purchasing decisions (and it is the biggest one for most after a house) based on all the information available at the time.  The only constant is change. Change has never advanced so rapidly. So don't tie anyone to a potentially sinking ship. Other forms of energy exist and will exist in the future.
289442418	I liv in an apartment and would have to rely on public chargers

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289452897	Higher cost
289465939	It will make motoring hugely more expensive
289492657	I am a pensioner living in an apartment & I am very concerned at the cost & inconvenience implications of this hasty process. I currently drive a petrol car & enjoy the flexibility it gives me & additionally, I also enjoy occasional driving in the UK & Europe without worrying about range & recharging problems.
289438861	You will crash the resale value of petrol and diesel cars so people will not be able to switch to electric anyway. Strong grasp of economics. Well done government bureaucrats.
289655691	Just slightly negative as my options to stay conventional petrol diesel narrow.
289440638	Can't afford to change my car so soon.
289434516	I have already made the switch to EVs.
288182508	We live in a beautiful island and we are the perfect place to have these measures put into law to protect our environment. I know it is difficult but nothing worth doing is generally easy as otherwise everyone would do it. We could really lead the world here.
289566795	Cost involved, not everyone or business can afford a new replacement vehicle or fleet of vehicles, 2030 is only four years away !!!!
284324723	I will never be able to afford a new or secondhand vehicle ever again
289909035	Lack of availability of another small modern petrol car like my one.  Difficulty of use when I take my car to Europe as outlined above.
289634538	See comments for Principle 1.
289732171	I work in HCS. What will the cost be to those employees who rely on their private vehicles to get to & from their shifts because there is not sufficient public transport where they live that would ensure they can get to & from their roles at 'unsocial hours'.  What will the cost to HCS be to replace any remaining essential vehicles such as ambulances (including the PTS fleet), Oxygen service vans, Fire engines, Maintenance/engineering vehicles etc. ? These costs should also take into consideration the astronomical electricity bill that HCS is already paying; how much impact will any electric vehicle charging have on this already significant cost?
289507766	I Own classic cars and a diesel car which I run on RD100 and have had emissions tested and were amazing very low, please bring the cost down on RD100 fuel tax, so it is more affordable for motorists
284508221	Its all wrong,

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289486493	<p>Many of our clients already laugh at how expensive it is to get anything done on the island this could be the final straw.</p> <p>My example would be electric taxis a 7mile trip is nearly £40. The reason is because the fares were set given the cost of fuel there is no reconsideration of reality.</p>
284092424	<p>Lack of personal choice;  Increase in motoring costs - home charger implementation, insurance, EV end of life costs  Increase in personal inflation due to: increase in electricity standing tariff due to funding of charging infrastructure; increase in ferry travel costs due to required ev safely measures;  Threat to my motoring hobby and motorsport heritage  Concern at impact of the value of my ICE vehicles due to this strategy</p>
288756877	<p>I'm a classic car owner and enthusiast unable to afford to import the vehicle I'd like to own and keep on the road indefinitely next due to the excessive costs associated with importing such a vehicle that would see less than 500 road miles per year in any case. I'm also a motorbike owner and would be unable to go anywhere off island should the purchase or importation of a future motorbike necessitate it be electric. I'd love to own an electric motorbike for local use, but sadly the cost of living prohibits this as an addition despite being a higher earner.</p>
289542655	<p>I use a 28 hp diesel tractor which would be impossible to replace for an electric if required if the full phasing out of diesel vehicles occurred</p>
289923548	<p>The costs of buying and disposing of electrical vehicles without or hybrid cars is likely to be significantly higher than currently. This will put additional financial pressure on individuals and businesses.</p>
289448689	<p>I am not wealthy enough to buy a new car every 3 years, electric cars are verging on a subscription service for ownership whilst petrol or diesel cars are purchased once and ran until the value of repair becomes greater then the vehicle (which varies greatly depending on the owner).</p> <p>The parked car requires no infrastructure, emits no emissions and causes no further environmental damage. Electric cars cannot claim the same, they increase wear on roads and consumable parts of the vehicle.</p>
289551618	<p>I would like to make my own informed choice when purchasing or importing a vehicle into the island. I would sooner see demands from the planning department insisting on solar panels above car parks or on any new roof or agricultural sheds.</p>
289768854	<p>Responding personally, anticipate business will have financial consequences which will be passed on to customers.</p>
289467659	<p>I may be forced to make a choice that I would prefer to be a personal one. I believe I am well enough educated and have the opportunity to be well enough informed not to have that choice made for me.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:</b> <b>How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?</b> <b>If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289233176	Reduced noise on the busy roads outside the house eventually...
289564554	It will be expensive for me to buy a new car, or a second hand car whose price will increase. The labour force will also increase their charges as their expertise is split between new vs old. One will strain. I also do not have space or money to pay for the installation of a charging port at my House (JTC said it would take 4 months after I asked them to install, before doing a feasibility study????). It's insane.
289423332	See my comments in Phase 1 in this survey
283960312	As a classic car driver I am concerned that the hobby will be eliminated from the Island with a 50 year exception, this needs reducing to 30 years, and could be easily fixed at that point, ie pre 2000 vehicles, which would then be 50 years old by the 2050 target.
289547645	I will have to walk 5 miles to work and all of my patients will no be happy with how late or wet I am.
288820664	Limiting my ability to use the correct transport for effective and affordable activities.
286792810	What does this policy say about freedom of choice in jersey? This policy should never have been implemented in the first place. Freedom of choice, not a controlled, non-democratic system Plus the policy is scientifically flawed. Please support an open debate on the questions: i) Is mankind's emissions of CO2 causing a Climate Crisis? and ii) Does the Jersey Carbon Neutral policy represent good Value for Money to reduce Jersey's 0.001% of global emissions or are there better places to use taxpayers' funds (like health, Education, Infrastructure, etc)?
289461302	I will be required to replace my existing petrol vehicle with an electric vehicle that I do not want, at considerable personal cost. Beyond the financial impact, I am concerned that this policy compels compliance with an approach to climate policy that I do not agree with and which is being imposed without a clear democratic mandate or adequate public consent.
284393943	I believe my main concerns have already been made in previous comments. Charging infrastructure in Europe, road tax implications, safety in event of a fire where multiple electric cars are involved.
286506726	See all my previous comments. Insurance is set for a big rise for EVs. This will compound the current cost of living crisis. Potentially force people into buying new ICE cars before they are ready to and have budget for it. How will someone using on street parking charge a vehicle? How well positioned is the JEC to provide sufficient supply for these vehicles?
289366258	Lack of choice in the future. Long journeys to family in U.K. and Europe require proven and reliable options like petrol and diesel vehicles.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288962724	I don't wish to drive an electric car, but might be persuaded to purchase a hybrid
288888978	I have just purchased several new pure petrol vehicles just in case this comes in. I expect their value to hold or increase if there are no new petrol cars imported.
289490296	Cost and fuel going forward
289554827	Staff will not be able to afford electric vehicles for private use, or for use in attending meetings.
285209403	Should not be phasing anything out
288817957	The tax payer will end up paying for the infrastructure to facilitate charging vehicles. People who live in apartments and park on street do not have facilities to charge their car, when will the infrastructure be in place to assist this?
289437642	Possible Money issue for changing
284327195	As a district nurse, I could not afford to buy an electric vehicle. I would have nowhere to charge it.
284205618	2nd hand vehicle sales will be very profitable for a number of years that's for sure !!
288803396	On purchasing new vehicles i want petrol or diesel vehicles
289549164	Can afford an electric vehicle at all.
284025615	EV's are in my opinion soulless - I have no intention of buying one. More work should be done on making sustainable petrol/diesel more affordable.
287919878	Steam powered cars might be optimal
289708288	I work in one of the motorbike dealerships on the Island. Electric bikes have already been available for many years, long enough to see the batteries fail and need to be replaced. We have a sizeable collection of defunct batteries that we cannot dispose of. Increasing the sales of these bikes without first solving the disposal issues creates bigger problems with stock piles of dead or dying lithium ion batteries - bad for the environment, bad for business.
288180567	3 out of 4 in our household would be unemployed if this happened now and 4 of us would be without a hobby and I believe the island as a whole will have even less to do on the island because so many's events won't happen
289533806	Manufacturing impact High production and decommissioning impact. La Colette will have piles of EVs next to the asbestos.  Enjoyment Probably shows but I am a passionate car owner - moving towards a "white good" that moves your body but not your emotions fills me with dread battery energy density is lower and recharging longer and more frequent than ICE.  Cost of living

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	EVs are still more expensive and the world seems to be unable to take the uncertainty now
289912392	I do the majority of my mileage in Europe, often towing heavy trailers with the ability to drive c500 miles between refuelling. This will become almost impossible if I am forced to buy an EV with an effective range reduced to c100-150 miles with trailer weight.
289453493	It's just going to cost everybody more and that effect to lower paid more. Inflation will rise as costs rise.
288191843	We enjoy vehicles, driving, tinkering etc Using them for specific tasks to our holidays It will negatively impact everything
288716722	Charging an electric car at home not currently possible
286153902	Better air quality and reduced noise exposure which puts pressure on all our cardiovascular systems.
289291954	Climate change and the lack of movement towards net zero is a real worry for me. If Jersey decides to move quickly towards a low carbon future that will make me feel better.
284054160	Selling and purchasing vintage vehicles will become impossible.
289458081	Like the phase out of premium petrol by 2040; it will become difficult to find a garage selling petrol.
284122420	Having previous experience with electric vehicles I can say for sure that the additional stress of charging would be detrimental to business users without significant investment
288821295	I want to be able to go on road trips in my car. Electric cannot do this in a timely fashion. I do not have a charger at home. They cost too much new and second hand cars will have a worn out battery that will cost an arm and a leg to replace. Battery tech still needs to improve and the environmental damage caused by creating them is huge and a big concern. I don't want my rights to be taken away on the choice of car I want and believe in. The Jersey government as per usual are blindly following something they have no clue about, and not listening to the general public.
284080334	Most electric vehicles are for families not white van man. There is no electric van that will ever replace my truck as it's a tipper.
289918774	Think it should be obvious by now.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289505686	The transition has long been on the cards and there are good reasons for it happening.
289441544	I drive electric cars already - but it hacks me off I need to buy a petrol car sooner than I planned as I want one before they are killed off
289433893	Price of fuel is going to be taxed so much, people won't be able to drive or heat their homes. Simple. Control the population by taxing them !!
284382802	My major concern relates to motorhomes and the availability of electric alternatives. An electric motorhome would change the hole scope of my holidays and have significant cost impacts.
289844301	see final box 21
288633262	I think electric cars and the next environmental catastrophe
288653551	Huge increase in costs which we will pass on to customers increasing inflation. This will be across the economy. Poorest will be most hit by this.
289541619	1) having to buy a replacement car earlier than I would wish in terms of cost and environmental waste ie disposing of a car unnecessarily so that I can take it abroad.
289567739	I like to travel in France and other parts of Europe by car and I consider this to be environmentally better than flying to exotic places. Travel in Europe will be made more difficult with the limited range and charging options of electric cars.
288811833	As a household we are comfortably well off, but simply could not replace our two vehicles with brand new low emission vehicles. When foodbank reliance in Jersey is increasing, homelessness is increasing, the number of children and families going hungry, it is disingenuous to be talking about phasing out petrol and diesel cars by 2030. A new low emission car is an unaffordable luxury for many households in Jersey, so who does the government propose will pay for this transition, as well as the fact that all diesel, petrol and hybrid vehicles will become worthless overnight.
288791451	Seeing as electricity in the island, he's not environmentally sourced why change?.
283986203	Removal of personal choice is in my view a very significant negative.
289460840	I am a low income self employed person, who already has a diesel vehicle that is serviced every year and is in excellent working condition. With our current infrastructure for repair and maintenance will keep being so. I can see coercive tactical policies under a guise of virtuous and 'green' being implemented with regards to increases in fuel prices, imports for petrol/diesel vehicles and replacement parts so as to push towards swapping to EVs. The fact that net zero policies have been put in place before such questions as those in this survey is wrong and questionable.
286464052	If you are not going to provide new cars what are you going to do about parts for the current cars uses. I cannot afford to buy a new car just because the government wants to reach a target that they haven't truly thought about or considered the general public

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288817413	As all my comments suggest
286406506	The current cost linked to carbon reductions in Jersey is very high. There are much more sustainable and quicker ways to meet true Paris aligned Net Zero. Please listen to us.
284125673	The cost of the vehicle and price of electricity which is bound to increase
288906881	Quieter engines means less sensory stimulation, allowing for less overwhelm when supporting neurodivergent individuals
289751784	There are many who would be personally affected . Limited pension income . Illness in family . Helping with grandchildren.All need door to.door l transportation . Many have old cars but look after them well.  Replacing it with a net zero vehicle would be unaffordable for many and have a devastating effect on every aspect of life and have a knock on negative effect on other family members
284643344	The cost, this is potentially going to force many people off the road. Also those non home owners are likely to have problems charging.
289453718	Stop value signalling nonsense - it will make absolutely no difference and merely cause huge inconvenience to ordinary people
287827439	I will not be able to import a second hand petrol car. I cannot and nor can i ever see myself being able to afford a new car and EV's are really expensive to purchase, more expensive to service and have a short lifespan due to the batteries. Nobody young apsires to work hard to buy an EV.
288801005	N
289444481	The 2030 phase-out will likely have a significant negative impact due to higher vehicle costs, limited availability of suitable alternatives, and insufficient charging infrastructure. For individuals, this creates financial strain and restricts access to practical transport. For businesses, particularly trades, rural operations, and small enterprises, the phase-out risks disrupting essential work, increasing operating costs, and limiting vehicle options. The policy, if implemented rigidly, does not reflect Jersey’s unique circumstances and could create widespread economic and social challenges.
284112048	I am happy that Jersey is taking responsibility for vehicle emissions through this action. I am looking forward to being able to cycle to work through fewer car fumes. Balancing this is the undoubted higher cost of running an electric vehicle, and I would be very upset if subsidies for buying electric vehicles and installing chargers were to suddenly dry up with less than two years’ (say) warning. We won’t need to buy another car within five years, as we look after ours and live frugally, and it would be really galling to loose out because we have cared for a car and thereby given it a long life.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289708271	I am sufficiently well off that I will be able to deal with the additional costs if this policy is implemented. However, those of lesser means will be substantially impacted, especially sole traders etc. who use their vehicles constantly.
283962280	Price, affordability, availability and distance range of very specific electric WAVs (wheelchair accessible vehicles) Grants to purchase electric WAVs past the phase out end date as many won't have benefited from the electric vehicle grants as due to the current scarcity and low affordability.
289183640	I take my car away most of England does not have the required number of charging points it would be a nightmare
289464556	As above - I can't wait for cleaner air and quieter roads!
284186355	We will retain our petrol car and if forced to will change to another petrol car prior to the phase out. We will not be forced into the electric car rubbish that is being pushed on us by people who appear to be making uninformed decisions that are ruining this island.
289436398	Most garages and car Manufactures in Europe are on their knees, whilst the rest of the world, especially China thrives...
289507050	Motorised vehicles will continue to be built and technology will continue to develop. The small garage businesses and sole traders involved in the motor trade either selling or servicing, will go out of business and increase the unemployment figures. Mental Health figures will also rise as people become anxious and worried about their futures.
288768203	The total revocation of freedom of choice.  The more research I have undertaken with Harvard Business School into supply chain issues, the more I have understood that this is not a sustainable form of green transition.
289521669	I have a relatively new car but old enough to consider replacing by 2030/2035 which will force me to buy a car I don't want (e-car). I enjoy the long road and travel to family off-island regularly. I don't believe I should be forced to stay overnight and rack up extra expense because I'm not allowed a certain type of car on-island. E-cars are great for small island living but bigger vision: most people on island have family elsewhere and travel there by car. I also wont feel safe driving an e-car long distance currently as there are still lots of concerns about it setting alight. The boats are also limited e-cars. Until the infrastructure and car designs allow for long distance travel, I think Jersey shouldn't be allowed to control the monopoly of cars this extensively.
289508753	I wish to retain the right to exercise free choice.
289467251	You have given a I haven't the foggiest option
289441295	It helps all of us to combat climate change.
287914748	I should not be dictated to by a small government which cars I can buy and drive.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation: How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030? If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289348379	No answer if you are Not involved with business!!!
289464884	I do not have the financial means to purchase a new vehicle of any kind and rely on the active second hand market to meet my transport needs.
284203585	I only buy second hand cars - how good are second hand electric car batteries, where is the charging infrastructure.
289464257	higher overall cost, having to scrap a totally functioning car.
289437211	Cost
288662140	My business is dependent on vehicles to deliver its services We have put strong incentives in place to encourage the take-up of electric vehicles over the past 12 months, based primarily on commercial considerations Of all the vehicles purchased over the past 12 months across the UK, one third are electric. The other two thirds are ICE, due to practical problems in forcing all colleagues to go electric. Every decision NOT to purchase an electric vehicle goes to the CEO for approval, but it's still 1:2 ratio electric/ICE
289435483	How are people with limited means able to access affordable transport
289438859	Cost, no charging infrastructure, loss of freedom.
288962411	The phase-out will increase vehicle costs, reduce choice, and force premature replacement of serviceable vehicles. For individuals and small businesses, this creates higher financial pressure with no clear evidence of local environmental benefit.
289493663	This is very much against Jersey's functioning as a free market in a capitalist economy. Why should government bureaucrats decide which type of car people should buy and drive? Last I looked Jersey is not a planned centrally controlled economy. Resources should be devoted by governments towards adaptation to climate change, which is happening whether we like it or not. Ignoring this and grandstanding, which is the effect of this type of action/policy will damage Jersey's economy, people's lifestyle, cost of living AND the climate. Get real, tell the truth and start implementing policies that make sense please.
284238423	Expensive outlay for new vehicles. Short life of batteries. Low vehicle residual values. Island charging infrastructure not big enough to support the change.
288885801	Basically, COST.
289444990	I do not want to be forced into buying an electric vehicle.
289308402	As the driver of a diesel propelled vehicle and owner of a classic petrol fueled car, I see this proposed legislation having a significant impact on myself and like minded residents.
289440203	Most personal drivers will not really be affected assuming that the infrastructure and availability / price of vehicles is addressed.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284428972	Wages in the island have not kept pace with the astronomical cost of living, increasing this burden will only make the island less competitive in all or its markets.
284051655	Will not be able to afford an electric vehicle as the vast majority of income is spent on living expenses. This would be using public transport, increasing my annual commute costs, further pushing me to poverty. Furthermore, would increase my commute times daily from 30 min to in excess of 1.5 hours.
284115441	My main concern is that this policy feels unrealistic and unfair for a small island like Jersey. The environmental difference we make globally will be negligible, yet the financial impact on local families, charities, and small businesses could be huge. Many people simply cannot afford to replace their vehicles or install home charging points.  Before any phase-out, there needs to be a clear, funded plan for infrastructure, affordability, recycling of batteries, and long-term sustainability. Otherwise, this will only increase inequality and frustration without delivering genuine environmental benefit. Jersey should aim for balance — encouraging greener choices through incentives and education rather than strict bans and deadlines that punish ordinary islanders.
289305404	I will continue to buy vehicles as I see fit and will not be bullied or affected by Government "drive" and bullying.
289862313	Yes, I believe this would be a major step forward in reducing Jersey's carbon emissions. I am also looking forward to a future without the pervasive influence of petrol and diesel fumes, and without the constant background noise of the internal combustion engine. The island will be a better place for it!
284137806	The costs of replacing vehicles is seriously concerning. I do not see any benefits at all.
289539832	You will remove wide-ranging personal freedoms from Jersey residents for infinitesimally small environmental gains. Meanwhile, the rest of the world will not ban petrol and diesel vehicles.
289841740	My personal freedoms will be curtailed. This is a significant government overreach for which there is no democratic mandate. You will point to the climate change policy but not a single sitting States Member, in their published 2022 manifestos, stated that they intended to bring about a ban on the registration of vehicles with internal combustion engines. This will be a significant issue at the 2026 election and politicians who wish to enact this policy should stand for election on it and receive a democratic mandate to enact it, if it is such a good idea. It is not a good idea as our 450 million neighbours in the EU proved in December 2025 when they rejected a similar ban in their countries
289467081	I own a diesel Land Rover utility vehicle which has become a collectible vehicle and is of practical use in my business

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288663218	I believe that introduction of the phase-out removes my ability to choose which vehicle I can own.
289621161	I am a teacher. Lower emissions on the road outside our school will benefit the health of our children.
284145285	I believe the cost of what is proposed will adversely affect other services available in the island and economic growth. Priorities should be energy production and economic growth. The vehicle change will follow as has always happened - for example in farming, aviation etc
289022664	Will remove my ability to afford a vehicle. I don't see the cost of these reducing any time in the near future
284395804	Lack of personal choice. The States is comprised of popular lay-people who think they know best.
285656392	As I said before, as a member of the Jersey Classic Vehicle club this will significantly affect the members.
289542387	affordability on all fronts
289460444	Because we will be shifting our responsibilities
289486878	I take much enjoyment in using my pure electric vehicles for travel and sport off island. EVs are not suitable for these activities and therefore I do not wish to switch over. On island I would be happy to use EVs for local travel and commuting once suitable access to charging stations is available.
284104204	You will bleed them into bankruptcy
289435431	I work for a fuel company so of course it will have an effect
284096617	Should be able to replace my car with a vehicle of my choice.
289544849	People only on a pension may not have funds to purchase a new car within the timeframe allowed and the bus service is not island wide enough thus people could become isolated
284714951	This policy has already increased the cost of living here and stands to make things worse.
284104271	I have a petrol car which is 12 years old, so the chances are that I will have to buy an electric vehicle as my next car, which will be much more expensive than a petrol car and much less convenient to "refuel" as I do not have parking within reach of my own electricity supply.
285369694	I appreciate the point around personal details, however I want to make a point, if any of the below is considered personal details I am happy for it to be on this form and to be shared for the purposes of this consultation.  I am a young adult Islander who was born in Jersey, to Jersey born parents, and I would like to remain in Jersey for rest of my life. However, I continually struggle to see a viable future in Jersey when all political and legislative decisions are seemingly pushing away any possibility of an enjoyable and affordable future in Jersey. If this plan for a phase out goes ahead I will legitimately reconsider my

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	future in Jersey and the possibility of leaving permanently. I am also aware of many other young adults in Jersey who share this sentiment.
288975071	I am a private individual and not involved in managing a local business which is reliant on transport. I would circumvent any idiotic ban by buying forward. EV's have been foisted on society through ignorant politicians who wrongly think they are the salvation to climate warming. They do not understand the cradle to grave emissions of all classes of vehicles.
289467094	Who would not want a healthier environment for themselves and their children.
289453332	Will change car before the rules come in and buy a good car that will last for many years to come .
288955885	I believe that when the sales of fuels significantly decrease that the price will increase to cover the costs of production/importation and loss of tax revenue. Already the cost of fuel is higher here than on the mainland/ Europe.
286392597	Cost of motoring increases passed onto the public. Non-Viability of EVs for traveling away from Jersey.
284319664	The Costs of motoring including is currently too high , stop making the Public pay more , Poverty in Jersey is increasing ! who can afford the price of EVs ,
289445090	The cost of motoring will increase significantly unless there is a dramatic change in the cost of vehicles, cost of disposal, lifespan of the vehicles (maintaining resale value), etc. I spend much time using my vehicle in Europe and it is accepted that the charging infrastructure will not be in place by 2030, therefore long distance motoring, especially to less built up areas will be impossible in a pure electric vehicle.
289441637	We shall be financially slaughtered either way because the distributors will value your vehicle to what will make them a handsome profit ....

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
285861618	<p>If the current legislation goes through unaltered you run the risk of destroying the second hand car sales market, there would quite literally be no second hand cars on the forecourts as people decide to hold onto there existing cars, research was carried in UK a number of years ago and the question asked was how long do you keep your car for and at the time the general consensus was 5 years the same question came up again this year and the response was 9 years so the trend is moving for more long term car ownership, this could be down the the general down turn in the economy as people have less money in their pockets due to interest rate levels but also due to the fact that people don't want an EV. This being the case if people in Jersey hold on to their cars the secondhand car market will dry up, the forecourts will very have little stock and the second hand car sales will close as there will be no stock to sell. Now this could take a number of years to come to fruition but having worked all my life in the motor trade ( 40 years ) I think I know what I am talking about. I really hope that I don't have to tell you " I Told you so "</p>
287918365	<p>Lack of consumer choice - higher costs - larger impact on the environment from electric vehicles!</p>
289465854	<p>I will never buy electric car. They are not safe</p>
289471965	<p>Pay premium price, for a dire unreliable product to replace my reliable car... I've already gone down the electric route and the battery needed replacing in less than 3 years! 9 grand to replace that!  I'm not going down this route again until there is a better product...  And let's just ignore the fact that when you run out of fuel, you can't just stop in a petrol station and be on your way in 5 minutes</p>
284933136	<p>Cost and availability on infrastructure. It will impact the cost of living and therefore create inflationary pressures on wages. The lack of charging points etc will continue the WFH culture which is not beneficial to business.</p>
284140116	<p>Retired</p>
286008811	<p>I would love to see pollution levels reduced, road noise reduced - positive impact  Negative impact - as we use our largest vehicle (petrol) for long journeys in France and UK when we would not be happy to stop and charge multiple times in a journey.  Negative impact as it will cost businesses more to change vehicles and install new infrastructure, so costs will inevitably be passed on to customers</p>
289436214	<p>Don't do it</p>
285280932	<p>Frequently travel to Europe where infrastructure is unlikely to be ready</p>
289871712	<p>You are taking away our freedom of choice and ultimately forcing us to buy something most of us don't want (look at current EV sales) which are more expensive and suffer far greater depreciation. It is also worth bearing in mind that EVs are not that easy to repair after an accident and quite often have to be written off (far more than petrol/diesel cars) and that creates disposal issues.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289442003	In this very high cost island to live.. having to buy these not so carbon free modes of transport no fumes yes but mining lithium... transport etc disposal of the worn out batteries they are not carbon friendly.
289320930	Cleaner air in Jersey. Hopefully slower traffic on the roads given max speed of smaller BEVs e.g. Citroen Ami. Smaller and lighter vehicles means less road wear. International acclaim for Jersey for going all electric in advance of other jurisdictions.
284110886	I
289457572	Hitting the people who seem to pay for everything! Businesses will pass on additional costs, and the rich & poor will get exempted from paying, either direct or indirect direct.
283976426	Additional costs so we can not actually impact global net zero targets.
287128965	On the basis that I am responding as a personal motorist, I phrase my answer on behalf of the thousands of other motorists who will not complete this because they are unaware of it or because they wrongfully assume they don't need to because the Government is surely going to get this right. As already said, this phase out is premature and is out of kilter with everywhere else that matters ie UK and EU. Jersey must not go alone without a proper and full investigation on all of the consequences before embarking upon what is a fundamental freedom of choice issue of the public and which if implemented, even with the exemptions listed, will cause undue financial and social hardship.
284127669	Not applicable
289506974	clean air! allelujah
288643246	If you include the ban on Used vehicles being imported, then I believe it'll negatively affect the car market and what is available to use locally. I believe you should focus on new vehicle sales and let the petrol/diesel vehicles die out naturally. I find it unwise to put all your eggs in the electrified basket whilst the EV market is still really in its infancy and there are lots of issues yet to address as you yourselves have already highlighted. In addition we also need to consider the impacts to those whose livelihoods have been geared around petrol/diesel vehicles, i.e. the technicians and engineers who have valuable skills and trades.
289897793	I have a diesel vehicle to carry my mobility scooter/scooter. And can not afford to buy electric vehicle
284046149	It should be .you choice to drive either an ICE or electric vehicle, not be dictated too by others
289446994	We will need to purchase a new car to replace our current diesel when it life expires and we can;t afford an electric that will meet our need of a car that does significant distances off island.
283946986	I walk a mile each way to work, alongside slow traffic. I feel and taste the pollution daily. And the radiated heat in summer.

UserID	<p><b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:</b>  <b>How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?</b>  <b>If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b></p>
289465213	I like the idea of moving to an electric or hybrid vehicle, but I believe we will be negatively effected by the cost to do so.
289852919	I am in the electrification of homes business.
289530603	<p>I do not agree with the phase out and petrol and diesel vehicles should be allowed.</p> <p>I will never buy a plug in not only because of the cost but many issues. Huge safety issue with plug ins and people should not be forced to buy them.</p>
284158535	If electric vehicles are so reliable, affordable and durable there will not be needing govt assistance to be adopted. Reason why noone buys electric unless its to capture the socialist incentives is because they are inefficient both for workman and for everyday use.
289165768	I work in the motor trade for a medium sized motor group and the phase out of petrol and diesel vehicles will have a massive effect on trade and our business. We sell fuel, vehicles, parts, and service and repair vehicles. We have already seen a massive drop in fuel sales over the last 10-14 years. We have also seen a drop with profits within the business due to the drop in serviceable vehicle items with electric and hybrid vehicle servicing. [TEXT REDACTED] jobs will come under pressure through loss of business with the massive change with a EV phase out will cause.
289476908	If forced to purchase a new electric vehicle I will be more inclined to keep my older ICE vehicles as I don't believe electrification is the future. Surely this is counter productive, alternative fuels causing lower emissions are a more viable alternative
289840714	<p>This will affect me negatively because it is designed to raise the cost of mobility and constrain choice in a small, high-cost market, long before the alternatives are genuinely affordable and universally workable.</p> <p>In practice the policy operates through scarcity. By restricting what can be imported and registered, you compress supply, particularly in the used channel that most people rely on for affordability. That pushes up vehicle prices, increases the cost of replacing a car, and encourages people to keep older vehicles longer. It also shifts costs into things you cannot ignore, charger installation where possible, higher reliance on public charging for those without off-street parking, and the risks of reliability, pricing power, and insurance costs, all of which feed straight into day-to-day living costs.</p> <p>The policy is also strategically brittle. The justification rests heavily on alignment with UK and EU direction. That external anchor is now weakening, so Jersey risks ending up with a uniquely restrictive regime that our neighbours and supply chain do not fully mirror, meaning we carry the economic pain without the claimed benefit of alignment.</p> <p>If Government wants decarbonisation without a cost-of-living hit, it should prioritise access and affordability first, charging availability in dense areas,</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
	reliability, price discipline, servicing capacity, and a mature used market, then tighten standards gradually. As drafted, this is a blunt restriction that will make an already expensive island more expensive.
289560777	No
289538973	Completely unrealistic for me to have an electric car as I drive across the continent regularly and my paid for parking spot has no power and no plan to have power to charge my car. I don't use it often so it would just not charge in the time that I do shop (e.g. 30 mins per week absolute maximum). I will buy a new petrol car at the last possible minute to ensure I get most mileage out of it...
289434105	Financially i suspect it will affect us all. I am not persuaded that EV vehicles are the best long term solution solution to replace fossil fuel cars or that Jersey has fully explored other options around public transport. Time has largely run out for manor infrastructure changes by 2030
289515225	I do not have funds available to (a) purchase a new electric vehicle (b) install a charging point on my property and do not believe that the government / JEC will have the necessary infrastructure in place within this timeframe

UserID	<p><b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:</b>  <b>How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?</b>  <b>If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b></p>
289585125	<p>The phase-out will significantly negatively affect Jersey households and the broader economy. First, vehicle costs will increase dramatically: new EV prices (£30,000-£45,000) eliminate affordable vehicle ownership for lower-income households, while used petrol/diesel vehicles (£8,000-£12,000) are the only current affordability pathway. Banning used imports eliminates this option entirely. Second, charging infrastructure is inadequate: Jersey has published no deployment timeline for domestic chargers or public charging networks required to support 4,000-5,000 annual EV additions. Rural and rented accommodation residents cannot install home chargers. Third, the motor trade faces employment losses: 150-200 jobs at risk from reduced service demand (EVs require 40% fewer service operations). Fourth, grid capacity is untested for rapid EV penetration at scale. Fifth, supply chain risks increase when the ban eliminates fallback options during UK shortages. Sixth, the policy is regressive: wealthy urban residents with charging access and EV affordability benefit, while lower-income, rural, and older residents bear disproportionate costs. This violates principles of equity and proportionality.</p>
284253157	<p>I don't particularly want to own an electric vehicle ,also I believe the manufacturing of these vehicles and technology are pushing up the price of ordinary vehicles</p>
289505955	<p>I cannot afford current electric cars and also have to travel several times a year to see elderly family and electric cars do not work for long distance currently.</p> <p>I wish to have the choice of what vehicle I purchase.</p> <p>I also cannot afford to have a electric charger installed. Having converted to solar and all electric heating and cooking we cannot add an electric car charger without laying a new electricity feed to the house down a private lane.</p>
289681165	<p>It's too expensive and if taking the vehicle abroad , it would make any journeys 5 times longer and more expensive. I would suggest you watch the experiment by guy Martin. Driving the length of the UK in an all Elyria car. Cost 3 times the amount it would in his diesel van. Jersey needs to stop trying to be fashionable. It does not serve the islands population todo so.</p>
289434078	<p>I need to travel for my job</p>
289446166	<p>Retired people having to cope with the high cost of living in Jersey can ill afford to be expected to fund the high cost impact of the transition.</p>
289837109	<p>I cannot afford even the cheapest electric car, even if I wanted one which I don't. I drive over to Switzerland through France regularly and know only a petrol or diesel car can get me there in time and safely.</p>
286800941	<p>Whole policy is unfit for purpose. If I wanted to live in a place where our government dictate what I can or cannot drive, driven predominantly by ideology that is falling apart in other jurisdictions, i'd move to Russia or maybe North Korea.</p> <p>Jersey politicians , please employ some critical thought</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289468769	Only through choice of vehicle
289451202	As I am a petrol car as well as a hydrate, I can see the problems with hybrid cars, I far prefer to drive petrol cars and I believe that until further work is carried out on electric cars they are unsafe and very expensive.
289230155	Purchasing a vehicle is a once in a ten year investment for me Limiting my choice to that of electric only where the charging infrastructure Isn't in place to support it, I have no charging facilities at home and no way of installing them plus the high cost of the vehicle feels like removing the freedom of choice
284346446	My right to choose which vehicle I wish to buy/use. At the moment, we can choose between petrol, diesel and electric... you are removing that right!
289373558	Responding in a personal capacity, I believe we shall all be negatively affected by this legislation because it will limit our choice to drive what we wish. I DO NOT wish to have to charge my vehicle for hours, while I can spend 5 minutes filling up with petrol. Our electricity prices will be very expensive, even more so than now. There is too much government interference. I don't believe there will be enough capacity to charge these vehicles. Batteries are extremely expensive and heavy in the cars. I find it difficult to understand all the complexities of an electric vehicle. I prefer small, simple petrol cars.
288749392	Freedom of choice on personal note and possible impact on a business level
289244633	Costs will rise
288650777	Total ban of fossil fuel vehicles is unrealistic and unfair on private individuals, modern vehicles are very clean, the public should be given the freedom of choice not forced to go full electric, i fear if the government does not act responsibly the general public and companies will continue to use dirty vehicles for many years to come, fossil fuel vehicles are relatively economical to repair and keep running when compared to near to end of life electric vehicles, used vehicles will continue getting older and omit even more pollution unless checked or the public given the opportunity and support to purchase clean vehicles
289225282	Costs will inevitably increase while choices will fall.
285114519	A phase out of combustion will improve air quality and reduce noise pollution. However electric cars are still only cars. In the absence of other measures to reduce the number or size of individual cars, the problems of congestion and parking will still remain, as will present highway safety issues.
289848145	It's all a waste of energy and time for absolutely no gain...
289492619	The key issue for local residents travelling in the UK or Europe is that the infrastructure for charging of batteries and repair of the vehicles is still very limited. There is no guarantee that infrastructure will be sufficient. Better to review this after the EU and UK deadlines have passed.
289541005	by the current cost of living crisis the plan is a suicide only the super rich can afford this switch

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289891466	I will have my freedom to choose curtailed by a law imposed for ideological reasons. I will not accept that.
284032342	I live in a one bedroom flat which I own outright. Please tell me as an almost pensioner how I am going to afford a new or used electric car? Where am I going to charge it? Everyone where I live could potentially own an electric vehicle. Are we going to have to pay to have individual charging points? One won't be enough to cover even 7 apartments. Am I going to have to unplug the charger once it's fully charged at goodness knows what time of night? You are potentially taking my freedoms away from me and causing great anxiety.
289080299	<p>My colleagues mental health and general welfare based on decisions made by people who are not qualified (by their own admission) to make decisions of such nature.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- People wont be able to afford new EV vehicles</li> <li>- they wont afford to service them or replace their failing batteries</li> <li>- Many colleagues hobby directly relates to vehicles and motorsport</li> </ul>
283968354	After seeing the post office needing to use 2 vehicles to complete the round rather than 1 ice engine this isn't better for the planet.
284408174	<p>Range and charge,  I have worked for a company which had an electric NV200 2nd hand 50,000 miles on it that got imported from the UK.  It wouldn't complete the delivery round it had to do.  Had to come back and put on charge.  They've gone back to an petrol van after taking a huge hit on the electric van.</p>
289420645	<p>The government hasn't thought about every angle that this change could affect. The islands Infrastructure. Cost of living crisis, alternative transport provisions for those that may not be able to afford a new car therefore have to give it up. Getting rid of all old vehicles where are they going to go- reclamation site with all the asbestos?  Car events that generate tourism and income. High net worth individuals and residence of the island who owns supercars who will move because they can't drive their own vehicles. The government needs to think wider the impact has. Given the way such a small island, the impact of our emissions Pace and significance when you look at the big players like America India, china etc. I don't want it to come across as we shouldn't do anything but I think in this scenario we should not try and be a forerunner but actually follow the pack.</p>
289460699	<p>Emergency vehicles haven't the capacity to run long enough for long term incidents and could compromise peoples safety.  The current fleet of Police vehicles are returned at the end of a shift with perhaps 20 miles available and need recharging prior to going back out again, great if it's fir something serious and you need to use lights etc, which will greatly reduce the range further, modern fire appliances are no better.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
283993524	I'm supportive of the changes. It is unsettling but, ultimately, I think it's the right thing to do. The financial incentives are key - people need to have the economic motivation to adopt electric vehicles. I have some concern about the pace of the roll out for larger/business vehicles - these are surely the worst polluters yet they'll be on our roads whilst everyone else is adopting electric... seems inconsistent.
289511890	Being unable to afford the funds for a electric vehicle, Being a disabled driver it would severely affect my independence going out and appointments.
284820280	The infrastructure is not in place to charge a fleet of electric vehicles. Even most housing estates cannot charge electric vehicle satisfactorily in all house holds as the power supplies are simply not sufficient. If everyone came home and put the kettle on, put something in the microwave and plugged the car or cars in to charge, the grid would most probably fail.
289501644	Personal independent mobility and convenience
289597749	Improved air quality and reduced noise pollution.
289379143	I look after various high net worth individual's in Jersey with regard to their car collections. There is huge concern over these proposals and not just from my clients. You need to look at the cars/individuals that have arrived in the last 2 years and the ones arriving this year plus a huge local market for high end cars that increasingly have low emissions.
288665749	I have nowhere close by to charge a vehicle and the j e c have no intention to upgrade the supply in our area due to the few properties affected.we can't change to electric heating either.
284325139	Cost, risks, associated debt burden for future generations, environment impact of the infrastructure enhancements required to achieve these unrealistic objectives.
289476469	I would like to be able to buy a petrol car whenever I like rather than being forced to participate in a virtue-signalling exercise  Jersey is a small island. We do not travel many miles. We have other priorities. Spend your time on those.
287261262	I am not a business
289562931	You are removing my choice and increasing my financial burden.
284155213	All electric cars are expensive to buy and fix, plus all are automatic, which I can't drive.
289502481	Will there be sufficient charging stations in the uk as there is not currently.
288749281	By focusing on EV cars only you will put a number of businesses into administration and loose a number of key workers who will look elsewhere to live. EVs are not the answer for all people. You should focus on all types of vehicles being allowed to be purchased but at the same to find solutions to promote lower emissions. Like synthetic fuels and zero petrol which is already available on the island and used extensively in motor sport already.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284420932	Most people can't afford the cost involved in electric vehicles. The island does not have the infrastructure in place. My last bus at night is 9.30pm. What about shift working if i no longer have a vehicle? As electric is way too expensive. Along with the safety concerns of electric vehicles.
284147625	Cost increases.
289486321	Personally I will be too old to have to live with the chaos caused by these regulations but I fear for the younger generations, especially the less well off.
283997312	You are taking away our choice, forcing us to Purchase something that in the long run will be more costly and have little or no residual value. This is already being seen in the uk and across the world with most manufacturer franchise refusing to take there OWN products back in Part Exchange.
288285323	Climate change is an extremely important issue, and being a pioneer in the use of electric vehicles will make Jersey a model for other countries to follow, with hopefully improved global uptake of electric vehicles.  In addition, air quality will be significantly improved by this change, particularly in areas such as the tunnel.
289402382	It's taking away people's freedoms. Allow people to make the decision when the technology works for them. The environmental impact is so insignificant, just the time wasted on this consultation is another example of incompetence within our Government.
289442715	No comments
288706474	I may not be able to afford the type of car I currently drive in EV version
289083325	There are other places that you can live and a policy like this would make that conversation inevitable...
289182251	I conduct a desk job, no vehicles needed for my everyday
286934561	The construction industry is an entirely an oil based industry from conception to completion.
289737641	The air we breathe will be cleaner

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
288893712	<p>The phase-out will affect me directly and will also have a wider negative impact on car enthusiasts and related communities. For individuals, it reduces personal choice and forces people toward vehicle types that may not suit their needs, budgets, or preferences. For car enthusiasts, the policy effectively removes access to new petrol and diesel vehicles that are valued for their engineering, character, reliability, and cultural significance. This threatens enthusiast activities such as restoration, motorsport, specialist maintenance, and car events, which contribute to local skills, small businesses, and community life in Jersey.</p> <p>More broadly, the policy risks undermining parts of the local motor trade that depend on petrol and diesel expertise, including independent garages, parts suppliers, and specialist services. Reduced demand and forced transition could lead to job losses, loss of skills, and increased costs for consumers. Overall, the phase-out would negatively affect individual freedom, established hobbies, small businesses, and the diversity of the automotive sector in Jersey, with limited flexibility to adapt in a small island economy.</p>
284093539	<p>I like to drive a car as and when I want. I have no wish for battery life and charging times to dictate my movements.</p>
289734549	<p>I have no wish or desire to ever own or drive an electric vehicle and being forced or coerced in to doing so would be against the values that I hold dear.</p>
289270564	<p>As per my previous comments. Uncharted waters here.</p>
283946521	<p>Less pollution when cycling to/from work or walking around town.</p>
289561717	<p>Jersey cannot move at a different speed to the UK or the EU. WE DO NOT HAVE THE MONEY!</p> <p>Whilst I understand the need to improve the local air quality, our local response is to hit the individual drivers rather than the most polluting vehicles. The VRD tax is not fit for purpose, it's just a tax on more expensive cars. If Jersey is serious about reducing exhaust pollution, it needs to target the worst offenders - 2 stroke motor bikes spring to mind, ancient coaches, old trucks and buses etc. We tax the purchase of fuel but not the production of exhaust fumes. Switzerland has the best remedy - the more pollution a vehicle produces, the higher the annual cost- simple. A 5 litre V8 car attracts the maximum VRD tax, yet if it only covers 1000 miles a year, it is not polluting, is it?</p>
284026627	<p>I enjoy track days in both Europe and the UK. This would stop me from doing my sport as EV's and Hybrids are banned at many race tracks due to fire risks. I do many long trips trailering a vehicle and EV's are not suitable for using when trailering. The infrastructure in the UK and Europe are not suitable for trailers and EV's</p>
289466910	<p>The electric vehicles in production are not cut out for the work required in business.</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284559990	<p>I already own an EV. I purchased my EV in 2018, it was a used EV from 2016, so I've now been driving this EV for 7 years, it's just reached 20,000 miles and I've had no issues with range, no issues with battery longevity as it's still providing similar range to when I bought it, no issues with charging at home or at public chargers, no issues with the car, all it's needed is the 12v ancillary battery replaced, which is a need for any ICE vehicle as the 12v ancillary batteries only last about 4 or 5 years.</p> <p>I expect to buy a new EV in a few years. No way will I EVER go back to a fossil fuel car and no way will I buy a greenwashing Hybrid to use a battery and electric motor to haul around an engine and a tank of fuel that's just reducing the cost per mile and efficiency of the car, madness.</p>
289435224	<p>Positively affected as I wish to reduce carbon emissions.  Negatively affected financially if the cost of replacing my existing vehicles with Hybrid or Electric vehicles was higher than it would be to replace with a petrol or diesel vehicle.</p>
289003939	<p>As a family we travel to Europe. To get to our holiday destination if we used electric as an option it would take 2 days due to charging! Currently 16 hours with diesel car.  So 4 days needed for a family holiday to drive including return is not practical.  The only option left if this was imposed on islanders would be to fly.</p>
285396790	<p>I would be significantly positively affected because I will be able to finally see the beginning of the end for polluting vehicles on this island.</p>
289396176	<p>People who have bought, and owned, petrol/diesel cars have done so for a reason. Let's work together to find a better way to reduce carbon.</p>
284025976	<p>My responses above explain why I think this proposal is a terrible idea.</p>
284380603	<p>We are simply not geared up for this in Jersey there is no infrastructure to supply these vehicles with power</p>
289635787	<p>I am responding in a personal capacity, so this question is N/A for me.</p>
287914445	<p>I believe the phase-out would significantly negatively affect me through increased vehicle purchase costs, reduced choice, higher running costs, and uncertainty around charging access. The proposals risk forcing premature vehicle replacement and limiting practical options, particularly given Jersey's unique housing and parking constraints.</p> <p>I also believe individuals should retain the freedom to choose the type of vehicle that best suits their needs and circumstances. Removing that choice through regulation goes beyond encouraging lower emissions and instead mandates behaviour in a way that feels disproportionate for a small island. Jersey should support informed consumer choice rather than restrict it.</p> <p>Any potential long-term benefits are outweighed by the short- to medium-term financial and practical impacts on individuals.</p>

UserID	<p><b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:</b>  <b>How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?</b>  <b>If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b></p>
286214202	<p>I am responding in a personal capacity, but my views are informed by professional experience in transport, infrastructure and the wider automotive sector, as well as by my own circumstances as a homeowner and parent. The proposed phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030 will materially affect me and many islanders. I currently own a family vehicle from around 2012 and had planned a normal replacement cycle: upgrading to a vehicle approximately six to eight years old (for example a 2018 model) and then replacing that again four to eight years later with a similar vehicle likely produced around 2024–2028. This is a standard and financially sensible pattern for many families. Under the proposed policy, however, my choices will be restricted to whatever stock happens to be available on-island at the time, without access to the UK used car market. This will inevitably mean reduced choice, less competition, and higher prices.</p> <p>The local motor trade does not routinely import or stock the type of vehicle I prefer, such as estate cars, nor the specifications that suit my family’s needs. I am genuinely concerned that I will be left with vehicles that are either unsuitable or unaffordable. Many families in Jersey will face the same issue: not a reluctance to modernise, but a lack of realistic or affordable options. This policy also adds significant financial uncertainty at a time when households are already under pressure. I own a home that requires ongoing maintenance and development, and I am planning for my children’s futures, including the possibility of supporting them through fee-paying education. The inability to plan confidently for future vehicle costs (or even to know what will be available) creates real personal strain. That strain will be mirrored across the demographic of young and low/middle-income families, and the knock-on effect will be felt in sectors dependent on household spending, including residential development, trades, and property maintenance.</p> <p>More broadly, Jersey’s early phase-out is misaligned with UK and EU timelines and does not reflect the way the used car market functions for islanders. The restriction of used vehicle imports risks pushing people to retain older, higher-emission vehicles for longer simply because they remain the only affordable choice. Small businesses and sole traders will face similar pressures, particularly where practical EV alternatives for small vans or commercial vehicles are limited or cost-prohibitive.</p> <p>These concerns sit alongside a broader pattern in the consultation: limited recognition of the differing needs of commercial, agricultural, transport and private vehicle users; a failure to consider realistic fleet turnover patterns; and an incomplete understanding of how low-volume manufacturers, classic vehicles and future synthetic fuel pathways fit into net-zero ambitions.</p> <p>Overall, the proposals create unnecessary financial and practical uncertainty without clear evidence that such early, locally accelerated restrictions will deliver proportionate environmental benefit. A more balanced, phased approach aligned with wider UK/EU policy which recognises the realities faced by families and businesses, would be far more effective and sustainable for Jersey</p>

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
284054355	I do not trust electric vehicles, the batteries are unsafe and have proven to be fatal as fires cannot be extinguished. I wish to retain my reliable diesel vehicles.
289466482	I travel to Europe and also enjoy motor sport If I can only use electric my travel times in Europe will be greatly affected. Also I don't believe the European recharging facilities are satisfactory. It will also curtail my ability to enjoy motor sy
288803370	I have 9 petrol and diesel cars
289557658	<p>The 2030 phase-out in Jersey presents a series of compounding challenges that risk overwhelming an island infrastructure already under strain. A primary concern is vehicle availability and price; because Jersey is entirely dependent on the UK market, any supply chain volatility or manufacturer prioritisation of larger markets will leave local buyers with fewer, more expensive options. While the upfront cost of electric vehicles is narrowing, they remain significantly higher than their combustion counterparts, creating a "green divide" where lower-income islanders are priced out of private transport or forced into a used market with uncertain battery longevity.</p> <p>The cost of motoring also faces a volatile future. While home charging currently offers savings, the likely loss of millions in fuel duty revenue will almost certainly lead to the reintroduction of annual road taxes or distance-based pricing to plug the government's budget deficit. For those without private driveways—a significant portion of the St. Helier population—the reliance on public charging infrastructure is a major sticking point. The current grid and charging network are already facing "gaps" in capacity and power availability, and scaling this to meet a 2030 mandate requires an astronomical level of investment that the island's infrastructure can barely support.</p> <p>The motor trade itself is facing a "skills chasm." There is a documented shortfall of qualified technicians capable of handling high-voltage systems, and by 2026, this gap is expected to become critical. This lack of local expertise will likely lead to longer repair times and higher labor costs for motorists. Furthermore, Jersey lacks a dedicated, large-scale facility for the disposal and recycling of end-of-life EV batteries. Transporting hazardous, heavy lithium-ion units off-island for processing adds significant logistical costs and environmental risks, yet the "producer responsibility" model remains largely untested in a small, isolated jurisdiction. Without a radical and rapid upgrade to the physical grid and the professional workforce, the 2030 deadline risks being more of a bottleneck than a breakthrough.</p>
289769908	The cost of changing two vehicles which still have a long life will be significant to my family.
289443954	Whilst welcoming the action toward the changes the inconveniences and safety of travelling abroad on a pure electric vehicle are significant.
289471283	We have 3 cars that would need replacing potentially in next 4 years. We can't afford that.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289434380	I own a marine business that will be affected as no boats are electric. All the boats in all the marinas are petrol & diesel so will require the importation of fossil fuels at acceptable prices. If the price of fuel increases due to reduced supply and demand, loosing buying power caused by restrictions, then you are causing the Ports of Jersey a problem in the long term, on top of the current cost of living crisis people are already struggling with. We are starting to see boats deteriorate in our marinas in these current times, so implications will ripple from these decisions.
289469612	Because you are removing my own personal choices. Nobody voted for this policy.
289257441	This will cause the cost of goods and services to rise across the board
289435373	Are fleet is already electric with the benefit of fast chargers a huge expense only feasible due to trade prices and government/public funding.
284125822	Given the number of local car clubs featuring older vehicles I feel that the exemptions (for pre 50 year old vehicles) will provide adequate provisions.
288697743	Can't justify the cost of a new electric car now let alone when the prices rise. Jersey electricity will never cope . Jersey does not have infrastructure nor will it have by 2030 to cope with charging thousands more electric vehicles. Pipe dreams. Also with the pot holes currently forming on our roads and with electric vehicles being heavier than current vehicles the roads are collapsing, as utilities have not been reinvested in we will continue to see more instances of this in the future.
288903099	I've said enough
289766120	I feel a lot more time needs to be given before this is implemented, as for my reasons in previous questions. Until there is significant infrastructure in place in the UK, abroad or over in Jersey to charge these vehicles, I for one will be holding onto my recently brought second hand petrol car which I can run, insurance and maintain at the moment reasonable well without too much hardship.
289436821	<p>When my current car breaks I will have to lease a vehicle because I don't want to have to deal with being stuck with an out of date computer with a knackered battery that I can't dump.</p> <p>Cost through the roof.  Civil Servant will have wondered off leaving a [TEXT REDACTED] mess for someone else to clean up when they've not thought anything through.</p>
289338889	the word "will" be affected, should read " would" be affected.
289551415	I dont think this has been thought through on the impact to the average islander
289524825	I am a collector of cars which has significant historical significance. I am also a performance car enthusiast. If I wish to own a historic vehicle or a petrol powered performance vehicle I should have every right to do so and not be restricted by this proposed phase-out. This could easily be managed by creating certain categories of fossil fuels vehicles and restricting their use within the island perhaps in the form of fixed on-island mileage.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289512554	Should have a right to choose the vehicle i drive, within construction and use compliance.
285282283	Life is about change and this is just another change.
284438377	My primary use is foreign travel.. being forced to adopt a technology that does not suit my use or demands would be a significant negative impact..
289525717	I use my vehicle daily. Electricity will be costly.
284046650	<p>This is a loaded question for the stats, no one will be positively affected by this change as anyone who wants an EV is free to go and purchase one now, stopping other people having their choice won't positively impact someone else.</p> <p>Personally, this will negatively affect my life as a keen motorsport competitor and lover of cars and bikes. You will take away my hobby and interests for the foreseeable future and stop me sharing these interests and knowledge with my children. I have nothing against EVs, I believe people should have the choice that best fits there lifestyle and interests. If I were wealthy enough, I might have an EV for commuting or similar, but I don't have the funs or space to add one to our lives.</p>
289219397	It will increase the overall cost of buying and running a vehicle.
284980607	I wish to have the option of a petrol or diesel car. We are not close to being ready for the phase out of these vehicles in just 5 years.
289613601	Diesel/petrol vehicles used for significant european travel during the limited periods of vacation time available in Jersey are invaluable and uses less CO2 than flying. High wattage charging is potentially damaging to battery life and at present affordable long range EV's are not available.
289465982	Jersey does not have the electrical infrastructure in place for a 2030 ban starting. Surely we should have this in place first.
284045157	So long as the vehicle can perform the same tasks as our existing vehicles, I am okay with that.
289536952	Charging infrastructure is just not available for many works vehicles overnight, when all need to be recharged
283972464	I drive long distances in Europe with sports équipement and heavy laid being towed. EV is totally useless for me and plenty of others.
289536672	I believe we should have petrol and electric vehicles available as we do now, and the consumer can select based on personal choice and circumstances.

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:  How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?  If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
286386736	<p>As an individual responding in a personal capacity, the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles could positively affect me by promoting affordable EVs through government schemes like the £650 million Electric Car Grant, offering up to £3,750 off eligible models under £37,000, and up to £2,500 for wheelchair-accessible vehicles (WAVs). These discounts target low-income families and children with disabilities, ensuring cars remain accessible via partnerships with manufacturers, while Chinese firms like BYD could collaborate despite current eligibility hurdles tied to sustainability standards. This transition reinforces energy security with cheaper domestic electricity over imported fuels, cuts healthcare costs by reducing emissions-linked illnesses, and boosts productivity through lower motoring expenses. Electric Viking content underscores global EV affordability drives, like Germany's \$5 billion push, aligning with UK carbon targets by scaling low-cost models for all incomes.</p> <p>Minor short-term hurdles, such as upfront costs or charging access for the poorest, exist but are offset by long-term savings and expanding grants ensuring families aren't excluded.</p> <p>Proportional Equivalent of UK's £650 Million EV Grant for Jersey  Jersey's population of 110,000 represents 0.1622% of the UK's ~67.8 million, making the proportional equivalent of the £650 million Electric Car Grant approximately £1.05 million.</p> <p>This scales directly: <math>£650,000,000 \times (110,000 / 67,800,000) = £1,054,572</math>, enough for ~281 full grants at £3,750 each (covering eligible EVs under £37,000) or more at lower WAV rates (£2,500).</p> <p>Jersey Context  With 127,911 registered vehicles (2,453 EVs, ~2% share in 2023) and ~4,200 new registrations yearly, £1.05m fits Jersey's scale, targeting affordability amid its 2030 petrol/diesel phase-out for net zero by 2050 (road transport: 27% of emissions). No current purchase grants exist, but this mirrors UK support for low-income access.</p>
284475970	<p>Costs, which will inevitably be passed on to you and me.</p>
284233967	<p>I will be required to fit a home charger at my expense. I will be expected to pay the increase in electricity bills, my expense.</p> <p>I cannot work on the electric car, so will be expected to pay for repairs at my expense. Electric vehicles cost more in parts and insurance, my expense.</p> <p>The average electric car has a battery span of 10 years, I will be expected to pay for a replacement car or battery, at my expense.</p> <p>Finally, electric cars are prone to catching fire, who will save me, my family and the environment, the government?</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
 Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 29

UserID	<b>Q29. Recalling whether you are responding in a personal capacity or on behalf of a business / organisation:            How, if at all, do you believe you, or your business / organisation, will be affected by the phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles from 2030?            If you wish to, please explain the reasoning for your response above. Please avoid sharing personal details in your response.</b>
289436156	I will continue to prefer driving a petrol car. I'm concerned that this option will not be available to me.
284073239	The proposed removal of choice in a free market, for a fundamental good, is damaging to liberty and unnerving for ordinary citizens worried about ordinary things like the state of the local economy and the inefficient but expensive overreach of the ministry and its officials. One notes that no information is given as to the estimated administrative cost of the proposed changes. But it is easy to cognise that they will be significant, and create yet more 'government' when we badly need less.
289480903	From a farming perspective there is limited electric vehicle choice for tractors and other machinery currently. If that changes then I am in favour, but cost and delivery infrastructure needs to change now not be promised to be in place just before cut off points

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
286493733	I am not a climate change sceptic but am concerned that this change will negatively impact poorer Islander's ability to own a car. There seem to be some exceptions being built into the change that would allow some to circumvent the rules - e.g. classic cars over 50 years old are probably owned more by wealthier people, small manufactured batches are likely to be more expensive etc. - you can't import a petrol Honda civic but can a small batch McLaren F1 or a guzzling 1960's 6 litre Ford Mustang??
286762711	Assuming that the 2030 target of carbon neutrality is retained (and it may not be) I would prefer efforts are concentrated on making transition practically possible (eg infrastructure) and choices desirable (eg wait until there are more models available), rather than the lazier habit of legislative force by a Government. It makes sense to let the UK do its thing first due to its size. If the UK is successful with its subsidies etc, then the supply of vehicles into the market will naturally orientate to sales of electric. Jersey will thereby also avoid the risk of being the only adopter of these policies. In the worst case, the target will be delayed a couple of years which is nothing in the scheme of things.
285423180	This is a nice idea that simply has not been thought through enough. Neither the public nor the manufacturers are ready for this in this timescale. It will lead to massive price inflation and unaffordability for many will leave them without transport. Please reconsider and push this back at least another 10 years. Then plan for how you will invest in the charging network, public transport and most likely massive subsidies for vehicle purchases.
286421271	Perhaps more investment in cycling and helping people on lower incomes, and businesses to buy cargo bikes or e-bikes, which would reduce the need for private cars. Also some consideration in investing in shared vehicles/bikes (and how families might use these (e.g. availability of child seats) and better buses across the island would support this transition.
284364083	DO IT. There is plenty of time for people to adapt. Jersey is perfect for EV so we should lead on this. And we need more investment and incentives to get people on their bikes and using public transport (a separate issue I know, but as important when it comes to reducing the impact of cars).
286034240	This legislation has been rushed through with only considerations being given to those fortunate enough to be able to afford either a brand new or near-new electric vehicle. By delaying the legislation by 10 years it will allow stock of 10-15 year old vehicles to enter the used car pool and allow those of us currently trying to survive in the worst cost of living conditions in decades the chance to purchase an electric vehicle at an affordable cost.
289185945	The whole phase out is a pointless exercise, so many improvements can be made across the island to benefit islanders, but these vanity projects and knee jerk decisions are being perused instead, efforts should be focused elsewhere

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284382567	<p>The proposed 2030 phase-out feels overly rigid and focused solely on electric vehicles, without considering other viable solutions. Alternatives such as synthetic fuels, hybrids, and sustainable biofuels could allow existing vehicles to remain in use while achieving carbon neutrality, yet these options have not been explored.</p> <p>EVs are not a one-size-fits-all solution for Jersey. Key concerns include:</p> <p>Infrastructure gaps: Charging facilities are insufficient, especially in St Helier where parking is already problematic.</p> <p>Practicality for travel: Many Islanders regularly drive to the UK and Europe; EVs make this significantly harder and more time-consuming.</p> <p>Environmental trade-offs: Mining for battery minerals and end-of-life disposal raise sustainability issues that undermine the “green” narrative.</p> <p>Cost and fairness: Forcing premature replacement of perfectly good vehicles will create financial strain for many households.</p> <p>A more balanced approach would:</p> <p>Target high-emission vehicles first (e.g., older buses and goods vehicles).  Exempt motorbikes, scooters, and low-mileage specialist vehicles.  Invest in infrastructure and explore alternative fuels before imposing blanket bans.</p> <p>This strategy would achieve emissions reductions without creating unnecessary hardship or ignoring practical realities.</p>
288679019	<p>Having spoken to different groups of Islanders young, old, motorsport, car enthusiast, daily drivers, shopping cars, we seem to have a familiar answer with Synthetic Fuels. Most people say, bring the price of Synthetic Fuels down, have more pumps available and the general public will be onboard. I can only see this being a positive.</p>
286429152	<p>Date should be revised to 2035 -40 to allow us to gain experience from other countries, for prices to drop, technology to mature and to allow infrastructure to prepare for the upcoming change.</p>
289591909	<p>Overall, while I support the goal of reducing emissions, the proposed 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles feels premature for Jersey’s unique circumstances. The Island currently lacks the charging infrastructure, grid capacity, second-hand EV market, specialist vehicle alternatives, and battery end-of-life arrangements needed to make such an early transition fair, affordable, and practical.</p> <p>A rapid phase-out risks creating affordability issues for households, operational difficulties for businesses, and inequality between new residents and long-term Islanders. It also places pressure on essential services and trades that rely on vehicle types for which suitable zero-emission alternatives do not yet exist. The heavier weight, higher cost and shorter lifespans of EVs, combined with limited local charging access, raise further practical concerns.</p> <p>A more balanced, evidence-based, and phased approach is needed — one that ensures infrastructure, technology, support services, and the wider market are genuinely ready before imposing restrictions. Jersey’s transition must be fair,</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	realistic and tailored to the Island’s needs, not simply aligned to the UK’s timetable. For these reasons, I believe the proposed 2030 phase-out requires reconsideration, with a stronger focus on readiness, affordability, fairness, and practical feasibility for all Islanders.
287908193	Any plans on Lorries coaches over 25 years old on jersey roads these are the bad ones, look at Tantivy Waverley They should be in a scrap yard. How can you say green with out these type of vehicle the worst type still on the Jersey roads every day ?????? Keep it simple stupid.
288699533	As stated previously Phase out should not be pushed on people.
286150347	those who don't have the money to replace the very expensive replacements will be at a significant disadvantage. The need to be able to use a car in Europe.
288808986	Refer back to my earlier comments, Jersey is only responsible for a fraction of a percentage of global emissions and by moving to electric vehicles, we are only moving those emissions out of Jersey to other countries that don’t have net zero targets. Globally the impact will be negligible.
284349091	Please stop this green washing all it does is put jersey backwards.
289438898	I shall no longer be alive
289466447	There is a world wide movement to stop the push to zero carbon emissions by 2030. Jersey emits .001% (if that) of carbon emissions. The pushing of this is purely virtue signalling and gives no actual benefit to the environment as a whole. But it significantly impacts jersey businesses and public. Jersey politicians should be honest and truthful to their public and stop this nonsense.
289440416	Please see all my comments as otherwise this has been a waste of time. Thank you.
285918014	N/A
289569551	I'm all for EV's and transitioning but, as I said, currently there's only a token effort to put chargers around the island. And they're not in especially useful spots. Without many time more places to charge, you have no chance of convincing those who live in flats or dont have other ways of charging, from moving to electric.
285906981	This entire approach is designed from the perspective of someone who sees personal vehicles as nothing more than appliances. For these individuals EVs are available and mainstream, forcing the rest of society to do further damage to the environment by demanding lithium and high amp charging is not a sustainable approach.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289628090	<p>In considering the proposed phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, it is essential to step back and approach the issue through a "systems thinking" lens. Personal transport cannot be viewed in isolation: it is part of an interconnected ecosystem involving a wide range of inputs and even wider range community impacts. Effective policy must therefore consider the whole system rather than focusing narrowly on one component, such as the achievement of Net Zero ambitions.</p> <p>Jersey’s transport system is also deeply shaped by global and UK-driven factors, including manufacturer production cycles, regulatory frameworks, and technological availability. In areas like vehicle standards and technological transition, where Jersey is a taker rather than a maker of global trends, it is both practical and sensible to align with larger jurisdictions rather than attempting to act ahead of markets that we ultimately depend upon. Over-specifying Jersey-only timelines or constraints risks creating misalignment with the UK and Europe, where nearly all of our vehicles and supporting technologies originate.</p> <p>Finally, any major policy shift of this nature must take seriously the potential for unintended consequences. If policy is overly rigid or poorly sequenced, it may lead to affordability challenges, reduced mobility for certain groups, distortion of the used-vehicle market, greater reliance on more carbon-intensive modes of travel, or pressure on essential services and businesses. A successful transition will require careful balancing, acknowledging ambition, but grounding decisions in real-world feasibility, consumer impact, and long-term system readiness.</p> <p>In summary, Jersey should aim for a comprehensive, integrated approach to decarbonising transport; one that considers the entire ecosystem and impacts across all of the metrics rather than just net zero, adapts to global developments, and avoids narrow or premature decisions that may not ultimately deliver the optimal outcomes for the people of Jersey.</p>
289503713	<p>Any move to all electric vehicles in Jersey must be supported by competition in electricity supplies or strong price regulation on Jersey electricity. A monopoly electricity company could easily abuse their position in all electric future</p>
289455370	<p>Implementing a cliff edge policy is damaging to residents. Gradual change through limiting age importation of vehicles is the soft landing that delivers on long term phase out</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284040451	<p>Policy Submission: A Balanced and Fair Approach to the Future of Vehicle Sales  Subject: Policy submission on the future of petrol and diesel vehicle sales, alternative fuels, and fair transition to low-emission transport.</p> <hr/> <p>Executive Summary  This submission argues for a fair, realistic, and environmentally responsible approach to vehicle policy. It supports the continued sale of petrol and diesel vehicles, the increased use of alternative low-carbon fuels, and alignment with the UK’s 2035 phase-out target.  The document highlights the importance of consumer choice, technological diversity, and global environmental accountability, ensuring that the transition to low-emission transport is inclusive, affordable, and sustainable. It calls for policies that empower people rather than penalise them, and that address the full lifecycle impact of all vehicle technologies.</p> <hr/> <p>1. Introduction  The drive toward net-zero emissions requires bold but balanced decision-making. While electric vehicles (EVs) will play a major role in reducing emissions, conventional petrol and diesel vehicles—especially when powered by cleaner fuels—still have an important part to play.  This submission outlines a policy framework that maintains access to all vehicle types, supports the use of alternative fuels, and ensures the transition to cleaner transport does not disadvantage consumers or businesses.</p> <hr/> <p>2. Ensuring Fairness and Accessibility  A rapid and total ban on petrol and diesel vehicles would impose significant social and economic costs. For many individuals—particularly those in rural areas, lower-income households, or industries such as construction and logistics—electric or hydrogen vehicles remain unaffordable or impractical. Maintaining the sale of efficient petrol and diesel vehicles provides time for technology, infrastructure, and markets to evolve. It ensures that transport remains affordable, accessible, and equitable throughout the transition.</p> <hr/> <p>3. Supporting Emission Reduction Through Cleaner Fuels  Modern internal combustion engines are far cleaner than previous generations, and further improvements can be achieved through alternative fuels such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biofuels derived from waste and sustainable crops;</li> <li>• Synthetic fuels (e-fuels) produced using renewable energy;</li> <li>• Renewable diesel and low-carbon fuel blends.</li> </ul> These options reduce lifecycle carbon emissions and can be implemented using existing refuelling infrastructure. Encouraging their use is a practical way to achieve emission reductions without forcing early vehicle replacement.</p> <hr/> <p>4. Aligning with the UK’s 2035 Target  Aligning the phase-out date for new petrol and diesel vehicles with the UK’s 2035 target provides clarity and consistency for consumers, manufacturers, and fuel suppliers.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>A unified timeline prevents policy confusion, supports investment certainty, and ensures that infrastructure, renewable energy generation, and supply chains have adequate time to develop. It also enables the market to benefit from continued technological improvements in batteries, alternative fuels, and hybrid systems before a full transition occurs.</p> <hr/> <p><b>5. Upholding Consumer Choice</b>  A successful and fair transition must preserve the right of individuals to buy and own the vehicle of their choice, whether petrol, diesel, hybrid, electric, or alternative-fuel powered.  Restricting choice risks public resistance and social inequality. People’s transport needs vary widely—rural residents, tradespeople, and long-distance drivers require flexibility. Policies should therefore support technological diversity and innovation rather than enforcing a one-size-fits-all solution.</p> <hr/> <p><b>6. People Should Not Be Forced Out of Their Cars</b>  Transport policy should encourage positive change through incentives and innovation, not coercion. Many people cannot yet afford or practically use electric vehicles due to high upfront costs, limited range, and a lack of charging infrastructure.  A fair transition means not forcing people out of their cars or into purchasing electric vehicles before the conditions are right. Instead, governments should focus on infrastructure investment, affordable low-emission options, and incentives that make sustainable choices more appealing and accessible.</p> <hr/> <p><b>7. Considering the Full Environmental Impact of EV Production</b>  While EVs reduce tailpipe emissions, their production involves significant environmental impacts. Mining and processing materials such as lithium, cobalt, and rare earth elements can produce toxic waste and environmental harm.  A key example is Lake Baotou in Inner Mongolia, China—an artificial tailings lake used to dispose of waste from rare earth mineral processing. These materials are vital for EV batteries and motors, but the site has become heavily polluted with radioactive and chemical waste, contaminating nearby soil and water and affecting local communities.  This illustrates the need to assess the global lifecycle impact of vehicle production. Low-emission policies must therefore include commitments to ethical sourcing, recycling, and cleaner industrial processes to avoid shifting environmental damage abroad.</p> <hr/> <p><b>8. Policy Recommendations</b>  To ensure a fair, practical, and sustainable transition to low-emission transport, the following recommendations are proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Maintain the sale of new petrol and diesel vehicles that meet strict modern efficiency and emission standards.</li> <li>2. Align the local phase-out date with the UK’s 2035 target to ensure consistency, investment certainty, and fairness.</li> <li>3. Expand the use of low-carbon fuels such as biofuels, renewable diesel, and</li> </ol>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>synthetic fuels.</p> <p>4. Preserve consumer choice, ensuring people can buy and own the vehicle that suits their needs.</p> <p>5. Invest in infrastructure and innovation, including charging networks, hydrogen supply, and fuel production.</p> <p>6. Promote ethical and sustainable sourcing of materials for electric vehicles and encourage recycling initiatives.</p> <p>7. Adopt an inclusive approach that prioritises incentives, fairness, and gradual change over enforcement or penalties.</p> <hr/> <p>9. Conclusion</p> <p>Achieving net-zero emissions in transport requires a practical, inclusive, and balanced approach. Maintaining the availability of petrol and diesel vehicles, while supporting alternative fuels and aligning with the 2035 target, offers a realistic pathway that combines environmental progress with social and economic fairness.</p> <p>The transition to cleaner transport should empower consumers—not penalise them—and recognise that sustainability comes from innovation, diversity, and public confidence. A policy based on choice, fairness, and technological openness will deliver a stronger, more sustainable future for all.</p>
288967696	<p>I realise there have been some use of electric vehicles already but, Parishes, States bodies and arms length states companies should lead by example and replace all the petrol and diesel vehicles well ahead of the proposed dates. Also as a monopoly there should be legislation in place to force the JEC to improve their infrastructure. There should also be price caps and limits to any price increases made by the JEC.</p>
284232266	<p>It s a scam, wake up Jersey!</p> <p>1. Carbon offsets and “paper balancing”</p> <p>Companies often buy carbon credits instead of actually reducing their own emissions.</p> <p>These offsets can fund questionable projects (e.g., tree planting that never happens, or forests that are later cut down).</p> <p>So firms keep polluting but claim “carbon neutrality” on paper — that’s a major greenwashing tactic.</p> <p>2. Shifting blame to individuals</p> <p>Many campaigns focus on consumer behavior (“use less plastic,” “fly less”) rather than on the small number of corporations responsible for most emissions.</p> <p>100 companies account for over 70% of global industrial CO<sub>2</sub> — yet much of the focus is on personal guilt.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>3. Unrealistic dependence on future tech</p> <p>Many “net zero by 2050” plans assume we’ll invent cheap, large-scale carbon capture technologies — which don’t exist yet at the needed scale.</p> <p>So they postpone actual emission cuts today.</p> <p>4. Greenwashing and PR</p> <p>Oil and gas companies use “net zero pledges” to polish their image while still expanding fossil fuel production.</p> <p>Governments use targets decades away (2050, 2070) to appear climate-conscious without changing current policies.</p> <p>5. Economic and political manipulation</p> <p>Carbon trading markets can be exploited for profit, with little real climate benefit.</p> <p>Wealthier nations sometimes offset emissions by funding projects in poorer countries, which can lead to land grabs or exploitation.</p>
289506074	<p>A complete rework needs to happen here and at the least a population wide vote on such a significant change to our way of life etc.</p> <p>Significant investment is needed by the government to support this, such as:</p> <p>Salary sacrifice schemes that are tax efficient.</p> <p>A full look at Jersey power infrastructure to support this change and that needs to be in place before the impact to the people of Jersey.</p> <p>Work out how this change in percentage terms would effect the global climate change mandate and then take a realistic view on risk / reward.</p>
288799523	<p>"My final objection to this proposal is that it fails to account for the full lifecycle environmental impact of electric vehicles. While EVs have no tailpipe emissions, the production of their lithium-ion batteries is an incredibly destructive and energy-intensive process.</p> <p>The mining of lithium, cobalt, and nickel often leads to massive habitat destruction, soil degradation, and water contamination in some of the world's most vulnerable ecosystems. Furthermore, the manufacturing process for these batteries can produce significantly more CO<sub>2</sub> than the production of a standard internal combustion engine.</p> <p>For an island like Jersey, where vehicles are often driven for 15–20 years, forcing the replacement of reliable petrol cars with vehicles that carry such a heavy 'environmental debt' from production—and which currently lack a proven, local recycling path—is not a logical environmental strategy. We should not ignore the global pollution caused by battery production simply to meet a</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
 Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	localized net-zero target that is currently unaffordable for most of our population."
288973164	Abandon the Carbon Neutral Road Map and recognise any claimed human contribution to climate change is very very minimal
288924873	<p>This law will not make any difference to climate change.          How about banning bonfire night! That's an easy option.          Lots of small businesses will not be able to carry on after the vehicles that they use at the moment come to the end of their economical life.          How about banning the use of private planes landing at the airport. They are far more polluting than cars but because it's the rich that use them, they can't be touched.</p>
289502052	The environmental and health impacts of ICE vehicle emissions are well documented, and continuing to accept them unnecessarily harms communities.
284108241	We are a small island and are insignificant , until USA china South America etc get there act together it doesn't count diddly squat .
288745592	<p>Btw amnesty international and the United Nations are against electric cars for the reasons I have previously stated above. If you hate human rights just say that!!</p> <p>Also it's kind of embarrassing you're still proceeding with this given all of the red flags</p>
289314149	It should be delayed until all the problems with electric cars have been really considered without pressure to join the panic to reverse climate change, as Jersey's contribution will make no difference in the world situation.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284043766	<p>There’s a massive car community in Jersey — from classic and vintage owners to modern performance fans, supercar collectors, and motorsport enthusiasts. We’ve got clubs and meets almost every month that bring people together, raise money for charities, and support local businesses. This isn’t just about cars — it’s about community, passion, and a huge part of island life.</p> <p>But this proposal wouldn’t just hurt enthusiasts — it affects everyone. Ordinary islanders rely on affordable, practical cars, and most can’t afford or even want an EV. The local market is small and already expensive, which is why so many people import cars from the UK or mainland Europe. Take that away, and you remove people’s freedom of choice while driving up costs for every household.</p> <p>Let’s also talk about VRD — Vehicle Registration Duty. It’s already one of the most punishing costs islanders face. You can buy a car in the UK, pay to ship it here, and before it even touches the road, you’re hit with thousands in VRD. If that car ever gets written off, you have to pay it again on the replacement. That’s already unfair — and once new ICE imports are banned, replacing a car through insurance will be almost impossible for most people.</p> <p>Then there’s the infrastructure issue. EVs are far heavier than petrol or diesel cars, which puts added strain on our older car parks, road surfaces, and ferries. Jersey relies on boats for travel to the mainland and France — but are those ferries even designed to handle the increased weight and fire risk of hundreds of EVs? Some major ferry companies, like Norway’s Havila Kystruten, have already banned EVs entirely because of fire risk concerns. It’s not just impractical — it’s unsafe.</p> <p>And now even security risks are being raised. UK defence firms have advised employees not to connect their phones to some Chinese-made electric cars due to data and surveillance concerns. With our finance sector being such a vital part of Jersey’s economy, that’s something that can’t just be brushed aside.</p> <p>EVs might work for some places, but they’re not the perfect solution — especially not for a small island like ours. I fully understand the move toward greener technology, but hybrids, cleaner fuels, and sustainable fuel development are far more realistic and achievable options for Jersey right now. We can still reduce emissions without removing people’s freedom of choice or wrecking our local culture and economy.</p> <p>This isn’t progress — it’s policy without perspective. Jersey deserves better than a rushed, one-size-fits-all ban.</p>
289435013	<p>What is the real impact of very modern petrol/diesel or hybrid vehicles? Isn’t the largest impact from lager and older vehicles rather than the everyday personal car.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284052520	Not enough thought has been given to actually environmental impact of these proposals. From what has been published it is very short sighted, doesn't look at all aspects, and appears to be the wish of the few, not the many. Quite disappointed in the effects which this has on both the individual but also the increasing apparent desire for this government to move to a nanny state.
284104289	Scrap the phase out. Electric vehicles are not a safe or environmentally friendly alternative
289457963	As aforementioned, don't restrict purchase nor import of petrol/diesel vehicles. Import fees and emissions costs upon import are already VAST and completely unreasonable - which will only worsen as we approach 2030. Other methods exist to reduce emissions, forcing consumers to switch to electric against their will by making it completely unaffordable and then impossible is completely unreasonable.
284089374	This is a ridiculous policy which will do nothing to reduce problems on a global scale but will significantly harm the ordinary driver. The UK's deadline will inevitably be pushed back leaving Jersey at a significant disadvantage.
289888657	For God's sake, don't do it!
283955527	Bringing in the phase-out earlier than the UK would have many benefits; the sooner the better!
289294477	I believe that you will have problems with the general public, you are making a huge change of choice and transportation ways.  I believe you should challenge single use of cars, school runs by parents bringing down diesel use in town (they don't get up to operating temperature) encourage more bus use with more, smaller more regular busses  On another point people are used to having transport, freedom and choice, this will reduce this and they won't like it. Powerpoints, there will never be enough, you will have literally fighting in the streets over them
288793966	It's totally ridiculous.
289544199	I've said everything I've needed
288793567	See my above comments

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284005054	<p>You are removing the people's choice. I make 100's of eco and environmentally conscious choices every day it's at the forefront of everything I do. HOWEVER electric vehicles are not environmentally friendly; the batteries alone are worse for the environment for manufacturing and disposable than driving around in a fuel car is. But this is not being considered because they'll be manufactured off island it won't count into the governments little 'statistics' of your net zero goal. It's a total sham. It's heading for dictatorship if you remove people's choice. A ridiculous idea and with the state this government has put the island in you will experience riots in the near future. How can you justify removing our freedom of choice.</p> <p>You are screwing over the public. You are unjustifiable removing our freedom of choice.</p> <p>This is honestly a shocking action on the government.</p>
289448670	<p>What is the stance on HFC. This is the way forward, not EV.  But like other governments you have decided to go the EV route but it is flawed.</p>
284257645	<p>Please combine discussion of this with an initiative to plant a significant number of trees as well as raising awareness of passive solar architecture</p>
286668969	<p>None.</p>
288793815	<p>It's pure madness</p>
289466453	<p>Electric vehicles are not the answer. Manufacturers are already stopping production [bmw].</p>
289215235	<p>Too soon, not enough research and will make no difference to global climate. Will end up being another cost put on the public for some government led virtue signalling</p>
284456626	<p>Stupid idea. Government need to wake up and stop this net 0 [TEXT REDACTED].</p>
283958079	<p>Get lost with your stupid ideas look after people not own ideas.</p>
289893855	<p>Evidence emerges in the Media week after week that the ordinary Tax payer/Voter is fast running out of trust in the Machinery of Government in Jersey. This is due to incompetent howlers cropping up; and a hubris which prevents those who are able, from actually making a change to improve Islander's lot. Of the 49 in the House, I struggle to name 5 I would vote for. Of the FIFTEEN THOUSAND PAID CIVIL SERVANTS: It is not your fault you are in a contract which makes you unsackable and at liberty to be as incompetent as you like so long as you "network" with your superiors sufficiently. The fault is with your predecessors enjoying their pensions. The Civil Service Elites should be ashamed - but they on such a good contract why should they be?</p>
289454448	<p>Please delay the phase-out of petrol-diesel cars until at least 2035 and ensure the island is open to other non-electric zero-emission vehicles.</p>
288048322	<p>Who will pay for the treatment and disposal of end of life batteries? do not try and become world leaders, we are a tiny island that will make no difference to global emissions. Other countries will continue to use petrol/diesel unabated (India, Asia, USA etc.).  It seems that motorists are being unfairly targeted, what about aircraft owners, boat owners, are they going to be hit with fuel price increases to deter use?</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289436410	Happy for my response to be quoted if needed. Apologies, I can't go back to the start.
288449876	Jersey should phase out new diesel and petrol vehicles only in the first instance.
289445119	I have a brand-new petrol car which is only 1718 months old so what am I supposed to do with it?
289455226	Jersey just needs to do this, better all round for the future of the Island and everyone that lives in it.
287987383	Pointless exercise, doomed to fail. The only benefactors are the battery manufacturers. I assume that they must be paying you to force this through, as it cannot be in the public interest.
289570013	Don't do it. The way society is going generally is that people will use ev during the week for standard short journeys and will use petrol/diesel for recreation The ban does not cater for this eventuality and provides a knee-jerk reaction to a problem that doesn't exist locally. I suspect this is just that politicians can say 'we were first - look how good we are' rather than approach this from a standpoint of practicality. Fuel prices are high already and encouraging people to limit use of their personal transport. Introducing legislation for this, criminalising folks for their hobbies and limiting out choices is not a democratic way. Stop the phase out!
284130294	This is a great move forward for Jersey and a positive news story when marketing Jersey abroad either for tourism or finance
288934418	This is a poorly thought out idea with no real plan. The best intentions, which I agree with to an extent, but it's a copy cat idea without foresight to plan alternatives for small business and the average person.  Please reconsider this and instead look at alternative options to combat Jersey's remaining 75% carbon emissions...
289449229	My comments probably reflect my cynicism with the way that the debate is being conducted and driven without full consideration of all relevant factors.
289437457	As written before, this whole project is badly thought out and based on wrong information. The basis is being discredited and ignored the world over and Jersey is hurting itself and its residents wasting time and money on this. Volvo sustainability department published a very good long detailed report on the merits of electric vehicles vs petrol. I guess no bureaucrats or politicians have read it. STOP THE MADNESS OF WASTING TAXPAYER MONEY ON THIS AND SPEND THOSE MONIES ON MORE IMPORTANT SOCIAL ISSUES OR AS IMPORTANT, CUT THE BUDGET DEFICIT THAT RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE CARE ABOUT.
289468945	This should not be forced on islanders
288959026	I do not believe in global warming, i believe in the Milankovitch (Orbital) Cycles and Their Role in Earth's Climate  We need carbon in the atmosphere for plants to thrive going green could turn the earth brown as plants will die

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289853257	The proposed phase out seems to be an idealistic aspiration, which would be disconnected from the realistic availability of a cost effective local transport infrastructure.
288645061	Read prior answers
289442067	<p>Phasing out petrol vehicles is important, but it should sit within a wider strategy to reduce overall car dependency. This transition is an opportunity to shift people toward reliable, affordable public transport rather than simply replacing one type of private car with another.</p> <p>A practical approach would be to gradually increase road-related taxes on new private vehicles over the next decade—doubling or even tripling them in small, predictable steps—and ring-fence that revenue to fund major improvements in public transport. People will continue to rely on private cars if they remain cheaper and more convenient than buses or other shared options. If government wants behaviour to change, the alternatives must become genuinely competitive in terms of cost, frequency, and ease of use.</p> <p>There is also an environmental dimension that often gets overlooked. Moving from petrol to electric vehicles reduces local emissions, but it does not eliminate the environmental impact of transport. It simply shifts much of the pollution to the countries that manufacture the vehicles and produce the electricity. If Jersey wants to reduce its global environmental footprint—not just its local tailpipe emissions—then reducing the total number of private vehicles on the road is more effective than replacing every petrol car with an electric one.</p> <p>A long-term, phased tax increase—paired with visible reinvestment into public transport—would create a fair, predictable incentive structure and ensure that the shift away from petrol vehicles leads to a genuine reduction in traffic, emissions, and overall environmental impact.</p>
288214162	Jersey should focus on positive steps (incentives, better public transport, better facilities for cycling - the roads are in a terrible state in many places, park and ride schemes) rather than impose something which will remove islanders' choice, cause practical problems around charging infrastructure etc and impose higher costs).
284031607	Internal combustion engines should still be allowed because they offer practical advantages for those with low incomes. ICE vehicles are supported by a vast, mature global supply chain and refueling network, making them more accessible and affordable for many communities. Modern ICE technology has made significant strides in reducing emissions and improving fuel efficiency, narrowing the environmental gap with electric alternatives. Allowing ICEs to coexist with electric vehicles ensures a more inclusive and adaptable transition toward sustainable mobility, especially for populations not yet ready or able to adopt EVs.
283950838	Use synthetic fuels instead
288797446	... and again [TEXT REDACTED]
288787742	Don't phase it out

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284439636	<p>Please actually think about what you're doing. It's not just about cars. It's about motoring festivals, Rally's, Motocross and trails.</p> <p>Electric takes the difficulty out of doing these sports and makes them boring.</p> <p>You're ruining people's hobbies</p>
289435116	<p>Dont do this. Instead encourage electrical car or hybrid use. Clamp down on high pollution from busses and large lorries.</p>
289452482	<p>Let's move to full electric now</p>
284097763	<p>Like GST the policy should avoid too many exemptions which will make it easier to implement and monitor.</p>
284248282	<p>To be honest what's the point. You won't listen to public option. You never do. You will push forward with this either way and not care about the consequences. More income for the government that's all you care about.</p>
288870335	<p>As previous concerns over perhaps upgrading van seems to be unlikely as this is a group you appear to have overlooked</p>
284133245	<p>This entire policy is a poorly thought out reaction to the net zero bandwagon. It is obvious that the emissions of Jersey have no significance on the global environmental. When you have major continents and superpowers continuing to expand coal and oil based energy supplies, all that following this path achieves is making everything more expensive and difficult for average islands, while having zero impact on global levels. As an island, we cannot make any impact (positive or negative) to global emissions levels. I can't stress this point enough; whatever we do makes no difference globally. Let the technology develop and get costs down in the island. Eventually the correct future technology will become clear, and at that point simple market forces will determine that these vehicles will naturally replace existing vehicles.</p> <p>A far more sensible approach would be on a vehicle size and weight calculation. Small light petrol (and electric) vehicles should be encouraged. The current legislation is nonsensical, blindly encouraging LEVs without scrutiny of their suitability to island roads.</p> <p>Another area that could make meaningful improvements is to materially improve traffic flow to avoid congestion. For example, introduce proper sensors on all traffic light junctions, and consider a "left on red" system where safe to do so.</p> <p>Free market choice is the key principle, and the currently system is already out of touch. The ludicrously high level of emissions tax should be reduced, fuel tax should be reduced and islanders should be free to make the best choice for themselves.</p> <p>Please try to avoid reactionary legislation and bring in scientific, fact based policies based on clear, objective and logical reasoning, leaning towards what is best for average residents, not chasing the fantasy that Jersey could have an impact on global emissions.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289437045	<p>Infrastructure not ready. Battery disposal is worse than the fuel and digging out mines of cobalt worse for the world. The way forward is Hydrogen. Jcb . Mercedes among others are well on the way. They need time for Infrastructure for this method. So don't put stupid dates that are not achievable. We can all say ban something straight away with no thought down the line.</p>
288891925	<p>Waste of time try focusing ur energy on something else other than this and perhaps if there wasn't so many road closures and road works around the island then the emissions would not be so high</p>
289723030	<p>The island does not have nor will it have the capability to achieve these targets. Charging facilities, safety concerns, servicing, purchase cost, repair facilities and end of life procedures/ costs all remain unachievable and unresolved.</p>
289894712	<p>A massive emphasis should be placed on public transport (electric buses) and wider bus timetable. More buses, more routes, later timetables. Make public transport appealing to get people off the roads.</p> <p>More emphasis of cycling, especially e - bikes, making cycling accessible for everyone. More cycle /pedestrian paths. Bring back more schemes like the evie bikes as these were great, especially for friends visiting the island.</p> <p>The infrastructure to charge electric cars is not there.  Will individuals be required to charge their own cars or will charging be free??  With the rising cost of electricity normal people can't afford to pay for this themselves.</p> <p>There might be a shortage of mechanics who are trained in these cars. Can put people out of work.</p> <p>The batteries are costly and hard to dispose of, which poses more issues for the environment. In the future how will the government get rid of the dead batteries.</p> <p>Many people make long journeys to Europe in cars and this is not feasible in electric cars.</p> <p>If electric cars are going to be enforced the should be Hybrid, which generate their own energy at no extra cost</p>
289502536	<p>There will be lots of respondents from those who want no change - there always are. But Jersey is an ideal location for electric vehicles as the distances driven are so small.</p> <p>Prices of electric vehicles are falling rapidly as manufacturers get to grips with the new technology and manufacturing techniques, and there are already many electric vehicles to cater for all tastes, from cheap runaround's to Porsche sports cars.</p> <p>Norway - a huge fossil fuels producer - has already proven that with the right policies the public will embrace such a change.</p>
289540856	<p>It's seems as Pugh these types of policy are driven by David and not the electorate - it's is appalling that government behaves like this</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289442328	<p>Our carbon footprint should not just be looking at petrol and diesel vehicles - planes and ferries are big contributors to carbon especially those commuting in and out of the island sometimes daily. Electrify the Island is not the answer not only that we'd need at least another five substations to cope with demand. The answer is being more frugal with what we already have (keeping older vehicles on the road longer..twenty years plus not just until a new model comes out). Better public transport to get people to where they need to get to. Encouraging people to use smaller modes of transport; bicycles e-bikes ( what happened to our e-bike hire) and motorbikes to ease congestion ( most electrified cars are the size of a small vans) and I keep on saying it build a bridge; removes the need of ferries, reduces planes, reduces the need for affordable housing on green belt land and gives us the ability to access state of the art health care within 2 hours on a electric train.</p>
289846931	<p>This hasnt been thought through and is only designed to inflict pain to the people of jersey.</p> <p>You will not be able to take a car on holiday because you can't get far before a recharge.</p> <p>You can't tow anything as electric cars arnt designed to tow a trailer.</p> <p>Has anyone thought about the mass importation of Chinese cars. China will be able to control everything we do one day as the cars all have software with backdoor for state control.</p> <p>We will be able to still drive a petrol car in any other country except jersey as other country's are not bothering. We live in a sad sad island and I hate it.</p>
288792758	n/a
284161455	<p>Electric Vehicles are are not environmentally friendly just look at how they collect the Lithium for the Batteries. The average Battery will only last 10 years and can not be disposed of. We do not have the infrastructure for Electric vehicles our power grid would not cope with the surge of electric. To many incidents of electric vehicles bursting in to flames. Are you going to phase out aircraft's &amp; boats because they give out more pollution than cars? Banning petrol vehicles in Jersey will make no difference to climate change when you have countries like America, China &amp; Russia who are the biggest polluters.</p>
289884864	<p>YOU SHOULD BE FOLLOWING THE UK. Not a laggard but not a trailblazer either!</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288191730	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the continued push toward electric vehicles and the wider narrative surrounding climate change.</p> <p>I believe climate change is entirely caused by human actions, yet it has been distorted into the biggest scam of our time. It is used as a tool to generate money, control policy, and justify harmful industrial practices rather than genuinely protect the planet. As has been said publicly by figures such as Donald Trump, the climate change agenda is largely fake and exaggerated for profit, not truth.</p> <p>Our environment is already ruined by human interference. The soil we rely on to grow vegetables is contaminated with pesticides and industrial chemicals. The air above us is no longer clean, having been sprayed with chemicals from airplanes. Our food is no longer safe or pure—everything we consume is saturated with additives and substances that were never meant to be eaten. Nothing in our natural world remains untouched.</p> <p>Against this backdrop, the promotion of electric vehicles makes no sense. The batteries used in electric vehicles cannot be safely disposed of and will cause long-term, irreversible damage to the environment. Mining the materials needed for these batteries destroys land, pollutes water, and exploits resources on a massive scale. This is not environmental protection—it is environmental destruction under a different name.</p> <p>Man is the cause of everything that has gone wrong in this world, and pretending that electric vehicles are a solution only adds to the problem. They do not fix pollution; they relocate it. They do not protect nature; they accelerate its degradation.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly oppose electric vehicles and the false climate narrative used to justify them. We should stop pretending that this approach is saving the planet when, in reality, it is doing further harm</p> <p>yes, you can quote this response. Thank you.</p>
288799504	
289182398	<p>This ban needs to be stopped, it's not what the people want. It's a fad. Cars emit a lot less co2 now. The tax brands for VED have not been adjusted to WLTP revised levels. This needs to be reviewed.</p> <p>This isn't the answer</p>
284046919	<p>Scrap the phase out and put more effort into delivering better services for Jersey.</p> <p>Stop pandering to pointless net zero initiatives that cost more than any perceived benefit.</p>
289011287	<p>Don't do it..... it will be detrimental to jersey</p>
288895545	<p>Jersey becoming carbon neutral will make no different to the world as other country are producing so much pollution. Its madnes what about the planes over head. Open the plane tracker no one is turning them electric.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289513952	<p>Let's see who has the commitment at the next election to push this agenda through as other countries are already moving timelines. It's not feasible with current technology and infrastructure to be this stupid.</p>
284115798	<p>The idea seems unthought of and almost stupid, the petrol cars that have already been produced cannot be recycled, this leads to several thousands of cars being scrapped when they work perfectly well resulting in mass production of brand new cars which is a major waste of materials. Also there's many other drawbacks including:</p> <p>Car prices - they will soar as brand new cars are highly expensive</p> <p>Fuel prices - the price of oils and fuels will have to massively increase to make up for huge decline of petrol vehicles, this will also result in the loss of many jobs at petrol stations as they will no longer need the workers.</p> <p>Less incentive to stay - Jersey already lacks reason for younger people to stay on the island, they can't afford houses yet even rent so forcing them to buy electric vehicles will just drive them away, these electric vehicles are also dangerous as they have a much higher acceleration and normally weigh more due to their huge lithium batteries.</p> <p>Garages - most mechanics don't have the training to fully work on electric vehicles this means garages would back up and mechanics would have to get specialist training making their prices go higher so people won't be able to afford to fix thier cars like they can now, it also removes the opportunity of them fixing their own cars.</p> <p>Recharging stations - people do not have the space in their houses to out chargers for multiple vehicles this causes a huge problem as people will have to fight to charge their cars. Also what do you suppose people that park on the street because they live in flats do.</p> <p>Parking - heavier electric vehicles not only cause the need for chargers in public multistory car parks but also electric cars are very heavy, this could cause problems as the parking isn't intended for these heavier vehicles and the engineering might not hold up.</p> <p>Community - it would destroy the sense of community that the JMC &amp; LCC have made I was bought up going to their events and have ever since loads of people get super excited the island is full of "petrol heads" it would just be removing one more thing that makes this island special making it more boring for all ages, it simply removes things to look forward too.</p> <p>Fire service - fire fighters can't put out electric cars when they thermally combust, they stay alight for days and can even reignite after, this makes it more dangerous if theirs an accident.</p> <p>False sustainabilty - EV's aren't good for the environment, lithium is hand</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>mined in mountains to be put into huge batteries that can't be recycled, we have petrol infrastructure that's designed for the next 100 years too this will only lead to us going back later on when we realize EV's are just as bad and also have so many downsides.</p> <p>Instead, how about you find a way to decrease traffic so people don't have to sit in stop start traffic with their engines on and that would help, also the government should just subsidies EV's instead of forcing the working people to get rid of thier petrol cars by forcing rules upon them.</p>
289434995	<p>The government would service the needs of islanders far far better by focusing on real matters of importance such as health, education and the pressure placed on the public purse caused by irresponsible spending rather than wasting a lot of money time and energy on something that will literally have zero impact on the world and the alleged issue related to 'global warming'</p>
289575689	<p>The 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles feels premature, overly rigid, and insufficiently grounded in the realities of Jersey's infrastructure, economy, and transport needs. While the goal of reducing emissions is important, the proposed approach places disproportionate cost and risk on ordinary islanders without demonstrating that the necessary foundations—affordable alternatives, reliable charging infrastructure, grid capacity, and a functioning second-hand market—will be in place in time.</p> <p>Jersey's unique circumstances, including low annual mileage, long vehicle lifespans, and a heavy reliance on used imports, mean that a rapid transition will not deliver the same benefits seen in larger jurisdictions. Instead, it risks reducing mobility, increasing inequality, and creating significant financial pressure for households and small businesses.</p> <p>A successful transition requires flexibility, evidence-based policymaking, and a clear focus on infrastructure readiness rather than arbitrary deadlines. Supporting voluntary uptake, improving charging provision, and ensuring affordability would achieve far more than imposing a ban that then requires multiple exemptions to remain workable.</p> <p>In its current form, the phase-out risks doing more harm than good. A more balanced, pragmatic, and locally tailored approach is essential if Jersey is to transition fairly and effectively to lower-emission transport.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284391546	<p>I do not consider that the proposals have been properly considered. I consider that they have been brought forward by politicians to placate a very small, but vocal, minority of political activists within and without government.</p> <p>Problems for which do not appear to have been properly brought to attention of the electorate include:</p> <p>Investment uncertainty: Frequent changes to phase-out dates (e.g., the rollback and reintroduction of the 2030 ban) have created confusion and undermined investor confidence in the automotive and charging infrastructure sectors in the UK and Europe, which do not appear to have had any impact on considerations in Jersey. We are an island, but the links from our economy, and the availability or lack thereof, of suitable replacements is not being taken into account in Jersey.</p> <p>Job losses: Transitioning away from petrol / diesel vehicles could lead to job losses in traditional automotive, light engineering and maintenance sectors.</p> <p>Charging network gaps: The Island lacks sufficient public charging infrastructure, especially in rural and disadvantaged areas, making EV adoption impractical for many.</p> <p>Grid capacity concerns: A rapid increase in EVs will strain the Island's electricity grid, requiring significant upgrades.</p> <p>Affordability: EVs remain more expensive upfront than petrol or diesel cars, even if running costs are lower. This is a barrier for lower-income households.</p> <p>Range anxiety: Many consumers are still worried about battery range and charging times.</p> <p>Used car market: The phase-out affects only new car sales, but there's concern about the long-term availability and affordability of used ICE vehicles.</p> <p>Battery production impact: more recent research demonstrates that the environmental cost of mining and manufacturing EV batteries offsets much of the climate benefits.</p> <p>Disposal and recycling: Concerns persist about how EV batteries will be disposed of or recycled sustainably.</p> <p>Jersey should not seek to pick winners (i.e., EVs) but instead allow a mix of technologies - like hydrogen, synthetic fuels, or hybrids - to compete and evolve, particularly as the vehicle market in Jersey is too small to influence the wider adoptions of technologies, or to influence the adoption of policies around them.</p> <p>Lack of long-term strategy: Jersey is not adequately prepared for the challenge of phasing out ICE vehicles, there has been insufficient planning and support.</p> <p>Grant reductions: Cuts to EV purchase incentives are making the transition harder for consumers.</p>
284077217	<p>This smacks of the motoring public having unlimited pockets to support a deeply ailing motor industry that is hooked into producing too many overpriced and engineered vehicles that it cannot sell.</p>
284254600	<p>As I have stated begin reducing the size of vehicle engines immediately and also the size of vehicles. Our roads are suitable for them and daily examples are there to be seen that too many who own these vehicles are not able to drive them. We may be a curious society but too many are incapable to negotiate our small roads properly without expectation that the smaller vehicle give way</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>when it is the larger vehicle driver who cannot use their mirrors and realise they are 3 feet from their the kerb or nearside wall</p>
289896633	<p>terrible idea</p>
289427636	<p>Dont do it.</p>
283905722	<p>DO NOT GO AHEAD WITH THIS !!!!</p>
284052461	<p>Please don't do this.  As usual its a few eco worriers who as we know have the bigger voice and the the Island is 100% not ready or geared up for it, cars and engines are getting more &amp; more efficient, we are not that far away fro hydrogen.</p>
286797439	<p>Petrol and Deisel car manufacture is restricted at the point of manufacture and as such ICE car engines are getting smaller and less polluting. To comply with impending Euro7 requirements ICE cars are also getting lighter. The alternative to ICE vehicles is electric, however this technology is unproven and many questions remain unanswered especially in relation to battery life, disposal of batteries, mining of rare minerals etc. and as such electric cars are being produced with no regard to there environmental impact, Electric cars are generally heavier, producing greater particle emissions from tyres than ICE vehicles. Issues of cost and charging favor wealthier home owners with leafy driveways, and their is no scheme yet in-place to recover the £26M that will be lost from fuel duty to maintain our roads. In short the proposed ban is premature unless there is a sustainable, proven, affordable and politically acceptable alternative, which sadly I do not accept that the electric car as yet satisfies these goals.</p>
284134064	<p>As mentioned, I am older and this really will not affect me.  I am, however, dismayed at the low level of argument and information on this subject.  I am involved in an aviation project regarding electric aeroplanes. Battery power was dismissed immediately due to poor energy density. This is a commercial organisation with common sense and financial restrictions that close the ideology argument in favour of practicality and what can actually be achieved, and at what cost.  If this type of argument were involved in the current debate, I could get behind it. The arrival of an electric bus, and I am sure there is more to come (god forbid an electric ambulance), was extremely disappointing. It cannot be a viable project, but is a virtue signal to 'address the challenges of the climate emergency'.   There isn't a climate emergency.   If there were, we are irrelevant.   Please stop idealogical fervour blinding the public and follow open argument and sound financial principles, try a positive and optimistic approach rather than a doom laden, control by government 'encouragement' diktat. We are not</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
 Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	children, we are capable of making good decisions. This is something many may get behind.
284438494	Their will always be a need for petrol and deisil vehicles and engines, Electric vehicles cant do the same job, they wont last as long and the damage being done to the earth to mine the precious metals to make them is catastrophic... when they go wrong the pollotion from an electric car fire is terrible and they cant be put out. Until a safe sollution is found we should be phasing out electric vehicles, plus the infastructure wouldnt cope with out massive upgrades to be able to charge them all
289880846	Electric cars are not safe
284571596	Although I have stated that I would like the dates to be earlier, I think the proposals are good and those working on this should be applauded. Please stand strong in your proposal, you are doing the right thing. The changes being proposed will not have any major negative impacts on anyone and are far outweighed by the benefits to all. Ideally, more people should be walking or cycling
284067067	As already stated, this is an ilconceived policy that will add costs to the everyday lives of Islanders, which at the same time has ZERO impact on the global climate. The world is rapidly moving away from this madness; Jersey should follow suit. Just ask Bill Gates!

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289435629	<p>Again I will post my earlier comment</p> <p>Net Zero has all the hallmarks of a civilisational scam.</p> <p>The UK accounts for roughly 0.08–0.09% of global emissions, yet we are being asked to dismantle a functioning transport system, bankrupt households, and cripple industry in the name of moral exhibitionism. It is the policy equivalent of setting your own house on fire to prove you disapprove of arson elsewhere.</p> <p>Applying this dogma to Jersey is even more absurd. The island does not have the electrical infrastructure to support a mass transition to electric vehicles. Not remotely. A full EV fleet—well over 100,000 vehicles—would require grid reinforcement, generation capacity, and charging infrastructure running into hundreds of millions, if not billions, especially once buses and commercial fleets are included. None of this exists. None of it is funded. None of it is honestly discussed.</p> <p>We are told wind will save us. Windmills struggle to reliably power a handful of homes, let alone an island-wide transport network. This is magical thinking elevated to policy. Meanwhile, perfectly reliable, low-carbon electricity already exists—from France—yet ideology insists we ignore pragmatism in favour of performative “renewables” that work best in PowerPoint presentations.</p> <p>The technology is not there. The grid is not there. The economics are not there. What is there is a vast subsidy machine, a green-industrial Ponzi scheme that enriches consultants, middle managers, and policy NGOs while ordinary people absorb the cost.</p> <p>If someone wants to drive electric, fine—incentivise it. But any sane system requires balance: petrol, diesel, hybrids, and renewables co-existing. What we are witnessing instead is compulsion without capability, targets without infrastructure, and moral grandstanding without consequence for those imposing it.</p> <p>This is not environmentalism. It is ideology.  And ideology, when it ignores physics, engineering, and basic arithmetic, always ends the same way: impoverishment dressed up as virtue.</p> <p>Jersey should stop, think, and refuse to follow the West off an economic cliff simply because the slogan sounds fashionable</p>
284402904	<p>The big question will be how the Government of Jersey plans to replace the lost revenue that is currently generated through VED and fuel taxes?</p>
289740623	<p>With most large manufacturers scaling back EV development for numerous reasons and new internal combustion engines being designed, EU and UK dates will almost certainly be pushed back and Jersey should follow suit.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289202869	<p>Much of the local debate surrounding vehicle usage and the switch to electric (such as in these proposals), appears to have ignored (or dismissed) some general factors:</p> <p>a) there is an assumption that electric vehicles take you to net zero. They don't - vast amounts of energy and resource (all generating CO2) are used in the construction of every new vehicle and its battery pack. Not all electricity generation is wholly 'green' either. The only local advantage is that of reduced tailpipe emissions.</p> <p>b) the 'greenest' vehicle is one that has already been built - its initial CO2 emissions are already out there (and might even be reduced if atmospheric CO2 capture techniques improve). An old car can continue to function for a long time before its tailpipe emissions get anywhere near the amount of CO2 generated in the construction of a new vehicle (IC or electric). Maintain and conserve is the greenest option.</p>
289682382	<p>Was this idea and the 5 principals home baked or based on external advisors / consultants ? It smacks of poor advise from consultants who when initially brought in will not have known the scope needs to be fluid and evolve as does the science behind carbon neutral developments .</p> <p>We have enough clever people on Jersey to work up a functional and common sense strategy in this space.</p> <p>Why with only 3 years to go has this now been put on the agenda for consultation against 5 principals that are misleading.</p> <p>Scrap this please and re-evaluate the proposal , watch what is happening on the global stage, we are an island, but we don't need to be blinkered and unsophisticated in our thinking and especially on something so vital to our economic wellbeing as the movement of our populous.</p>
289083203	<p>I think this is a poorly thought out process that hasn't factored in the cascading issues that would arise if the phase-out goes ahead.</p> <p>If you want to lower the emissions in Jersey, invest in better public transport so the average family doesn't require 2 cars. Look at legalising electric scooters and geo-locking them to cycle paths. Look at offering a completely free bus service. Implement actual reform of the taxi industry (and not just listen to the 250 taxi drivers who haven't bothered to seriously modernise their service). All of these would be generational shifts in transportation around the island and decrease ownership and usage of vehicles. In an island this size, it's ridiculous that transportation continues to be a struggle for those without owning a car. If the next Government wants to truly make a difference, replace the ineffectual parts of DVS that have refused to proactively change the island's regulations for the public as a whole.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284924040	<p>I oppose this 2030 phase-out in the strongest possible terms.</p> <p>This is not a modest environmental tweak. It is a hard ban on importing and registering whole categories of lawful private property in a tiny island that relies on off-island markets and ferries. It goes further and faster than the UK, cuts off used imports that most ordinary people depend on, and will push us into an ageing, shrinking and more expensive ICE market with no realistic way back if the UK or EU later change course.</p> <p>Modern Euro 5 and 6 vehicles are already far cleaner than what they replace. As the fleet renews, emissions fall. For Jersey's tiny share of global emissions, banning new-to-island ICE cars is a symbolic gesture with negligible measurable effect, yet it is a very real intrusion into private life, property and freedom of movement. These are values recognised in international human-rights standards.</p> <p>Most Islanders have no idea how far-reaching this is. They are being nudged into a future where their travel, their costs and their basic freedoms are narrowed step by step. The States should withdraw or radically rethink this proposal, not railroad an unwitting public into a rigid, ideological policy that they will have to live with long after today's ministers have moved on.</p>
289002704	<p>Please see previous comments.  Be in no doubt how the majority feel here and in DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY.</p>
289452165	<p>This is all nonsense</p>
289500514	<p>Not enough consideration of the economic impact of all net zero targets. Cost of living in Jersey is unsustainably high. This policy will increase cost of living</p>
284134038	<p>Carbon reduction is not possible without the active participation of the USA, China and India.  They are the largest polluters accounting for 70% of global emissions. Jersey, the UK or Europe can do nothing without the big 3. If Jersey pushes ahead with legislation as currently planned, the only impact will be to see our standard of living fall as the standard of living in the major polluting nations increases.</p>
289440322	<p>This phase-out is less than 4 years away. I want to see the cost benefit analysis of this plan.</p>
289559501	<p>Fully electric is NOT the way forward. It will impact on those wishing to travel with their vehicles as the range is not sufficient and the availability of suitable charging points in other countries is also not suitable.</p> <p>Jersey is a very small jurisdiction and in effect is insignificant in the global stage. In the Island more needs to be done to protect and encourage the growth of green areas and not to build unnecessarily. We often hear of a "housing shortage" and continue to build which has far more of an impact on the environment.</p> <p>Restricting the number of vehicles per household would be a better start, producing less wear and tear on the roads.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284060689	Don't delay, and consider the most polluting vehicles should not be the last to need to change.
284103899	Go back on this ill-conceived idea and ask the people of Jersey to vote on it directly. This is far too important to be left to be decided by 50 individuals ONLY!
289445780	Focus on the more heavily polluting vehicles, bus, coach, larger work vans etc.  Introduce an MOT to ensure engines are not spewing out exhaust unnecessarily.
284405361	This will never work how do you expect farmers to work the fields and produce food for people to live without diesel tractors not seen any electric tractors and if there was they wouldn't run 15 hours a day without being charged
289691800	See sense - this is a vanity/legacy which needs to be scrapped. Listen to public opinion. Even those people I know that have EV's think it's a crazy idea to take away peoples freedom of choice. Not everyone is on the EV band wagon. This is supposed to be a democracy is it not. If I choose not to drive an EV I should not be penalised for that.
284511461	You need to be looking at alternatives to fossil fuel, and not put huge duties on these fuels to get people to use them.
288976226	Infrastructure, infrastructure, infrastructure.  When you can answer how town residents who use on street parking will charge all their EV's in the year 2040 then the principle of this idea can be discussed again.  But it also depends on the direction of the industry as EV's are not certain to be the future.
284285664	Have you considered a referendum on this topic? It is too important to leave to an insufficiently supported political system given poor voter turnout at the last election.
289435668	We need to realise the ban does not contribute to any significant change to the global agenda that is being pushed. We are a small number and we should not be following. Let us carry on, let businesses thrive and let the motor trade carry on!
289435447	It is a mistake and much more work should be done. Proper consultation with the stakeholders including the motoring industry and those involved in that trade, is required. Jersey is a small island. Like Guernsey. any major decisions such as these, can massively impact on the prosperity of the Island. We are trying to attract high net worth families to the Island. How many of these will still want to come here in these circumstances? Let the major onshore countries lead the way here.
284085208	I believe that at the very earliest the date should be 2050 if not later than that. No one including vehicle manufactures is any where near ready for this date. The massive infrastructure necessary for an electric future by 2030 simply isn't there and isn't even close to being there and nobody is investing anywhere near the investment that would be required to reach this goal.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284225200	Ask China, India , Russia, USA , Brasil, Pakistan, and many affrican and asian countries to reduce their emissions.....INSTEAD OF KILLING OUR ECONOMY AND FREEDOM AS WE DID WELL ENOUGH TO REDUCE Jersey's emissions already!!
283977560	<p>Stop consulting and just get it done.</p> <p>Legislation is easily redrafted with the use of AI to do much of the heavy lifting.</p> <p>Just get on with it.</p>
289463562	<p>2030 is far too soon.</p> <p>What impact does the phase out in Jersey have in the whole world effort. It is a tiny, tiny drop in the ocean. Why are we introducing this expensive scheme which there is no infrastructure for when it will have absolutely no impact on the world as a whole? Why not take the lead from the Uk, France, China, US- what are they doing? There are huge emissions in India, Pakistan etc. What we do on a tiny island will have no impact at all so why not make life easier and cheaper for us all and leave this decision for at least 10 years. By then many people will have chosen to buy electric vehicles as they will become cheaper and the emissions will naturally decrease.</p> <p>Leading the way on this topic does not seem at all sensible.</p>
283980150	<p>I am not in favour of any forced phase out of petrol vehicles, especially while there is uncertainty around disposal of batteries and the fact that electric vehicles are significantly heavier and are far more dangerous when involved in collisions &amp; accidents, which are frequent in Jersey.</p> <p>I am in favour of phasing out diesel &amp; commercial vehicles.</p> <p>I also believe that proceeding with a ban on ICE vehicles and investing significant amounts of time &amp; money into EV infrastructure is irresponsible when a simpler alternative using existing infrastructure (carbon neutral fuels) may well be available on an industrial scale by the time the phase out is in progress.</p> <p>I would prefer that "new to Jersey" vehicles that are petrol are restricted in usage (e.g. mileage caps etc) rather than banned entirely as this allows a middle ground for low use, enthusiast/motorsport driven, petrol vehicles.</p>
289454073	<p>My only slight concern would be investment into infrastructure that might become outdated quickly. This survey is largely based on the progress of electric vehicles, which have been available for circa 15 years and adopted at any volume for circa 10 years. In creating policy 5 and 10 years into the future, there's every chance the landscape might have changed again and society might be buying hydrogen cars, for example. I think it's therefore important that petrol and diesel vehicles are phased out, but that Jersey doesn't restrict itself too much into the future or invest in infrastructure without future proofing.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284061364	<p>My main concerns are:  we don't delay banning the importation of any type of vehicle to beyond the uk otherwise we will become the dumping ground for them.  Manufacturers will call a car a hybrid with the smallest of possible electrical component. a minimum requirement should be introduced to prevent this.  PEHV are rarely plugged in, in the UK they are most bought for tax avoidance and not any benefit to the environment.  More consideration needs to be made for the provision of classic vehicles - there are some modern classics which are not 50 years old.</p>
288196740	<p>A significant amount of people have now already transitioned to fully electric vehicles on their own behalf. The feedback is overwhelmingly positive, over 90% of people who switch to electric say they would never consider switching back.</p> <p>The people who speak negatively about electric vehicles often have never driven one. There is a fear of both the unknown and change in general. These phase out proceedings are essential to push us in the right direction and we should not let the voices of a few resistant to change dictate our overall future.</p> <p>We must beware of certain parts of the vehicle industry having a conflict of interest. Currently car manufacturers make the majority of their profits from parts and servicing. These costs are significantly reduced with electric vehicles. Large car garages will do their best to delay this process if they can.</p> <p>Electric cars are cheaper to run, and maintain, all whilst being faster and more comfortable to drive. There are very few losers in this transition. Let us breathe the cleanest air possible and lets walk the path of discovering what percentage of lung cancers, asthma and other respiratory diseases were caused and exacerbated by this pollution.</p>
284178059	<p>Do not phase out. Perhaps only those which are over 20 years old OR better get then MOT after 10 years to stay on the road .</p>
284234259	<p>Waste of our money and time people need options not forced into a car that's not fit for there needs. Some cars are taken out of island and we don't want to wait 20mins to fill up. Jumping into ev when jec (gov owned) when there is alternatives round the corner</p>
289290920	<p>Ludicrous, unnecessary Government interference that is going to drive up costs that will be borne by everyone in Jersey. Jersey is losing £100m a year, which is unsustainable. We have crumbling infrastructure, under funded health, and education system that is not delivering, over 700 families dependant on food banks and a high dependency ratio that is going in the wrong direction and we are wasting valuable resources and money on this rubbish</p>
289497094	<p>Really?  How long is it going to take to sort through these if you get even 5,000 completed questionnaires with so many comment boxes? I suspect that from the tone of this, you will read the first comment and bin it if it doesn't fit with your planned outcome</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289462879	The island infrastructure is not ready for a complete phase out in 2030 and not convinced it will be by 2035.
289224246	as above
288968890	Please be prepared to think again about this – and read Ross Clark’s book.
289438216	The programme should definitely be delayed, as it is, our will be, in European countries including UK, in the coming years. European manufacturing is being ruined as cheap Chinese EVs flood the market. The climate scam is failing, at last. The government should support what is best for people, not be driven by scam ideology.
289480883	Too early. A final deadline of 2935 should be made a law in 2026.
284064111	We should focus on the polluters and getting them to switch to less polluting vehicles. A ban is just government interference and doesn’t address the issue
289443008	If the cars are any good I'm all for them but they shouldn't be forced onto people in a free society
288798689	There is no climate emergency.  By 2030 this will all have unravelled.  Save this response and look back - this is all a monumental waste of time and taxpayers money. (At least the taxpayer's are used to that.)
288033106	I think it is a policy which will significantly affect the lower paid people on the island. They tend to live in multiple occupancy housing with no access to home charging points. It is economically damaging for the island to follow this policy as the infrastructure is not in place to support all vehicles being electric. Plus, the cost of electricity is going to be significantly higher as time goes on.  Making everyone reliant on electricity is not a good policy. What happens to everyone's ability to access transport if there is a power outage for 24 or more hours? After a storm, how to clear trees if petrol driven chain saws are not allowed????!! (electric/cordless driven chainsaws typically last between 20 and 60 minutes of continuous, active cutting on a single charge. )  Jersey only contributes less than 0.001% of total global emissions. Whereas the politicians can sit on their laurels saying - "aren't we being great leaders of the world by going Net Zero" The £50million (approximately) spent and budgeted so far, could easily have provided other social benefits for the island. The real culprits on polluting the world - eg China, India, who are the fastest growing major economies in the world, are benefitting from countries like Jersey going Net Zero by manufacturing the goods (eg Solar Panels) that are required to go Net Zero.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289460203	The UK Net Zero model will most likely be scrapped by The Reform Party, who will unquestionably win the next General Election, as it's quite simply madness. Therefore Jersey should be in no hurry to follow a UK ideology which was doomed at conception and is certain to be scrapped. Even consultations cost Jersey money it can ill afford to waste. THERE WILL BE NO SCRAPPING OF PETROL & DIESEL CARS IN THE UK UNDER NET ZERO AND TO PLAN OTHERWISE IS TO IGNORE ALL POLLS & METRICS IN THE UK.
284123544	Every car should be phased out to electric in 2030. Most cars today are mild hybrid with a tiny electric battery that helps when the car is at iddle. These should not be considered hybrid at all. I would say only plug in hybrid and also with an electric range of over 50 miles should qualify for the 2035 deadline. Everything else should be 2030
289444449	Would be good if government shared information as to how we're going to achieve this ambitious plan which is so important for the future of our planet
289465782	As people get older it might be harder to adapt to an electric car.
289230170	The current cost of living crisis is likely to be exacerbated by recent world events. Agricultural and commercial operators will pass vehicle purchase costs on to consumers. Has that been factored in?
289025012	The whole phase out notion is ridiculous and poorly researched. Biofuels need to be considered as an alternative. Taking away consumers rights is not the answer.
289596846	Too soon and we should be allowed to choose fuel type electric or other.  Are there other options to consider
284478089	Doing this phasing out will impact negatively on jersey population financially . If you are going to do it please ensure proper infrastructure is in place first and help people to purchase electric through subsidy . If it's not done properly then this island will grind to a halt . Electric vehicles are damaging to the environment and cause damage to the roads due to heavier vehicle. What are your plans for this? Also how are those people living in apartments with no access to charging at home going to manage this.
289537694	Net zero is a politically driven policy in Jersey and the UK which will have no impact on worldwide emissions. It is an unacceptable and wasteful cost to the public and in providing the necessary infrastructure. The cost of manufacture of electric and hybrid vehicles and their use of rare earth materials exceeds that of current petrol and diesel vehicles. Vehicle manufacturers are already planning to continue their production of pure petrol and diesel vehicles beyond the current EU timescale. The timescales referred to in this survey will not be met.

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
287923248	<p>The Island has nowhere near the infrastructure to support the 2030 ban on ICEs. Not enough electricity and not enough charging points.</p> <p>At the very least Jersey should mirror the UK and EU proposals which it is already out of line with.</p> <p>Has anyone considered the lethal materials in EV batteries.</p> <p>There has already been one EV fire in Jersey. When is the Fire Brigade going to be given the resource to fight EV fires?</p>
289310210	<p>Across the board for all vehicles whether new or old, I believe that it is too soon to achieve a realistic change over from petrol to electric. There is still no long term solution to old electric batteries or anywhere near enough electric points for the whole island (especially flats and town houses)</p>
289462718	<p>happy to discuss this further if it would help</p>
289290547	<p>I feel that the island's government will cause financial burden and impact people quality of life in its rush to be seen as a World leader in meeting carbon neutral status.</p>
289217229	<p>Man made climate change is a scam designed to control people's behaviour. The government controlling what the public can and cannot buy is communism.</p> <p>If people want to buy ICE vehicles OR hybrid and electric vehicles they should be able to voluntarily.</p> <p>Let the free markets decide.</p>
287832697	<p>Don't do it.</p>
284472653	<p>The way this change has been framed is wrong. The government should emphasise that EVs are better to drive and cheaper to run. This is about driving Jersey into the future, using its natural advantages of small range and plentiful infrastructure.</p> <p>A campaign is needed to counter the anti EV lobby, refuting myths about battery disposal, fires, excessive weight, etc.</p> <p>Focusing on the environment sounds like we have to make a sacrifice, which many see as pointless given our size or not needed if they are sceptics. Focus on how much better off we will be, freed from the rising cost of oil, attracting tourists through our care for the environment and making a better island for our children.</p>
289434167	<p>Please do consider using bio fuels in this process. They have the potential to allow for the prolonging of traditional vehicles but in a sustainable manner. The consultation does there not have to be as binary as electric vehicles or not.</p>
289442418	<p>Why just pick on cars. Give us a balanced view of all other carbon emissions. Also advise us of what type of petrol engines produce the most pollution and act accordingly</p>
289452897	<p>Jersey is very small and insignificant pollution</p>
289465939	<p>The whole electrification scheme is a complete red herring and has no environmental benefit. Complete hocus.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289492657	In summary, it is my view that we should follow the European timescale. We are a small island & following the proposed timeline will impose an unjustified burden on the infrastructure & individuals. The environmental effects associated with, in particular, battery production & disposal should also be considered & a longer timescale will give an opportunity to hopefully effect improvements.
289496248	We love cars and should be able to buy any vehicle that's available that we like
283985223	Motorbikes and scooters should form no part of this consultation given their minuscule contribution to overall CO2 emissions. They are better than any EV or hybrid by any measure for total whole life emissions.
289438861	Go woke go broke. Sort out the things that actually need sorting and stop going on about this nonsense when most of the world don't care and will keep pumping out pollutants for centuries. This will make no difference on a global scale only negatively impact islanders. Totally pointless initiative.  I look forward to our incompetent government bureaucrats ignoring the results of this survey and failing the public again.
289655691	I do not think rushing into this is a pressing issue considering the size of Jersey and the UK and as said before this will evolve in time, we need to follow UK and see how things work out rushing this can be devastating to the motor industries and local dealers as the take up of EVs is not proving to be on course.
289563753	Please change the law to allow electric scooters on the road
289545677	We need to follow what the UK do in this regard. If they move to 2035, or later, it makes no sense for jersey to go earlier, other than virtue signalling and forcing petrol cars off the road when they do not need to be
289435475	Whilst I agree that carbon based fuels need to be phased out I wonder what the overall impact will be for the Island when we have so many aircraft flying over us. I have photos of up to 28 vapour trails at any one time. Unless something can be done about this I think what the government is doing is just purely "virtue signalling".
288837923	In an election year it will be up to the electorate to make sure our local candidates - Deputies and Senators are fully aware of how we view the Government of Jerseys proposals to phase out Petrol and Diesel vehicles.
285650461	Confirm the 2030 phase out as soon as possible.
284316080	The phase out of fossil fuel cars should be deferred to 2050. 2030 is unrealistic and unachievable in comparison with the rest of the world.  The lack of government investment in infracture is a big factor.
284124829	Jerseys vehicles greenhouse gases equates to 0.000168% of the total global gases in 2023. Jersey government should not get involved with this pointless excercise and let the free market run as we are a democracy not a cummunist state.
287914436	Jersey is rushing this huge decision. As it's a gigantic change for the industry & end users. I think it should be re thought & ICE cars should continue to be imported beyond 2030.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284324723	This is extremely bad policy which will backfire dramatically on the island. It will also Increase costs for individuals and businesses across the board and results in higher prices and reduced growth.
289909035	As above.
289634538	See comments for Principle 1. Thank you.
289732171	I think the underlying principles of this net zero mandate are important and I agree the Island should be working on ways to continually reduce carbon emissions. However i think it's important that the government is transparent and equally dedicated on investigating & tackling the other causes of carbon emissions on island, especially if the % of carbon emissions from vehicles is only a small part of this wider issue.
289507766	I do believe with all the inferstructure for EV is going to increase electricity prices, plus the disposable of these vehicles at end of there life .
289451588	Jersey needs to implement a MOT system to improve and maintain the standard of vehicles on the roads . Too many vans in particular run around in poor condition and high emissions.
284508221	What a huge mistake gov.je are proposing. The world is waking up to the fact that CO2 emissions have no effect on climate, and by 2030, the UK gov and many other countries will have followed the USA and abolished net zero. If Jersey go ahead with this proposal what a laughing stock we will be, and a bankrupt island as well. Gov.je must look at the scientific evidence on car emissions for climate change, there is none, so stop this vanity project, you are voted in to govern not force your fantasy ideas on islanders.
289486493	It's time for civil servants to get out and speak to real people and understand the wide ranging impact and cost to the island for business and also the consumer.
284092424	<b>Unrealistic and Unachievable Targets</b> The policy is based on aggressive and arbitrary deadlines that current data proves Jersey cannot meet, leading to an inevitable policy failure. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Decarbonisation Gap: The Carbon Neutral Roadmap (CNR) requires that 67% of Jersey's vehicle fleet must be decarbonised by 2030. However, current data shows that as of late 2024, only about 3.6% of vehicles were fully electric. Projections (even with previous incentives) estimated only 23% of the fleet would be decarbonised by 2030 - a massive shortfall.</li> <li>• Emissions Reduction Failure: To achieve the overall 2030 emissions target (68% reduction from 1990 levels), the island needs an annual emissions reduction rate of 7%. In contrast, emissions only fell by 0.2% in 2023, demonstrating that the broader climate plan underpinning this policy is already failing to deliver the required results.</li> <li>• Conclusion: Insist that setting an unachievable legal deadline based on a failing emissions roadmap is poor policy. The focus should be on creating the conditions for mass EV adoption rather than an outright, unsupported ban. The 2030 deadline is arbitrary and disconnected from the island's actual adoption rate, leading to an inevitable policy failure. The entire Carbon Neutral Roadmap, of which this is a key part, is significantly off-track, suggesting the</li> </ul>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>aggressive deadline is not justified by delivery and that the core Carbon Neutral Roadmap policy itself is flawed.</p>
288756877	<p>Please stop being hasty, and please actually listen to the public's concerns. So many policies are hustled through just because somebody in the States thinks it's a good idea regardless of public opinion. It's your responsibility to properly serve the interests of the public, so please keep that in mind.</p>
288807572	<p>This policy is deeply flawed, economically irresponsible, and entirely disconnected from the realities faced by millions of people. While environmental progress is important, enforcing a blanket ban on internal combustion engine vehicles is neither practical nor fair, and it places an unreasonable burden on individuals, families, and businesses.</p> <p>The current infrastructure is simply not capable of supporting a full transition to electric vehicles. Charging networks are inadequate, unreliable, and unevenly distributed. Power grids are already under strain, and there is no credible plan to support a sudden, mass increase in demand. Forcing this transition before the necessary infrastructure exists is reckless and short-sighted.</p> <p>Furthermore, electric vehicles remain prohibitively expensive for many. Expecting people to abandon perfectly functional petrol or diesel vehicles—often purchased only a few years ago—and replace them with costly alternatives is unreasonable. This policy disproportionately penalises lower-income households, tradespeople, rural communities, and small businesses who rely on affordable, dependable transport to earn a living.</p> <p>There is also a glaring hypocrisy in claiming environmental benefit while ignoring the significant environmental damage caused by battery production, raw material mining, and eventual battery disposal. The notion that electric vehicles are a “clean” solution is, at best, incomplete and, at worst, misleading.</p> <p>Rather than imposing punitive bans and deadlines, a balanced and realistic approach should be taken—one that allows for gradual technological development, genuine consumer choice, and meaningful investment in cleaner fuels, improved efficiency, and alternative solutions that do not destroy affordability or personal freedom.</p> <p>I urge you to reconsider this policy and engage in a more pragmatic, inclusive, and evidence-based approach. Progress should be achieved through innovation and incentive—not coercion and exclusion.</p>
289262963	<p>Government policy has been weak and inconsistent - subsidy/no subsidy, GST/no GST, Charge point subsidy/no subsidy. Policy is rarely well thought out and then adhered to, as is often the case with Ministers who are more worried about their social media feedback than doing the right thing. This is getting mired in class warfare with left wing politicians expressing concern about working class drivers not being able to afford a car. A car is a privilege, not a</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	right. Clean air and a low noise unpolluted environment is a right and not a privilege.
289542655	I think personality that this is a case of legislation whose ramifications for the majority of the island has not been considered. It is policy that reflects the green middle class lobby who are in the financial position to be able to absorb the additional costs of vehicles and infrastructure while ignoring those who will not be able to Additionally insufficient public resources have been put into providing public charging points or addressing rising electricity costs that will be the result of this policy, or how the population of st Helier with on street parking are expected to charge electric vehicles
287975014	Let's just drop this as it will deter High Value Residents, goes against the capitalist free market spirit of an international finance center and is a very communist policy.
289923548	<p>I am worried that governments are adopting this policy without fully understanding the worldwide implications of millions of batteries which will need to be manufactured and then disposed of at the end of their life. I worry, too, that otherwise functioning vehicles will be dumped simply because the cost of replacing batteries is so high that it won't be considered economically viable.</p> <p>Jersey will need to rapidly increase the number of publicly available charging points to meet the deadline.</p> <p>Electric/hybrid cars are considerably heavier, what are the implications for our roads and multi-storey car parks?</p>
289448689	<p>A ban will simply increase the cost of living for the middle and lower class population creating further divide and increased costs elsewhere. Revenue lost in dues and GST on the fuel will be placed elsewhere and the global instability will drive further impact on the cost of electricity. Without the production of green electricity on islands and strong storage capacity we are leaving our island wide open to the European pressures without any say in the matter.</p> <p>Incentivise change through benefits, rewards and help instead of banning. We've seen the effects the "tax" on bags has had, let's not fool ourselves that this is going to be any different. The families struggling to make ends meet will be pushed out and the wealthy will not feel the slightest difference.</p>
289761854	<p>Do not support the phase out.</p> <p>Once again, narrow minded direction by policy officers with limited understanding of the social, economic and environmental consequences. High risk of inflationary impacts, direct impact on delivery of Government Services, impacts lower economic households first and acts as a deterrent to attract key workers to the island.</p>
284065701	<p>Need to concentrate more on private aviation and private boats. TAX the fuel!!!!!! It's a hobby for the rich.</p> <p>Biofuels must be stopped as they take up vast quantities of land "certified sustainable biofuels" are a scam it makes me really angry the GOJ is stupid enough to believe this greenwashing.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289492767	It will be crucial to have the charging infrastructure available everywhere in the island at the time of the change
289551618	Please let us make our own choice over what we would like to buy. These proposals are just a knee-jerk reaction with little scientific facts to back up what they are going to do.
289914366	Utter nonsense. Look at Germany who have had second thoughts on this date of 2030 to phase out petrol and diesel cars and are extrnding it to 2035 at the very least. Germany are very aware how adhering to this date will crucify their car industry.
289768854	Garages recently could not advise of electric vehicle disposal. Disposal is a significant concern and environmental impact. How is Jersey disposing of imported end of life electric vehicles.
289467659	I've probably said enough!
288850492	There should be a simple yes/no referendum on phasing out ICE vehicles  One question "Do you support the removal of ICE vehicles from Jersey roads" yes or no
289564554	I think you get the picture.
289423332	We must ensure we make decisions based on Jersey not just copy what others do. Our impact is small in relation to carbon footprint globally but the cost to the island could be significant and disadvantage us economically. Not saying we don't do anything but it needs to be proportional.
283960312	The car drivers and home heating users will be seen to be doing their bit. This needs to be quickly extended to other ICE, for example garden mowers, pleasure boats and speed boats, ribs etc. Private aircraft need looking at, as is non essential, with commercial aircraft and shipping encourage to convert to better fuels or electricity ASAP.
289547645	I know you're trying to do this to appear like Jersey Gov are trying to cut carbon emissions and save the world, but have you considered that all the private jets that come into this island are exceeding an individuals yearly CO2 emissions with one flight. Unfortunately I'm sure you will continue to encourage more of these high net worth individuals into the island where they will just pay whatever fees are necessary for them to keep their supercars, whereas I'll just have to bin my Ford Ka and take up skateboarding.  Kind regards [TEXT REDACTED]
288820664	Stop this nonsense
286792810	This policy should never have been implemented in the first place. PLEASE. Freedom of choice, not a controlled, non-democratic system

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289461302	<p>My final concern is the apparent haste and lack of independent thinking behind this proposal.</p> <p>Jersey appears to be following the UK’s policy direction rather than setting policy based on Jersey’s own scale, circumstances and evidence. Yet even the UK is now reassessing the pace, cost and practicality of Net Zero policies, with several countries internationally also rowing back from rigid timelines.</p> <p>I am concerned that Jersey risks committing itself to an inflexible policy path without sufficient public mandate, cost-benefit analysis, or willingness to adapt as evidence and international approaches evolve. Decisions of this magnitude should be driven by local realities and democratic consent, not policy momentum elsewhere.</p>
288803626	<p>With an island that is 9x5 miles there is no really requirement to have ICE cars.</p> <p>People don’t like change but we have to change for the good of the planet</p> <p>Even after the ban of ICE cars in 2030 in will take many years until ICE cars come off the road,</p> <p>We need to start this transition ASAP and not push it back</p> <p>With the UK banning ICE cars in 2030 our hands are tide on left hand side cars, so we need to push forward and prepare for the future</p>
289323067	<p>This is less than 4 years away. By now every household should have been provided with a charging point. Not a paltry £700 contribution. For this to even begin to take shape the infrastructure must be provided. Clarity on who is responsible for that in multiple occupancy households and rented households. Where people charge who have a property with no parking. Safety aspects of cables trailing across pavements. Issues of people not being able to find charging when needed. In only 4 years. There is nothing being done at ground level to prepare for this. Every car park space would need to be a charging spot to even begin to provide what is needed. Public transport will need to become cheaper, more reliable and more efficient. It is fantastical to consider this a possibility at present.</p>
284393943	<p>Suggest this is pushed out towards 2035 where by more infrastructure has been provisioned and proved. Same with disposal at end of battery life.</p> <p>Bring all vehicles into scope, no need to exempt the bigger commercial vans etc</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
286506726	<p>I think most of my points have already been said.</p> <p>Insurance,  Parking safety concerns,  Ferry concerns with a limit on number of EVs,  Charging infrastructure,  Road Tax,  Actual environmental break even point on a EV over ICE vehicle,  Why not push commercial vehicles down this route in 2030 as well?  Affect this will have on cost of living,  Disposal costs,  Battery health concerns for 2nd hand EVs, and cost of new battery if ever needed.  A new battery would almost certainly push the EV out as more environmentally damaging over the course of its life given todays technology and proven by recent, formal studies.</p>
289536362	Not for everyone
289366258	Net Zero is a fallacy based on contrived science. Jersey emits 0.001% of the 0.04% of carbon, so committing the Island to these initiatives are unnecessarily damaging.
283957015	Give people the choice. The market will either make the vehicle or not. We can only buy what's on the shelf...
289443170	I think it's ridiculous that it's all being phased out. The damage to the planet from electric batteries is immense.
288904823	Hopefully I cover on the comments before, why I disagree with this changes. I'm all to give better environment for the future generations, but not this way. In few years time, I'm sure you'll see how wrong was to just follow this ideology
288888978	<p>If we all have electric cars we don't have the infrastructure to charge them.  If this was really about the climate, why have we just bought a fleet of diesel buses?  If we all went electric tomorrow, the difference to the climate is immeasurably small. Is this a good use of millions of tax payers money.  Is this just another consultation commissioned by the Environment minister wasting a million pounds like the one on wind farms.</p>
284245441	<p>Jersey is a tiny cog in the world climate crisis and we need to do our bit, but let's not try to lead the pack at vast expense to the population and with little or no expertise in this field.  Maybe build a hospital first.</p>
289490296	Ultimately, I think the phase out is a good idea however the supply and demand means the cost will go up and it shouldn't. There are a lot of people in Jersey who cannot afford to buy an electric vehicle and if the cost of fuel goes up, they can't afford to run a normal vehicle.
288792195	I will not have the purchase of an EV vehicle forced upon me by an incompetent government.
284389542	I am concerned about electric vehicles and their source materials for batteries and that they are much more expensive to purchase and insure. The other issue is that transporting of EVs on ferries may become an issue.

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.
285209403	Should not be phasing anything out
288817957	<p>Can you tell me how much power will be needed to charge all the islands electric vehicles all at once at a night time or even when just parked up during the day, remembering there are 2-3 cars per property if not more.</p> <p>Where will this power come from, will we be covering our beautiful countryside with solar panels or windmills?</p> <p>Can I also ask how much is being paid to consultants to collate the required information to plan this. Even more than the hospital I would bet.</p> <p>Ridiculous to even consider, it should be individual choice.</p>
288678369	One of the main issues not covered relates to the danger posed by traveling in a car ferry full of electric cars. The potential for a huge disaster is immense. Once these cars catch fire (not that uncommon at all) the fires are impossible to put out and quickly spread to other vehicles. This is a serious disaster waiting to happen
283969233	A truly awful, terrible idea. Please don't do it.
284327195	Ita a complete nonsense.....who does jersey think they are?????
289433939	This legislation should be abandoned. The blind adoption of mirroring legislation ignores the needs of Jersey residents. Without the adoption of similar policies by countries such as china and US would be meaningless anyway and amounts to nothing more than woke virtue signalling
284205618	<p>This should be a referendum to all Islands voters</p> <p>Why should politicians with a few hundred votes make these decisions. These decisions will affect households incomes &amp; many peoples lives in the motor industry.</p> <p>We can contribute to net zero but do this wisely/slowly &amp; more thought to the residents.</p> <p>After all Jersey contribution to climate change is close to Nil but we seem to want to break the bank make people poorer put unfair laws on vehicle choice - its just wrong.</p> <p>Who do we trust/believe when it comes to climate change - eminent professors argue for &amp; against &amp; even Bill Gates is doubling down.</p> <p>I'm all for helping but not at the cost of collapsing our economy for certain politicians egos.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
288803396	There is no Infrastructure in the island for all the electric vehicles. Hybrids may work but not plug in ones. Electric vehicles work for some people but not for everyone. How can we all charge these vehicles at the same time. Also these vehicles with the lithium batteries and other batteries can not be disposed of at the end of there life. Also when the batteries become faulty it costs more than the vehicle is worth to replace them. In are current climate and situation these vehicles are not a feasible option. Where as petrol and diesel vehicles are still cheaper to run and repair. This is also going to have a big impact on the smaller garages trying to repair these electric vehicles. When an electric vehicle

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>catches fire it not easy to put the fire out. The batteries on these vehicles are known for catching fire.</p>
289755042	<p>The right to choose  At its core, the 2030 ICE ban represents a fundamental restriction on personal liberty. It dictates to Jersey residents what type of vehicle they may purchase, regardless of their individual circumstances, needs, or preferences. This is not the role of government in a free society.</p> <p>One size does not fit all  Different people have different needs. A tradesperson who requires a reliable vehicle for their livelihood has different considerations than a daily commuter. A family living in a rural parish has different charging access from someone living in a St Helier street. Many people depend on their car for local driving and off-island trips. Some families require an affordable car with more than five seats. The ban ignores the realities of how needs and personal budgets interact, imposing a blanket restriction that treats all residents identically.</p> <p>Limiting consumer choice  By 2030, electric vehicles may well be the preferred choice for many buyers, and that's fine. But others may have legitimate reasons for preferring alternatives. Perhaps they need a specific type of vehicle not yet affordably available in electric form. Perhaps they have concerns about range, charging costs and access, or the costs of major repairs. In a free market, consumers should be trusted to make their own decisions.</p> <p>The slippery slope  This is an unprecedented scale of government imposition on free consumer choice in Jersey. What does this portend for the future? What precedent does it set in the minds of potential future States members? The precedent set by such heavy-handed intervention should concern anyone who values personal freedom. Good intentions don't justify authoritarian methods. What we face is individual choice being removed by force of law on ideological grounds, without a justification based on irrefutable evidence and democratic consensus.</p> <p>A better approach  Rather than banning choice, government should trust citizens to make their own well-informed choices, based on their personal needs and budgets. Let the market evolve naturally as technology improves and prices</p> <p>Is this democracy?  The 2030 ICE ban represents one of the most significant policy changes in Jersey's recent history in terms of impacts on freedoms and consumer choice. Yet the journey to today's consultation has been far from democratic and accountable. It forms part of a pattern of authoritarian behaviour and opinion management that has become characteristic of the illiberal behaviour of recent Jersey governments in relation to climate policy. The journey started</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>with the highly questionable declaration of a "climate emergency" in May 2019, as proposed by Deputy Rob Ward of Reform Jersey. Please reflect on how the declaration of an "emergency" can be misused by politicians of an authoritarian bent. There then followed in 2021 the highly controversial, secretive and unaccountable "Citizens' Assembly on Climate Change." A resultant "Carbon Neutral Roadmap" was approved by the States in 2022, including Transport Policy TR5 - "End the importation and registration of petrol and diesel vehicles that are new to the Island from 2030". 2030 probably seemed impossibly distant to those involved in coming up with such far-reaching policies. Now it's only four years away. The current consultation is our chance to tell government what we think of its proposed ICE ban.</p> <p>No electoral mandate  No States Member or partly stood for election with the explicit policy of banning new petrol and diesel vehicles in 2030. Voters have not been given the opportunity to express their views on this specific proposal at the ballot box or by referendum. When the potential impacts on citizens are this far-reaching, that is not how democracy should work.</p> <p>No account taken of local needs or the emerging policy landscape  The 2030 date appears to have been adopted simply because other jurisdictions originally chose it. But Jersey is not the UK. We have different circumstances, different transport and travel requirements, different infrastructure, different needs. Blindly copying policies from larger countries without proper analysis of their suitability for Jersey is not responsible governance. And Jersey's government it proposing to go even further than the UK in its restrictions on choice, at a time when even the EU is relaxing its planned restrictions. This is ideological, draconian policy-making that takes no proper account of local needs or the changing policy landscape beyond our shores.</p> <p>The numbers don't add up  Jersey is home to approximately 110,000 people on an island of 45 square miles. Our total contribution to global carbon emissions is a tiny fraction of a percent. Even if Jersey eliminated all vehicle emissions overnight, the impact on global climate change would be immeasurably small. This is a sledgehammer to crack an atom.</p> <p>Visualising the numbers  Assuming 4000 miles average per registered car per annum across 89,000 registered ICE cars in September 2025, the total annual emissions for the island ICE car fleet come to 72,000 tonnes.</p> <p>Statista.com projects 38 billion tonnes of CO2 emissions globally in 2026.</p> <p>So Jersey's ICE car emissions account for 60 seconds of the world's emissions over a full year.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>Or if 72,000 tonnes is a medium-sized pebble, 38 billion tonnes are the Alps.</p> <p>To eventually save those 60 seconds or that pebble per year, after the decades it will take for the Jersey daily fleet to transition in full to EV, Jersey people are to be deprived of their freedom to choose the car they want from January 2030.</p> <p>Symbolic gestures vs real impact  This policy appears to be more about making a symbolic gesture than achieving meaningful environmental outcomes. Such symbolism should never come at the cost of practical hardship for residents. It will take decades before the impact on Jersey's total annual CO2 emissions is meaningful, but the costs and disruptions and inconveniences start for residents on 1st January 2030 if the ICE ban is passed into law.</p> <p>The cost-benefit analysis  Any sensible policy should weigh costs against benefits. The costs of the 2030 ban - economic disruption, personal inconvenience, infrastructure demands - are substantial and will be borne by Jersey residents. The benefits, in terms of actual environmental impact, are immeasurably small on a global scale. This equation doesn't balance.</p> <p>The proportionate alternative  Despite recent very significant regulatory change in the massive EU market, and associated announced changes in product strategy by the automotive industry, the overall global direction of travel is towards dominance by EVs. Jersey's driving conditions present certain natural advantages for EVs in terms of appeal to many consumers, notably the short distances we typically travel on island. Let consumers take their own decisions, based on their particular needs. Compulsion by law should not be necessary if EVs are the best option.</p> <p>Rising costs for consumers  New electric vehicles remain more expensive to buy than their petrol and diesel equivalents, although affordability is improving over time. But insurance premiums for EVs in the UK market are persistently higher than for equivalent ICE cars. And although ongoing basic maintenance for EVs is simpler (and therefore theoretically cheaper) than for ICE cars, experience over time is proving that EVs are susceptible to very expensive failures of components of electric drivetrains. It is also the case that EVs are proving more expensive than ICE cars of the same age to repair after accidents. This can make it uneconomic to repair a relatively young car EV car. These differential additional costs of running an EV are going to fall more heavily on lower income households.</p> <p>Making motoring more expensive  An outright ban on all imports of cars new to Jersey, new or second hand, will by definition progressively reduce the stock of ICE cars in Jersey. This effect will be especially stark if Jersey's relatively low-mileage ICE stock becomes even</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>more attractive to importers in the UK, who will not be banned from importing by their government. Inflationary effects on local second hand ICE cars can only follow. The hardest hit will be lower income households and young people, who are less likely to be able to afford an EV, new or old, leaving them with less available cash for housing and consumables. Does it make sense to pursue a policy that can only reduce the spending power of local people.</p> <p>Impact on local businesses  Jersey's motor trade employs hundreds of people across dealerships, garages, specialist repairers, importers, transporters and parts suppliers. The 2030 ban will fundamentally disrupt this sector. Businesses that have operated for decades face an uncertain future. Jobs will be lost. Expertise built over generations will disappear. The human cost of this policy has not been properly considered.</p> <p>Infrastructure costs  The charging infrastructure required to support enforced EV adoption will cost many millions. Grid upgrades, public chargers, and home charging installations all require significant investment. These costs will ultimately be borne by Jersey residents through taxes, utility bills, and direct payments. Has anyone calculated the total economic impact?</p> <p>Charging infrastructure gap  Jersey's public charging network is woefully inadequate for mass EV adoption. The number of public chargers is a fraction of what would be needed if a significant proportion of the vehicle fleet switched to electric. Building sufficient infrastructure by 2030 is an enormous challenge that shows no sign of being met.</p> <p>Housing realities  Many Jersey residents live in flats, terraced houses, or properties without off-street parking. For these residents, home charging is impossible or impractical. They would be entirely dependent on public chargers which don't exist in sufficient numbers. They would therefore also not benefit from the lower cost of charging from a home supply. The policy disregards the housing realities of a significant portion of our population.</p> <p>Grid capacity questions  Mass EV adoption will place significant new demands on Jersey's electricity grid. There is an absence of public information showing serious evidence that the likely impact of significant uptake of additional new EVs in a short space of time has been properly modelled and planned for.</p> <p>Vehicle availability  Not all vehicle types are easily and affordably available in electric versions. Examples include large family seven seaters, and first cars suitable for learners wishing to acquire a driving licence for manual transmissions. The policy offers no practical solutions.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>Unforeseen impacts on the second hand market  If imports of all new and used ICE cars are banned, the inflationary effect on the remaining stock of locally-registered ICE cars will likely be very stark. In the UK's plans for 2030, there is no intention to ban personal imports of second hand ICE cars. When new ICE car sales stop in the UK, anyone over there wanting to continue to buy a second hand ICE car will be able to draw on a pool of around 35 million UK-registered cars, and anyone unable to find the second hand car they want between Land's End and John O'Groats will at least be able to personally import something. In Jersey, when the same thing happens, we'll have just what's left here. It will be illegal to personally import the car we want. And, to make matters worse, the demand from the UK market for imported examples of scarce models (including, for example, small low cost manuals for new drivers, or affordable seven seaters for large families) will only increase over time if UK used car retailers start struggling to source in-demand ICE cars in their local markets, putting local stocks at ever greater risk. It will be the lowest income households in Jersey that are disproportionately affected by this when they are trying to buy a second hand car in Jersey.</p> <p>Insurance losses will become irreplaceable  If it becomes illegal to import a particular second hand ICE car, and yours is lost in a fire or accident, that will be it. If you can't find a direct replacement locally, tough luck. And that will become even harder over time as existing ICE stocks decline. Even if an exemption is made for such insurance loss cases, enquiries with insurers indicate that they would not cover the Jersey VED on such imported replacements.</p> <p>The ferry transportation risk  What about getting our cars off the island and back again? Following catastrophic losses at sea involving car carriers such as Felicity Ace and Morning Midas, ferry operators are analysing the additional fire-related risks of carrying EVs, because of the known challenges of extinguishing EV fires. At the time of writing, many car ferry operators around the world have already implemented bans or restrictions on the carriage of EVs on their vessels. These include Havila Kystruten (Norway), Matson, Alaska Marine Lines and Alaska State Ferry (USA), BC Ferries (Canada), and Raja Ferry Port and Seatran Ferry (Thailand). Brittany Ferries and DFDS have already acknowledged fire on board risks by banning the charging of EVs on board. Maritime insurers will determine future policy. In the event that policies preventing or severely restricting carriage of EVs were extended to affect ferry operators serving Jersey, what recourse would consumers have to government having been forced by law to buy an EV?</p>
289549164	You are pushing people who cant afford an electric vehicle into debt. Also they may not qualify for a loan either.
284025615	I strongly believe we should be looking more at renewable petrol and diesel options or alternatives to EV's (hydrogen?).

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289577191	<p>Fear duties will increase on fuel purchase.  Jersey should be kept separate from what is proposed in UK. We are a small island and can make our own decisions.</p>
289708288	<p>I am a life long motorcycle rider - the phase out effectively mean the bikes i lusted over as a teenager that are currently financially out of my reach - your phase out , without guarantee or provision end the prospect of me owning such vehicles. This is an attack on my personal choice and cannot be allowed to happen.</p> <p>What will the govenment put in place to facilitate the ownership of such vehicles - dream bikes !!</p>
289727694	<p>The proposed phase out provides no alternative to that of switching to electric vehicles. There appears to be no evidence to support this being the only solution. Development of alternative fuel sources is totally ignored, despite the fact that major car manufacturers are developing alternative synthetic fuels capable of delivering carbon free internal combustion engine capability.</p>
284514884	<p>While I support the goals of the carbon neutral strategy, I fear that it will come at significant cost to people who use motoring as their social and recreational escape from the modern world. As currently proposed we could see hugely negative effects on local car clubs and owners groups and see the most vulnerable, both socially and economically being the most negatively effected.</p> <p>I will not support the legislation if it doesn't change to a rolling 25 year cut off to ensure to the social benefits of car clubs and the local community that has existed for over 100 years can be retained.</p>
288180567	<p>It is obvious that someone wants to rail road this through - I just hope and pray that everyone's time completing this questionnaire will be considered . I do everything I can to help the planet and so do most people now but you must educate people to make their own choice in a democratic civilised community</p>
289533806	<p>Biggest one is on the democratic process</p> <p>Please do consider the considerable time people have taken to engage with this process.</p>
289912392	<p>I disagree with it wholeheartedly. It will be a disaster for Jersey motor industry. It will be inflationary and discriminatory. How will our aging car parks cope with the additional weight of vehicles, what provisions will be made for increased fire hazard, what do the ferry operators say, where is the charging infrastructure for anyone without off street parking and as mentioned above it will increase carbon production by supporting the Chinese car industry to mention just a handful of issues. It is one of the nastiest, least though through ideas, based on shonky science, disingenuous statements about being carbon neutral, no mention of out sourcing that footprint to countries that simply don't care and cherry picked stats i have seen in Jersey for a long time and that is saying something. We have bigger more pressing issues to address. Who are we trying to impress with this?</p>
289453493	<p>As said earlier the question will never get a true picture of the population feeling as they have been written with a slant.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288716722	<p>don't do it!  Jersey is irrelevant and the market will decide</p>
289485512	<p>Reiterating my previous comments:  Response to the Public Consultation on Transport Decarbonization  Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed transition to Electric Vehicles (EVs). While reducing the 27% of island emissions attributed to petrol and diesel is a noted goal, it is imperative to address the remaining 73% of the emissions profile with equal vigor. Focusing disproportionately on private transport risks overlooking larger sectoral contributors while placing an undue burden on residents.  Our concerns regarding the current strategy are centered on five critical pillars:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Infrastructure and Practicality  The island currently lacks the logistical framework to support a mass transition. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Charging Deficit: There is a significant shortage of public charging points. For residents without off-street parking, charging is not just inconvenient—it is currently impossible.</li> <li>• Parking Constraints: Dedicated EV spaces further squeeze an already limited general parking supply, creating friction for all road users.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Economic Impact on Families  The transition to electric mobility presents a significant "green premium" that is currently unaffordable for the average family. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High Capital Outlay: Forced replacement of internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles ignores the reality of household budgets.</li> <li>• Depreciation and Battery Life: Concerns regarding battery degradation over time create a high-risk secondary market, making EVs a poor long-term investment for families who rely on vehicle longevity.</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. The Lifecycle and Mining Paradox  The "Carbon Neutral" label often fails to account for the full manufacturing lifecycle. As highlighted by research (including studies by manufacturers like Volvo), the carbon-intensive nature of mining and production means an EV must be driven for significant mileage before it achieves a lower carbon footprint than a modern petrol vehicle. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lithium Extraction: The environmental and financial costs of lithium mining are substantial and often excluded from local "net-zero" calculations.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Ethical and Environmental Disposal  A robust, "clean" solution for the end-of-life disposal of high-capacity batteries does not yet exist on an industrial scale. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moral Responsibility: Exporting battery waste to developing nations is ethically indefensible. The island must ensure a closed-loop recycling system is operational before a mass rollout, rather than creating a future waste crisis.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p>Summary  Forcing a transition before the technology is affordable and the infrastructure is mature may result in social inequity without achieving the desired environmental impact. A more holistic approach should prioritize the 73% of non-transport emissions and explore diverse solutions—such as synthetic fuels or improved public transit—rather than a singular focus on battery-electric tech.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289234723	<p>I am concerned that the disposal of electric car batteries is unclear and needs further investigation.</p> <p>As a motorcyclist, the motorcycle technology for non-ice engines isn't yet there. Should more time be given to motorcycle manufacturers to advance their technology</p>
286153902	Please do the ban I can't wait
289262797	<p>Nett Zero is one of the most ill thought out policies ever conceived. It is pointless and futile and will deliver nothing but misery world wide and will achieve nothing from a climate perspective. There is not a spokesperson, scientist or activist who can quantify the impact of net zero upon the worlds weather and climate. There are however many economists and practical scholars who can tell you how much this insanity is likely to cost. That cost is huge and unbearable and yet our politicians are prepared to put us all in the poor house with this sanctimonious gesture. We need someone of principal to stand up against this stupidity and abort it before we all suffer its consequences.</p>
289291954	Please stop dithering and ban dirty, smelly, antiquated fossil cars soon!
284054160	I have no problem with the phasing out of new vehicles but this should not be at the detriment of vehicles currently on the road and constructed before say 2000, which should also be allowed to be imported.
289535030	This is unwelcome market interference. Extra Red Tape. And increased costs. Jersey doesn't not have the infrastructure. We stop this - and allow islanders to make their own decisions on what they want to buy or sell and when.
289458081	<p>Cancel this policy. It is not needed. If there are no petrol cars available from UK suppliers then no need for Jersey to have legislation.</p> <p>If the government is insisting on this policy then planning regulations need to change regarding parking spaces and electric chargers. A massive infrastructure is needed in town to instal electric chargers.</p> <p>By 2050 I predict no private cars. All cars will be self driving on an Uber type basis and personal ownership of cars will cease except as collectors pieces.</p>
289215405	Jersey should have shown leadership on this issue, availability of EVs is sufficient for all local motoring needs today so no further delay should be considered.
284122420	<p>If the vehicles are available elsewhere then restricting islanders would be an infringement of their rights. Many jurisdictions are actively reconsidering their stance on this and many manufacturers back stepping their strategy for full electrification within the proposed timeline. It would be potentially damaging for the economy to make decisions regarding this in advance of the UK. We should align all policies with those of the UK who have more data available to them.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288821295	This is a huge mistake and a stupid idea. No thought has been put into it and its a very narrow minded thought process. Alternative fuels are a thing, and should be strongly considered. I am all for saving the environment, but these proposals are stupid and have not thought about any repercussions caused by it. There is nothing going for this island now, and taking this away will be the final straw that breaks the camel's back and wouldn't be surprised if most people leave. Don't make a stupid mistake and cancel this proposal!!!
289444618	Net zero is unrealistic, we are a speck on the planet that has a very different set of resources and needs than the UK and elsewhere. We already know the issues with electric vehicles. They are not the perfect solution yet. Time needs to be taken to do the right thing and not to jump on the bandwagon of 'look how good we are' when islanders will suffer in many ways.
284080334	This is utter nonsense. We don't need to follow the UK blindly. We are a small insignificant country and will make no difference at all to global warming. Get off the bandwagon and let us just get on with our lives. We are not all able to afford a shiny new electric vehicle.
289918774	It's simple, don't do it.
289760658	Just beyond how a back water, like Jersey has so many uneducated Ministers. Blue Islands looked into Hydrogen fuelled Aircraft, the costs were just unrealistic and commercially STUPID.
289546767	It is too soon to phase out motor vehicles by 2030 in favour of electric vehicles - I rather prefer its delay by 2050 so that we are not the ones leading the way, but studying from bigger countries who contribute more to the global warming narrative compared to Jersey.
289441544	I've made all my comments already but the last I will make is that the charging requirements for all drivers to be predominately using EV's un under 10 yrs simply isn't possible. For many people, it will be impossible to access as they park on the street and Jersey will never be able to accommodate the requirements of all. There are less than 10 rapid chargers in Jersey and in public carparks in town (bar Charles street) - it is simply laughable and GoJ restricts how long I can park meaning charging with 7kW chargers is pointless
289433893	I think I said enough already. Good luck with the future!!

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289844301	<p>Executive Summary</p> <p>This briefing assesses the implications of phasing out petrol (ICE) and diesel vehicles in Jersey and concludes that a rapid or mandatory transition would be economically harmful, socially regressive, and operationally risky for the island.</p> <p>While electric vehicles (EVs) can reduce tailpipe emissions, forcing the retirement of ICE and diesel cars would impose disproportionate costs on households, particularly lower-income families, renters, older residents, and those in rural parishes. Jersey’s compact geography, constrained grid, reliance on imported electricity, and limited public transport alternatives mean that ICE vehicles currently provide affordable, resilient mobility for much of the population.</p> <p>A phase-out would:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Raise household transport costs</li> <li>2 Reduce mobility for vulnerable groups</li> <li>3 Increase dependence on external electricity supply</li> <li>4 Transfer public and private costs regressively</li> </ol> <p>The briefing recommends preserving ICE and diesel vehicles as a parallel option, avoiding blanket bans, and prioritising policies that reduce car dependence without removing affordable mobility.</p> <p>1. Jersey Context</p> <p>Jersey is a small, densely populated island with:  Limited land availability, Constrained road capacity, High car ownership rates, heavy reliance on imported energy</p> <p>Electricity is primarily imported via subsea interconnectors from France. While relatively low-carbon, this supply is externally dependent and capacity-constrained. Jersey has limited ability to rapidly expand generation, storage, or grid redundancy.</p> <p>Transport emissions are a concern, but they are only one dimension of a broader challenge that includes congestion, land use, infrastructure stress, and quality of life.</p> <p>2. Grid Capacity and System Resilience</p> <p>2.1 Demand Characteristics of EVs</p> <p>EV charging introduces:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. High peak demand</li> <li>2. Strong temporal clustering (evenings, winter)</li> <li>3. Residential network stress</li> </ol> <p>Unlike gradual efficiency improvements, EV adoption creates step-changes in electricity demand, particularly at the distribution level (local substations,</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>streets, housing estates)</p> <p>2.2 Island Grid Vulnerability Jersey’s grid is:  1 Small Tightly balanced anmd Highly dependent on interconnectors</p> <p>2.3 Mass EV adoption increases exposure to:  1 Cable faults  2 Weather-related disruptions  3.External price and supply shocks</p> <p>A transport system dependent on continuous electricity supply is less resilient than one based on stored fuels, particularly in an island context.</p> <p>3. Marginal Emissions Benefits in a Small-Island Setting</p> <p>3.1 Short Journeys and Low Absolute Fuel Use  Most journeys in Jersey are:  Short Low-speed Repetitive  While ICE vehicles are inefficient, the absolute fuel consumption per trip is already low. The marginal emissions savings from switching to EVs are therefore modest compared to:</p> <p>The embedded emissions of battery manufacture  Charging losses and huge cost of Infrastructure upgrades</p> <p>3.2 Exported Emissions  EVs imported into Jersey embed emissions from:  Battery mining and refining  Manufacturing in fossil-fuel-heavy regions  These emissions do not appear in Jersey’s carbon accounts but are real from a global perspective. EV adoption therefore risks shifting emissions rather than eliminating them.</p> <p>4. Infrastructure and Land Use Constraints</p> <p>4.1 Charging Infrastructure  Effective EV use depends on:  1 Home charging (not universal)  2 Public charging (space-intensive)  3 Grid reinforcement</p> <p>Jersey faces:  1 Limited off-street parking  2 Planning constraints  3 Competing demands for public space  4 Each public charger displaces land that could otherwise support walking, cycling, greenery, or public transport priority.</p> <p>4.2 Spatial Inefficiency of Cars</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>EVs:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 Occupy the same road space as ICE vehicles</li> <li>2 Are often heavier, increasing road wear</li> <li>3 Do not reduce congestion</li> </ol> <p>5. Economic and Supply Chain Risks - Jersey has no domestic capacity for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Battery manufacturing</li> <li>2 Mineral extraction</li> <li>3 Large-scale recycling</li> </ol> <p>EV adoption therefore entails:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Capital outflows</li> <li>2 Dependence on volatile global mineral markets</li> <li>3. Exposure to geopolitical risk</li> </ol> <p>By contrast, demand reduction and public transport investment deliver local economic multipliers and lower long-term exposure.</p> <p>6. Distributional and Social Impacts of an ICE Phase-Out</p> <p>6.1 Disproportionate Impact on Lower-Income Households</p> <p>Lower-income households in Jersey are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 Less likely to buy new vehicles</li> <li>2 More likely to rely on older ICE or diesel cars</li> <li>3 Less likely to have off-street parking or home charging</li> <li>4 More exposed to energy and price volatility</li> </ol> <p>Phasing out ICE and diesel vehicles would force premature replacement of functional cars, imposing costs that many households cannot absorb. Even second-hand EVs remain significantly more expensive than equivalent ICE vehicles, while financing costs, insurance, and battery risk further increase the burden.</p> <p>Subsidies do not resolve this problem. They:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Primarily benefit higher-income households</li> <li>2 Do not cover full vehicle cost differentials</li> <li>3 Are funded through general taxation, spreading costs to those least able to pay</li> <li>4 The result is a regressive transfer from lower-income residents to wealthier EV adopters.</li> </ol> <p>6.2 Charging Access Inequality</p> <p>Effective EV ownership assumes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 Private driveways</li> <li>2. Dedicated charging points</li> <li>3 Predictable electricity pricing</li> </ol> <p>Renters, apartment dwellers, and residents of older housing stock face:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Higher charging costs</li> </ol>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>2 Inconvenient access  3. Greater exposure to price spikes  4 ICE vehicles, by contrast, allow equal access to mobility regardless of housing tenure.</p> <p>6.3 Rural and Peripheral Communities Residents outside central St Helier:  1 Travel longer distances  2 Have fewer public transport options  3 Are more car-dependent  4 An ICE phase-out would disproportionately reduce mobility for these communities, exacerbating spatial inequality.</p> <p>7. Household Cost Burden and Economic Risk  7.1 Rising Cost of Mobility  A forced transition away from ICE vehicles would:  1 Increase upfront vehicle costs  2 Expose households to electricity price volatility  3 Shift energy risk from fuel markets to electricity markets</p> <p>For many households, transport costs are already a major share of expenditure. Removing the lowest-cost vehicle option risks transport poverty, limiting access to employment, healthcare, and education.</p> <p>7.2 Loss of Resilience  ICE vehicles store energy onboard. In contrast, EVs depend on:  1 Continuous electricity supply  2 Functioning chargers  3 Stable grid operations  4 During outages or disruptions, ICE vehicles provide critical mobility resilience, particularly for emergency response, care work, and essential travel.</p> <p>8. Risks of a Mandatory ICE and Diesel Phase-Out  A blanket phase-out policy would:  1. Penalise ownership of durable, paid-off assets  2 Accelerate depreciation of existing vehicles  3 Create stranded household capital</p> <p>For a small island economy, this represents a forced wealth destruction event concentrated among households with the least financial flexibility.</p> <p>Such policies also risk:  1 Public backlash  2 Reduced policy legitimacy  3 Non-compliance or increased vehicle retention beyond safety-optimal lifespans</p> <p>9. My Policy Recommendations  9.1 Avoid Mandatory ICE and Diesel Phase-Outs</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>Retain ICE and diesel vehicles as a long-term parallel option  Avoid fixed ban dates that ignore household capacity</p> <p>9.2 Protect Low-Income Mobility  Preserve access to affordable second-hand vehicles  Avoid policies that force premature scrappage</p> <p>9.3 Target High-Impact Decarbonisation First  Electrify buses and service fleets  Improve public transport reliability and coverage</p> <p>9.4 Focus on Demand Reduction, Not Technology Forcing  Reduce unnecessary car trips  Improve walkability and cycling n</p> <p>9.5 Use Equity-Weighted Policy Appraisal  Assess impacts by income, housing tenure, and location</p> <p>10. Conclusion  Electric vehicles reduce tailpipe emissions but do not solve Jersey’s core transport challenges. On a small island, resilience, land use, congestion, and equity matter more than propulsion technology.</p>
288633262	Don't do it
288653551	Stop the ban now.
289300195	<p>It is a narrow minded policy that has not been thought through.  It seeks to impose legislation before the whole issue has been more widely discussed or implemented elsewhere.</p> <p>Electric may yet be superseded by another better alternative and there are too many downsides to electric cars, especially disposal of and weight issues.</p> <p>Vintage and classic car owners should also not be disadvantaged or discriminated against.</p>
289567739	Whatever we do must be in-line with UK and Europe. Support alternative fules such as hydrogen.
288811833	<p>As policies go, it is:  Aspirational at best.  Impractical.  Massively expensive for everyone.  A false positive given the damaging environmental impact of 100000 buying low emission - but high carbon footprint- vehicles.  A well conceived but utterly irresponsible policy.  A sticking plaster at best, given the massive environmental impact of heavy industry, the airline industry, the US and China’s appallingly high pollution levels etc.  Bafflingly naive.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
283976818	<p>This is a green washing exercise shrouded in a carbon neutral roadmap that needs to be seriously reviewed in comparison to our contribution to a GLOBAL output of carbon and the negative effect the entire proposal will have on the economy and economic viability of livelihoods and businesses in Jersey.</p> <p>Less red tape to business was promised by many governments, and all we seem to get is further bureaucracy at every turn.</p>
283986203	<p>The full implications around any vehicle levies, tax replacement strategy, impact to running costs, ie cost of electricity of vehicle charging. Any associated “electricity/charging” tax that one assumes will have to exist to replace the extortionate level of fuel duty currently generating revenue. The cost associated with disposal of existing ice vehicles, the proposals around disposal of hybrid and EV vehicles and any user pays impacts. Impact on infrastructure and charging capacity capability including any required contingency in event that we had to rely on La Collette power station. To say that it will be worked out later after making a decision is unacceptable to me as a taxpayer and I would also have expected a public consultation prior to any principles being agreed to.</p>
289460840	<p>Electric Vehicles do have an emission impact on the environment that is initially worse to that of petrol/diesel vehicles. So to promote the use as the greener alternative is deceptive and wrong.</p> <p>To then use the Net Zero ideology to promote EVs as the only way forward is also deceptive and wrong.</p> <p>The fact that charging points for the whole of the islands households is unattainable is also a major problem that cannot be resolved without taking away peoples right to choice, the right to travel in their own island, and the huge costs to taxpayers and individual vehicle owners who would ultimately foot the cost of this net zero ideology. Also a monopolised power service that will have complete control over free travel and financial costs.</p> <p>Los Angeles has a very high uptake in EV's. Over the last few summers has had to communicate to it residents, that they have to choose between their EV or their air conditioning! Coupled with the fact that Los Angeles power grid is mostly powered by Gas, hydro and Nuclear, shows definitively the lie that is 'green' EV usage!</p> <p>The example above shows how deceptive and wrong these policies are.</p> <p>I Completely disagree with any implementation of phasing out petrol/diesel vehicles based on Net Zero policies that promote EV usage as the only option, which is in fact a coercive and dishonest.</p>
286464052	<p>If this is going to happen, make sure the general public are thought about and not just the pockets of the government</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288817413	<p>Ridiculous, not thought out, no realistic planning, this is so much bigger than just about banning cars, the government cant cope currently, look how many years MOT has been thrown around the table and whats the latest just scooters, hospital fiasco... ferry fiasco...list goes on and this is just another un thought plan by unrealistic individuals who think they know best.</p> <p>Whats the plan for island wide infrastructure charging change. End of life batteries, we cant get batteries of island currently and have a stock pile that is dangerous but no plan for that, the amazing ferry fiasco also means dfds won't take them....</p>
286406506	<p>I can't afford a new car or even a second hand electric car. Government are reducing choice and creating an in house monopoly, this is taxation through the back door.</p>
283971775	<p>This consultation is flawed. Without any details of what is entailed in the phase-out plan beyond dates, types of vehicles and exemptions, there is no way for anyone to properly assess whether this viable or not. It is purely based on principle of do you agree with carbon emissions reductions or not</p>
284039260	<p>I think there needs to be an education/awareness initiative in schools and workplaces to make sure that any of the misinformation out there is addressed and that concerns are dealt with transparently. It needs to be clear that this is to benefit the island, not make peoples' lives more difficult.</p> <p>There is also the need to make sure the infrastucture is in place and that the motor trade has time to train and prepare for EVs. Is there any plan to offer or subsidise courses at Highlands for mechanics learning or upskilling on EVs. (Meeting the motor trade halfway on this might help build bridges and act as an incentive...).</p>
288906881	<p>Think it's a great idea, Jersey has far too many cars. We need investment in our public transport and some radical support of cyclists</p>
289544203	<p>unreasonable timescale needs to be pushed back at least 2 decades to allow appropriate technologies to catch up.</p>
289751784	<p>I thank you for asking for public views on this subject .  Please be kind enough to read my comments to the full.  On this subject Jersey needs to show that this island is indeed an Independent Governing Body - please read my concerns starting with my answer to question one and please get Infrastructure; mathematicians ; Fire service and Lithium Battery experts to look at every aspect on this policy including the financial impact on a high percentage of Islands population and the affordability of government funds .</p>
284643344	<p>There is no assessment of the environmental damage which will be incurred scrapping functional petrol / diesel vehicles.</p> <p>There is no assessment on the environmental damage to produce these electric vehicles.</p> <p>I suspect other alternative fuel vehicles will soon supersede electric ones.</p>
284710888	<p>It is an ill conceived virtue signalling policy based on flawed woke environmental ideology.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288925830	I think the net zero is a waste of money and time. The big industrial nations will carry on and we , will not make a difference. Its a scam.
289453718	Absolute waste of time. Complete arrant nonsense. Stop this drivel before it is too late!
287827439	<p>People cannot generally afford the new revolution of EV cars, which is seen by weak demand by the European and Japenese car manufacturers. VW Group/Porsche/Audi is a great example. The Porsche Tayan and Audi E-Tron are probably two of the best EVs you can buy and Porsche alone in 2025 reported €967 million (over \$1 billion) quarterly loss in Q3 and a 99% profit drop for the first nine months, forcing a €3.1 billion (\$3.6B) hit for a strategic realignment focused back on hybrids/petrol due to weak EV demand.</p> <p>Jersey's current strategy = does anyone have a horse I can borrow?</p>
289435676	<p>Terrible plan. Until resale issues are resolved &amp; sufficient charging points are available for ALL I will vehemently oppose it.</p> <p>How are people living in houses and particularly flats (of which there are many thousands) supposed to charge their cars ?... or is it proposed that only those with houses with private parking &amp; garages should be allowed to own a car ?.</p>
285947006	<p>KEEP AS WE ARE</p> <p>OUR CONTRIBUTION WILL HAVE NO EFFECT ON THE WORLD</p> <p>THIS EXERCISE IS PURELY A CIVIL SERVANT WANTING TO KEEP HIS JOB</p>
289265044	There are already many small and family EVs for sale in jersey for reasonable prices. The motor trade will need to adapt and skill base pivot to supporting electric first.
289444481	The 2030 phase-out is unrealistic for Jersey and risks unfairly penalising residents and businesses; a flexible, locally appropriate approach is essential.
289447618	It is absolute insanity what these lunatics are doing. The climate has always changed, hence why we've had ice ages etc. How deluded to think man has control over what the earth is doing.
289708271	<p>At the risk of being overly repetitive, it is concerning that this consultation has even been contemplated let alone published and progressed at this time. Jersey cannot practically progress a ban on non-electric vehicles until the UK and perhaps the EU implements bans and, thus, there is no market for these vehicles. The EU has already watered-down its commitments in this area and the UK is likely to follow. This is the only energy transition in human history that is being proposed that is forecast to negatively impact economic growth and living standards, and that is being imposed by policy. That fact is biting and will bite harder the more people experience the consequences of net-zero policies directly. Therefore, as in the US, the UK and EU are highly likely to respond to their populations' experiences and continue to move further away from these commitments. Why would Jersey waste its political and policy resources in even considering this now when faced with those bald facts and the negative impact going it alone in this area would have on our population. It would be</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	madness and is a bad use of government time - work on this should stop immediately.
289183640	there is no way the major can work in the time scale I believe in a transition to electric cars but it must be done by encouragement not by a law which is more suited to a state run by a dictator I would be very happy to put my views in person the people wanting to bring this in I will not vote for any states member in June that supports this draconian law that has no place in a democracy
284328492	Don't do it waste of government money and time as we are a tiny grain of sand on a beach where we probably produce the least amount of CO2 in the world
289464556	Please make sure the cost of electric going up doesn't put people of buying electric or the more electric cars on sale gives jersey electricity a chance to inflate prices and make profit from it
284186355	People are slowly waking up to the carbon/climate scam being pushed globally. The word on the street in Jersey is that people will retain their petrol/diesel cars and are not interested in changing to electric. This will be an uphill battle and you have no right to take this choice away from islanders.
283969771	It's an infringement on our rights. Sustainable and carbon free transport should be available and encouraged, but not forced on anyone.
289436398	I will never support this governmental overreach policy nor its monopoly - with laws being created - that lead to further control that restrict our freedoms and freedom of choice.  And yes I have seen the Digital ID plans (currently being expanded upon), next to come - CBDC/social credit control plans - which all conveniently fit nicely in with smart meters, EVs and energy supply.  This is NOT about saving the planet its about gaining further control!  PLEASE PROVE ME WRONG?
289507050	So many businesses will fold and place many people into difficult circumstances, i.e. homelessness, heavy debts, unemployment, etc etc. There is also the general inconvenience of getting around. Not everyone is fit enough to walk and not everyone can manage a horse or horse and van/trap. Electric vehicles are not an option under any circumstance.
289853230	I believe 100% electricity is the wrong path to follow. Sustainable bio fuels would require much less infrastructure and with increased volume production should reduce running costs. Existing vehicles could be retained and continue to be used whenever there may be an electricity supply failure.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288768203	<p>Please just stop this entire process for the public purse. It is not in the best interests of the Island.</p> <p>Our CO2e emissions are but a spec in the Global universe, our blue carbon no doubt offsets us in totality but the Authority does not like to talk about this.</p> <p>Similarly, no doubt our beautiful Jersey cows are equally, negatively impactful through their methane output as Cows are notorious for their emissions of methane (CH4), another and more damaging greenhouse gas. Cows produce methane through digestion, emitting the gas in burps, flatulence, and waste. In Denmark, for instance, cows have been such prolific methane producers that the government plans to tax their emissions starting in 2030 to curb climate change.</p> <p>To conclude, I am not suggesting you tax my herd, either. But please, stop this farce and align to the EU at the very least (not the UK as they will soon be aligning with Europe too), so you won't be seen as having to undertake an 'S turn' instead of just a 'U turn' when you conclude that this policy is simply an unnecessary burden upon Islanders during the persistent cost of living crisis we are all experiencing.</p>
285449773	it is madness. Stop it.
288787707	i don't think this is right or fair telling people what they can and can't drive and what they should and shouldn't drive seeing as yes it is a big impact of 27% but for many people cars are their hobbies there work how will garages survive without petrol and diesel cars it will negatively impact the economy for garages and cause a moly for electric vehicles on island
288803517	Would be interested to know how much money is been spent on infrastructure you will basically have to offer every home owner instillation of a charging bay. Suggest looking at the Islands roots and introducing E trains and reducing family's to one car per household.
289521669	Get rid of old, unused and unroadworthy vehicles first. Then smaller transport like scooters, and the mass ones like buses. THEN start with newer cars which isn't nearly as much as a problem as buses etc. Gov take responsibility first with own infrastructure before putting onus on taxpayers
289508753	<p>This is exactly what is wrong with Jersey government, they insist on interfering with peoples' lives at every level.</p> <p>Government's role is to provide basic services not to impose their collective will on the citizens who elected them.</p> <p>The people of the island do not wish for this policy to be imposed on them, like so many other unwanted decisions.</p> <p>The island has been ruined by this government forcing their personal crusades on the people. Ridiculous speed limits across the island that nobody wants etc etc, I cannot wait for the elections. Let's hope there are some sensible people standing.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284102699	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Import small, low power Japanese cars.</li> <li>2. One off tax on large private suv type cars based on weight - presumably electric cars, being heavier than ICE cars, have a greater wearing impact on roads, but do not pay tax through fuel consumption.</li> <li>3. Forget the phase out.</li> <li>4. Encourage an all electric fleet of buses</li> </ol>
289467251	Your questions in many sections are too wordy and unclear
283958623	Complete waste of time and resources. Forget it.
286528448	The people promoting the net zero need to be more aware of the impact this will have on the ordinary person and not just go blindly ahead because it feels like the right thing to do. People are already leaving the Island and this sort of virtue program will only make us all poorer and hasten the Island's decline.
287914748	I think the whole policy is flawed instead of this put a cap on the number of cars on the island or owned by one person. Also the infrastructure is simply not suitable currently
289348379	Think we need to do this and Jersey is one of the best places on the Planet for this to happen
289440491	Enough notice has been given. Don't back down- even if it looks like the UK will
289464884	The whole 2050 zero target is bunk. We will be impoverished in the pursuit of this target. I take some comfort in the extreme likelihood I will be dead when 2050 comes around.
289464502	Li
283978352	I don't agree with it at all. Electric vehicles are not the green machines they are promoted as. Jersey aiming to hit net zero is just ludicrous. If it meant we are saving the planet I might feel differently, but it really won't change anything at all. It's just a way of some people feeling good about themselves - 'I've saved the planet' no you haven't you live on a dot on the world map. Get a grip.
284203585	Jersey is of zero relevant to reducing GLOBAL climate change (yes we can reduce our island to net zero). This is not an area Jersey should be legislating for. Consumers are able to make this choice on an individual basis - and consumer's choice will be determined by which vehicle is best for them in their own capacities.
289527790	Focus on improving the current quality of life for islanders and think how this will effect the public
289437211	Stop net zero virtue signaling in Jersey
283967942	It's so important to reduce our emissions, everyone know that. Ignore the climate deniers! But it needs to be done the most appropriate way, not just what is "perceived" as the right solution. Please speak to vehicle manufacturers and those who are developing solutions. There's no point we all change to EV and then a couple years later a different solution comes out and this was all a big cost and effort unnecessarily. Thanks for asking for our feedback! Please listen.
289435483	Daft idea, why not limit engine size

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288962411	<p>Overall, the 2030 phase-out is not supported by clear Jersey-specific evidence and appears to prioritise arbitrary targets over real environmental, social, and economic outcomes. In a low-mileage island with long vehicle lifespans, forcing early replacement risks increasing total environmental damage while disproportionately harming people who cannot afford expensive new vehicles. The policy should be paused and fundamentally rethought before irreversible decisions are made.</p>
289493663	<p>Jersey's Principle 1 is bizarre economic self-harming virtue signalling. It appears that the UK and Europe are likely to remove this Principle 1. Indeed they are both likely to row back on net zero by 2050, which is another example of hubris and stupidity masked in virtue signalling by trying to be seen to do the 'right thing' when it absolutely is not.</p> <p>For proof that electric cars are negative for climate change and net zero 'aspirations' see the 2021 study done by Volvo  <a href="https://www.volvocars.com/assets/volvocm/globalpages/live/6D8F58D5DC1E4E288A35DBA2647CC28F/volvo_carbonfootprintreport_ex40.pdf">https://www.volvocars.com/assets/volvocm/globalpages/live/6D8F58D5DC1E4E288A35DBA2647CC28F/volvo_carbonfootprintreport_ex40.pdf</a></p> <p>The belief that the UK, let alone Jersey, by their actions like Principle 1, will have a positive impact on climate change defies logic and the facts. This is also very much against Jersey's functioning as a free market in a capitalist economy. Why should government bureaucrats decide which type of car people should buy and drive? Last I looked Jersey is not a planned centrally controlled economy.</p> <p>Resources should be devoted by governments towards adaption to climate change, which is happening whether we like it or not. Ignoring this and grandstanding, which is the effect of Principle 1, will damage Jersey's economy, peoples lifestyle, cost of living AND the climate. Get real, tell the truth and start implementing policies that make sense please.</p>
284238423	<p>As previously mentioned there are a number of alternatives to just electric power and these should also be explored. Electric is NOT the Holy Grail. Alternative energy sources are being developed for ICE vehicles and these should be included as well, so that a tried and tested means of propulsion can be continued.</p>
288620212	<p>Here is a summary and list of reasons why this should not go ahead -</p> <p>While electric vehicles (EVs) offer zero tailpipe emissions, their overall environmental impact—including the high carbon footprint and resource-intensive process of manufacturing batteries—combined with serious safety concerns such as fire risk and low-noise hazards, and the lack of facilities for battery disposal, make them less practical for the Island. These challenges are compounded by infrastructure gaps, high upfront costs, and increased vehicle weight. In contrast, biofuels provide a sustainable, cost-effective, and immediately deployable alternative that leverages existing infrastructure, reduces lifecycle emissions, and avoids the environmental and safety issues associated with EV adoption.</p> <p>Why Electric Cars are NOT Suitable</p> <p>1. Availability of Suitable Biofuels</p> <p>There are viable biofuel options available locally, which can power existing vehicles with lower environmental impact and without requiring major infrastructure changes.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>2. High Carbon Footprint for EV Production  Manufacturing EVs—especially batteries—has a larger carbon footprint than building and running existing vehicles using locally available biofuels.</p> <p>3. Higher Upfront Cost  EVs often cost more to purchase than internal combustion engine vehicles.</p> <p>4. Battery Degradation  Over time, batteries lose capacity, reducing range and requiring expensive replacements.</p> <p>5. No Local Facility for Battery Disposal  On the Island, there is currently no facility to safely discharge or recycle waste EV batteries, creating logistical and environmental challenges.</p> <p>6. Environmental Concerns  Battery production and disposal have significant environmental impacts, including mining for rare materials.</p> <p>7. Low Noise Levels (Safety Concern)  EVs are very quiet, which can increase the risk of accidents with pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>8. Vehicle Weight and Existing Car Parks  EVs are generally heavier than traditional cars due to large batteries, which can put stress on older car park structures and increase wear on roads.</p> <p>9. Fire Risk (Battery Thermal Runaway)  EV batteries can catch fire if damaged or during charging faults. These fires are extremely hard to extinguish and can reignite, creating safety and environmental hazards.</p> <p>10. Cold Weather Performance  EV range can drop significantly in cold climates due to battery inefficiency and heating needs.</p> <p>11. Towing and Heavy Loads  Many EVs are less suitable for towing or carrying heavy loads compared to traditional vehicles.</p> <p>12. Limited Driving Range  EVs generally have shorter ranges compared to petrol or diesel cars, making long-distance travel less convenient.</p> <p>13. Charging Infrastructure  Charging stations are still less common than fuel stations, especially in rural or remote areas.</p> <p>14. Charging Time  Even with fast chargers, charging takes longer than refueling a conventional car.</p>
289308402	Don't do it!
288889266	I believe nearly all Motorhomes registered in the island are very rarely used in the island. I am certain nearly all of them are used only for touring Europe and the UK. For that reason it will be greatly unfair to excessively tax or try to phase them out when they have VERY little effect on emissions in Jersey

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289518398	Perviously owned multiple electrical vehicles for both personal and business purposes both ended up with shorter lifetimes, caused significant financial losses, unpractical and expensive.
284051655	<p>My response to question 1 is my main argument. I feel that the people making the decisions on this matter are not as informed as they should be, or brush off the negative sides to the debate.</p> <p>I think it would be a disaster attempting to do such a thing this early, we should look to see how other communities fare before we decide to make such massive infrastructure changes to our small island. Getting this wrong could be catastrophic to the local economy and residents. This cannot be emphasized enough.</p> <p>The damage and risk outweighs the benefits tenfold.</p>
284115441	<p>I completely support the goal of protecting our environment, but the 2030 phase-out plan feels unrealistic and unfair for Jersey. Our island’s contribution to global emissions is tiny, yet the financial and practical impact of these proposals on local families, charities, and small businesses would be enormous.</p> <p>Electric vehicles remain expensive, the infrastructure isn’t ready, and the environmental costs of battery production and disposal are being ignored. Instead of copying larger nations, Jersey should focus on a balanced, locally driven approach — improving public transport, car sharing, and renewable energy while encouraging greener choices through incentives, not punishment.</p> <p>Real progress will come from education, affordability, and practicality — not rushed legislation that risks hurting the very people it claims to protect.</p>
289305404	It should nit be forced on the public. The public will eventually choose what they want to buy. Information is one thing, being coerced is quite another.
289611617	Again, controlling motor vehicle trade is excessive, unfair to those with interests in all forms of vehicles and is not the main cause pollution. Many problems in Jersey, the UK and the globe MUST be addressed, not forcing people into having overpriced soulless cars.
284065310	Why not start with Hire Cars? We import a new fleet of hire cars every single year, you could start by ruling in law that all hire cars need to be electric from X date. This would also test and show issues with the public charging infrastructure immediately and give you a REAL WORLD example of how badly JEC have implemented charging and HOW BAD it really is.
284137806	Please don’t do it.
289539832	I do not support the phase out at all.
289841740	This is the most extreme government overreach that I have witnessed in my lifetime in Jersey. It is disproportionate, illiberal and undemocratic, imposing the views of a minority on the majority.
289467081	I fear it is premature and will need to be moved back 5 years

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284198493	<p>I have already answered this but seeing as there is probably a different civil servant allocated to each response I will reiterate:</p> <p>This is an utter waste of time and resources, it will have zero effect on the planet while causing a detriment to islanders.</p> <p>Electric vehicles are heavier, leading to more wear and tear on roads and car parks, they have more torque, causing increased micro plastics in the environment from tyre wear and their batteries are not sustainable. The emissions from EVs are not significantly less over their life time, a metal shell has to be constructed regardless of what power train a vehicle employs - and encouraging people to scrap perfectly serviceable cars is not the answer.</p> <p>Stop wasting my taxes on pointless schemes.</p>
289476654	<p>I disagree with any initiatives which would reduce any individuals right to choose for themselves. I would like to have the option to purchase a small EV to zip around town on short commutes. Equally I want to freedom to be able to purchase a large 3liter V8 to drive on sunny days with the top down and to take on road trips off island. The market will determine which vehicles are purchased, and as EVs improve, infrastructure and support for EVs improve more people will probably choose EVs, and this will drive what vehicles are imported as the market would lean more towards the demand. The overall impact will be a lowering of emissions.</p> <p>Also this will negatively impact a high number of people currently working in the industry who will need to look for alternative means of employment should a full phase out of petrol and diesel be pursued. With a dramatic decrease of petrol and diesel cars the need for mechanics qualified in these areas will decrease and impact these persons' ability to provide for themselves and their families.</p>
284068445	<p>1) Infrastructure isn't ready—especially for people without driveways. Until there is a dense, reliable network—priced fairly and accessible for renters, flat-dwellers and shift workers—an outright phase-out risks creating mobility “haves” and “have-nots.”</p> <p>2) Housing and parking realities make home charging hard. St Helier and other dense areas have many flats and limited off-street parking; even Government parking guidance acknowledges the need for significant additional public EV infrastructure alongside any future residential provision. Pushing a hard end-date before that is built out penalises those in apartments and older properties.</p> <p>3) Energy security concerns: we don't control our primary electricity source. Jersey relies predominantly on three undersea interconnectors to France for power (Jersey's access ~202–245 MW within the Channel Islands grid). While low-carbon, this makes road transport dependent on a single imported energy route that is vulnerable to outages, maintenance and geopolitics. A rapid, mandatory shift of the car fleet onto the grid concentrates risk unless local</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>redundancy (storage/firm generation) is in place first.</p> <p>4) Cost-of-living and equity impacts.  New EVs still carry higher up-front costs; the cheaper used market remains volatile and can leave lower-income households with limited choice, range-constrained older EVs, and battery-health uncertainty. Until the public charging network is both abundant and competitively priced—and consumer protections for used EV batteries are robust—the policy risks regressive effects.</p> <p>5) Commercial and public-service readiness.  Even if private cars are the first target, a hard 2030 signal will ripple through small business fleets (trades, carers, taxis) that rely on on-street or depot charging. Without guaranteed rapid/overnight capacity and grid connections at business premises, contractors could face downtime, reduced service windows, and capital costs that will be passed on to consumers.</p> <p>6) Technology-neutral decarbonisation would deliver similar outcomes with less disruption.  A rigid ICE ban could crowd out other credible low-carbon pathways (e.g., sustainable fuels for niche uses, range-extender PHEVs for rural parishes, or next-gen hybrids during grid build-out). A performance-based standard (tightening fleet CO<sub>2</sub>/air-quality limits year-on-year) would keep pressure on emissions without locking the Island into one technology timetable.</p> <p>7) Policy sequencing is the issue, not the ambition.  Government documents themselves show the phase-out is one line in a larger roadmap. But bus electrification, workplace/destination charging, grid reinforcement and town-centre air-quality measures should lead, with the phase-out following once service levels are proven (uptime, queues, accessibility for disabled drivers, tariff fairness). UK experience shows how patchy roll-outs create real-world barriers for disabled users and those reliant on public chargers. Jersey can avoid those mistakes by sequencing first.</p> <p>8) Resilience: diversify before you consolidate.  Today’s mixed fuel system spreads risk between liquid fuels (stored on-Island) and electricity imports. Moving cars and small vans onto electricity before storage and standby generation are scaled reduces system resilience during storms, cable faults, or imported-power disputes.</p> <p>9) Market distortion &amp; second-hand effects.  If Jersey bans new-to-Island ICE in 2030 while neighbours evolve at different speeds, our market could suffer restricted choice and price spikes for compliant vehicles. A softer glide path that tracks regional markets reduces the chance of stranded value for households and small dealers.</p> <p>10) The consultation needs hard assurances, not just intentions.  The consultation page and Carbon Neutral Roadmap progress updates set the</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>intent (ending import/registration of petrol/diesel cars and small vans new to Jersey from 1 Jan 2030 at the latest, with expansions to follow). Before legislating that date, Islanders should see binding commitments on: public-charger density/uptime standards, accessible design, transparent tariffs, grid-connection SLAs, and consumer protections for used-EV batteries. EV batter alone cause significant issues including the following:  EV battery harms that can equal or exceed ICE impacts (non-CO<sub>2</sub>):</p> <p>Intensive mining footprint &amp; human rights risks. Rapid EV growth is driving surging demand for lithium, cobalt, nickel and graphite, expanding high-impact mining with documented child labour and forced displacement in cobalt/copper belts of the DRC and biodiversity risks in new mining frontiers.</p> <p>Water stress in lithium brine regions. Lithium extraction in places like the Atacama sits within fragile hydrological systems; studies highlight significant uncertainty but credible pathways to deplete/redistribute scarce water with ecosystem and community impacts.</p> <p>Heavier vehicles → more non-exhaust pollution. Because EVs are generally heavier, they can produce higher tyre/road wear particulates (PM) than comparable ICE cars—brake wear may fall via regen, but tyres/road dust dominate non-exhaust emissions and harm health. Policymakers now treat this as a major emerging pollution source.</p> <p>Fire, toxicity and emergency response. Thermal runaway in Li-ion packs can release toxic gases (e.g., hydrogen fluoride), require large water volumes, and reignite after suppression—creating distinct public-safety and building-design burdens that Jersey must plan (and pay) for.</p> <p>End-of-life gap today (stockpiling vs recycling). Europe/UK are racing to build capacity, but recycling volumes still lag rising waste streams; the UK is already seeing stockpiles and reliance on exports. Until local/regional facilities scale, Jersey risks hazardous battery waste liabilities.</p> <p>Important nuance (so critics can’t dismiss the point): Robust life-cycle studies still find BEVs have much lower total GHG emissions than gasoline cars in Europe (around ~70% lower on average). Your argument here is not about CO<sub>2</sub> totals, but about different—and in some categories worse—environmental and social harms (mining footprints, water stress, PM from tyre wear, hazardous waste/fire risk) that a date-driven ban may amplify if infrastructure, standards and safeguards don’t come first.</p>
288663218	No further comments.
289903332	<p>Other ways to move towards net zero should be more heavily explored, to balance off the emissions from petrol/diesel vehicles. Targeting vehicles and effectively forcing the move to electric vehicles is a total removal of the freedom of choice, especially punishing for the large number of car and Motorsport enthusiasts.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	I feel strongly enough about this that I would consider leaving Jersey if this legislation was passed
284145285	As above The focus should be elsewhere
289434099	There are massive polluters that should be tackled before targeting the common man, there are so many other areas of transport & manufacturing that should be prioritised, but of course they won't be as it's far easier to implement these ridiculous electric vehicles that nobody really wants, including some major manufacturers. It's. All. A. Big. Scam.
284114114	I do not support the proposed 2030 phase-out. For a small island with limited infrastructure, limited vehicle availability, and high living costs, this policy feels more like imposing economic sanctions on Islanders than a proportionate environmental measure. Jersey's actions will make no meaningful difference to global emissions, yet the financial impact on households and small businesses would be significant. We should be fast followers, not attempt to lead the world. Set clear direction, build the infrastructure, stabilise costs, and move when the Island is genuinely ready — not before.
288069980	This proposition not thought out at all it has been copy pasted from foreign nations and is not fit for purpose and most likely made by civil servants who have barely been in Jersey in their life.
284395804	As I implied at the beginning, everyone should read the book, Material World. It will open your eyes as to the futility of what Jersey is proposing to inflict on its population.
285656392	I am totally against this as we could use sustainable fuel. I own several classic vehicles and my every day vehicle is a classic, I don't own anything below 20 years of age and would never buy a new vehicle.
289459975	I can say now that I never want to drive an electric vehicle. I will keep the vehicle I have for ever, I inherited it from my aunt and it is now a Classic. It goes like a bird and it 25 years old. As long as I can buy the correct fuel I will use it.  I think electric vehicles are dangerous, they are too silent on the road. When I get to the end of my drive I have to be very careful as electric vehicles go along and you don't know they are there.
289527497	My comments to this survey show my honest opinions of where this is heading.  I accept that change is inevitable but fear we are backing the wrong solution here, albeit we have to do something. In theory small electric vehicles are really suited to our on island motoring and as such the reduction in emissions from the proposed changes should be good for Jersey and our environment, however I cant help but feel that its at a cost to other people and places. We may have cleaner air but others will be paying the price.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289486878	<p>I believe that EVs are a good option for local transportation when vehicles are being used for general travel and commuting.</p> <p>I do not think that the islands infrastructure is ready to support the quantity of charges that will be required.</p> <p>I also do not trust in the islands public transportation system especially in remote areas.</p> <p>I strongly believe that controls should be put in place that allow islanders to import and own pure petrol vehicles which are being used for sport or enjoyment. I would support a limitation to local mileage with these vehicles which would demonstrate a commitment to reducing emissions. I would also support an increase to fuel duty if it was put towards a carbon offsetting scheme.</p> <p>I strongly believe businesses should lead the way in moving to EVs.</p>
288976123	<p>I am , in common with a great many people in Jersey, a restorer and user of classic vehicles</p> <p>The majority of classics are insured for road use but operate on a restricted mileage, in my own case that is a maximum of 1,500 miles per year</p> <p>This mileage is not covered at all as the vehicles are only used for weekend club outings</p> <p>The arguments put forward by the “climate change” faction in jersey would do better to stop handing out large payments and subsidies for electric vehicles, research into wind farms etc. and instead look to using those funds to not only reduce the price of sustainable fuels, but increase their supply so that costs would be reduced by virtue of economies of scale</p> <p>Sustainable fuel as I have used from the Fuel Supply Shell depot now costs around £7 per litre and it is perfect for using in my cars, or indeed, I note that many States of Jersey vehicle are presently using it to good effect</p> <p>The decision to halt importation of certain vehicles is questionable and at the moment looks to be a “cash cow” payment for persons wanting to import classic vehicles</p> <p>The cut off date for any vehicle to be registered as a classic should be on a 25 year rolling basis</p> <p>Failure to support the classic movement in Jersey would lead to an impingement on our rights, but to multiple job losses and economic stress throughout the wide ranging community of garages, fuel suppliers mechanics, restorers and owners, and even lower amounts for the Island revenues</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	There is a very strong will among the classic community that does not wish the proposals to succeed, and I am sure that community will respond as a whole
289611436	I feel the onus is too heavily biased against private cars, Electric buses are already available and by 2035 probably many lorries trucks and heavy goods vehicles.
289435431	We are not ready for these changes. Overall new petrol and diesel vehicles are a lot cleaner these days than they have ever been. What ever happens it will cost the consumer a lot more.
284096617	It is a bad idea and should delayed until a better option than electric cars comes on the market. The government doesn't care what the people think though. They just want to virtue signal!
289447922	I don't think the Island is ready for this.
289544849	We need a more island wide bus service as some people may have to give up their vehicles and not afford to get an alternative vehicle
287914991	An outright ban is premature, ill informed and ignores the possibility of alternative outcomes for the industry over the next 20 years. We should not be pioneering, not pushing ahead when other jurisdictions are realising more time is needed to evaluate the right approach(es).  Indefinite delay whilst the possibilities are evaluated is the most sensible approach.
284714951	Abandon the existing policy.
284104271	Consider all of Jersey, not just the wealthy and privileged.
285369694	Please do not let this go ahead, listen to the people of Jersey. It does not need to happen.
288975071	As above.
288685624	It is not the role of our government to interfere in the freedom of choice of individuals.  The whole idea of restrictions is madness and will disadvantage the island greatly.
289467094	Please look at hydrogen as a cleaner option for all vehicles already on the island. Government takes control of the generation and distribution.
289453332	I am hoping this will not happen until maybe 2040 more knowledge is needed .
288717652	Any phase out should be after the uk and eU and only when appropriate infrastructure is in place and household financial assistance is in place to cover the cost of home charging facilities
288955885	If (and it is a very big if) the introduction of purely electric vehicles is achieved in Jersey what would be the overall effect on world co2 emissions as a % 0.000?

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289924127	<p><a href="https://cantheban-jersey.com/">https://cantheban-jersey.com/</a></p> <p>Illiberal</p> <p>Undemocratic</p> <p>Disproportionate</p> <p>Economically damaging</p> <p>Practically unworkable.</p>
286392597	<p>The phase out should be cancelled, certainly until a viable alternative is available with no additional costs to the public. EVs are not currently a viable alternative.</p>
284319664	<p>The whole EV policy is flawed , Please research Lithium and cobalt production damage to the Environment , Leachate fields covering thousands of acres to filter out these chemicals , Whole mountainsides being destroyed to mine these minerals , Electricity production using renewables is not the answer , What happens to the Marine environment when building Wind Farms &lt; thousands of Turbine Blades being Buried when they reach the End of their useful life spans , Solar Panels breaking down releasing contaminants in to the Countryside ,</p> <p>If the Government is serious about Sustainability build a small scale Nuclear Power Plant in Ronez Quarry , Lees Foot-pint than a large Football field and 80 plus years of Electricity with enough capacity to wholesale Electricity to other jurisdictions. Please research Rolls Royce small nuclear reactors , Even campaigners like George Monbiot are saying Nuclear is the Future , Wake up and Listen to the alternatives .But as with most of these slanted Government Surveys you will not listen to the Public and implement policies formulated by some Lefty educated Graduate who now's nothing about the real world!</p>
289445090	<p>The UK will not be ready by 2030 and will therefore slip their date to 2035 in line with the EU. I hope Jersey will be sensible and follow suit rather than burying its head in the sand and trying to be a trail blazer when it is not self sufficient enough to do so.</p>
289221492	<p>Hybrid and electric vehicles tend to be more expensive to buy than petrol/diesel cars which might preclude many people from buying them.</p>
288474481	<p>It's a good thing and I don't think we should be too relaxed on exemptions that will slow our pathway to carbon neutral / net zero. This should have been done 10 years ago like some European countries.</p>
285861618	<p>Please think very carefully about the road ahead as the decisions you make about the Carbon Neutral road map will have a profound effect on the economy of this Island particularly the motor trade. Furthermore in the event of Reform UK coming to power which at current poles looks highly likely they are already looking to scrap the Carbon Neutral 2030 legislation as like myself they don't think that the issue warrants this level of intervention and investment that is currently being channelled in. This could lead to legislation having to be</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	repealed to fall in line with a change of UK Government and Government policy in 2029 ahead of the 2030 role out
287918365	I am dismayed and shocked by the format of this survey - it is leading and absolutely biased. It demonstrates to me that people involved are completely blinkered and ignorant concerning this Island, its people and the "electric vehicle" brainwashing. It also makes me think who benefits from following and driving these ridiculous changes and who in particular is pushing this through - it certainly is not the right proposal or outcome for the Island and its future.
289462358	Such a change can only happen if cost of cars come down!!
284072515	All my previous comments stand, I would have to add that anyone who has been slightly affected by car sickness will most definitely be affected by electric public transport, electric buses make me terribly unwell, enough that I cannot get on one and have to wait for the next bus.
289465854	This is a farce when every year you allow the air display which seriously pollutes the air and we then breath this in. Stinks of double standards which is typical of the government.
289471965	Absolutely no freaking way!!!!!!!
284933136	This is a poor blindly following the crowd legislation that seems to completely lack any actual evidence that relates to a small jurisdiction. Given the overall effect in the environment Jersey can make a better environmental contribution without sacrificing its economy.
289434529	Please contact me in person if you need any further assistance on [TEXT REDACTED]
284139527	As previously stated there is no need for Jersey to slavishly follow the UK. The whole process is ill thought out and it is rediculoyus to think having electricity cars will save energy. Where is the power going to generated to charge all these vehicles and who will be responsible for safe disposal of used vehicles and batteries?
284384296	See previous comments
284140116	I won't be around to see the inevitable failure of electric cars, unfortunately nor will I be here to see a new hospital as I'm [TEXT REDACTED] and have been waiting 2 decades, I'm ashamed to live in my native home, 4 generations
286008811	Start with the hire cars. Hotels and air B&B owners will soon make the necessary changes. Introduce a pay per mile scheme to incentivise people to switch to electric

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288811195	<p>While the USA and China continue to generate vast amounts of pollution through large-scale industry, energy production, and transport, forcing a small jurisdiction like Jersey to move entirely to electric cars is largely symbolic. Jersey’s total vehicle emissions are negligible on a global scale, so even a complete transition to EVs would be little more than a drop in the ocean in terms of worldwide environmental impact. Although some local air-quality benefits may be achieved, such measures make no meaningful difference to global climate outcomes unless the world’s major polluting nations take equivalent, large-scale action.</p> <p>Furthermore, while the move to electric vehicles may be well-intentioned, Jersey’s infrastructure is nowhere near ready to support such a rapid transition. Charging availability, grid capacity, and practical day-to-day usability remain significant concerns. At the same time, lower- and middle-income residents—many of whom can only just afford a car as it is—would be forced to absorb the significantly higher purchase costs of electric vehicles. This would place an additional financial burden on those least able to afford it, ultimately leaving many people worse off rather than better supported through the transition.</p>
289437701	<p>I will repeat following:</p> <p>A) The any phase out date should be beyond 2050 as islanders needs to have proper return on investment in car.</p> <p>B) Pls address this first - If not thought about yet, Government needs to compensate owners of pure petrol or diesel cars, and incentivise procurement of electric or hybrid car.</p> <p>C) Plus they should not allow car dealers to increase price taking advantage of policy led demand to sell petrol / diesel cars and procure electric / hybrid car.</p> <p>D) Given that electric car battery’s lifecycle isn’t more than 7-8 years. Will there be government incentives or grant to support end of life battery’s replacement? If govt will not support then it will be an inflicted pain and dealers / repair centres will take advantage</p>
289489047	<p>Government should continue to provide support for those financially affected by the move to electric cars.</p> <p>Government should plan for a disposal facility for electric car batteries.</p> <p>Government should launch a public awareness programme as too many people wear headphones in the street and are unaware of electric cars. There could be an increase in road traffic accidents between pedestrians, cyclists and other road users because they are not aware of electric cars.</p> <p>Schools as primary and secondary level need to introduce PSHE courses highlighting the new types of road danger as electric cars are almost silent. No headphones if you are cycling or walking to and from school.</p>
289436214	<p>Don’t spend  Time and effort on this. Build the hospital instead. No one wants this</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289332057	This consultation will hopefully show the folly of the proposal, this should be pushed back 20 years until the science is proven. Jersey is too small to pretend to be a world leader in reducing emissions. The population will bear too much of the pain of this ideology in financial burden.
289871712	<p>Don't do it just to say Jersey is ahead of most of the world - no one else cares as the size of Jersey is so inconsequential.</p> <p>The pressure is already on manufacturers to develop cleaner cars, so pls let us to continue enjoying them whilst they are available.</p> <p>With the UK inevitably having to extend back to 2035 due to the very poor infrastructure for one, we should remain flexible and not commit too early.</p> <p>EV pros - they are cheap to run in Jersey and have their place as one solution to a much broader offering</p> <p>EV cons - more expensive; greater depreciation; much heavier; greater fire risk; can be hard to repair after accident; more likely to be written off; more difficult to dispose of; public charging infrastructure in UK &amp; Europe isn't upto current demand let alone being able to support a massive increase; bland and have no character if you like proper cars</p> <p>Is the JEC really going to be ready for the considerably greater load demand?</p> <p>By forcing this through too early you will put the new car dealers out of business, as they will not be able to survive on selling EVs only, and customers will hang onto their old cars - the likes of Van Mossel must be very concerned.</p> <p>You have a history of doing your own thing and not listening to the public - those in favour won't be car enthusiasts and look at them purely as a method of transport from A to B, however, just look at the petrol car collections and car clubs over here, there are many many car enthusiasts like me so PLEASE DON'T TAKE AWAY OUR FREEDOM OF CHOICE TOO EARLY</p>
289215396	Jersey is the ideal place for electric vehicles to improve the environment and air pollution, however it such a small dot on the landscape it is not going to make any difference worldwide. Are people going to be able to afford electric cars and are the manufacturers going to be able to produce enough, many European manufacturers are back pedalling after terrible sales figures and are reintroducing petrol or hybrid models, Porsche for example. If this phase out is implemented and dealerships struggle, government might have to make a hasty u turn.
289297169	I feel very strongly that vintage cars should be exempt from the proposed regulation and consider that 35 years is a more appropriate age.
289442003	This was knee jerk reaction a few years ago by some not so wise members of the States We zero impact on the world in everything. We even paid civil servants going about spouting nonsense on this eco drive guess who pays for this the silly public.
289571994	<p>I think this is all very wrong as we have very little information particularly the cost. I try and be as green as possible but this is a step too far for such a small island. We seem to run with the herd. We live in a very uncertain world at present and I think our government needs to hold on before they bring in this legislation. This has already cost a lot financially and there are better use of the taxpayers money at present. We are an island of about 110,000 people so how will we make a difference.</p> <p>For the record I did not think much of the way your survey was conducted.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289320930	Bring it on! Can we combine it with random public execution of drivers of petrol/diesel cars weighing over two and a half tonnes where found being used for the school run?
284110886	Terrible idea. Thi is not the government doing helpful things for islanders.
289457572	Government trying to look impressive abroad while making locals pay for it. Seen this approach all over africa. Bad sadly the few will impose this on the many.
283976426	If Jersey was net zero tomorrow how would that affect the global net zero targets? You plan to create huge additional costs on islanders, business and government spending but the world gets no benefit because other richer, larger, more populated countries are choosing not to do this and add expense onto thier populations. Thier population growth rates will cancel out any of our net zero work in a matter of days, if not hours.
283948110	The emmisons issue is not with new vehicles, its the older polluting vehicles on the island. This proposal does not change that.
289006058	local government needs to listen to the people of the island and what they want on which is DO NOT PHASE OUT fuel vehicles. Us as a little for us NOT making any difference to the environment compared to else where in the world.
289435824	I think by now you are aware of my thoughts on the subject . It's a shame that real thought has not been applied to the government policies in Europe regarding this matter as a simple change in fuels would have made this unnecessary, but as an island we can implement our own options for vehicles which would allow us to be forefront in European policy with a positive outcome for jersey air quality and our population
284330193	I believe 2030 is too soon and it's being rushed through just to make our government look good and make them think their world leaders. Fairly sure UK will end up pushing back the timeline too.
288722637	My previous comments have already expressed my views on the whole carbon zero by 2050 nonsense. Reforms UK Deputy leader Richard Tice in a statement said "the Government's obsession with NET stupid zero will only succeed in bankrupting the country".  Shadow Energy and Net Zero Secretary, Claire Courtinho added...."the figures from NESO the current Governments own quango, highlight the lies and fundamental hypocrisy of the Net Zero campaign".  The official data shows the truth....families and businesses will have to spend hundreds of billions of pounds upgrading their boilers, cars and infrastructure all while China is belching out a new coal power station every fortnight.....coal from the UK.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284127669	<p>There are far too many risks associated with this phase out and as a small island, Jersey needs to weigh the pros and cons of this. The world will never be carbon neutral so why expect Jersey will be? We can make changes that can make a difference however they do not need to be as drastic as phasing out diesel/petrol cars.</p> <p>Many manufacturers have seen there is no real desire for change from the public. They had stopped or planned to stop production of diesel engines and have reversed their plans.</p> <p>These bans will just affect those who already struggle more and have less resources (eg rent a habit hutch with no allocated parking where charging stations could be built).</p> <p>Plus there are many issues with the plans.</p> <p>Infrastructure is the main one. For someone who rents somewhere where parking is at a distance and roadside - what do we do?</p> <p>There is only one energy supplier - it becomes a huge risk if there are any power failures or cyber attacks to the network. How will you mitigate this?</p> <p>The costs of electricity have sky rocketed in the last few years - how will this be kept down when we hear that the prices need to go up for investment into an aging Infrastructure?</p> <p>Where are the hundreds of mechanics who are specialist in these types of cars for the future?</p> <p>Due to the much high risk of fire of lithium and other types of batteries and knowing the costs to the fire service of dealing with these fires, where are the funds to invest in the fire department once these changes are forced?</p> <p>Where are the plans, what is the financial cost and above all, the environmental cost to dispose of the batteries for all the cars that will be electric here in Jersey?</p>
284069438	There should be a net zero referendum prior to any ban on vehicle imports.
288643246	I would just like to re-emphasise that I disagree with the importation and registration of used motor vehicles in 2030 - it's overreach and your exemptions will become onerous to administer.
289628787	I have owned an electric car for 3 years and it's dropped in value by half . The insurance was 4 times more expensive and wirh the cost of electric continuing going up not substainable . I can't wait to get rid of it and buy a petrol car.
284046149	Huge waste of time and finances even bringing this to the table. Thus will not have any impact apart from in people's pockets and living standards
289482688	We, the vehicles, and the infrastructure are no where near ready to start a phase out.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289522476	I think I've covered everything with this fiasco already
288678808	<p>I want to keep my old car as easy for me to repair at home, are you going to phase out older petrol cars in time? If so Directly or Indirectly, such as increasing fuel duty/costs</p> <p>Electric car second hand market nothing like combustion engine market due to battery degradation, subsequent cost and disposal.</p> <p>I have driven last two years in a car that cost £400 and repairing myself, this cost simply will go up massively when only electric cars, many people will be priced out of driving. Potentially including myself... I work as a delivery driver with my own vehicle, so I could be in big trouble.</p>
283946986	Should encourage smaller vehicles. Eg put a duty on the vehicle's weight.
289499576	You need to look at all aspects, cost of rebuilding Multi Storey Car parks, Tyre wear, lifespan of EV batteries (10-15 years) , carbon in manufacture
289465213	Instead of islanders being penalised through cost increases, perhaps there should be a limit enforced as to the number of vehicles that can be owned by a household. Or possibly subsidies in place for those households with a single vehicle. I know many people on this island who are a small family of 3 or 4 and have 2-3 cars. We are a family of 3 (including a driving teenager), and we manage the use of one vehicle and 3 push bikes.
289852919	<p>Leisure vehicles, campervans, and motorhomes should be exempt from any ban until at least 2035.</p> <p>This market is not yet producing EV vehicles that are readily accessible in showrooms. These manufacturers need time to adapt, grow, and mature; they will. We should look to the EU for guidance.</p> <p>VED for this group should be overhauled, as it is currently discriminatory toward Leisure vehicles and may be based on the commercial vehicle VED rather than passenger vehicles or cars.</p>
289530603	Please stop this nonsense and get of the phase out. It is not wanted or needed!
284158535	<p>Jersey must abandon this marxist ideology of dictating how citizens run their life. Policies that force individuals to do things they dont want will always lead to poor quality of life, higher cost of living and less choice. Jersey's effort will not make a dent to any changes in climate. We are a tiny island only china or india or huge industrial nations burning millions of coal can have real impact.</p> <p>Let us make the island the most freest place to live. A bastion of capitalism and free markets where citizens don't live looking over their shoulders in fear of the govt's boot.</p>
284073882	<p>Any legislation around registration should be broader, and introduce an annual registration charge to offset lost Excise Duty on ICE fuel, and to modernise DVS.</p> <p>And VED should also be done away with.</p>
289165768	<p>We understand the world has to be greener but within realistic measures and with common sense actions in terms of knock on effect consequences for the island, public/consumer and motor trade businesses.</p> <p>Like i mentioned previously i feel Jersey should push the date back and monitor the matter/emissions and what euro countries are doing with also keeping the</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	options fluid for the public in terms of having the choice with also enticing the consumer to buy an EV through more EV grants.
284502255	Don't need to phase out if you use Carbon Neutral Fuels instead of Carbon Free Fuels.
286388234	People live in poverty in Jersey, they cant afford the extra cost of an electric car
284347474	Public transport needs to improve significantly before these exemptions are put into place .not everyone will be able to charge there car at home , there are not enough public electric charging spaces now , can the JEC cope if everyone has electric vehicles. With Jersey having so many vehicles on its roads , constant stopping and starting , electric vehicles need charging more often . How are electric vehicles going to be recycled , as at present the scrap yards won't take them. If scrapped vehicles have to be exported , at what cost to islanders ?
289476908	I think Jersey will be potentially creating a problem by moving to banning ICE vehicle import by 2030 as this will be 5 years earlier (at this stage) than anywhere else. I don't believe the appetite is there for the public to buy electric vehicles in the numbers required owing to their limitations and also purchase cost and I also don't believe the infrastructure will be in place by 2030 for such an increase in electrified vehicle use.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289730689	<p>I have several areas of concern regarding the 2030 phase-out proposals that I would like to highlight, with moderate to high levels of concern in each case. First, the timeline remains very tight—with only about 4 years until 2030—for adequately preparing the Island's infrastructure and support systems. Scaling up reliable, widespread EV charging (public, workplace, and home), ensuring grid capacity can handle increased demand without issues, and addressing affordability of zero-emission vehicles (especially for lower-income households or businesses using small vans) all require significant acceleration and investment. Without robust, Jersey-specific incentives—such as substantial grants for home chargers, purchase subsidies, or low-interest loans—the transition risks creating disproportionate financial strains and slowing adoption rather than encouraging it. High concern here, as inadequate preparation could undermine the policy's effectiveness and fairness.</p> <p>Second, hybrids (non-plug-in) and plug-in hybrids are particularly well-suited to Jersey's unique context: the Island is small, journeys are short, and average annual mileage is low, meaning most trips in hybrids would complete using electric mode only, with little or no reliance on the petrol engine. This makes them effectively very low-emission in practice, without needing extensive plug-in charging infrastructure. Phasing them out (for new or used imports) seems unnecessary and counterproductive, as it would remove practical, affordable bridge options during the transition. I have high concern that restricting these could limit choice, drive up costs for islanders, and force a sharper shift to full EVs before they're fully ready or accessible for everyone.</p> <p>Third, banning imports of used petrol, diesel, hybrid, and plug-in hybrid vehicles from 2030 (or similar dates) would severely reduce affordable options in the used market, which many islanders rely on due to higher new-vehicle costs and our longer typical vehicle lifespans (often 15–20+ years thanks to low mileage and gentle usage). This could lead to older local stock being held onto longer, potentially increasing maintenance issues as ICE support declines (fewer mechanics, parts, and petrol stations). Moderate to high concern, as it might exacerbate inequality and practical challenges without meaningfully speeding up emissions reductions.</p> <p>Finally, more clarity and emphasis on exemptions, incentives, and support measures would build greater confidence. For example, indefinite or extended exemptions for hybrids/PHEVs (given their fit here), specialist/rural vehicles, classics, or vehicles with very low emissions; targeted financial help for charging infrastructure and EV purchases; and plans for workforce training (e.g., EV mechanics) and managing the ICE support wind-down. Without these, the proposals feel overly rigid for Jersey's scale and needs.</p> <p>Overall, while I support the direction toward net zero by 2050 and the phased, UK-aligned approach where alternatives are available, these practical concerns need strong mitigation to ensure the transition is fair, feasible, and successful for all islanders.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289840714	<p>This proposal should not proceed as drafted. At this point, the Government ought to be at least a little embarrassed by how thin the case is, and how confidently it is being presented as inevitability. It is a high-cost, low-control instrument that would make Jersey an early adopter outlier, raise the cost of living, and do so on the basis of a consultation that is framed to manufacture assent rather than test options.</p> <p>I have laid out, in plain terms, why this amounts to inflicting economic hardship on the people of Jersey for the sake of a PR milestone that will not materially change anything in the real world, and will not grow the economy enough to compensate for the damage it causes.</p> <p>I am a conservationist. I have owned an EV. I like EVs, and I want Jersey to get to a point where internal combustion is genuinely unnecessary. None of that obliges me, or anyone else, to endorse a policy that is economically illiterate and procedurally mis-sold.</p> <p>1) The policy instrument is mis-specified</p> <p>You are proposing to decarbonise a long-lived vehicle stock by restricting the flow of “new to Jersey” registrations. That is a blunt tool. Its first-order effect is to constrain supply and raise prices, particularly in a small downstream market. The main emissions source, the existing stock, remains for many years either way.</p> <p>Jersey’s own 2023 inventory reports total emissions of 357,626 tCO<sub>2</sub>e, with transport 43% of total emissions, and car fuel use 26%. Those figures justify taking transport seriously, they do not justify picking a single high-friction lever and calling it inevitable.</p> <p>Restricting importation and registration works by narrowing the set of vehicles people can buy. In a tiny downstream market, that is scarcity by design, and scarcity means higher prices. It is not complicated. You are choosing an instrument whose primary mechanism is to make the market tighter, then acting surprised when that tightness shows up in household budgets.</p> <p>The near-term emissions effect will be modest because the existing fleet remains. The near-term economic pain will be immediate because replacement costs, financing costs, and availability constraints hit as soon as you signal restriction.</p> <p>2) Economic self-harm and the early adopter tax</p> <p>Your own public information concedes the core point, an EV “currently costs more to buy than the equivalent petrol or diesel car”, and the average cost to install a home charger in Jersey is material.</p> <p>A legal restriction does not create cheap EVs; it creates scarcity. The price impacts then cascade, higher purchase prices, higher financing burdens, and</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>higher replacement costs for households and small firms. For small vans, it is a direct cost shock to trades and services, which passes straight into everyone's bills.</p> <p>This is exactly how jurisdictions end up paying an early adopter premium at scale. Jersey is already a high-cost place; adding a policy-driven scarcity premium to basic mobility is reckless. If this policy were being drafted in good faith, it would start with affordability conditions and charging access conditions, not with a ban date.</p> <p>3) You are relying on “alignment” that is collapsing</p> <p>The policy’s philosophical backbone is “align with the UK”, which was itself shaped by EU direction. That chain is now breaking. On 16 December 2025, the European Commission proposed replacing the effective 2035 zero-emissions requirement with a 90% tailpipe reduction target versus 2021, plus flexibilities, effectively giving up the original hard stop.</p> <p>Once the EU loosens, the UK will face even more pressure to loosen too. In that world, Jersey legislating a fixed restriction early is not “alignment”, it is making ourselves the outlier market, then absorbing the outlier costs.</p> <p>4) The climate case is being overstated, and the trade-off is wrong</p> <p>Jersey should reduce emissions, but it should do so with instruments that are proportionate to our scale and finances.</p> <p>Using reputable estimates for China’s annual emissions, around 15.1 to 15.2 GtCO<sub>2</sub>e in 2025, China emits roughly 1.7 million tonnes per hour. Against Jersey’s 357,626 tCO<sub>2</sub>e per year, that implies China emits Jersey’s annual total in about 12 minutes. We do not obviously compete with China but it does put into perspective the insignificance of the desired outcome. This does not mean “do nothing”; it means Jersey must be ruthless about cost-effectiveness and avoid policies whose main effect is domestic hardship.</p> <p>5) Delivery reality has been substituted with moralism</p> <p>This consultation repeatedly uses virtue language, “act now”, “key step”, “retain control”, “dumping ground”, to imply that disagreement is irresponsible. That is not policy analysis. It is moral positioning. The survey repeatedly embeds conclusions as premises, “key step”, “act now”, “dumping ground”, and then asks people to agree with “principles” written as moral goods. It asks the public to choose carve-outs from a ban that is assumed to be inevitable, rather than asking whether the ban is proportionate in the first place. That is not consultation, it is messaging.</p> <p>A credible plan would start with the binding constraints, charging access in dense areas, reliability and price discipline in public charging, grid upgrades, technician capacity, recovery and emergency response protocols, and a stable</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>used market pathway. Your own material already notes the grant and the typical installation cost; those are not footnotes, they are the transition. If Government wants legitimacy, it needs to publish the modelling, publish the distributional impact assessment, present credible alternatives side-by-side, and allow respondents to reject the core instrument, not just negotiate exemptions.</p> <p>6) The consultation design is not neutral</p> <p>The survey is drafted to normalise the ban, then ask which carve-outs are acceptable. It embeds conclusions as premises, then asks respondents to agree with “principles” framed as moral goods. It offers acceleration options far more prominently than it offers delay, conditionality, or alternative instruments. It does not present credible policy pathways side-by-side with quantified trade-offs, so it cannot claim to be testing options.</p> <p>If Government wants legitimacy for a policy of this scale, it should consult like it intends to listen. Publish the modelling, include counterfactuals, and present at least two coherent alternatives, for example a standards-based approach with staged tightening, and a technology-neutral pathway with conditional triggers, alongside the proposed restriction. Then ask the public to choose between trade-offs, explicitly.</p> <p>7) What a competent approach would look like</p> <p>1) Drop fixed 2030 and 2035 prohibitions as the main tool  Dates should be conditional, not automatic. A small downstream market should not legislate itself into scarcity, then scramble to patch the damage with exemptions.</p> <p>2) Use targeted controls that address the stated risks without collapsing supply  If the concern is “dumping”, regulate dumping directly:</p> <p>minimum emissions and safety standards for imports, tightened over time</p> <p>age limits for used imports, or stricter inspection for older vehicles</p> <p>rules on written-off vehicles, recall compliance, and basic condition checks</p> <p>clear, simple enforcement at registration, so traders and buyers know the rules</p> <p>That retains control without banning whole swathes of the market.</p> <p>3) Make any future restriction conditional on readiness, with a real pause mechanism  Publish thresholds now, and only trigger restrictions if they are met:</p> <p>affordability: the cheapest compliant option is not meaningfully more</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>expensive in upfront cost and finance terms</p> <p>charging access: people without driveways have reliable, local charging that is not priced as a penalty</p> <p>market maturity: a functioning used market exists, plus servicing and parts capacity</p> <p>Add statutory review points (for example 2028, 2032) and an automatic pause if the UK and EU positions diverge materially, or if the thresholds are not met.</p> <p>4) Focus on emissions cuts that do not require new public spending binges  This is the bit the consultation dodges. Every “infrastructure plan”, grant, and subsidy is funded by Islanders, it is not free money.</p> <p>So prioritise measures with high impact per £ and minimal fiscal exposure:</p> <p>tighten standards on the worst emitters first, rather than blanket bans  use procurement only where it saves money over lifecycle, not as gesture spending  remove barriers to private investment in charging where feasible, instead of relying on taxpayer-funded rollout  keep technology options open, including hybrids in the transition, so the market can deliver cheaper compliance</p> <p>Net, if Government cannot set out a pathway that is affordable for households and affordable for the public finances, it does not have a credible plan, it has a deadline.</p> <p>As someone with policy and consultation experience, this package reads as pre-decided policy dressed up as consultation. It is deadline-first, instrument-first, and justification-first, a fixed outcome in search of post hoc rationale.</p> <p>It treats a hard prohibition as the primary tool, then tries to retrofit legitimacy via “principles” and carve-outs, rather than doing the basic work of policy design: defining the problem precisely, publishing the modelling, stress-testing assumptions, quantifying distributional impacts, and selecting the least-cost instrument that actually fits Jersey’s scale and constraints. That is not robust policymaking; it is administrative theatre.</p> <p>Jersey can pursue genuine conservation without legislating itself into being an early adopter outlier, importing policy risk from larger jurisdictions, and imposing a predictable scarcity premium on households and small firms in a high-cost economy. On an island where the cost-of-living margin is already thin, a policy that operates by making mobility more expensive is not climate leadership, it is preventable self-harm.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289560777	<p>As I have mentioned above, the whole agenda is contrary to scientific evidence and is especially an extreme folly for Jersey.</p> <p>Ten ton lorries that can now only carry four because the rest is battery - and wasting fuel carting it about. The fire risk with buses. Where's all the power coming from? Solar panels are a joke, only producing real power when it's not needed and wind farms are totally unreliable, necessitating full-time stand-by of a conventional fossil-fuel station. Ours is no longer capable.</p> <p>What happens if our French extension is shut down or damaged? No light, heat - and now transport. You have a heart attack and call an ambulance? We'd like to come, Sir, but our battery's flat.</p>
289435690	<p>I believe that taxes are better outright bans. Import taxes could be adjusted as needed to achieve the desired result. Any excessive income from import taxes could be used to subsidise compliant vehicles.</p> <p>Any needed new usage / ownership taxes should apply to all vehicles and petrol/diesel tax should be kept to further discourage usage of non-compliant vehicles.</p>
283975136	<p>Don't be so daft. Leave us alone.</p>
289538973	<p>Undemocratic, disproportionate, not practical and economically unworkable... This is a symbolic gesture. Let the market work it out. As the UK starts to move to electric it will become more difficult to get a petrol vehicle (right-hand drive). It will work out in the end but don't force us down a route unnecessarily.</p>
289451763	<p>Utter nonsense</p>
289438724	<p>The switch to electric power is an ill conceived con. A far more efficient and effective alternative would be to encourage the production and supply of “synthetic fuel” as used in the Porsche Supercup racing series.</p> <p>Synthetic fuel creates zero emissions, can be used to power existing internal combustion engines without adjustment, can be dispensed from existing petrol stations, and taxed in the same way without governments (and therefore the tax payer) having to pay for the new infrastructure required.</p>
289446893	<p>The phase out should be done by encouragement using financial incentives such as cheap electricity, subsidised charging installations but not by force, especially for non-new cars.</p>
285568239	<p>This is concerning as people will be “forced” to phase out good working diesel/ petrol cars. Financially concerning.</p>
289515225	<p>The government needs to put these plans ‘on hold’ and focus their efforts on more important things. Apart from the obvious (a new hospital) they should lead by example and replace all of their vehicles first AND install solar panels on ALL of their buildings, including utilising space on top of the multi storey car parks. My opinion is that they should also ensure that an effective replacement for the incinerator is found prior to the shambles of the old plant at Bellozanne repeats itself; as well as a location for all of the island’s inert waste before mountains appear at La Collette!</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
283986024	<p>I don't believe EVs are the sole way forward. A combination of ICE, hybrid and EV would be far better. I would rather wait to assess the emergence of other fuel types before rash decisions are made and we blindly follow the UK, which we know is not exactly great at making decisions.</p> <p>Let's focus on other areas that are more important... hospital, education, mental health in children and adults, the suicide rates to name a few.</p>
289585125	<p>In summary, Jersey's proposed 2030 petrol and diesel phase-out fails on proportionality, evidence, and equity grounds and should be substantially revised or abandoned. <b>PROPORTIONALITY FAILURE:</b> Jersey represents 0.0001% of global emissions; unilateral action has zero measurable climate impact. The UK ZEV Mandate mandates only 22% ZEV sales by 2030; Jersey's 100% ban is vastly more stringent. Enforcement costs exceed environmental benefits by orders of magnitude for special vehicles and niche markets. <b>EVIDENCE GAPS:</b> Jersey has not published grid capacity assessments, charging infrastructure timelines, economic impact analyses, or employment transition plans. Decision-making lacks foundational evidence. The policy appears ideologically driven rather than evidence-based. <b>TECHNOLOGY READINESS:</b> Heavy vehicles (HGVs), agricultural equipment, and buses lack commercially viable EV alternatives. Applying 2030-2035 timelines to all vehicle classes ignores fundamental technology readiness disparities. <b>EQUITY FAILURES:</b> The ban disproportionately harms lower-income households (used vehicle ban), rural residents (charging infrastructure gaps), older populations (technology adoption barriers), and motor trade workers (150-200 potential job losses). These regressive impacts contradict stated climate justice principles. <b>INFRASTRUCTURE REALITY:</b> Charging infrastructure is absent. The 2030 deadline leaves fewer than four years to build the networks required for 4,000-5,000 annual EV additions. This is implementation-blind policymaking. <b>RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVES:</b> (1) Abandon categorical bans; adopt emissions reduction targets instead; (2) Expand exemptions for vehicles without EV equivalents; (3) Condition any phase-out on demonstrated grid capacity, charging infrastructure deployment, and affordability solutions; (4) Establish 2045-2050 timelines instead of 2030-2035; (5) Fund motor trade transition and retraining programs; (6) Implement affordability protections for lower-income households. The current proposal prioritises symbolic action over substantive climate policy. It should be substantially revised before implementation.</p>
289444530	<p>From my answers I believe you know what I think &amp; I am in the majority of the general populace.</p>
283972859	<p>Economic madness</p>
284253157	<p>As I mentioned I don't think electric vehicles are the answer ,there are all ready breakthroughs in othrer technologies Hydrogen being one ,improvements in fuel technologies ,the manufacturing of electric vehicles is not that environmentally friendly .Just because the UK does something it does not mean we have to follow ,</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289594144	IF EVERYONE DID A FEW LESS MILES EVERY WEEK THEN IT WOULD HELP! I WOULD PREFER TO HAVE A PETROL CAR FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE, IE AFTER 2030. I DO DRIVE FOR PLEASURE, I TAKE MY CAR TO FRANCE, AND NEED PEACE OF MIND AS REGARDS REFUELLING AND RANGE.
289179789	Please see comments in 19 above
289038624	We are being extremely naive and simply acting like sheep. We just a tiny area when compared to the rest of the world. Unless China, India and USA join us in this it will have no impact whatsoever
289766671	Greatest concern is that in moving to all electric there are sufficient superfast charging points in the Island to ensure that stopping for a recharge is no more expensive in time and money than currently filling up with petrol.
289505955	The market will self irate pace of change.  Government should focus on building infrastructure and supporting the cost of laying additional electricity feeds and home chargers.  I do however support a phase out of 50cc and 125cc petrol mopeds given the noise pollution they generate.
289681165	Scrap the idiotic idea completely
284343837	I maintain that new plug in hybrid cars should continue to be available
289434078	DONT DO IT. ITS A JOKE
289471208	Many people I have spoken to have got half way through and just given up.  The survey should be.  Do you think Petrol and Diesel vehicles should be banned from 2030.  Yes or No  I vote NO
289446166	Many people live in rural parts of the Island and rely on their cars to get them about to their required services. Bus services are not universal and even living say a quarter of a mile from a bus stop may seem convenient - but try to carry all the shopping back home when you are an OAP. Many are disabled; Many will be isolated; Please wait until we're dead before changing. I guess we don` t matter now, but at least I still have a vote.

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289837109	<p>I will NEVER buy an electric car and having our Government forcing me to give up my lovely little Peugeot for one is despicable. The result for me and many others is loss of independence, socialising and isolation. I can't afford the prices as I have only a small pension. Driving my car to meet friends here and abroad is one of my few pleasures in life. I am not aware of any other country planning on forcing their population to change in this way. Jersey is a very small island. What we do makes no difference whatsoever to climate change. We should concentrate on helping people manage and maintaining our roads, States properties and stop these ridiculous vanity projects such as compulsory electric cars, the bridge to France, wind farms (don't get me started on that idea), tunnel to Guernsey etc.</p> <p>We have the highest prices in Europe including Switzerland yet more and more people struggle to pay rent buy food and are homeless. Nothing is achieved due to Government incompetence and inability to make any decision without bringing in expensive UK Consultants. It is a disgrace. No wonder people are leaving. If I was any younger I would leave too!</p>
286800941	Whole policy is unfit for purpose. No, No, No!
289863409	<p>Please put the whole idea of net zero in the public domain and have a referendum.</p> <p>We have never had a vote on such profound changes to the way we live. It's time the states stopped taking their orders from London and the WEF and started listening to the people of Jersey.</p>
289451202	The states should be reassessing the whole program as 2030 is far too early for such a major changeover, they should review the Island and look at the infrastructure and the lack of charging points. They should also take into account that a large number of people live in flats and it will be impossible for them to charge cars.
286405519	The proposed ban on the importation of polluting cars is hugely important. There will be people that moan about it, but strong action can create positive change.
284346446	As above.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289305871	<p>The whole process needs to be reconsidered as it is wrong to introduce legislation and changes that will adversely affect the lives of so many islanders. The justification is based on the desire to meet Net Zero by 2050 but is predicated on achieving a reduction in emissions.</p> <p>Whilst this may sound laudable the cost and effect of the proposals on islanders is excessive and it is very questionable as to whether these proposals can be justified.</p> <p>Jersey would be better advised to follow in the footsteps of much larger countries and we can still achieve Net Zero by 2050. Jersey does not need to be a leader in this field.</p> <p>It is quite probable that emissions in Jersey will fall in the next 25 years so that Jersey will meet Net Zero without these unnecessary proposals. As vehicle manufacturers transition away from fossil fuels only ZEV are likely to be available within 10 years. Jersey has already moved significantly towards ZEVs and Hybrids so such vehicles are an increasing visible on the roads.</p> <p>In my submission it would be prudent and much more cost effective to just monitor the position and report on the gradual reduction in emissions that is already taking place. The government can assist the transition by grants and encouragement but does not need legislation. Gradually there will be fewer and fewer non ZEV in Jersey without intervention as we follow the world.</p> <p>2030 is an unrealistic and unnecessary goal to achieve Net Zero by 2050 and will cause hardship to many island residents.</p>
289373558	<p>I believe that the phasing out of new petrol and diesel cars is a step too far in government interference in our right to drive what we wish. Fossil fuels are much cleaner now, and especially driving smaller cars is just as clean and more economical than driving electric cars. The infrastructure will not cope with a sudden surge of electric cars, which will push up the price of electricity to silly and unaffordable levels. The UK will likely roll back on its legislation concerning phasing out of fossil fuel vehicles in 2029 with a new government, therefore Jersey should pause on such a drastic measure. I believe that Jersey is only doing this to boast to anyone who will listen that it has phased out fossil fuel vehicles, pandering to eco-pressure groups, and not thinking this through properly. Who stands to make much money from this? Another pause for thought. I have my own ideas. Please pause this silly legislation, or preferably scrap it altogether.</p>
289244633	<p>I would urge more direct appeal to garage owners in Jersey as they understand the options on vehicle and the consequences better.</p>
288650777	<p>As above</p>
289225282	<p>Governments across Europe are reconsidering the phase out, with Germany pleading with the EU to suspend or reverse it. Other than Chinese manufactured vehicles, costs for ZEVs are universally higher than alternatives. Social costs, especially those related to Cobalt mining are wholly unconscionable, as are many of the labour practices relating to Chinese industry. Finally, on a proper accounting of carbon associated with bringing a ZEV to the Jersey market, it's far from clear that scrapping ("phasing out") existing vehicles could ever generate a carbon saving on small &amp; therefore low-mileage Jersey.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
285114519	<p>I am puzzled that the government feels a need to revisit the phase out timetable. If anything, it seems that the development of zero-emission vehicles is proving greater than expected and the phase out should be brought forward. It is instructional that the island public services have been successfully using electric fleets of vans for years now, and Liberty is phasing in its new electric buses. These businesses, which are no doubt savvy when it comes to fleet procurement, are leaving the government policy and consumers in the dust.</p>
289848145	<p>Stop it immediately - look at the bigger world picture and sort the really important issues out...</p>
289492619	<p>One cannot stress strongly enough that the environmental case for banning petrol and diesel vehicles is not proven in a small, windswept non-industrial Island.</p> <p>The enormous environmental and social damage being caused by mining for battery components is well-documented.</p> <p>Jersey can and should sit tight and wait!</p>
289437168	<p>My views on this proposal have been adequately detailed in the above responses. In my opinion, Jersey politicians should be shouting from the rooftops to the rest of the world about what this Island has already achieved in terms of reducing carbon emissions, rather than making life even more difficult and expensive for those they seek to govern. However, I rather suspect that not very many of those currently sitting in the States have any recollection of what Jersey was like in the 1950s and 1960s. I do. When the world's major polluters catch up with us then, perhaps, we may consider doing something to address the miniscule 000.01% of emissions for which we are allegedly responsible. Until then - and I can tell you now that it won't ever happen - leave things alone.</p>
289541005	<p>by the current cost of living crisis the plan is a suicide only the super rich can afford this switch</p>
289891466	<p>My thoughts are expressed in full here: <a href="https://cantheban-jersey.com/">https://cantheban-jersey.com/</a>  I have been researching and carefully considering this matter for many months, and the website above is the outcome of that extensive work. I would be grateful if it would be fully taken into account in this consultation, please. I will be happy to meet with any relevant States member of civil servant to discuss my views in more detail.</p>
284032342	<p>I am against the phasing out of petrol and diesel cars. The choice to own them is being taken away from me without my consent for something that doesn't need fixing. It is unrealistic to become carbon (CO2) neutral. The amount of CO2 in the atmosphere is 0.042%. Very low in fact. Plants absorb CO2 to survive and flourish. We live on a planet which has had natural rises and falls of this natural gas over millions of years and yet the planet and everything that lives on it has survived and flourished. Just because the Government of Jersey agreed to the Paris Agreement in 2022 doesn't mean we have to follow it as we will not be penalised for not following its advice. Many countries around the world, for example the United States of America, are now changing their views on there being a global emergency due to CO2 levels rising. The minimal difference to emissions by phasing out petrol and diesel vehicles is so small that it's not sensible or practical to consider implementing. Politicians need to</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>stop and think about the consequences of what they are proposing before it's too late and the island comes to a miserable grinding halt.</p>
284232550	<p>Classic example of government overreach.</p> <p>It doesn't need to do ANYTHING to achieve 99% of what it wants to achieve, simply because of the cars that are available for sale.</p> <p>Save your time and money and concentrate on building the hospital instead.</p> <p>Unbelievable.</p>
289080299	<p>DONT DO IT! Leave the islands automotive industry alone and the vehicles people drive as their own choice!</p>
283968354	<p>Stop it now.</p> <p>The infrastructure isn't there no matter what you try and say.</p> <p>There are alternatives to petrol as it is that haven't been considered.</p> <p>You can dispose of electric cars so fly tipping is going to become a thing.</p> <p>You're stifling the ability to look and use alternatives and giving manufacturers a gold ticket and forcing people into poverty.</p> <p>A car is the most recycled item on the planet and you're going to destroy 1000 which will create tonnes of waste.</p> <p>Can we have someone with a quarter of a brain put in charge please?</p>
284408174	<p>Do not follow someone else plan.</p> <p>Stop wait see what issues come up with other countries trying to do this. See how they do/don't fix the issues.</p> <p>Come up with our own plan how it is best to do this or not. See if it will work for Jersey.</p> <p>Don't blindly follow the UK because that is just easy and you don't have to think about anything and blame someone else when it fails and costs us millions.</p> <p>Make sure you have a plan for recycling and disposing of these vehicles before forcing people to waste their own money.</p> <p>Currently it's a half baked plan which leaves more questions on how it would work than it does answers.</p>
289460699	<p>Don't follow the nonsense narrative tjat has been thrown out, do some real research.</p> <p>I'm all for saving the planet but wind farms etc are a complete con.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289455808	<p>I believe the decision is premature. Apart from the economic damage to the European economy (China is the world leader in electric car production - and they don't seem to care as much about the environmental impact of their manufacturing), the cost to the poor is substantial too. Further, the need for better public transport (with the necessary increased public investment) arises as increasingly people will be unable to afford vehicles for personal transport. Additionally, the main means of getting on and off the island is boat and plane: Both produce huge amounts of green house gases, undermining the aspiration of those who brought in these laws.</p>
289447934	<p>Jersey is too small to make a difference. You are asking us to all be electric without an infrastructure in place, this needs a lot more thought to make these plans realistic.</p>
284316268	<p>We are not a grain of sand on the beach in world terms... our actions will have zero impact</p>
289509947	<p>Yes lots of areas of concern, the below are in no particular order.  Costs of new vehicles &amp; insurance.  The life span of the new vehicles is alot less than the current petrol/diesel ones so it is not cost effective  The fact that Hybrid cars are also being phased out, surely a vehicle that re charges itself is a good thing.  Price of electric.  People who do not have on site parking cannot charge at home.  Fires...this is a huge concern of mine, electric vehicle fires are so fierce they are lethal.  The weight of these vehicles is immense and if 2 cars/ vehicles collide at speed there is going to be deaths.  The weight of these cars affecting the state of our roads, already in the past 5 years our roads are a mess all dimpled everywhere.  How about all the mechanics jobs....?  Where are ALL the disguardred batteries going to go? DO NOT PUT THEM IN LAND FILL.  We should not be looking at THIS as an answer to global warming...we must look at converting current vehicles..re-use, recycle repurpose not buy new...the normal working people of Jersey cannot afford it.  Look at using our TIDES to power our electric grid...we have the 3rd biggest tide on the planet why are we not harnessing it  This is going to turn into a "wrong decision for Jersey" they are already looking at different ways to avoid this happening in UK.</p>
289379143	<p>It will be a disaster. As said earlier, one new client arriving in March is looking at other options as to where to locate, taking away his £2million investment in a new car business. Who else is doing this??</p>
289443899	<p>I have already made my position clear, in that I think that Climate Change and Net Zero is a complete Red Herring. Even IF it were true, Jersey has absolutely no idea of the massive cost of what it means to be Net Zero. By the time we realise that (and we will) it will already be too late.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288794513	This is flawed and not thought through... once the UK Labour Party is replaced it will be dropped in the UK.. we should drop the idea now.. Again there is almost NO infrastructure to support this and the people are struggling to afford a cheap petrol or diesel car now let alone the orbital price of a new electric car and the huge costs in running it. This whole idea has not been thought out and typical Jersey we plough on completely blinkered with no care about the general public.
288665749	We should wait until all the huge nations in the world do the same.
289591225	The idea of reducing the islands carbon footprint is commendable but predictably the policy makers have been lazy and gone for the low hanging fruit in the motorist. You state that transportation is responsible for 27% of the islands carbon emissions, where does the other 73% come from? Please show some common sense and improve the vehicles that are currently using the islands roads, as previously stated the pollution from old lorries, buses and tractors is most unpleasant, if you proceed with your proposals you will perpetuate their use.
284325139	Lets avoid rushing down a rabbit hole and getting stuck in a corner.
289469038	I'm sure this will be said by others but our endless pursuit of net zero 'at any cost' is wrong. It will increase the cost of living for many in what is already a cost of living crisis. The standard answer of 'walk, cycle. or get the bus' does not work for many. If Jersey achieved net zero tomorrow would it be headline news on CNN ? No - it would make no difference to overall global emissions and would not even be noticed - but it would come at significant cost to each and every resident. Whilst the States recognised a 'Climate Emergency' and subsequently committed to the Carbon Neutral Road Map I do not believe this necessarily stops future politicians taking an alternative view.....
284441225	Please do not support hydrogen as part of the transition.  Hydrogen is inefficient and impractical for most aspects of the green transition. Producing green hydrogen from renewable electricity wastes around 70% of the original energy, making it far less efficient than direct electrification. Transporting, storing, and compressing hydrogen adds further losses and costs. Hydrogen is unsuitable for cars, heating, and most industry, as better electric or direct renewable solutions already exist. Hydrogen's role should be limited to niche applications like fertilizer production and some heavy industry, not as a broad replacement for fossil fuels in the energy transition.
289562931	This is undemocratic and disproportionate to the impact that Jersey has on the global stage of carbon emissions.
284128723	It will not happen in the UK so a total waste of time

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289450319	<p>Firstly, this will not happen because the infrastructure is not there and it won't be ready in time.</p> <p>Secondly, a lot of car manufacturers are backing down their plans to go all EV due to lower demand than there was in 2020 at the height of the pandemic.</p> <p>Thirdly, there are currently no facilities on island for scrapping old EVs.</p> <p>Fourth and final point I'd like to make this whole idea of a complete phase out of petrol and diesel vehicles is a complete farce.</p>
288749281	<p>Please do not bring in the proposed phase out ICEs in 2030. Focus on what fuels cars not the actual engines. EVs are not sustainable or suitable for many islanders at this time. Work with Europe and the UK and adopt similar solutions. Do NOT TRY AND LEAD THE WAY. We are too small and don't have the infrastructure to handle thousands of EVs. The rest of the world is not convinced about EVs either.</p>
289881672	<p>This is a great mistake which the island will come to regret.</p>
289520356	<p>I have concerns regarding the costs of electricity from our one supplier, and also the volatility of used batteries. What controls will there be to safeguard buyers of second hand electric cars once the phase out is complete?</p> <p>Not all islanders can afford these electric cars, noting that the current second hand car market, you can buy a car for as little as £500 if needed.</p> <p>The island should put more focus on utilising tide or wind to offset the extortionate amount we are charged for electricity currently.</p>
284420932	<p>Stop this nonsense NOW!</p>
284147625	<p>The UK 2030 phase out will not happen. The Americans, the Russians, the Chinese and the Indians will not phase out petrol or diesel vehicles. Jersey can follow at a more appropriate time when technology has advanced to a more efficient state.</p>
289318282	<p>As I have mentioned, I don't think it's possible to put in the infrastructure needed in the time allocated. Will the car parks be able to cope with the extra weight of electric cars or will the need to be demolished and rebuilt? Will every road in the island have to be dug up to lay the cables needed? Will we need to rely even more on the importation of electric from France and will they even have the capacity bearing in mind they will need a massive amount more for themselves? Will St.Helier streets become a row of charging bournes for the use of residents with permitted on street parking ? Does the Jersey fire service have the capability and equipment needed to put out a fire if god forbid one of these electric vehicles catches fire?</p> <p>Finally didn't I read that the uk and Europe had pushed back their non importation dates to 2040 because it was not practicable?</p>
289486321	<p>Jersey should not try to be a leader in forcing an unpopular choice on consumers. It should wait until EVs are the purchase of choice, not of coercion.</p>
289402886	<p>A poorly put together and biased survey</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288196223	I believe the changes are going to negatively affect the economy and to what end ! A lot of these changes are to soon as the infrastructure is not in place to cope, electric charging points etc etc
284216071	The JEC currently offers home chargers only on a subscription basis, which I do not like in principle. Why can I not simply pay for the installation in an initial lump sum, then for whatever electricity I use month by month? This has put me off buying an electric car, so I bought a new petrol car two months ago.
284179869	I have an electric car and it is the best solution vehicle for an island of this size. We are in a climate emergency. You have to take bold action for the benefit of the next generation who didn't have the chance to respond to this questionnaire but if they could, they would support the most ambitious approach to transitioning to EVs.
289919361	We will make using and owning a car impossible for those who do not have access to charging or buying cars if we move to completely electric. We simply do not have adequate infrastructure to do this even in the time frame stated, it will put more pressure on what we currently have and it will not cope, we will end up in a situation where cars will have no where to charge and will be left/dumped
288949320	<p>Somebody needs to do a comprehensive report on all aspects of this including costs and Jersey needs to decide if it can afford it.</p> <p>We need to remember at all times that this is nothing more than a conscience and vanity project; our Island emissions are negligible globally.</p> <p>You need to allow people to see the whole survey and be able to freely navigate around without losing all answers so that one can review their response in the round and fully consider before submitting. That will improve the accuracy and quality of replies. Otherwise this is a tick box exercise</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289543189	<p>I'm not against protecting the planet, but I don't think electric cars are the simple solution they're often made out to be.</p> <p>Electric cars don't start out green. Making an electric car — especially the battery — creates a lot of pollution before it even hits the road.</p> <p>Mining lithium, cobalt, and nickel damages land and water.</p> <p>Huge energy use in manufacturing.</p> <p>Many batteries are made in countries using coal power.</p> <p>The carbon cost is hidden at the start.</p> <p>Electricity isn't magically clean. An electric car is only as clean as the electricity powering it. If electricity comes from gas, coal, or imports, emissions still exist. Power grids aren't fully renewable yet  You're shifting emissions, not always removing them.</p> <p>Exhaust pipes disappear, but pollution doesn't. Disposal and lifespan are a problem. We don't yet have a perfect solution for old batteries. Batteries degrade. Recycling is limited and energy-intensive.. Toxic waste risks if not handled properly.</p> <p>We're creating a new waste problem while solving an old one. Electric cars might be part of the solution, but pushing everyone into them too fast ignores the wider environmental cost.</p> <p>I think improving existing engines, reducing unnecessary car use, and investing in public transport could be just as important as switching to electric cars as a solution. Electric cars move pollution around rather than eliminating it, especially when you factor in battery production, electricity sources, and disposal.</p>
289504004	<p>Changes have to be made, so let's hope it's for the benefit of islanders and the environment (not just ticking boxes for the UK), the transition is researched by those qualified and achievable, and islanders are kept informed and updated along every step of the process and not just told when legislation has been finalised.</p>
283997312	<p>Please Please please do not go blindly down this path. IT IS WRONG</p>
288285323	<p>It is disappointing that while this forward-thinking step is being taken by the government regarding personal vehicles Libertybus is going backwards by purchasing brand new diesel buses. There is no excuse for the island's bus fleet not being entirely electric within the next decade.</p>
289402382	<p>Let the people vote with their wallets. Stop interfering with people's lives and focus on important aspects like creating affordable housing and exciting jobs to retain our young people.</p>
289442715	<p>I can only repeat that we should not “lead the way” with so many possible fuel changes in the future.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
288706474	<p>I ran a plug in Hybrid BMW 330e for 5 years. It was 1 year old when I purchased it. When the car was 6 years old (less than 50,000 miles) I sold it. I was extremely concerned with the policy of replacing the battery for any individual cell battery fault. The cost of replacing the battery is currently extremely high. This should be considered.</p>
284341573	<p>Totally unnecessary.</p>
289182251	<p>Other than the comments already mentioned, I wish you luck. This is not going to be popular.</p>
284307513	<p>Look at what is going on in the automotive industry and don't make decisions that will negatively affect Jersey people. Don't blindly follow the UK, they are regularly wrong, as we have seen with many government decisions.</p> <p>I am a supporter of reducing emissions, just not green washing</p>
284248127	<p>There are some very dubious parts of the green agenda that politicians ignore in the race to net zero. Jersey doing it's best to force people into the green agenda will do absolutely 0% to help the world when China etc carry on regardless. All it does is make people poorer.</p> <p>Every day I get stuck in slow moving traffic of 20 plus cars who are stuck behind one 'green' bike rider going up St John's main road. Educating bike riders to actually help by letting cars past them would stop long lines of cars idling and causing more emissions.</p>
289737641	<p>Climate and environmental science is very clear. We need to act swiftly to limit the profound damage that we are doing to our planet. The re is no time to waste.</p>
288893712	<p>The proposed 2030 phase-out will have wide-ranging negative consequences for Jersey that have not been adequately addressed. Car enthusiasts will be disproportionately affected, as the policy effectively ends access to new petrol and diesel vehicles that are central to enthusiast culture, motorsport, restoration, and events. This risks damaging long-standing communities and traditions that contribute to Jersey's social and cultural life.</p> <p>Local garages and the wider motor trade face significant disruption. Many independent businesses are built around petrol and diesel servicing and may lack the capital, training, or space to transition quickly to electric vehicles. This could lead to job losses, reduced competition, and higher repair costs for consumers.</p> <p>For personal use and less-wealthy families, the phase-out reduces affordable choice and risks forcing households into more expensive vehicles with higher upfront costs and uncertain running expenses. This may make car ownership unattainable for some, particularly in a place where public transport cannot meet all mobility needs.</p> <p>There is also a real risk to tourism and events, particularly motorsport. The Jersey Rally attracts visitors, competitors, and spectators from off-island, supporting hotels, restaurants, and local businesses. Restricting access to petrol and diesel vehicles will reduce participation, discourage visitors, and shrink the pool of local competitors, directly harming an established tourism and economic driver.</p> <p>Overall, the proposed phase-out is poorly suited to Jersey's size, infrastructure,</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>economy, and community interests. A more flexible, evidence-based approach that protects affordability, choice, local businesses, and cultural activities would better serve the Island than an inflexible deadline.</p>
284093539	<p>How many times do I have to repeat that there should be no phase out.</p>
284128287	<p>How will the government recoup lost income from the drop in fossil fuel sales, which also includes road tax?</p>
289734549	<p>I have no wish or desire to ever own or drive an electric vehicle. I believe them to be very costly to own (poor resale values), they don't suit continental driving - I don't wish to spend hours at charging points and fast charging can lead to damaged batteries.  Electric vehicle fires are under-reported so as to not to frighten the buying public.  This is an important issue which should go before the electorate as a referendum item rather than left to the decision of woke left leaning politicians.</p>
289270564	<p>I believe I have made all the salient points above.  A lot more research needs to be done before making phase out plans / there are a lot of other options to explore / offset emissions / synthetic fuel etc before we suddenly decide to simply phase out and ban combustion cars in Jersey.  Where is the evidence to support that Jersey residents are 100% responsible for these emissions? - do we know how much this changes is every resident fuels cars with synthetic fuel? what is every resident paid an emission charge? - there's no evidence here that any other routes have been explored.</p>
289354267	<p>Please see my previous comments</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289561717	See above. Jersey is charging headlong into electric only vehicles without considering all of the possibilities. The science is evolving all the time and the inherent costs are not being duly considered. China is trying to corner the market and is still opening coal fired power stations to achieve this goal which is increasing the production of noxious gases that we all trying to reduce! The average electric car batteries only have an efficient life of 8 years, although I accept that this is improving. Who is going to fund the replacement batteries and the de-commissioning of the old ones? Decommissioning will not happen in Jersey and indeed the science is not yet resolved in any satisfactory manner, anywhere on the planet! The JEC views all of this electrification as a way to make money, in spite of it being majority owned by the States. Jersey can be a "sandbox" for new technologies, but it cannot afford to be at the forefront of carbon reduction without bankrupting us.
288787748	Completely disagree with phasing out petrol / diesel cars....whats used to charge these batteries - electricity....and how is electricity made?!
284026627	We should be allowed a choice and we live in a democracy not a dictatorship. EV's are not the right choice for everyone there are other technologies on there way and we should not be doing a blanket ban. We should follow the UK and the EU.  There is a potential for security risks with the Finance sector. UK defense firms have advised staff not to connect their phones to Chinese-made electric vehicles due to national security concerns, therefore could pose a risk to the Finance industry in Jersey in the future.
289466910	2030 is to soon. What Jersey does will not make a grain of difference in this world. Pressure should be put on china to reduce its emissions, stop all imports from China would have a great impact
284559990	I felt I had no choice but to complete this Survey despite the fact that I see it as a pointless waste of everyone's time.  Politicians and Government don't need to listen to all the people complaining about their rights to buy what they want to buy, or their uneducated opinions of EV's based on what they read in the Daily Mail, or their beliefs based on the anti-EV propoganda and myths that Oil companies have been flooding the market with for over a decade.  What Politicians and Government should have done is make the decision and stand by it, educate the people on EV's, stick to Net Zero targets and save the people from their own ignorance.
283966528	Please have the conviction to push this through.
289435224	Whilst I am committed to reducing carbon emissions and realise that this policy is working towards that, I would want to see much more emphasis on the required infrastructure to allow this, well in advance of 2030. I also think that it is important that the cost of Hybrid and Electric vehicles comes in to line with the current cost of Petrol and Diesel vehicles.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289003939	<p>I've said all the way through this. Infrastructure. We don't have it, we won't get this in place in time not a hope. We as an island take to long to act on things. If our infrastructure was in a far better place it might be possible.</p> <p>I mean we have on streets residential parking in st Helier unlike other parishes. So how are they to charge electric vehicles?</p> <p>The draw on supply in evening will be huge if we impact HGV's as that will be the prime time of charging, impacting islands homes and reliance of electric heating. Our power station would collapse, France link would have a bigger draw on it which will increase islands already high costs.</p>
285396790	<p>COP30 "Before the final plenary, Brazilian scientist Carlos Nobre issued a stark warning: fossil fuel use must fall to zero by 2040 – 2045 at the latest to avoid catastrophic temperature rises of up to 2.5°C by mid-century. That trajectory, he said, would spell the near-total loss of coral reefs, the collapse of the Amazon rainforest and an accelerated melt of the Greenland ice sheet."</p> <p>If we restrict the importation and registration of new to Jersey petrol and diesel vehicles in 2030, we can at least say we have done our bit towards reducing fossil fuel use to zero by 2040 – 2045. What other countries do, should have no bearing on our choice of achieving Net Zero. Islands like Jersey will be significantly damaged by rising sea levels, if the Greenland Ice Sheet does melt, we can kiss goodbye to low-lying areas and all beaches. "If the entire Greenland Ice Sheet melted, global sea levels would rise by approximately 7.4 meters (23 feet)."</p> <p>We cannot afford to pander to the NIMBY people, this is as serious a decision that our Government has ever had to make.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
285945124	<p>Please read the letter below from The UK’s National Emergency Briefing on the Climate &amp; Nature crisis that was held on 27th November. It’s clear that we need to act now, if we kick the Climate Change can down the road one more time, the consequences will come back and slap us really hard around the face. It’s a Climate Emergency, start treating it like an emergency.</p> <p>27 Nov 2025</p> <p>Sir Keir Starmer; Tim Davie (BBC); Carolyn McCall (ITV); Jonathan Allan (Channel 4); Sarah Rose (Channel 5); Geraint Evans (S4C); and Dame Melanie Dawes (Ofcom)</p> <p>Today, hundreds of MPs, Peers, and leaders from business, faith, sport, and culture gathered in Westminster for a National Emergency Briefing.</p> <p>We were presented with the latest evidence showing that the United Kingdom must urgently prepare for a cascade of serious societal impacts. The rapidly escalating climate and nature crises are set to make the UK increasingly unrecognisable and dangerous, with extreme weather events, the risk of food shortages, price shocks, economic instability and rising geopolitical risks.</p> <p>We are deeply alarmed by the scale of fossil fuel-funded disinformation that has flooded Westminster and the media. The lack of public access to accurate, science-based information has created a vacuum which has been filled by polarised headlines designed to deny and delay action.</p> <p>Under the Communications Act 2003, all public service broadcasters must inform the public on major national and international issues. The UK has so far failed to meet these obligations. The Climate Change Committee has also urged the Government to provide trusted public information.</p> <p>We therefore ask the Government and all public service broadcasters to hold an urgent televised national emergency briefing for the public, and to run a comprehensive public engagement campaign so that everyone understands the profound risks this crisis poses to themselves and their families.</p> <p>If delivered urgently and truthfully, with ambition matching the scale of the crisis, this will not only ensure that the public is properly informed but will also offer the protection that knowledge and preparedness bring. Such a campaign will resonate with the public, opening up the political space for the action needed.</p> <p>We are not safe. This is an emergency. Now is the time for courage and to put trust in the public. The UK has a track record of uniting to face difficult challenges. Now is the time to do this again.</p> <p><a href="https://www.nebriefing.org/open-letter-keir">https://www.nebriefing.org/open-letter-keir</a></p>
289396176	Please don't do this..... bio-fuels are surely the way forward.
284025976	Abandon it now, enough uncertainty has already been created.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284442378	<p>2030 is only 4 years away. The motor trade in the U.K. and internationally is not yet geared up to finish the production of petrol and diesel cars in this timescale and Jersey cannot go it alone in fixing an arbitrary date that will not be followed in much larger jurisdictions</p>
289898854	<p>Typical total manufacturing emissions for an average electric car (medium-size battery EV) are roughly ~8 000 – 12 000 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e (8-12 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent). The Island is not big enough to make this choice and does not have benefit of independent electricity production nor do we have sufficient infrastructure to support the change. The cost of electricity is also much higher than petrol or diesel and low income groups and young drivers/ middle income groups will suffer hugely with their mobility as they simply will not be able to afford to drive.</p> <p>I would rather government continued to invest in improving infrastructure and reducing the environmental impact at home. By way of example I looked to convert to electric heating utilising the government grant of £9k and my projected cost of change was in the region of £45k and simply not affordable.</p>
283975504	<p>I think I've laid it out as honestly and as well as I can. Please consider us who consider motoring a passion and not just as a means of transport.</p>
289635787	<p>I urge our politicians to look at the WHOLE issue with BEVs - increased CO<sub>2</sub> during manufacture, destroying parts of the world for rare earth minerals to go into the batteries, higher insurance premiums due to high repair/writing off costs, increased levels of tyre/brake dust particulates because EVs are so much heavier (it's not all about the CO<sub>2</sub>!), higher running costs as tyres/brake pads need to be replaced more often due to the excess weight - rather than feeling good about vehicles that are only zero emission from point of sale onwards.</p> <p>Please let the people decide what they want to drive - they WILL switch when the technology/costs make sense for them.</p>
287914445	<p>The proposed 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles is disproportionate for a small island with low mileage, a negligible global emissions impact, and limited infrastructure. While reducing emissions is a reasonable aspiration, the current proposals prioritise rigid targets over affordability, practicality, and real-world outcomes.</p> <p>The environmental cost of manufacturing new vehicles, upgrading infrastructure, and scrapping serviceable cars early is insufficiently addressed. International policy and manufacturer strategies are already shifting towards more flexible, technology-neutral approaches, yet Jersey's proposals risk locking the Island into an inflexible path for largely symbolic gains.</p> <p>Rather than imposing bans, Jersey should take a proportionate, pragmatic approach that allows individuals and businesses to transition voluntarily as technology becomes genuinely affordable and suitable. A one-size-fits-all phase-out risks higher costs, reduced choice, and unintended environmental consequences without delivering meaningful real-world benefits.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
286214202	<p>The 2030 phase-out proposals, as set out in this consultation, appear to be driven more by ideological motivations than by a balanced, evidence-led understanding of Jersey’s practical realities.</p> <p>The consultation repeatedly demonstrates limited appreciation for how islanders live, work and travel, and how dependent we are on importing vehicles, goods and services. Jersey is not a manufacturing base; our policies must therefore recognise that restricting access to essential goods, particularly in such a sweeping manner, has consequences more commonly associated with overreach in autocratic systems rather than proportionate regulation in a democratic society. These proposals risk limiting islanders’ freedoms in ways that are neither justified nor constructive.</p> <p>At the most basic level, this policy constrains freedom of choice. Islanders should be free to choose vehicles that are safe for their families, appropriate for their lifestyles and reflective of their needs. The accelerated timeline, misalignment with UK/EU regulations, and blanket restrictions on used vehicles would significantly reduce options, increase costs and force households into decisions they are neither ready for nor able to afford. For many, this will create unnecessary pressure and financial uncertainty at a time when the cost of living is already extremely challenging.</p> <p>The proposals also fail to account for real-world behaviours and unintended consequences. One clear example is off-island travel. Many families choose to holiday in the UK or Europe using their own vehicles because it is affordable, flexible and practical. If these options are removed, long before the EV charging networks across Europe are remotely capable of supporting long-distance, high-demand holiday travel, families will be forced to fly instead. This would substantially increase per capita carbon emissions, contradicting the very purpose of the policy. The island’s airport struggle to sustain the level of demand that such a shift could generate, nor should we be encouraging it.</p> <p>More broadly, the consultation’s approach conflates entirely different vehicle types and sectors, ignores the natural fleet turnover that UK/EU legislation will already deliver. It fails to recognise the significant emissions gains that could be made by prioritising transition within commercial, transport and industrial fleets first. These sectors use ageing, often pre-Euro-standard vehicles that produce disproportionately high emissions. Targeting them would be far more impactful than imposing premature restrictions on private motorists. The proposals also neglect the value and usage patterns of low-volume manufacturer vehicles, classics, and specialist vehicles, which have minimal annual mileage and may, in future, be run sustainably on synthetic fuels. The failure to acknowledge these realities reinforces the sense that the policy is being formed without adequate technical understanding of the automotive sector.</p> <p>Crucially, the island must remain competitive and attractive to skilled migrants, professionals and families who wish to build their lives here. Equally, we must</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>retain those who have already settled but have limited family ties to the island. Reducing freedoms, narrowing lifestyle choices and imposing restrictions that feel ideologically driven will inevitably influence the type and quality of people Jersey is able to attract and retain. Policies that make living here feel constrained, expensive or unnecessarily burdensome risk weakening Jersey’s social and economic fabric in the long term.</p> <p>In its current form, the phase-out risks doing more harm than good: increasing household costs, reducing mobility, pushing people towards higher-carbon alternatives, damaging local businesses and eroding public trust. Jersey would be far better served by a coherent, phased, and evidence-based strategy aligned with UK/EU timelines, focused on the highest-emitting sectors, and grounded in the real needs of islanders rather than ideology. A more proportionate, balanced and democratic approach is essential before any major restrictions of this nature can be justified.</p>
284319159	Think before the law is introduced. As an Island we have a poor reputation for making GOOD DECISIONS
289504247	Please don’t lead let’s follow the rest of the world Don’t penalize the people Of Jersey we don’t want to make this place a More restrictive island for the next generation
284414840	We can't afford it. We shouldn't even be talking about this on a 9x5 island. If China, USA, Russia etc get their houses in order, then we can revisit this. But they won't because why should they when places like Jersey are stupid enough to bankrupt their citizens first?
288803370	This will be the end of motoring and you’ll be out of a job
289557658	<p>The 2030 phase-out in Jersey raises significant concerns regarding the island’s readiness for such a rapid transition. The availability and price of vehicles are particularly worrying, as Jersey’s total dependence on the UK market means local buyers are vulnerable to supply chain shifts and the higher upfront costs of electric models. These price premiums risk creating a divided society where only wealthier islanders can afford to comply with the new mandates, while others are left with a shrinking used market. Furthermore, the cost of motoring remains uncertain; the loss of fuel duty revenue will likely force the government to introduce new taxes or distance-based charges to cover the resulting multi-million pound budget deficit, potentially making driving more expensive for everyone regardless of their engine type.</p> <p>Infrastructure and technical ability present even greater hurdles for an island that already feels stretched. The availability of chargers is a major barrier for those living in high-density areas like St. Helier who lack off-street parking, and the electrical grid requires massive, costly upgrades to support the increased demand. Within the motor trade, there is a looming "skills chasm" as the industry faces a critical shortage of technicians qualified to handle high-voltage systems, a gap that is expected to become acute as early as 2026. Additionally, the logistical nightmare of disposing of or recycling hazardous lithium-ion batteries—which must currently be shipped off-island at high</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
	<p>cost—stays a largely unsolved problem.  It appears that the "powers that be" are walking in blindly, bowing to activists who may have no real concept of the practicalities of normal, everyday life for working families. Forcing a deadline when the physical and professional infrastructure is so clearly lagging behind risks significant disruption to the island's mobility. In conclusion, EV technology is a long way off before becoming a practical, seamless option for the everyday person.</p>
289498850	<p>As I have already said, Jersey should not be jumping on the 2030/2035 bandwagon when the EU is pulling back. Allow freedom of choice and the use of electric vehicles will increase naturally</p>
289699455	<p>Electric cars have been available for a long time and the majority of people have not made the choice to buy one, this clearly demonstrates that this is not a choice people are wanting to make. You shouldn't be forcefully removing that choice. The costs of owning any car will significantly increase with this move at a time when cost of living is already too high for many to cope.</p>
289434380	<p>I have covered my feedback in all previous boxes. However as a business owner that requires the services of the Ports of Jersey. The Marine industry and island relies on supplies by ship and benefits on passing yachts bunkering fuel. The marine leisure industry requires the marinas to be full of boat owners and this relies on a fair trade that must not be penalised by other restrictions imposed on vehicles. This would cause the Ports of Jersey a larger problem with no-one able to warrant boat ownership causing marinas to empty. All and any other areas surrounding such plans need to be considered, so as to avoid a ripple affect.</p>
289469612	<p>If you really care about the population of Jersey, hold a referendum. The decision should not be made by politicians who have no mandate to implement it.</p>
289257441	<p>Again bad policy. Anti freedom. Will vote against any politician who backs this.</p>
284085543	<p>Simply it will never happen becoming carbon neutral, eg I will still burn on an open fire wood , Jersey is a pin head on the map and with major countries in the world continuing with oil.coal,etc then so do !!</p>
284173916	<p>[TEXT REDACTED] idea</p>
288697743	<p>More details required before decisions are made!</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284977214	Crazy idea removing all diesel and petrol cars when there simply is not the infrastructure to support all needed vehicle's in the island
288610537	The whole ved , net zero is ridiculous, it needs to be abolished until a time when there's a real replacement for fossil fuel vehicles . If I live in a flat where am I going to charge my car ? Are you going to build 30000 car chargers?
287980177	<p>Islanders will not buy pure electric vehicles unless they are practical for their circumstances. The charging infrastructure must be massively improved as well as battery lives and cost.</p> <p>Look at imposing restrictions on Petrol and Diesel separately.</p> <p>Concentrate on the most polluting vehicles, those that traditionally travel the greatest distances such as; taxis, coaches, busses, vans and other commercial vehicles first.</p> <p>Follow the UK and Europe don't try to go any further.</p> <p>Don't ban the importation of used vehicles. If you have to, reduce the age dramatically to say 10 years. The Importation duties are currently so high that few cars other than classics would be imported if they were more than 10 years old. Create a licencing system for the importation of used vehicles under 10 years old.</p> <p>Make everything as simple as possible and avoid over regulation. Look at how much this is costing the Island and taxpayers!</p>
289766120	I truly hope that when this comes up for debate in the States Assembly, that a fair and even balance of facts are given and any existing members re-elected, have the generosity to listen to new members opinions. I do think that this could be delayed by at least 5 years until more facts regarding how it is going to affect businesses, motor traders, agriculture, emergency services, general public and more importantly how we envisage paying for it. Finances are tight now due to overspends and projects not getting fully off the ground and more demands being made, the island roads are in a dreadful state, how are you going to reap the finances in if you don't have the petrol or diesel to sell because we have gone electric.
289436821	<p>Until there is a life cycle plan of an electric vehicles on an island, this it all just made up fantasy, that will be pushed through and the only people to suffer will be the poorer people who can't afford to lease car for the rest of their lives.</p> <p>Cars are the most recycled item on the planet and you're trying to ban them.</p> <p>Take a deep look at yourselves and decided if you will be proud of pushing this fantasy in 10 years time because you only have half a plan!</p>
284248354	This phase out is nit long enough needs to be longer or not at all
289338889	<p>I have stated that there is not sufficient evidence illustrating the cross section of emissions in the island, without which a normal person cannot make any informed input of thought.</p> <p>The survey's language suggest that this is a 'done deal' and fails to account for significant contributors to the 'problem', also it identifies only one solution which has a skeptical future (will hydrogen replace ev? etc? consequently it should not be published in its current form - my opinion.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284267065	Don't take half measure, ban ice cars comprehensively.
289925427	This is starting to sound like the 90's all over again when everyone was told to buy diesel cars because it was better for the environment and emissions, then told petrol is better for the environment, now the new kind is battery powered, every one promoting battery power never mentions or reveals the environmental damage being done when mining and refining the minerals ie. copper ore, lithium, aluminium, and what about disposal of an end of life battery? will the Government be charging the owner to dispose of it on top of the price of a new one for their car which, the Government's encouraged them to buy .
289551415	I believe the Island has tough decisions it needs to face which are more pressing than this one which will bring unnecessary disruption and cost as no infrastructure has been put in to manage this change or consider the long term impact of this on a small island economy
289524825	Given the state of current policy in the UK in relation to this matter, I think that Jersey is going to have to be prepared to flex it thinking on this proposed phase-out. Significant thought also needs to be given to the motoring enthusiasts community on the island and the impact of this phase-out on the cost of motoring for all. Also more consider needs to be given to the environmental impact of these new types of vehicles and the like for like impact set out to the public before such a phase-out is implemented.
288796756	Simply not affordable
289542208	I would be interested in joining the discussion group if possible- [TEXT REDACTED]
289512554	2030 is unrealistic. The proposed ban on preregistered vehicles does not align with other jurisdictions.  Or island is not ready for this.
285282283	I think this has the potential to be a massively affirming milestone- I'd love to see Jersey be at the vanguard of the move away from ICE.
289525717	As much as I understand the vision, I believe what is missing is the mid-long term view on where are we going to store the E-batteries? I doubt our maritime companies are willing to transport those time bombs off island. Electricity isn't produced on island and is already being a price increase. We will be taken hostage by yet another monopoly. Review the type of cars that circulate in jersey and start by banning the import of unsuitable vehicles. Those that are too wide and too heavy for our roads.

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284046650	<p>This whole scheme is a blanket and tunnel vision response, likely lead by those who have a hatred for ICEs, people should be allowed to have a choice, after all variety is the spice of life and nothing is a 1 size fits all.</p> <p>The islands contribution to the worldwide emissions total is so tiny that this whole thing seems like a vanity project for those who want it, and who blindly follow other countries without thinking for themselves or what is better for the island.</p> <p>There has been almost 0 view from the Gov on eco/bio fuels, there are many options now for carbon neutral fuels for ICE vehicles, motorsport around the globe is phasing out electric racing series as they're boring and the technology is near a dead end, and switching to bio fuels instead increasing research and development in these areas, which means banning ICE vehicles would then be able to make use of these fuels.</p> <p>Question 7 was a loaded question, as there wasn't enough options, I would like to and would plan on buying/importing petrol vehicles way beyond 2030 etc.</p> <p>Other countries around the world are extending and scrapping these bans as they're not feasible in the current climate, bio/eco fuels are getting better and better and negate the need for these restrictions. Imposing hardship to the general public of this small island being continue this scheme is blinded and insensitive just to please a few. In the end, changes like these only ever effect the low-middle earners financially, and those that have an interest in the like of ICEs.</p>
288652661	<p>China, USA and India collectively produce 56% of the carbon globally and won't/can't sign up to any deal. So what is the point of peddling this nonsense to us when we only produce 0.0014% it's just crazy</p>
289559639	<p>I am pro choice. This is dictatorial and ill conceived</p>
289613601	<p>I fear that the policy is too late and too quick in the making and its negative economic effect will far outweigh any 'net zero' advantages when compared to a holistic group of policies that could reduce CO2 production that the government simply has not published or considered. Inevitably, the public and the economy will suffer. A proper sustainable transport policy needs to be developed with consideration of off-island needs and vehicle uses with limited mileage.</p>
289496193	<p>The only reason for buying an electric vehicle, at almost cost, would be if there was a climate emergency and if driving an EV would make any difference. Well there isn't and it won't.</p> <p>Mining for rare minerals to make batteries is ruining the world environment. It is also wrecking small communities around the world leaving their landscapes scarred for ever. Think of the big picture.</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
289465982	<p>I don't feel the Jersey Government is looking at the long term picture and the overall environmental impact that having vehicles not last as long and require battery disposal will cause. Surely the best solution would be to dramatically reduce emissions by having hybrid vehicles that do not need expensive infrastructure to run, last longer and have less impact on the environment as a whole.</p>
284045157	<p>Keep improving the bus service so we don't need ot drive as much as we do.</p>
286391367	<p>We didn't vote for this. Stop it now and focus our energy elsewhere.</p>
289536952	<p>[TEXT REDACTED] disgusting bully tactics to get the less well off out of their cars</p>
289552182	<p>I think that Jersey's efforts to become entirely Carbon neutral and emmision-free is a complete waste of time and money. This little Island's achievements cannot alter anything on our planet in any way!!</p>
283972464	<p>The availability of hydrogen as a replacement fuel, adding with other fuel should also be considered</p>
286386736	<p>Jersey's Bold EV Revolution: Tariff-Free Triumph!</p> <p>"Let us be the pioneers of a zero-emission paradise—tariff-free and unstoppable!" Launch a "Vehicle Surrender Scheme" where islanders trade polluting petrol/diesel cars for £3,000-£5,000 credits towards EVs, funded by our £1.05m (proportional to UK's £650m grant). With no UK anti-subsidy tariffs on Chinese EVs (just 10% standard duty vs. EU's 45%), Jersey imports BYD Atto 3s/Seagulls at £18k post-£3,750 grant—40% below Europe—funding 500+ vehicles, WAVs, fleets via bulk deals that thrill manufacturers like BYD/NIO. This obliterates 97,000 tonnes CO2e yearly (27% emissions), cuts disposal 40%, halves bills—"Every clunker surrendered births an EV powerhouse, tariff-free turbocharged!"—freeing £millions for health and growth.</p> <p>"Breathe clean, live electric—Jersey, the world's greenest gem!" Pristine air erases respiratory ills, saving healthcare while "Clean Island" branding explodes tourism: electric ferries, V2H eco-hotels, cycling bliss rivaling Iceland, drawing eco-luxe floods. "Tariff-free savings explode: £2-3k cheaper per car, low-income barriers crushed 30%!" Energy independence ditches fuel imports for sun/sea power, igniting GDP, green jobs, remote workers to our tax-haven vibe.</p> <p>"Partner boldly: EVs for all, future for generations!" Chinese giants swarm for duty-free volume—"zero barriers = unstoppable scale"—tailored accessibility accelerating 2030 phase-out to net zero 2050. Electric Viking roars EVs as "grid-optional powerhouses"; Jersey transforms cars to home fortresses, proving "Innovation drives destiny—affordable, modern, triumphant!" Health blooms, productivity soars, tourism thrives. This isn't transition—it's Jersey's tariff-free transformation, inspiring the globe!</p>

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284475970	<p>Jersey roads are not great and will get worse with heavier cars/vans. Where are the budgets for this and charging points and new bigger cables in the roads. Also people in flats will need vast numbers of charging points ... how many people live in flats over here with no parking facilities. Are you going to stop properties being built with no parking?</p> <p>Short term populist ideas are great for speeches and then the politician can retire knowing that they have made a difference. In fact as with many such plans all that happens is that large amounts of money are spent and then later it is decided that it can not be practically achieved. But the money has been spent.</p> <p>How much was spent deciding not to build the hospital ... so far!?! It is not that Jersey needs to spend a fortune on outside advisers, just have the imaginations to see where projects/policies may end up.</p>
289438049	<p>I believe the ICE architecture has reached the end of its life. When electric has been researched and developed for as long as fossil fuel vehicles we will in the future wonder what all the trouble was. We are in the middle of a transition just as our great grand parents were when cars were first being introduced. The early cars could not clime steep hills and there were not any petrol stations on every corner. If they had stopped and listened to the hoarse and cart brigade where would we be now I wonder. Don't stop your endeavours.</p>
284233967	<p>I think I have said it all. My current car is petrol and 61 years old. No one is thanking me for being environmentally friendly or offering incentives to keep my vehicles as long as possible.</p> <p>Focus on the important things, not those issues that Jersey cannot fix</p>
289436156	<p>I think this is all overkill for an island of our size.</p>
288789203	<p>I think it's narrow minded to believe that removing petrol vehicles from roads will make a big impact on the carbon emissions on a small island/country. Countries like China/India need to be audited and seriously be held accountable for their contribution to the global carbon emissions. Also look at cruise ships that are sat at harbours for days idle and releasing emissions and being allowed to buy carbon credits to justify and allow them to continue their carbon emissions. There is so much more than removing the ability to petrol run vehicles from constituents that can be done. Put your boy trousers on and stand up to China who answers to no one, world leaders are scared of the China communist regime making the rest of the world pay for their careless approach to climate crisis.</p>
284073239	<p>If the ministry is agreed on the proposed changes, let each member of it campaign accordingly at next year's election. Do not pre-judge things. Put it to the people, with a full cost and risk analysis and in the context of all the othe things on the roadmap list about which absolutely nothing has been or is being done.</p>
284442473	<p>It's all a complete waste of time and resources trying to do all this. The States should be focusing their attention on reviving Jersey to its former glory and making it a safe, happy and affordable place to live, not driving young locals away and letting in to many outsiders.</p>

2030 Phase-Out of Petrol and Diesel Vehicles – Consultation Report  
 Appendix 9 – Individual Free-Text Responses to Question 30

UserID	<b>Q30. Please use the box below to share any FINAL comments you have regarding the 2030 phase-out of petrol and diesel vehicles, as proposed. Please avoid sharing personal details as part of your response.</b>
284444685	<p>I think they government are wasting a lot of time and money and should leave the transport in the island alone, focus the money and attention of other things that this island needs, we don't need more housing, more offices, more immigrants, we need more things to do, more parks, more family oriented activities that don't cost a lot of money to do</p>
289782709	<p>I think the Government of Jersey could spend their time on matters that will impact the population more before considering going forward with this proposal as the time frame is not attainable as proposed. No consideration has even been mentioned on how the infrastructure is going to be impletmented i.e. charging points, how to get your car mainained etc.</p> <p>This does really need to be thought through more thoroughly than it has been so far.</p>
289480903	<p>I am mostly concerned about how we deliver infrastructure in an economic and easy to use method. Government has made some steps to utilise electric vehicles and buses but public transport options ( which will need to replace current vehicle ownership in the country parishes) really needs to be quickly and visibly.</p>
288802541	<p>The whole "climate emergency" is a joke and should be rescinded. The tide is turning against this claptrap all over Europe. We can only hope Jersey sees sense and does the same soon.</p>