

**Planning application P/2010/1717.**

**Questions to Mr Andrew Morris**

You state: .... “that the site is located within boundaries of the urban fringe”. Please clarify this statement in light of the first Ombudsman Panel’s declaration that” any further development westwards from the eastern boundaries of Field 622 and 623 would constitute an extension into the countryside” Is the area you identify countryside or urban?

You state: .... “the flexibility of being able to utilise the field as a whole for a future drainage system, this means that we will be able to overcome any surface water issues that may arise”. Please clarify this statement and why the western side of Field 622 even enters into this project, as we are unaware that it is subject to any planning or development in application P/2010/1717 or any future development. Are we led to believe that adequate drainage on the development pertaining to the eastern edge of Field 622 is reliant upon being able to “utilise the field as a whole for a future drainage system” Has this been made clear to all parties? If so when? Have the owners of western end been advised and how will the existing covenant be removed?

Douglas Creedon  
June 23<sup>rd</sup> 2013