

## **INQUIRY QUESTIONS:**

### **Question to Mr Thorne- Summary**

- With reference to Pararaph 9 of Mr Thorne's Submission 'a number of sites were evaluated by the Parish before settling on the Western part of Filed 622.

Should this not read Eastern Part of Field 622 or has the application been changed yet again? Perhaps you can enlighten the inquiry?

- How many sites were considered by the Parish in detail between Mrs Coulter's death on the 9<sup>th</sup> October 2007 and the Morris architects drawing identifying Field 622 dated Nov 2007?
- I refer to the Parish's initial submission document, statement numbered 17 which reads: -

*'Discussions have taken place regarding improvements to the junction of Rue de la Croute and Route de Vinchelez with the owners of the property immediately north of the junction and TTSD, and subject to planning permission being granted on both sites, the Parish will share the costs of the improvement.'*

The access issue/concerns are clearly acknowledged by the Parish by virtue of the fact that they have made reference to having to take part of a neighbouring garden to improve access. What's the Parish's contingency plan in the event this permission is not forthcoming and therefore the junction improvement is not possible?

### **Question to Morris Architects**

- What is the exact date of Drawing No 4591/01 (Nov 07) Please confirm to the inquiry, the exact details surrounding 'the evaluation by the Parish' prior to November 2007?

Gary Le Brocq