

From: John Young <J.Young@gov.je>
To: progofficer <progofficer@aol.com>
CC: Kevin Pilley <k.pilley@gov.je>
Sent: Wed, 27 Nov 2013 14:18
Subject: RE: Island Plan Interim Review - Examination in Public - IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Dear Helen

With respect i disagree with the decision of the Inspectors to exclude me from raising this issue, of the high density of development outside built up areas. This is an integral part of the policies to deliver the housing we require. It is not being done per se , but because of the need to deliver housing units and the consequent windfall yield of housing which is expected the housing policy includes it .

My point is that no evidence, by way of extra housing units, has been produced to justify this policy . The Ministers own response to the consultation makes reference to this density policy and his remarks indicates some openness to review it.

Can i request you ask the Inspectors to reconsider and seek the instructions of the Minister on it in the light of what i have said

Regards

John

From: progofficer@aol.com [progofficer@aol.com]
Sent: 27 November 2013 10:51
To: John Young
Subject: Re: Island Plan Interim Review - Examination in Public - IMPORTANT INFORMATION

John

Thank you for your email.

A revised version of the Topics and Participants will be circulated later today, but in terms of your request for an Open Session hearing, the question of density policy is not one of the topics which is subject to proposed revisions. As the Inspectors have indicated in the Guidance Notes they are not able to open up the EiP to debate those sections of the Island Plan which are outwith the revisions. I am sure you will appreciate that it would be wrong to make an exception, even for a States Member; and that others who may have views about the many policies which are not being revised might feel aggrieved that one person had been given this opportunity, while they had followed the guidance and resisted making a comment. Further, the Inspectors have not been given (and as they understand it will not be given) any background evidence on this point; nor have any other parties who may disagree with whatever point you wish to make been given the opportunity to speak. The Inspectors are therefore unable to agree to your request (or any other request) to speak at the open session about parts of the Plan which are not subject to revision.

Kind regards
Helen

Helen Wilson
Programme Officer

01527 65741
07879 443035

From: John Young <J.Young@gov.ie>

To: progofficer <progofficer@aol.com>

Sent: Mon, 25 Nov 2013 17:16

Subject: RE: Island Plan Interim Review - Examination in Public - IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Dear Helen

I previously emailed you on 13 November on which Island Plan Topic meetings I wished to participate in :This is copied below

For the avoidance of doubt I wish to participate in the following sessions :

14 January - Housing Policies - especially sheltered / over 55's housing (please add me to the list of participants)

16 January - Coastal National Park (already on the list of participants) and Green Zone

17 Jan - GD2 ,(already on the list of participants)

17 Jan- NR8 Safety Zone (please add me to the list of participants)- proposed for allotments

17 Jan - Open hearing - amend policy requiring high density of development in existing built up areas outside the main built up area - request inspectors visit Les landes Avenue - Samannah

21 Jan - attend with Constable Steve Pallett on St Brelade Sites - support in principle -Sheltered /over 55's housing - Tabor park and Field 139 Petit Route des Mielles

Regards

Deputy John Young

COPY OF MY EMAIL OF 13 NOV

I have spoken to Kevin Pilley and he has informed me that my concerns will be considered at next weeks meeting . He recommended i drop you a short note summarising the additional policy areas where i wish to be heard

In addition to the few minor points you have already included in your inquiry plan my submission included several elements of the where i wish to be included or added to the agenda.

Housing policy review

The appropriateness of requiring 80% of the affordable housing to be social rental

The failure to include a policy for sheltered housing / lifetime homes . over 55's housing to meet the need shortfall in all of the parish communities .

The need for sites to be identified for this use in all the parishes including St Brelade

he socially divisive and negative effects of the policy proposal requiring this sheltered / over 55's / lifetime homes need to be meet as part of the affordable housing sector , limiting access for this type of need to people whose financial circumstances fall within the housing gateway , thereby limiting those more financially able senior citizens needing this housing type to seek accommodation in cat b - which is only available under existing policies outside their home communities , in the built up zone - town and urban areas.

Policy requiring residential densities to be maximised in all built up areas be modified

The safety zone extension to the airport as the site is suitable for allotments which are needed in this urban area .

Regards

Deputy John Young