

JERSEY FUTURE HOSPITAL

CO025 – PROOF OF CONCEPT

SITE OPTION ADDENDUM

APPENDIX 2 VERIFICATION OF PREVIOUS SITE DESELECTION

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sign off: Nigel Aubrey

Position: Director





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
1	Current	Planning massing guidance was	Ministerial	Superseded by Site
	General	released which introduced a limited	consideration	Options Appraisal Brief
	Hospital Site	building height of five medical floors	of revised	(Option C and Option F)
		along Kensington Place, five to six	short-listed	
		floors on Newgate Street and	options	
		Gloucester Street and seven floors	proposed by	
		in the centre of the new hospital	WS Atkins	
		building and along The Parade.		
		Proposals non-compliant,		
		requested reconfiguration.		
1B	Extended	Did not out-perform original Site 1	Revised short-	Superseded by Site
	General	proposal	listed options	Options Appraisal Brief
	Hospital site		analysis by WS	(Option C and Option F)
	(including		Atkins	
	additional			
	land			
10	acquisition)	D 1 " 11 " 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14/O A (I :	0 1 1 00
1C	Extended	Proposal offered less efficient ward	WS Atkins test	Superseded by Site
	General	layouts with extended patient routes	of alternative	Options Appraisal Brief
	Hospital site	to other related clinical departments	design solutions	(Option C and Option F)
	Kensington	but also presented a six-storey, solid facade to Kensington Place.	available at	
	Place, with	solid facade to Kerisington Flace.	site 1	
	retention of		Site i	
	Granite			
	Building			
1D	Extended	Proposal presented operational	WS Atkins test	Superseded by Site
	General	issues regarding efficient clinical	of alternative	Options Appraisal Brief
	Hospital site	links between the extended ward	design	(Option C and Option F)
	along	and other related departments.	solutions	(55.5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 7
	Kensington		available at	
	Place		site 1	
1E	Extended	Revised Concept proposal	WS Atkins	Superseded by Site
	General	requested	SOC Refined	Options Appraisal Brief
	Hospital site		Concept	(Option C and Option F)
	along		Addendum	. ,
	Kensington			
	Place			





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
2, 23	Overdale	Unacceptable impact on community	WS Atkins	Superseded by Site
and	Hospital and	based services which are currently	short-list	Options Appraisal Brief
24	Fields 1550	sited at Overdale; the community	recommendati	(Options A and B)
	and 1551	strategy being to consolidate	on	
	Westmount	services on the Overdale site.		
		Vehicular access from main roads to the site is poor.		
2B	Westmount	Did not out-perform short-listed	Revised short-	Superseded by Site
26	Health	proposals	listed options	Options Appraisal Brief
	Quarter	proposais	analysis by WS	(Option B)
	(Overdale		Atkins	(Option 2)
	Hospital		7	
	Fields 1550			
	and 1551,			
	Westmount			
	Quarry and			
	People's			
	Park)			
3	St Saviour's	Planning restrictions exist on the	WS Atkins	No change - rejection
	Hospital	existing buildings on the site which	short-list	valid
		would severely compromise clinical	recommendati	
		functionality. Access issues and	on	
		convenience for patients and staff is		
4	Esplanade	Pick of identifying an alternative	MOG 2 nd	Superseded by Site
and	Car Park,	Risk of identifying an alternative financial centre unacceptably high.	August 2012	Superseded by Site Options Appraisal Brief
14	Zephyrus/We	ililaticiai ceritre dilacceptably flight.	August 2012	(Option D)
	stwater/			(Option b)
	Crossland			
5	Former	The smaller part of the site would	SoJ Officer	No change - rejection
	D'Hautree	require a c.8 storey high building on	assessment	valid
	School Site	a prominent site above town. To	prior to long-list	
		create a larger footprint would	-	
		require relocation of Highlands		
		College functions which would not		
		be achieved within 3-5 years		





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
6	Former JCG	The majority of the site is occupied by a primary school and the remaining site too small with too	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
		many restrictions to accommodate a hospital. Relocating the primary school would take longer than 3-5 years and would have a high cost.	prior to long not	
7	South Hill	The small size of the available site would present difficulties in accommodating the required volume – it is estimated that a seven storey structure would be required in a prominent location overlooking the harbour.	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
8	Land at Airport	Not in accord with the Island Plan. Site meets minimum area required but neighbouring fuel depot would be a fire hazard and would have to be moved. Transport and access issues considered less optimal than other sites. Exposure to climate also considered an issue.	WS Atkins short-list recommendati on	No change – rejection valid
9	Summerland / Ambulance Station	The site is too small without the ambulance site, which would take longer than 3-5 years to move. Even with the ambulance site, this would be too small a site for a new hospital.	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
10	Warwick Farm	Site not suitable because it would require re-designation of this green zone land site and, in addition, the visual and development impact of such a large building in this rural setting would have been out of keeping with the surroundings coupled with considerable transport impacts which were not considered sustainable.	Ministerial consideration of short-listed options proposed by WS Atkins	No change – rejection valid





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
11	Fort Regent	Whilst this site raises a number of questions in terms of access, topology and heritage issues, the current occupancy of the site (i.e. port and concert facilities) would need to be relocated and this was unlikely to be achieved within 3-5	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
		years.		
12	Snow Hill Car Park	Providing the required volume on a site of this shape and footprint would require a very tall building, in the order of 14 storeys, which would be out of keeping within the local area. The site would also result in an inefficient building shape.	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
13	Elizabeth Harbour	The current port facility would have to be moved in order for this site to be available. There are no plans to do this and this would not be achieved within 3-5 years. The cost of moving the port to provide a hospital are unlikely to be justified.	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid
14B	Zephyrus / Crosslands / Cineworld / Les Jardin de la Mer	Proposal rationalised to address issues of planning and massing. Taken forward into 14C.	WS Atkins test of alternative design solutions available at site 14	Options Appraisal Brief (Option D)
14C	Zepyhrus / Crosslands / Les Jardin de la Mer	Not selection as final recommendation	WS Atkins SOC, October 2013	Superseded by Site Options Appraisal Brief (Option D)
15	Bellozanne Valley	Preliminary plans by Transport and Technical Services show that the area left in the valley once liquid waste facilities have been upgraded would not meet requirements. Location is also problematic as is	SoJ Officer assessment prior to long-list	No change – rejection valid





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
		co-location with municipal activities		
		such as liquid waste treatment.		
16	Jersey Gas	Site footprint not considered viable	WS Atkins	No change – rejection
	site, Tunnell	for construction of a hospital with	long-list site	valid
	Street	optimal clinical adjacencies.	analysis	
17	Le Masurier's	The site is too small and would	SoJ Officer	No change – rejection
	land, Bath	require a tall building (c.12 storeys)	assessment	valid
	Street	to meet the likely area	prior to long-list	
		requirements. This would be out of		
10		keeping with this area of town.	0.1.00	N
18	Former	Site has a small footprint which	SoJ Officer	No change – rejection
	Jersey	would require a tall building (c.11	assessment	valid
	Brewery, Ann	storeys). This is out of keeping	prior to long-list	
40	Street	within the surrounding area.	VVC Atting	No shange rejection
19	Westmount	Site footprint and topography not considered viable for construction of	WS Atkins	No change – rejection valid
	Quarry		long-list site analysis	valiu
		a hospital with optimal clinical adjacencies.	ariarysis	
20	Longueville	The site is not large enough to	SoJ Officer	No change – rejection
	Nurseries, St	support a development in this area	assessment	valid
	Saviour	and it may not be available within 3-	prior to long-list	
		5 years.		
21	Samares	A range of travel and accessibility	WS Atkins	No change - rejection
	Nurseries, St	issue a key concern for this site	short-list	valid
	Clement	including road capacity and limited	recommendati	
		site access issues. Not in accord	on	
		with the Island plan.		
22	Field 1219,	Site footprint not considered viable	WS Atkins	No change - rejection
	Grande	for construction of a hospital with	long-list site	valid
	Route de	optimal clinical adjacencies. Very	analysis	
	Mont a	limited site access potential.		
	L'Abbe		0 1 0	
25	Parade	Considered on the basis that the	SoJ Officer	Re-evaluated under
	Gardens	public park could be recreated on	assessment	long list park site
		the current hospital site, however a	prior to long-list	evaluation August 2015
		covenant on the site prohibits		- rejection valid
		building on it.		





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	Carrotte position
26	Springfield	The current facilities would need to	SoJ Officer	No change – rejection
	Stadium	be relocated, which would take	assessment	valid
		longer than 3-5 years, also a key	prior to long-list	
		public amenity in this area.		
27	FB Fields	A covenant on the site prohibits	SoJ Officer	No change - rejection
		building and retains the site for	assessment	valid
		sport. The current facilities would	prior to long-list	
		also have to be relocated.		
28	Mont de la	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change - rejection
	Ville	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	(swimming	infrastructure, access, restrictions	assessment	
	pool and land	and current usage.	November	
	to South of		2012	
	Fort Regent)		0	N
29	Grainville	Current facilities would need to be	Site validation	No change – rejection
	School	relocated. Difficulties with site	screening	valid
		access and infrastructure.	assessment November	
			2012	
30	Grainville	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change – rejection
30	playing fields	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	playing noids	restrictions and current usage, with	assessment	vana
		facilities and function to be	November	
		relocated.	2012	
31	Norman's site	Issues with current site ownership	Site validation	No change – rejection
	at Five Oaks	and use. Difficulties in providing site	screening	valid
		access and infrastructure and	assessment	
		sufficient floor area requirements.	November	
			2012	
32	Fields off	Issues with site infrastructure and	Site validation	No change - rejection
	Highview	access and existing restrictions to	screening	valid
	Lane, Mont a	development. Site also unable to	assessment	
	L'Abbe	adequately support overall floor	November	
		area requirements.	2012	
33	Fields	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change – rejection
	adjacent to	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	St. Saviour's	restrictions for development.	assessment	





FUTURE HOSPITAL PROJECT

No	Site	Reasons for rejection	Rejection	Current position
			stage	
	Church, St	Significant challenges to site	November	
	Saviour	infrastructure and access likely.	2012	
34	St Andrew's	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change - rejection
	Park	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
		restrictions for development.	assessment	
		Significant challenges to site	November	
		infrastructure and access likely.	2012	
35	Fields	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change - rejection
	opposite St	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	Saviour's	restrictions for development.	assessment	
	School		November	
			2012	
36	Fields to the	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change - rejection
	North of Five	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	Oaks	restrictions for development.	assessment	
			November	
			2012	
37	Fields off	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change – rejection
	Trinity Hill	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
		restrictions for development.	assessment	
			November	
	F: 11 (1)		2012	N
38	Fields off La	Unable to support overall floor area	Site validation	No change – rejection
	Grande	requirements; issues with site	screening	valid
	Route de St	restrictions for development.	assessment	
	Jean		November	
39	Doople's	Componentary management required	2012 Public	No change rejection
39	People's Park	Compensatory measures required		No change – rejection valid
	raik	to deliver the Future Hospital and	Engagement – March 2016	valiu
		provide green space. Political and	Maich 2016	
		public support does not exist. Option withdrawn.		
		Option withdrawn.		