Island Plan Strategic Policy Framework

- Policy SP1 Spatial Strategy
- Policy SP2 Efficient Use of Resources
- Policy SP3 Sequential Approach to Development
- Policy SP4 Protecting the Natural and Historic Environment
- Policy SP5 Economic Growth and Diversification
- Policy SP6 Reducing Dependence on the Car
- Policy SP7 Better by Design

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The spatial strategy of the Island Plan is based on the following hierarchical sequence of principles:

- Development within the main Built-Up Area of the Town of St. Helier
- Development within the Built-Up Area outside the town of St. Helier including those parts of the Island's urban environment identified and defined in the hierarchy of settlements and defined on the Proposals Maps.
- Development of brownfield land outside the Built-Up Area, to meet an identified need and where it is appropriate to do so.
- In exceptional circumstances, the development of land outside the Built-Up Area to support the rural economy or parish communities, to meet an identified need and where it is appropriate to do so.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across rural parts of the Island primarily as Policy	Permanent	High	Overall the combination of policies is likely to protect terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity in the short	Policy SP1 - +
				SP1 clearly focuses new development to the Island's built up areas			through to the long-term. It is considered unlikely that this suite of policies would offer enhancement	Policy SP3 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
				including St. Helier.			opportunities.	Policy SP5 - 0
				However, the scope of Policy SP4 also includes a			Policy SP1 states that development will	Policy SP6 - +
				wider focus upon			only be considered on greenfield land in exceptional circumstances.	
				protection of the natural environment which should help to ensure that new development, irrespective of its location should not adversely affect such resources and so their may also be benefits in urban areas.			in exceptional circumstances. Therefore, this policy will have indirect beneficial effects on the protection of terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity in greenfield areas. The focus of the spatial strategy upon promoting development in St. Helier should indirectly protect a number of the designated sites outside of St. Helier as there are no sites within the settlement. Climate change is a threat to all habitats and species as they will need to adapt in the long-term. Policy SP2 will have indirect long term beneficial effects on biodiversity as it seeks to limit carbon dioxide emissions from new development. However, climate change is a global phenomenon and so actions outside of Jersey are likely to affect Jersey's climate and its biodiversity resources. Although this policy SP3 also offers benefits to	Policy SP7 - 0
							biodiversity by focusing development	
							into urban rather than rural locations. Policy SP4 will have positive effects on	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							biodiversity as it seeks to protect 'Jersey's biodiversity'. Jersey supports a diverse range of habitats and species and it is essential that they are protected. Policy SP6 could offer indirect benefits to biodiversity resources by reducing emissions from vehicular sources. Policy SP4 could be strengthened to make it more proactive such that it encourages the delivery of biodiversity enhancement measures rather than focusing solely upon protection.	
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Biodiversity resources across coastal areas including designated sites (Ramsar and SSI) would benefit.	Permanent	High	There is a general presumption in this section of the Island Plan against development in coastal locations. This is considered particularly important owing to the very high value of the marine and coastal environment of Jersey.	Policy SP1 - +
							Policy SP1 states that development will only be considered outside built up areas if it is appropriate to coast and countryside, appropriate brownfield land or Greenfield land in exceptional	Policy SP3 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							circumstances. Therefore this policy should indirectly protect coastal and marine biodiversity by avoiding development in these areas unless absolutely necessary.	Policy SP4 - +
							The effects of climate change upon the coastal environment of Jersey are highly uncertain. However, Policy SP2 encourages local action and promotes	Policy SP5 - 0
							a reduction in carbon dioxide emissions which should have benefits in the long-term.	Policy SP6 - 0
							The text supporting Policy SP4 clearly states that the Island Plan seeks to protect Jersey's marine environment.	Policy SP7 - 0
3) To provide good quality	0	0	0	Not applicable	Permanent	High	The focus of this chapter of the Island	Policy SP1 – 0
affordable housing that meets the requirements of the local population							Plan is not specifically upon the provision of housing and meeting	Policy SP2 – 0
the local population							housing needs, rather upon directing development to the most appropriate	Policy SP3 – 0
							locations. For this reason, effects are considered to be neutral. However, a	Policy SP4 – 0
							number of policies in this chapter will be relevant when new housing	Policy SP5 – 0
							development is brought forward.	Policy SP6 – 0
								Policy SP7 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect			Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities ¹	+	+	+	Benefits are likely to be realised across the Island but particularly in St. Helier where new development	Permanent	Permanent High Whilst no one policy specifically addresses the development of sustainable communities, a number of	, , ,	Policy SP1 - +
				would be focused which could help to regenerate parts of the town.			ensuring that development occurs in the most appropriate locations (Policies SP1, SP3) as well as ensuring that new development is accessible (SP6)	Policy SP2 -
							and of a high quality design (SP7) which could lead to a better quality of life for communities. There is a clear focus upon promoting development in	Policy SP3 -
							built-up areas and in St. Helier which should ensure that new development is accessible to existing facilities and services. Furthermore, the existing	Policy SP4
							character of rural areas across the Island should also be protected from development and potential adverse effects upon their character and sense	Policy SP5
							of place – this is clearly established within Policy SP3.	Policy SP6 -
							Policy SP4 should help to protect the	

-

¹ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as 'a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							quality of the built and natural environment which is also vitally important for promoting places that people want to both live and work. Policy SP5 promotes new economic development opportunities which should help to contribute to economic growth in the long-term, which should ensure that employment opportunities are available for Jersey residents. Policy SP6 focuses upon reducing dependence on the private car and improving accessibility to pedestrian and cycle facilities. This should be beneficial to communities by helping to ensure that new development does not lead to increases in traffic which could cause a deterioration in the quality of the local environment for residential communities.	Policy SP7 - +
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	+	+	+	It is considered that benefits are more likely to be felt in urban rather than rural areas as this is where	Permanent	High	Policy SP5 clearly supports economic development and diversification and specially highlights the need for innovation.	Policy SP1 – 0 Policy SP2 – 0
				the spatial strategy focuses development			Policy SP3 directs new development to	Policy SP3 – 0
				(SP1). However, the policy does not necessarily			urban areas, for example new office development should be within the	Policy SP4 – 0
				preclude rural			Town Centre and Esplanade Quarter. Therefore, it is likely that increased	Policy SP5 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		Policies
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
				development initiatives or new businesses in such			economic growth is likely to occur in urban areas.	Policy SP6 – 0
				locations.				Policy SP7 – 0
6) To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	O	+	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island.	Permanent	High	Whilst none of the policies specifically address health and well-being, there are likely to be indirect positive impacts as a result of pursuing these strategic policies. There is a focus upon new	Policy SP1 - 0
							development in built up areas which should mean that opportunities are more accessible, as public transport links to this part of the Island are better	Policy SP2 - 0
							than in other locations i.e. new housing should be appropriately sited in relation to health care facilities. The protection of the natural and built environment should also benefit general health and	Policy SP3 - 0
							well-being in the long-term, for example, by protecting areas that are valuable for recreation. The focus of development in St. Helier should offer regeneration benefits in the long-term	Policy SP4 - 0
							which should benefit the public realm. Policy SP6 could have indirect health benefits as it encourages new development to be accessible on foot	Policy SP5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							or by bicycle which could help to improve activity levels. Policy SP7 could be improved such that it highlights the need for 'safety by	Policy SP6 - +
							design' principles to be part of achieving high design quality as this could also help to increase perceptions of safety which can affect overall wellbeing.	Policy SP7 - +
7) To guard against land contamination and encourage the appropriate	+	+	+	The strategy promotes the development of brownfield sites within urban areas	Permanent	High	Policy SP1 states that development will be concentrated within the island's built up area, but that planning permission	Policy SP1 - +
re-use of brownfield sites				which should help to reduce vacant brownfield			will be given for development on brownfield land outside of the built-up	Policy SP2 - +
				land in such areas and indirectly protect rural and greenfield areas from			area which meets an identified need and where it is appropriate to do so. The policy should, therefore lead to the	Policy SP3 – +
				development.			redevelopment and remediation of brownfield sites within and outside of the urban boundary where appropriate	Policy SP4 – 0
							(however, there is not a significant stock of vacant/brownfield sites across the Island).	Policy SP5 – 0
							Policy SP2 may offer indirect benefits by promoting efficient use of land and	Policy SP6 – 0
							resources which could reduce the amount of land needed for development, particularly outside of the built-up area. The policy could also	Policy SP7 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	-	Policies
							help to encourage the remediation of brownfield sites within the urban area. The sequential approach to development promoted through Policy SP3 should also help to encourage the re-use of vacant urban sites as it focuses development in St. Helier.	
8) To protect soil functions	+	+	+	The pursuit of a strategy which focuses development within built-up areas should lead to the indirect protection of soil functions in rural areas of the Island.	Permanent	Low	The focus of the spatial strategy is upon concentrating development in built-up areas which should offer indirect protection to greenfield areas and soil functions, for example carbon sequestration and infiltration. Policy SP2 also promotes the efficient use of land which should indirectly protect soil functions.	Policy SP1 - + Policy SP2 - + Policy SP3 - + Policy SP4 - 0 Policy SP5 - + Policy SP6 - 0 Policy SP7 - 0
9)To protect and enhance the quality and availability of water resources	-	-	-	Potential adverse effects across the island if appropriate water conservation techniques are not included as part of the design of new buildings.	Permanent	High	New development across the Island will lead to increased water resource demand. The issue of water use is not explicitly addresses in this part of the Island Plan. It is recommended that within Policy SP2, reference is made for the need for water resources to be conserved and effective water management techniques to be incorporated into new	Policy SP1 – 0 Policy SP2 - - Policy SP3 – 0 Policy SP4 – 0 Policy SP5 – 0 Policy SP6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies	
							development.	Policy SP7 – 0	
10) To protect and enhance coastal, intertidal	+	+	+	The coastal and marine environment would benefit	Permanent	High	Policy SP1 states that development will only be considered outside built up	Policy SP1 - +	
and marine waters					from the policies.			areas if it is appropriate to coast and countryside, appropriate brownfield land exists or Greenfield land in	Policy SP2 - 0
						exceptional circumstances. Therefore this policy will have indirect beneficial effects on the protection of coastal, intertidal and marine waters. Policy SP3 states that development will	Policy SP3 - +		
							Policy SP4 - +		
							only occur in a coastal or countryside location where it is essential and a	Policy SP5 - 0	
							hierarchy will be followed when considering such proposals. This should indirectly protect the coastal	Policy SP6 - 0	
							and marine areas. Policy SP4 will have beneficial effects on coastal and marine waters as this policy seeks to protect Jersey's built and natural environment.	Policy SP7 - 0	
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	0	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy SP6 states that new developments must be able to	Policy SP1 - +	
improve all quality		De		but particularly in the urban areas such as			demonstrate that they will fulfil a listed criteria including ' it does not give	Policy SP2 - +	
			St.Helier where new development will be			rise to an unacceptable deterioration in air quality' and 'it does not give rise	Policy SP3 - 0		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
				concentrated.			to unacceptable increase in vehicular traffic, air pollution' therefore this	Policy SP4 - 0
							policy should in the long-term help to protect the air quality of the Island.	Policy SP5 - 0
							There is also a clear focus in Policy SP 2 on the need to limit carbon dioxide emissions from new development.	Policy SP6 - +
							The focus of the spatial strategy (Policy SP1) upon promoting new development within the urban areas should also help to protect air quality in the long-term, as the majority of facilities and amenities across the Island are concentrated in St.Helier and providing development in close proximity to these facilities could help to reduce the need to travel.	Policy SP7 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to	+	+	+	Likely to be benefits	Permanent	High	Policy SP2 states that 'Development	Policy SP1 - 0
climate change				across the Island.			should make the most efficient and effective use of land, energy and	Policy SP2 - +
							buildings to help deliver a more sustainable form and pattern of	Policy SP3 - 0
							sustainable development and to respond to climate change' and 'new	Policy SP4 - 0
							development should be planned to	Policy SP5 - 0
							minimise future vulnerability in a changing climate' therefore there is a	Policy SP6 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							clear focus upon reducing the carbon footprint of new development. Policy SP6 does not directly mention climate change. However it does cover reducing the dependence on the car which should reduce carbon dioxide emissions in the long-term.	Policy SP7 - 0
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the	+	+	+	Likely to be benefits across the Island although	Permanent	High	Policy SP2 states that 'Development should make the most efficient and	Policy SP1 - 0
use of renewable energy sources				most likely to occur in built up areas where new development will be			effective use of land, energy and buildings to help deliver a more sustainable form and pattern of	Policy SP2 - + Policy SP3 - 0
				focused.			sustainable development and to respond to climate change' therefore	Policy SP4 - 0
							there is a clear focus upon energy efficiency.	Policy SP5 - 0
							emolency.	Policy SP6 - 0
								Policy SP7 - 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural	+	+	+	Likely to be benefits across the Island, as there	Permanent	High	Policy SP4, Protecting The Natural and Historic Environment states that ' the	Policy SP1 – 0
heritage resource				is an overarching policy			island's heritage assets – its	Policy SP2 – 0
				that seeks to protect heritage resources. New			archaeology, historic buildings, structures and places – which	Policy SP3 – 0
				development will be focused in built up areas and so there may be			contribute to and define its unique character and identity will be key material considerations in the	Policy SP4 - +
				greater risks to resources			determination of planning applications'	Policy SP5 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
				in urban areas like Listed Buildings being affected by			therefore this policy seeks to protect the islands cultural heritage resource	Policy SP6 – 0
				new development, whilst this should indirectly protect resources in rural areas from development.			and fulfils this objective. The policy does not specifically address enhancement opportunities.	Policy SP7 – 0
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island and the focus of the spatial	Permanent	High	The spatial strategy (Policy SP1) should help to promote improvements to the townscape and help to	Policy SP1 - +
character and quality				strategy upon development in St Helier and other built up areas	Helier areas		regenerate parts of St. Helier and this approach should indirectly protect other parts of the Island such as the	Policy SP2 - 0
				should benefit townscape by helping to improve the quality of the public realm and this could indirectly			coast and the landscape from the adverse effects of development. It is acknowledged within the St. Helier Urban Character Appraisal ² that there	Policy SP3 - +
				help to protect seascape and the rural parts of the Island from development.			is a significant opportunity to revitalise St. Helier and improve the quality of its townscape and its overall vibrancy.	Policy SP4 - +
							Policy SP3 'Sequential Approach to Development' states that development which requires a coast or countryside	Policy SP5 - 0
							location will be reviewed using a hierarchy which should ensure that the most valuable parts of the coastal	Policy SP6 - 0

_

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ WMUD (October, 2005) Urban Character Appraisal, St. Helier.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies
							landscape are protected. Policy SP4, Protecting The Natural and Historic Environment focuses upon protecting countryside and coastal character and so offers protection to landscape, townscape and seascape. Policy SP7 seeks to protect and enhance the character of Jersey as it states 'All development must be of high design quality that maintains and enhanced the character and appearance of the area of Jersey in which it is located'. These benefits would be felt across the Island as poor design that could potentially damage the setting of a building or area would not be permitted.	Policy SP7 - +
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and recycling and to promote sustainable resource use	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	Policy SP2 Efficient Use of Resources states 'new development should secure the highest viable resource efficiency, in terms of the re-use of existing land and buildings; the density of development; and energy efficiency'. Therefore this policy fulfils part of this objective.	Policy SP1 - 0 Policy SP2 - + Policy SP3 - 0 Policy SP4 - 0 Policy SP5 - 0 Policy SP6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policies Policy SP7 - +
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport	+	+	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island and a focus upon new development in St. Helier is beneficial from a general accessibility perspective as public transport links are best to this part of the Island.	Permanent	High	Policy SP6 Reducing Dependence on the Car fulfils this objective in full as it states new large developments must be able to demonstrate that they are immediately accessible to existing or proposed pedestrian, cycle and public transport networks. The spatial strategy promoted through Policy SP1 should also help to facilitate greater public transport use as St. Helier is the main urban centre where most services and public transport links are provided.	Policy SP1 - + Policy SP2 - 0 Policy SP3 - 0 Policy SP4 - 0 Policy SP5 - 0 Policy SP6 - + Policy SP7 - 0

General Development Control Policies

- GD1 General Development Considerations
- Proposal 1 Supplementary Planning Guidance
- GD2 Demolition and Replacement of Buildings
- GD3 Density of Development
- GD4 Planning Obligations
- GD5 Skyline, Views and Vistas
- GD6 Contaminated Land
- Proposal 2 Achieving Design Quality
- GD7 –Design Quality
- GD8 Percentage for Art
- GD9 Signs and Advertisements

Key Assumptions

The overall objectives are to ensure that development proposals conform to the relevant aspects of the Island Plan, to protect health, safety and amenity, to protect the historic and natural environment, to achieve more sustainable forms of development and to secure high standards of building design.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 'General Development Considerations' states that development	Policy GD1 - +
biodiversity							proposals should not be permitted unless the proposal does not seriously harm the	Proposal 1 - 0
							Island's natural and historic environment, in accordance with Policy SP4 'Protecting	Policy GD2 - +/-

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
						<u> </u>	the Natural and Historic environment', and should not have an unreasonable impact	Policy GD3 - 0
							on the character of the coast and countryside (Policy NE1 'Conservation and	Policy GD4 - 0
							enhancement of biological diversity, Policy NE2 'Species Protection', and 'Policy NE7 'Green Zone'). Development proposals	Policy GD5 - 0
							also should not have an unreasonable impact on important open space, natural	Policy GD6 - +
							features, including trees, woodlands,	Proposal 2 -0
							hedgerows, banks, walls and fossess (Policy NE4 'Trees, Woodland and	Policy GD7 - +
							Boundary Features' and wildlife corridors (Policy NE3 'Wildlife Corridors'). Policy GD1 has the potential to directly contribute	Policy GD8 - 0
							towards the Environmental Objective in the short, medium and long term.	Policy GD9 - 0
							Policy GD2 states that the demolition of buildings should not adversely affect Sites of Special Interest. This policy should also make reference to avoid adverse impacts on protected species and cross reference Policy NE2 in the plan as bats may be present in buildings that are planned for demolition.	
							In the long-term, Policy GD6 could potentially help provide opportunities to improve biodiversity across the Island by promoting the remediation of contaminated areas across the Island.	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							Policy GD7 'Design Quality' states that development proposals should not be permitted where an appropriate mix of materials and plant species suited to both landscape and wildlife interests of the locality are not adequately addressed. Policy GD7 has the potential to indirectly contribute towards the Objective in the medium to long term through appropriate and suitable mitigation of impacts associated with development proposals.	
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine	+	+	+	Benefits in marine and	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development proposals will not be permitted unless the	Policy GD1 - +
biodiversity				coastal locations			proposal does not seriously harm the	Proposal 1 - 0
				across the Island			Island's natural and historic environment, in accord with Policy SP4 'Protecting the	Policy GD2 - 0
							Natural and Historic environment', and in	Policy GD3 - 0
							particular, will not have an unreasonable impact on the character of the coast and	Policy GD4 - 0
							countryside (Policy NE6 'Coastal National Park' and Policy NE5 'Marine Zone').	Policy GD5 - 0
							Policy GD1 has the potential to directly	Policy GD6 - 0
							contribute towards the Environmental Objective in the short, medium and long	Policy GD7 - +
						term as a result of the requirements to be met.	Proposal 2 - 0	
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
3) To provide good quality	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	These policies do not specifically address	Policy GD1 - 0
affordable housing that meets the requirements of							the provision of housing as this is addressed in Section 6 of the Island Plan.	Proposal 1 - 0
the local population?								Policy GD2 - 0
								Policy GD3 - 0
								Policy GD4 - 0
								Policy GD5 - 0
								Policy GD6 - 0
								Policy GD7 - 0
								Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities	0	+	+	Benefits in areas identified for development	Permanent	Low	A number of the policies are likely to indirectly contribute to this objective.	Policy GD1 - +
sustainable communities				across the Island.			Policy GD1 states that development proposals will not be permitted unless the	Proposal 1 - 0
							proposal contributes towards a more sustainable form and pattern of development in accordance with the Island	Policy GD2 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
						· · ·	Plan Spatial Strategy (Policy SP1 'Spatial Strategy'); the Efficient Use of Resources (Policy SP2 'Efficient Use of Resources');	Policy GD3 - +
							and the Sequential Approach to Development (Policy SP3 'Sequential Approach to Development'). Proposed	Policy GD4 -+
							developments should also be of high quality design and, where appropriate, make provision for the hard and soft infrastructure that may be required as a	Policy GD5 - 0
							result of the development. This should help to ensure that new development is well integrated into existing communities.	Policy GD6 - 0
							Policy GD2 also clearly highlights the need	Policy GD7 - +
							for demolition and replacement of buildings to be sympathetic to amenity and	Proposal 2 - 0
							the existing character of the area which should complement this objective.	Policy GD8 - +
							Policy GD3 may have potential to contribute towards the Environmental Objective through 'a more sustainable approach to the development and redevelopment of land in accord with the Strategic Policies of the Plan (Policy SP1 Spatial Strategy' and Policy SP2 'Efficient Use of Resources').	Policy GD9 - 0
							Developers may be required to provide provisions of financial contributions to off-	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							site infrastructure and facilities, such as measures to assist public transport, cyclists or pedestrians, or alleviate traffic impacts, through the use of planning obligations were appropriate under Policy GD4 'Planning Obligations' which should ensure that new development does not adversely affect or compromise community facilities and should help to secure new facilities where needed. Policy GD7 'Design Quality' requires the design of safe pedestrian routes, access and parking and explicitly mentions designing out crime and facilitating personal safety and security which should help to reduce fear of crime.	
							Policy GD8 'Percentage for Art' has the potential to indirectly contribute towards the Objective through promoting a sense of pride and place within the community through new public realm developments and public art.	
5)To promote sustainable	0	0	+	Across the	Permanent	Low	Policy GD1 states that development	Policy GD1 - +
economic growth				Island but particularly			sustainable form and pattern of	Proposal 1 - 0
				where new				Policy GD2 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
				development occurs			development in accordance with the Island Plan Spatial Strategy (Policy SP1 'Spatial	Policy GD3 - +
							Strategy'); the Efficient Use of Resources	Policy GD4 - 0
						(Policy SP2 'Efficient Use of Resources'); and the Sequential Approach to	Policy GD5 - +	
							Development (Policy SP3 'Sequential Approach to Development'). Policy GD1	Policy GD6 - 0
							has the potential to indirectly contribute towards the Objective through sustainable	Policy GD7 - +
							development that will help build the foundation for sustainable economic	Proposal 2 - +
							growth.	Policy GD8 - 0
							Similarly, the policies address the need for new development to be in keeping with the surrounding neighbourhood, for density of development to be considered and for views and vistas to be protected (<i>Policy GD5</i>).	Policy GD9 - 0
							The provision of public art through Policy GD8 can enhance the public's enjoyment of the area, and may have the potential to indirectly encourage inward investment for economic growth.	
							Collectively, the policies could have positive effects in the medium to long-term by helping to ensure that new development remains in keeping with the surroundings and helping to develop a high quality townscape which should help to ensure that the Island remains attractive	

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	for investment.	
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	0	0	+	Benefits would be felt in areas identified for development, and within existing urban areas across the Island.	Permanent	Low	Whilst none of the policies specifically address physical and mental health there could be indirect benefits as there is a clear focus upon protecting and enhancing the built and natural environment and ensuring that new development does not compromise existing neighbourhoods and amenity value. Policy GD1 states that development proposals will not be permitted unless they do not seriously harm the amenities of neighbouring uses and should not adversely affect the health, safety and environment of users of buildings and land by virtue of emissions to air, land, buildings and water including light, noise, vibration, dust, odour, fumes, effluent or other emissions. Policy GD1 may have the potential to directly contribute towards the Objective in the medium to long term. The provision of public art through Policy GD8 can enhance the public's enjoyment of the area, and may have the potential to indirectly improve mental health. Policy GD9 states that new signs and advertisements should not have adverse effects on public safety.	Policy GD1 - + Proposal 1 - 0 Policy GD2 - + Policy GD3 - + Policy GD4 - 0 Policy GD5 - + Policy GD6 - 0 Policy GD7 - + Proposal 2 - 0 Policy GD8 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
7) To guard against land	+ +	+	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 includes a clause which states	Policy GD1 - +		
contamination and encourage the appropriate				be felt in areas identified for development, and within existing urban			that development proposal should 'not adversely affect the health, safety and	Proposal 1 - 0	
re-use of brownfield sites							environment of users of buildings and land by virtue of emissions to air land' and	Policy GD2 - 0	
							this should ensure that new development	Policy GD3 - 0	
				areas across the Island.			does not cause land contamination. Policy GD6 permits development on	Policy GD4 - 0	
						contaminated land provided certain	Policy GD5 - 0		
								conditions are fulfilled. A new clause could be added into Policy GD6 stating that new development should not cause land contamination.	Policy GD6 - +
									Policy GD7 - 0
									Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0	
								Policy GD9 - 0	
8) To protect soil functions	0	+	+	Benefits would	Permanent	Low	Policy GD6 can indirectly contribute to the	Policy GD1 - +	
				be felt in areas identified for			Objective by permitting re-use of brownfield sites and the treatment of	Proposal 1 - 0	
				development, and in other			contaminated land.	Policy GD2 - 0	
				locations across			Policy GD1 could also offer some indirect benefits by stating that development	Policy GD3 - 0	
				the Island.			should not adversely affect areas of important open space and natural features	Policy GD4 - 0	
							1 ' '	Policy GD5 - 0	
							Policy GD6 - +		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy GD7 - 0
								Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
9) To protect and enhance	0	+	+	Benefits would	Permanent	Low	Policy GD1 has the potential to contribute	Policy GD1 - +
the quality and availability of water resources				be felt in areas identified for			to the Objective by stating that development proposals will not be	Proposal 1 - 0
				development, and within			permitted unless the proposal contributes towards a more sustainable form and	Policy GD2 - 0
				existing urban			pattern of development in accordance with	Policy GD3 - 0
				areas across the Island.			the Efficient Use of Resources (Policy SP2 'Efficient Use of Resources'); and through	Policy GD4 - 0
							adequate provision of satisfactory mains drainage (Policy WM11 'Foul Sewerage	Policy GD5 - 0
							Facilities') and other service infrastructure.	Policy GD6 - +
							Policy GD6 which permits development on contaminated land could also offer	Policy GD7 - 0
							potential benefits by helping to remove key	Proposal 2 - 0
							sources of contamination that could pollute water resources.	Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development	Policy GD1 - +
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine waters				be felt across coastal areas of			proposals will not be permitted unless the proposal does not seriously harm the	Proposal 1 - 0
				the Island.			Island's natural and historic environment,	Policy GD2 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							in accordance with Policy SP4 'Protecting	Policy GD3 - 0
							the Natural and Historic environment', and in particular, will not have an unreasonable	Policy GD4 - 0
							impact on the character of the coast and countryside (Policy NE6 'Coastal National	Policy GD5 - 0
							Park' and Policy NE5 'Marine Zone'). Policy GD1 has the potential to directly	Policy GD6 - +
							contribute towards the Objective in the	Policy GD7 - 0
							short, medium and long term as a result of the requirements to be met.	Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
11) To protect and improve	0	0	+	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that new development	Policy GD1 - +
air quality				be felt across the area			should contribute to reducing dependence on the private car which could benefit air	Proposal 1 - 0
							quality in the long-term. The policy also states that new development should not	Policy GD2 - 0
							harm the environment as a result of	Policy GD3 - 0
							emissions to air.	Policy GD4 - 0
								Policy GD5 - 0
								Policy GD6 - 0
								Policy GD7 - 0
								Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
]	Policy GD9 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	0	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that new development	Policy GD1 - +
climate change				be felt across the Island			should contribute to reducing dependence on the private car which could help to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from vehicular sources.	Proposal 1 - 0
								Policy GD2 - 0
								Policy GD3 - 0
								Policy GD4 - 0
								Policy GD5 - 0
								Policy GD6 - 0
								Policy GD7 - 0
								Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
13) To increase energy	+	+	+	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development	Policy GD1 - +
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy				be felt across the Island			proposals will not be permitted unless the proposal encourages energy efficiency	Proposal 1 - 0
sources							through building design, materials, layout and orientation, in accord with Policy SP2 <i>Efficient Use of Resources'</i> and so positive effects have been recorded for this objective.	Policy GD2 - 0
								Policy GD3 - 0
								Policy GD4 - 0
								Policy GD5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy GD6 - 0
								Policy GD7 - 0
								Proposal 2 - 0
								Policy GD8 - 0
								Policy GD9 - 0
14) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits would	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development	Policy GD1 - +
enhance the cultural heritage resource				be felt across the Island.			proposals will not be permitted unless the proposal does not seriously harm the	Proposal 1 - 0
							Island's natural and historic environment, in accordance with Policy SP4 'Protecting	Policy GD2 - +
							the Natural and Historic Environment', and in particular, will not have an unreasonable	Policy GD3 - +
							impact on the character of the coast and	Policy GD4 - 0
							countryside (Policy HE5 'Preservation of Archaeological resources) or heritage	Policy GD5 - +
							assets (Policy HE1 'Protecting buildings and places of architectural and historic	Policy GD6 - 0
							interest'). Policy GD1 has the potential to directly contribute towards the	Policy GD7 - +
							Environmental Objective in the short,	Proposal 2 - 0
							medium and long term as a result of the requirements to be met.	Policy GD8 - 0
							Policy GD2 does not allow the demolition of a building or part of a building that is of Local Importance in accordance with Policy HE1 'Protecting buildings and places of architectural and historic	Policy GD9 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
					Тетпрогату	nigii	interest'. Policy GD3 could have indirect benefits by ensuring that the density of new development is controlled which could help to protect the setting of heritage resources. Policy GD5 states that there will be a clear focus upon protecting skyline, views and vistas which could also offer indirect protection to cultural heritage resources. Policy GD7 focuses upon design quality that contributes positively to the landscape and this should also benefit cultural heritage resources. Policy GD9 states that new signs and advertisements should not adversely affect	
							the character of listed buildings or Conservation Areas.	
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across urban areas of	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development proposals will not be permitted if the proposal would seriously harm the Island's	Policy GD1 - +
character and quality				the Island.			natural and historic environment, in accordance with Policy SP4 'Protecting the Natural and Historic environment', and	Proposal 1 - 0
							would affect the character and amenity of the area, having specific regard to the character of the coast and countryside	Policy GD2 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							(Policy NE5 'Coastal National Park' and Policy NE7 'Green Zone)'. There is, therefore, a clear commitment to	Policy GD3 - +
							protecting landscape and townscape. Policy GD2 addresses the demolition and	Policy GD4 - 0
							replacement of buildings and the requirements are very clear for such works to complement the adjacent environment including its character and amenity. There is specific reference to enhancement in	Policy GD5 - +
							this policy. Policy GD3 promotes the achievement of	Policy GD6 - 0
							the highest reasonable possible density but not at the expense of good design and adverse effects on adjacent properties.	Policy GD7 - +
							The focus of Policy GD5 on protecting	Proposal 2 - 0
							skyline, views and vistas should also help to protect landscape, seascape and townscape from adverse effects as a result	Policy GD8 - +
							of new development. Policy GD7 also promotes high quality design.	Policy GD9 - +
							Policy GD8 requires that the scale and location of a new development are appropriate for the inclusion of public art. Providing new public art features could	
							help to improve the quality of the public realm providing long-term landscape and townscape benefits.	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							Policy GD9 will only permit the display of signs and advertisements where their siting, size, design, materials, colouring and illumination do not detract from the visual amenity on which they are to be displayed and the surrounding areas.	
16) To minimise waste,	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	Policy GD1 states that development	Policy GD1 - +
recycling and to promote				the Island			proposals will not be permitted unless the proposal contributes towards a more	Proposal 1 - 0
sustainable resource use							sustainable form and pattern of development in the Island in accord with	Policy GD2 - +
							the Island Plan Spatial Strategy (Policy	Policy GD3 - 0
							SP1 'Spatial Strategy'); the Efficient Use of Resources (Policy SP2 'Efficient Use of	Policy GD4 - 0
							Resources'); and the Sequential Approach to Development (Policy SP3 'Sequential	Policy GD5 - 0
							Approach to Development'), and in	Policy GD6 - 0
							particular will make efficient use of construction and demolition materials in	Policy GD7 - 0
							accord with Policy WM1 'Waste minimisation and New Development'.	Proposal 2 - 0
							Policy GD2 also makes provision for the	Policy GD8 - 0
							management of waste material arising from demolition in accord with Policy WM1.	Policy GD9 - 0
							Policy GD6 concerns contaminated land and it clearly states that treatment proposals should be acceptable to relevant regulatory bodies and so also mean that	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							materials are disposed of accordingly.	
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across	Permanent	Low	Policy GD1 states that development proposals will not be permitted unless the	Policy GD1 - +
ransport	the Island. the Island. proposal contributes, where appropriate reducing dependence on the car, in accord, with Policy SP6 'Reducing	proposal contributes, where appropriate, to	Proposal 1 – 0					
		Policy GD2 - 0						
							Dependence on the Car'	Policy GD3 - 0
							Developers may be required to provide provisions of financial contributions to off-	Policy GD4 - +
							site infrastructure and facilities, such as	Policy GD5 - 0
							measures to assist public transport, cyclists or pedestrians, or alleviate traffic	Policy GD6 - 0
							impacts, through the use of planning obligations where appropriate under Policy	Policy GD7 - +
							GD4 'Planning Obligations'.	Proposal 2 - 0
							Policy GD7 'Design Quality' requires the	
							design of safe pedestrian routes, access	Policy GD8 - 0
							and parking. It is recommended that Policy GD7 is strengthened to include the provision for developments to link to the cycle network where possible and for the provision of secure cycle facilities as part of new developments. Collectively, these policies should help to promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport by encouraging these measures to be part of new development proposals.	Policy GD9 - 0

Natural Environment

- Policy NE1 Conservation and Enhancement of Biological Diversity
- Policy NE2 Species Protection
- Proposal 3 Wildlife Corridor Designation
- Policy NE3 Wildlife Corridors
- Policy NE4 Trees, Woodland and Boundary Features
- Proposal 4 Coast and Countryside Character
- Policy NE5 Marine Zone
- Policy NE6 Coastal National Park
- Proposal 5 Coastal National Park Management Plan
- Policy NE7 Green Zone
- Proposal 6 Landscape Management Strategy
- Policy NE8 –Access and Awareness

Key Assumptions in the Text

For the purposes of the Island Plan, the natural environment is considered to be represented by the Island's countryside and coast (extending to the 12 mile territorial limit and including offshore reefs and islands).

There is information provided about the valuable habitats and species that the Island supports and the types of designations that protect these features.

Environmental Objective	Ту	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater	++	++	++	Direct benefits for both the urban and rural environment.	Permanent	High	Policies NE1, NE2, NE3 and NE4 all make a commitment to protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity which	NE1 -+ NE2 - +
biodiversity							should ensure the long-term protection of the biological diversity of the Island with the policies covering biodiversity that is	Proposal 3 - + NE3 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT 10 \		Permanent	Permanent Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							protected by a site designation and also	NE4 - +
					contacto. Folloy 142 Follottigly capports and	NE5 - +		
							objective, stating that development proposals will not be approved which do not preserve or enhance biological diversity.	Proposal 4 - +
								Proposal 5 - +
							Encouragement and promotion of opportunities for conservation of wildlife and	NE6 - +
							creation and management of new natural or semi-natural habitats in the context of	NE7 - +
						I I	development schemes will be sought.	Proposal 6 - +
							Policy NE2 states that no harm to animal or	
							plant species protected by law, or their habitats must be demonstrated.	
							Policy NE3 encourages the continuation and	
							enhancement of wildlife corridors.	
							Policy NE4 seeks to protect trees, woodland and boundary features by stating that	
							inappropriate developments will be refused,	
							that such features should be retained where	
							possible and promotes landscaping	
							schemes to mitigate for the loss of trees,	
							woodland or boundary features either on the	
							development site or off site.	
							Policy NE5 protects the marine zone and	
							this will ensure the sustainable use of the	
							marine environment which is particularly	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							important as Jersey supports a diverse range of marine habitats and species. Similarly, restricting development within the Green Zone could also indirectly protect biodiversity. Policy NE6 Coastal National Park also offers indirect protection to biodiversity resources by restricting development across this part of the Island and this is where a large number of the ecological SSIs are situated. The Landscape Management Strategy outlined in Proposal 6 is a proactive measure that is likely to offer benefits in the long-term as it will seek to conserve, manage, enhance and restoration wildlife resources.	NE8 - 0
2) To protect and	++	++	++	Direct benefits for	Permanent	High	The marine environment of Jersey is highly biodiverse. There are three offshore Ramsar	NE1 - +
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity				the coastal and marine			sites, as well as a large site to the south east	NE2 - +
				environment.			of the Island which is one of the largest intertidal reef sites in Europe, important as a	Proposal 3 - +
							wintering habitat for waders and wildfowl. Ten ecological SSIs also exist across the	NE3 - +
							Island, mainly along the western coast. The marine environment is protected by Policy	NE4 - 0
							NE1 and Policy NE6 designates a Coastal	Proposal 4 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
					National Park which will offer the highest levels of protection to coastal biodiversity.	NE5 - +		
			Policy NE5 also encompasses a Marine	Proposal 5 - +				
							Zone from the Mean High Water Mark to the territorial limits and seeks to ensure the	Proposal 6 - +
							sustainable use of the marine environment. Whilst Policy NE8 promotes encouraging	NE6 - +
							access to the coast which could potentially pose a risk to the marine and coastal	NE7 - 0
							environment, the policy clearly states that this should not have a significant impact on the biodiversity and character of the coast and countryside.	NE8 - +
							It is recommended that Policy NE5 is strengthened to ensure that it clearly identifies the need for development proposals to be assessed and any potentially adverse effects mitigated.	
B) To provide good	0	0	0	Limited benefits in some areas of the		High	Within the Green Zone (Policy NE7) applications for new dwelling developments	NE1 - 0
ousing that meets ne requirements of				Green Zone.			will not be permitted. However, conversions of existing buildings to appropriate and non-	NE2 - 0
e local population?					intrusive residential uses will be permitted only where they do not detract from the	Proposal 3 - 0		
					visually sensitive character and scenic quality. Whilst this will not make an active	NE3 - 0		
			contribution to affordable housing needs it will help to ensure that housing is provided		NE4 - 0			

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low]	
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							across the Island.	NE5 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE6 - 0
								NE7 - 0
								Proposal 5 - 0
								Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0			High	The focus of this chapter is not specifically	NE1 - 0
development of sustainable							upon developing sustainable communities. However, maintaining and enhancing the quality of the natural environment is a factor	NE2 - 0
communities								Proposal 3 - 0
							that contributes to quality of life and is important for making a location a pleasant	NE3 - 0
							place to live and work.	NE4 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE5 - 0
								NE6 - 0
								NE7 - 0
							Proposal 5 - 0	
							Proposal 6 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent Temporary	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr))		High		
								NE8 - 0
5)To promote	0 /+	0/+	0/+	Potential for very	Permanent	Low	A high quality natural environment can be a	NE1 – 0/+
sustainable economic growth				limited indirect benefits across the			factor in encouraging new businesses to a location and is also very important to the tourist industry. The policies within this section of the Island Plan could, therefore, offer some indirect benefits to the economy.	NE2 – 0/+
				Island.				Proposal 3 - 0/+
								NE3 – 0/+
								NE4 – 0/+
								NE5 – 0/+
								Proposal 4 - 0/+
								NE6 – 0/+
								NE7 – 0/+
								Proposal 5 - 0/+
								Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 – 0/+
)To improve physical	0	+	+	Potential indirect	Permanent	Low	Whilst none of the policies specifically	NE1 -+
and mental health for all and reduce health				benefits across the Island			address health and well-being, the protection and enhancement of biodiversity	NE2 - +
nequalities							can lead to a positive impact upon mental	Proposal 3 - 0
							health for all in the medium to long term. The development of a Coastal National Park	NE3 - +
							should also help to protect this valuable part of the Island from inappropriate	NE4 - 0
							development and ensure that it is retained	NE5 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							for informal recreational use.	Proposal 4 - 0
								NE6 - +
								NE7 - +
								Proposal 5 - 0/+
								Proposal 6- 0
								NE8 - 0
7) To guard against	0/+	0/+	0/+	Potential benefits	Permanent	Low	Policy NE7 encourages conversions of	NE1 – 0/+
land contamination and encourage the					across the Island			existing buildings to appropriate and non- intrusive residential, community, cultural, tourism, recreational and commercial uses. No specific mention is given to the re-use of
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites								
							brownfield sites and guarding against land contamination in this chapter of the Plan, but	NE3 – 0/+
							indirect impacts will drive towards this through the requirements of policies NE1 to	NE4 – 0/+
							NE8.	NE5 – 0/+
								NE6 – 0/+
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE7 – 0/+
								Proposal 5 - 0
								Proposal 6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	_	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								NE8 – 0/+
8) To protect soil	+	+	+	Potential benefits	Permanent	Low	Policies for the Natural Environment	NE1 – 0/+
functions				across the Island, particularly in the			encourage development in built-up areas and the re-use of existing built fabric which	NE2 – 0/+
				Coastal National Park			will indirectly offers protection to soil functions, particularly in locations such as the Coastal National Park.	NE3 – 0/+
				Paik				NE4 – 0/+
								NE5 – 0/+
								NE6 – 0/+
								NE7 – 0/+
								NE8 – 0/+
9)To protect and	0	0	0	Potential benefits	Permanent	High	The focus of these policies is not upon	NE1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of				across the Island but primarily in the			protecting water resources. However, the protection of biodiversity resources and	NE2 - 0
water resources				Coastal National Park and Marine			limiting development within the Coastal National Park (Policy NE6) and in the Marine	Proposal 3 - 0
				Protection Zone.			Zone (Policy NE5) should indirectly protect	NE3 - 0
							both terrestrial and marine water quality.	NE4 - 0
								NE5 - +
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE6 - +
								NE7 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent Temporary	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)			High		
								Proposal 5 - 0
								Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 - 0
10) To protect and	+	+	+	The coastal and	Permanent	High	Policy NE5 establishes a Marine Protection	NE1 - 0
enhance coastal, ntertidal and marine waters				marine environment			Zone which promotes the sustainable use o the Island's marine environment. The establishment of the Coastal National	NE2 - 0
								Proposal 3 - 0
							Park in Policy NE6 should also offer protection of the coastal habitats that are	NE3 - 0
							particularly valuable along the western coast of the Island. Key habitats in this area	NE4 - 0
								include the Blanches Banques sand dunes
							SSI which is Jersey's last remaining sand dune system. It is recommended that Policy NE5 is	NE6 - +
								Proposal 4 - 0
							strengthened to ensure that it clearly identifies the need for development	NE7 - 0
							proposals to be assessed and any	Proposal 5 - 0
							potentially adverse effects mitigated.	Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 - 0
1) To protect and	0	0	+	Potential benefits	Permanent	Low	The protection and enhancement of	NE1 - 0
mprove air quality			across the Island.			terrestrial biodiversity and wildlife corridors could offer indirect benefits to air quality in the long-term. No one specific policy	NE2 - 0	
							Proposal 3 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							contributes to this objective and it is most likely to be a very long-term effect that is	NE3 - 0
						realised through the collective	NE4 - 0	
							implementation of these policies.	NE5 - 0
								NE6 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0
		NE7 - 0						
								Proposal 5 - 0
								NE8 - 0
2) To limit and adapt	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	No direct impact however the protection of	NE1 - 0
climate change							the Natural Environment through Policies NE1 to NE8 will help to limit the effects of,	NE2 - 0
							and help to adapt to any climate change.	Proposal 3 - 0
								NE3 - 0
								NE4 - 0
								NE5 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE6 - 0
								NE7 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies					
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low							
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High							
								Proposal 5 - 0					
								Proposal 6 - 0					
								NE8 - 0					
13) To increase	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	NE1 - 0					
equire the use of							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	NE2 - 0					
renewable energy sources							,	Proposal 3 - 0					
sources								NE3 - 0					
								NE4 - 0					
													NE5 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0					
								NE6 - 0					
								NE7 - 0					
								Proposal 5 - 0					
								Proposal 6 - 0					
								NE8 - 0					
14) To protect and	0	0	0	Indirect benefits	Permanent	High	Policy NE4 protects boundary features	NE1 - 0					
enhance the cultural neritage resource				across the Island but particularly			across the Island which are an integral part of the Island's heritage. For example,	NE2 - 0					
eritage resource				within the Green			historic field boundaries represent a unique	Proposal 3 - 0					
				Zone and the Coastal National			aspect of the Jersey landscape and so there are likely to be indirect cultural heritage	NE3 - 0					

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
				Park.			effects. Similarly, the establishment of the Coastal National Park (Policy NE6) and the	NE4 - +
							Green Zone Policy (NE7) will also offer	NE5 - 0
							indirect protection to cultural heritage resources through limiting new development	Proposal 4 - 0
						in these locations and focusing upon	in these locations and focusing upon	NE6 - +
				preserving the character of the Island.	NE7 - +			
								Proposal 5 - 0
								Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 - 0
15) To protect and	+	++	++	Direct benefits for	Permanent	High	Policy NE7 gives a high level of protection	NE1 - 0
enhance landscape, seascape and				both the urban and rural environment.			against all forms of development in terms of the visual sensitive character and scenic quality within the Green Zone. 'An Island Landscape Management Strategy will set out a programme of conservation,	NE2 - 0
ownscape character								Proposal 3 - 0
and quality								NE3 - 0
							management, enhancement and restoration of landscape and wildlife resources.'	NE4 - +
							Parts of the Jersey coast and countryside	NE5 - 0
							are considered to be of national and international importance and for this reason	Proposal 4 - +
							a Coastal National Park is proposed which	NE6 - +
							will offer benefits to the landscape and seascape (NE6).	NE7 - +
				The protection of key boundary features will also offer benefits as they are an integral	Proposal 5- +			

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High				
							and distinctive feature of Jersey's landscape.	Proposal 6 - +		
								NE8 - 0		
16) To minimise	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	NE1 - 0		
vaste, increase re- use and recycling and							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	NE2 - 0		
o promote sustainable resource								Proposal 3 - 0		
ise								NE3 - 0		
										NE4 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0		
								NE6 – 0		
								NE7 – 0		
								Proposal 5 - 0		
								Proposal 6 - 0		
								NE8 - 0		
7) To promote the		NE1 - 0								
se of more ustainable modes of							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	NE2 - 0		
ransport								Proposal 3 - 0		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
								NE3 - 0
								NE4 - 0
								Proposal 4 - 0
								NE5 - 0
								NE6 - 0
								NE7 - 0
								Proposal 5 - 0
								Proposal 6 - 0
								NE8 – 0

Historic Environment

- Policy HE1 Protecting Buildings and Places of Architectural and Historic Interest
- Policy HE2 Protection of Historic Windows and Doors
- Proposal 7 Conservation Area Designation
- Policy HE3 Preservation or Enhancement of Conservation Areas
- Policy HE4 Demolition in Conservation Areas
- Policy HE5 Preservation of Archaeological Resources

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

Details are provided about the heritage designations that are currently used across Jersey (Site of Special Interest, Building of Local Interest, Archaeological Site and Areas of Archaeological Protection) and that they are currently under review.

Environmental Objective	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		ect	Geographical Permanency Extent of Effect		Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy HE3 Preservation or enhancement of Conservation Areas states that new 'development should retain important landscape and biodiversity features and where possible, include measures to enhance these features' this policy therefore makes a commitment to the protection of ecological resources within Conservation Areas. Protecting Conservation Areas and preserving archaeological resources could have indirect beneficial effects on local biodiversity, for example, bats could be using old buildings to roost. Policy HE4 demolition in Conservation Areas lists a criteria that must be fulfilled	HE1 - 0 HE2 - 0 Proposal 7 - 0 HE3 - + HE4 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							before a building can be demolished. The SEA process previously recommended that avoiding adverse effects on protected species should be addressed in the policy and this has now been incorporated into the text preceding the policy.	
2) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The focus of the policy is upon protecting	HE1 - 0
enhance coastal and marine							the historic environment and there is no clear link between the policies and the	HE2 - 0
biodiversity							Objectives.	Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
quality affordable housing that meets							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
the requirements of								Proposal 7 - 0
the local population								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
development of sustainable							Objectives.	HE2 - 0
communities								Proposal 7 - 0

				I			I	
Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
							HE5 - 0	
5)To promote	+	+	+	Across the Island		High	Whilst the focus of the policies is upon	HE1 - 0
sustainable economic growth							protecting historic environment, there could be indirect benefits for the economy, as cultural heritage resources can be an important source of tourist revenue.	HE2 - 0
								Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
6)To improve	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
physical and mental health for all and							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
reduce health inequalities							,	Proposal 7 - 0
inequalities								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
7) To guard against	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
land contamination and encourage the							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites								Proposal 7 - 0
or browningia sites								HE3 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr))	Temporary	High		
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
3) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
unctions							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
								Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
9)To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
water resources								Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
enhance coastal, ntertidal and marine							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
waters								Proposal 7 - 0
			HE3 - 0					
							HE4 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								HE5 - 0
11) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
improve air quality							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
							,	Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
12) To limit and	0		HE1 - 0					
adapt to climate change							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
							,	Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
13) To increase	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
energy efficiency and require the use							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
of renewable energy								Proposal 7 - 0
sources								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
14) To protect and	++	++	++	Across the Island.	Permanent	High	The focus of all of these policies is upon	HE1 - +
enhance the cultural heritage resource							protecting the cultural heritage resources of Jersey. The policies focus on a variety of different elements of the historic environment including archaeological resources, Conservation Areas and	HE2 - +
Ū								Proposal 7 - +
								HE3 - +
						protected buildings and places of architectural and historic interest. The	HE4 - +	
							policies also address the need to enhance the special character of the Island's historic qualities and Policy HE3 has a particular focus upon ensuring that any development within Conservation Areas reflects the character of it and is appropriate to it without adversely affecting landscape and ecological features, nor the views and vistas into and out of it.	HE5 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and	++	++	++	Across the Island.	Permanent	High	Policy HE3 makes a commitment to the preservation and enhancement of Conservation Areas by stating: 'Special	HE1 - +
townscape character and							regard will be paid to the impact of proposed development on the character or	HE2 - +
quality							appearance of Conservation Areas, and	Proposal 7 - +
							development proposals that will have an adverse impact on that character or	HE3 - +
							appearance will not be permitted.	HE4 - +
							Policy HE1 seeks to protect and preserve the architectural and historic character and integrity of protected buildings and places.	HE5 - +

Environmental T Objective		pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
	Planning permission for development will not be permitted if they do not preserve or enhance the special or particular interest of a protected building or place therefore this policy fulfils the townscape/landscape element of this objective.							
16) To minimise	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
waste, increase re- use and recycling	recycling Objectives.	HE2 - 0						
and to promote		Proposal 7 - 0						
sustainable resource use								HE3 - 0
								HE4 - 0
								HE5 - 0
17) To promote the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	HE1 - 0
use of more sustainable modes							in this section of the Island Plan and the Objectives.	HE2 - 0
of transport							Objectives.	Proposal 7 - 0
								HE3 - 0
			HE4 - 0					
					HE5 - 0			

Built Environment

- Proposal 8 St Helier Conservation Areas
- Proposal 9 Public Realm Strategy
- Policy BE1 Town Centre Vitality
- Policy BE2 Delivery of the St Helier Waterfront
- Proposal 10 Guidelines for Residential Regeneration
- Proposal 11 St. Helier Regeneration Zones
- Proposal 12 Jersey Airport Regeneration Zone
- Proposal 13 Local Development Plan
- Proposal 14 Village Plans
- Policy BE3 Green Backdrop Zone
- Policy BE4 Shoreline Zone
- Policy BE5 Tall Buildings
- Policy BE6 Building Alterations and Extensions
- Policy BE7 Shop Fronts
- Policy BE8 Frontage Parking
- Policy BE9 Street Furniture and Materials
- Policy BE10 Roofscape

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text of the Policies

The spatial strategy places an emphasis upon focusing development activity in the Island's built up areas. However, there is quite a lot of uncertainty about development proposals in specific locations such as a La Collette, St. Helier's harbours and Jersey Airport. These are identified as Regeneration Zones in the Plan and will be the subject to further area or site specific plans and proposals, in the form of masterplans or development briefs to be issued as Interim Island Plan Reviews during the Plan period.

There is a recognition that unless the built up area is developed at higher and more land efficient densities than have previously been achieved then it will not be possible to meet all of the Island's identified development needs and therefore the release of greenfield land would be necessary.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
1) To protect and enhance	+	+	+	Benefits likely to be	Permanent	High	The focus of this part of the plan is upon the	Proposal 8 – 0	
terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity				realised in rural parts of the Island but also in urban areas where new public realm			urban parts of the Island and facilitating regeneration and the development of vibrant	Proposal 9 - +	
,						centres should indirectly help to protect the	Policy BE1 -+		
							countryside including terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity resources from	Policy BE2 - 0	
				improvements are delivered.			inappropriate development. There is also a clear emphasis upon the need to improve the	Proposal 10 - 0	
							quality of the public realm (Policy BE1 and Proposal 9) which could include the provision or improvement of areas of open space which could provide local biodiversity benefits. Policy BE3 protects the Green Backdrop Zone identified on the Proposals Map and specifically states that existing trees and landscape features must be retained.	Proposal 11 - 0	
								Proposal 12 - 0	
								Proposal 13 - 0	
								Proposal 14 - 0	
								Policy BE3 -+	
								Policy BE4 - +	
							could enhance access to and awareness of the coast will only be permitted where they do	Policy BE5 - 0	
							not significantly affect biodiversity.	Policy BE6 - 0	
							Policy BE3 also seeks to protect existing areas of landscape value and areas of	Policy BE7 - 0	
							vegetation, resulting in positive effects.	Policy BE8 - 0	
								Policy BE4 also seeks to protect the Shoreline Zone including areas of open space.	Policy BE9 - 0
							Policy BE9 encourages an increase in the number of street trees.	Policy BE10 - 0	
2) To protect and enhance	0	0	0	Benefits for coastal	Permanent	High	Policy BE4 seeks to protect a shoreline zone	Proposal 8 – 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
coastal and marine				and potentially the			identified on the Proposals Map which should	Proposal 9 - 0
biodiversity				marine environment.			directly protect the biodiversity resources of this part of the Island.	Policy BE1 -0
								Policy BE2 - 0
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - 0
								Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - +
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0
3) To provide good quality	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between this policy and	Proposal 8 – 0
affordable housing that meets the requirements of							the objective.	Proposal 9 - 0
the local population								Policy BE1 -0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy BE2 - 0
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - 0
								Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0
4)To encourage the	+	+	+	Benefits for the	Permanent	High	The spatial strategy focuses upon	Proposal 8 – +
development of sustainable communities				urban centres, primarily St. Helier			concentrating development within the built up areas of the Island, particularly St. Helier. There is a clear emphasis upon ensuring that St. Helier is a vibrant place where people want to live and work (Policy BE1). This is also emphasised in a series of objectives identified	Proposal 9 - +
				,				Policy BE1 -+
								Policy BE2 - +
								Proposal 10 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							relating to the regeneration of St. Helier. There is a recognised need to protect its heritage through the designation of a St. Helier	Proposal 11 - + Proposal 12 - 0
							Conservation Area (Proposal 8) and for the quality of the public realm to be enhanced	Proposal 13 - +
							(Proposal 9). There is quite a lot of uncertainty about the	Proposal 14 - +
							regeneration proposed in St. Helier as a number of studies are currently underway	Policy BE3 - 0
							which will result in the production of more detailed Masterplan and development briefs	Policy BE4 - 0
							(Policy BE2, Proposal 11). However, these masterplans should ensure that the full	Policy BE5 - 0
							potential of St. Helier can be achieved such that if development is provided at appropriate	Policy BE6 - 0
							densities, that facities are available and there is a high quality built and natural environment for all residents.	Policy BE7 - 0
							The needs of communities outside of St. Helier are also acknowledged in this chapter	Policy BE8 - 0
							of the plan. Proposal 13 addresses Five Oaks stating that a planning framework is needed to	Policy BE9 - 0
							guide its future development and enhancement.	Policy BE10 - 0
							Proposal 14 addresses the development of village plans which will be required where new	
							development is proposed to protect the vitality and viability of the smaller rural settlements. The proposal clearly states that the plans should be developed in conjunction with the	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Extent of Effect Permane	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							local community and this should provide opportunities to be actively involved in development proposals that could affect their life.	
							There is a clear message throughout these policies upon the need to engage with the local community when developing strategies.	
5)To promote sustainable	0	0	+	Benefits primarily in	Permanent	High	There are likely to be indirect, minor, positive	Proposal 8 – +
economic growth				the urban parts of the Island, such as St. Helier.			impacts offered by the policies in this part of the Island Plan in the long-term. Improving	Proposal 9 - +
							vitality in St. Helier could help to encourage inward investments by improving the quality of	Policy BE1 -+
							the built and natural environment. For example, the Esplanade Quarter development is a significant opportunity for St. Helier. The development of masterplans and	Policy BE2 - +
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - +
							development briefs for the St. Helier Regeneration Zones should ensure that the	Proposal 12 - +
							potential benefits of such regeneration	Proposal 13 - 0
							schemes are maximised and that investment is concentrated in the correct places and with	Proposal 14 - +
							the correct focus.	Policy BE3 -0
							Proposal 12 'Jersey Airport Regeneration Zone' is also likely to offer economic benefits	Policy BE4 - 0
							in the long-term as it states that a land-use Masterplan will be developed for the airport which should ensure that land uses are	Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
							identified that will complement and further	Policy BE7 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	·		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							develop the economic opportunities offered by	Policy BE8 - 0
							the airport. There are also likely to be cumulative benefits	Policy BE9 - 0
				Policy BE10 - 0				
6)To improve physical and	0	0	+	Urban parts of the	Permanent	High	Whilst none of the policies specifically address	Proposal 8 – 0
mental health for all and reduce health inequalities				Island			health and well-being, there are some aspects of the policies that are likely to offer indirect	Proposal 9 - +
							health benefits.	Policy BE1 -+
							For example the development of a public realm strategy (Proposal 9) should help to	Policy BE2 - 0
							increase the quality and availability of open	Proposal 10 - 0
							space in the long-term which should have well-being benefits.	Proposal 11 - 0
							Policy BE1 reiterates similar messages to	Proposal 12 - 0
							Proposal 9 stating that developments will be supported which benefit the public realm and	Proposal 13 - 0
							improve safety and security. Policy BE4 addresses the type of	Proposal 14 - 0
			development that will be permitted within the	Policy BE3 - 0				
							enhanced and this should also help to protect physical health and well-being.	Policy BE4 - +
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0
7) To guard against land	0	0	+	Urban parts of the	Permanent	High	These policies focus upon promoting	Proposal 8 – 0
contamination and encourage the appropriate				Island but could indirectly protect			development in St. Helier and maximising the use of land within the built up area. This	Proposal 9 - 0
re-use of brownfield sites				rural areas from new land			should contribute to the effective use of land and should help to avoid the redevelopment of	Policy BE1 -+
				contamination.			greenfield sites outside of the built up areas.	Policy BE2 - +
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - +
								Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0
8) To protect soil functions	0	0	+	Likely to be	Permanent	High	Although not mentioned specifically in the	Proposal 8 – 0
				remediation within urban areas which			policies, keeping new development in already existing built up areas will have indirect minor	Proposal 9 - 0
				would benefit soil			beneficial effects to the protection of soil functions.	Policy BE1 -+
				quality and functions, as well as indirect protection of rural soils through				Policy BE2 - +
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - +
				focusing development in				Proposal 12 - 0
				urban areas.				Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 -0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
9)To protect and enhance	0	0	0	Localised areas	Permanent	Low	There is no clear link between the policies and	Proposal 8 – 0	
the quality and availability of water resources					across the Island			the objective. However, at a localised scale, seeking to improve the public realm and	Proposal 9 - 0
							providing new areas of open space should	Policy BE1 -+	
							provide infiltration benefits and reduce levels of runoff within urban areas.	Policy BE2 - +	
								Proposal 10 - 0	
		Proposal 11 - +							
									Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0	
								Proposal 14 - 0	
									Policy BE3 -0
								Policy BE4 - 0	
								Policy BE5 - 0	
								Policy BE6 - 0	
								Policy BE7 - 0	
								Policy BE8 - 0	
								Policy BE9 - 0	
								Policy BE10 - 0	
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Coastal and marine	Permanent	Low	Policy BE4 protects the Shoreline Zone	Proposal 8 – 0	
enhance coastal, intertidal				locations			identified on the proposals map and this	Proposal 9 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
and marine waters							should help to protect coastal, intertidal and marine waters from potentially inappropriate	Policy BE1 - 0
							development. The focus upon protection and enhancement in this policy should offer positive impacts.	Policy BE2 - 0
								Proposal 10 - 0
								Proposal 11 - 0
								Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - +
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
							Policy BE7 - 0	
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0
11) To protect and	0	0	+	Benefits within St.	Permanent	Low	Whilst protection and enhancement of air	Proposal 8 – 0
improve air quality				Helier in the long- term.			quality is not a primary focus of this policy, Proposal 9 seeks to develop a public realm	Proposal 9 - +
			term.				strategy that will improve the public realm	Policy BE1 -+
						along pedestrian and cycle routes which could lead to more individuals walking and cycling in	Policy BE2 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	10yr) >10yr) Temporary High the long-term, particularly if involved in the development This could have indirect air of the long-term. Similar principles are raised which supports the extensio priority areas, streetscape e traffic management scheme					
				Similar principles are raised in Policy BE1 which supports the extension of pedestrian priority areas, streetscape enhancements and traffic management schemes that reduce the adverse effects of traffic in the Core Retail	Proposal 10 - 0 Proposal 11 - 0 Proposal 12 - 0 Proposal 13 - 0 Proposal 14 - 0 Policy BE3 - 0 Policy BE5 - 0 Policy BE6 - 0 Policy BE7 - 0			
								Policy BE8 - 0 Policy BE9 - 0 Policy BE10 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	O	0	+	Benefits within St. Helier in the long-term.	Low	Whilst limiting and adapting to climate change is not a primary focus of this policy, Proposal 9 seeks to develop a public realm strategy that will improve the public realm along pedestrian and cycle routes which could lead to more individuals walking and cycling in the longterm, particularly if the community are involved	Proposal 8 – 0 Proposal 9 - 0 Policy BE1 - 0 Policy BE2 - 0 Proposal 10 - 0
						in the development of this strategy. This could have long-term benefits for the climate change	Proposal 11 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)			Permanent Temporary	Low High			
							agenda. Similar principles are raised in Policy BE1	Proposal 12 - 0	
							which supports the extension of pedestrian	Proposal 13 - 0	
							priority areas, streetscape enhancements and traffic management schemes that reduce the	Proposal 14 - 0	
							adverse effects of traffic in the Core Retail	Policy BE3 - 0	
	Area.	Policy BE4 - 0							
		Policy BE5 - 0							
								Policy BE6 - 0	
								Policy BE7 - 0	
									Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0	
								Policy BE10 - 0	
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	NA	-	High	There is no clear link between the policies and	Proposal 8 – 0	
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							the Objective.	Proposal 9 - 0	
sources								Policy BE1 -0	
								Policy BE2 - 0	
								Proposal 10 - 0	
								Proposal 11 - 0	
								Proposal 12 - 0	
								Proposal 13 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							•	Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 -0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
14) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits within St.	Permanent	High	A large amount of new development is	Proposal 8 – +
enhance the cultural heritage resource				Helier and potentially other			proposed within St. Helier and it is critical that this development is sensitive to the historic	Proposal 9 - +
				villages across the Island.			and architectural character of the town. The designation of Conservation Areas and the	Policy BE1 -+
							production of character appraisals for each	Policy BE2 - 0
		degree of protection to these areas. Howeve	Conservation Area should provide some degree of protection to these areas. However,	Proposal 10 - 0				
							it will be through the enforcing of other policies within the plan that will ensure that these areas are protected from inappropriate development.	Proposal 11 - +
								Proposal 12 - +
							There are likely to be indirect benefits, as a result of the public realm improvements	Proposal 13 - +
							proposed as part of Proposal 9 and Policy BE1.	Proposal 14 - +
							The development of masterplans and development briefs as encouraged through	Policy BE3 - 0
							Proposals BE4, BE5, BE6 and BE7 should also ensure that any new development is	Policy BE4 - 0
					appropriate and does not adversely affect	Policy BE5 - 0		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							cultural heritage resources.	Policy BE6 - +
	Policy BE4 also includes a clause stating that public realm improvements in the Shoreline Zone will be approved where they do not have a significant adverse impact on structure of	Policy BE7 - +						
		a significant adverse impact on structure of	Policy BE8 - +					
					Policy BE9 - +			
		stating that such changes should be	modified shop fronts and building alterations stating that such changes should be appropriate to the character of an area which should indirectly benefit cultural heritage	Policy BE10 - +				
15) To protect and	+	+	+	Likely to be	Permanent	Low	There is a clear focus in the policies upon	Proposal 8 – +
enhance landscape, seascape and townscape				townscape benefits in St. Helier and			improving the streetscape and the public realm which is likely to offer long-term	Proposal 9 - +
character and quality				potentially localised benefits in locations			townscape improvements (Proposal 9, Policy BE1). It is acknowledged that the built heritage	Policy BE1 -+
				where Village Conservation and			of St. Helier provides a huge opportunity to contribute to the transformation of some of the	Policy BE2 - +
				Development Plans are prepared.			neglected parts of St. Helier ³ .	Proposal 10 - +
				are prepared.			There may also be benefits to the seascape as a result of improvements made to the	Proposal 11 - +
							waterfront at St. Helier as a result of the ongoing developments (Policy BE2). However,	Proposal 12 - +

_

³ EDAW/AECOM (March 2007) A Strategy for the Future Development and Regeneration of St. Helier

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							a number of feasibility studies are currently ongoing into the future development of La	Proposal 13 - +
							Collette, the Commercial Port and the Inner	Proposal 14 - +
							Harbours which are likely to affect seascape and the outcome of these studies and the	Policy BE3 -+
							future development proposals is not known. Effective design and masterplanning will be	Policy BE4 - +
							absolutely critical to ensure that valuable parts	Policy BE5 - +
							of the landscape are protected and others enhanced where possible.	Policy BE6 - +
							It is recognised that there needs to be much	Policy BE7 - +
							higher density development within St. Helier if the development needs of the Island are to be	Policy BE8 - +
							met without the use of greenfield land. However, higher density development could	Policy BE9 - +
							compromise townscape quality if not appropriately undertaken. Proposal 10 states	Policy BE10 - +
							that new guidelines will be developed in	
							relation to this issue and the effectiveness of	
							this guidance will need to be monitored to ensure that it is not adversely affecting the	
							townscape.	
							The development of masterplans and	
							development briefs as encouraged through	
							Proposals BE4, BE5, BE6 and BE7 should	
							also ensure that any new development is	
							appropriate and does not adversely affect the	
							quality of the townscape/landscape and there	
							is a clear commitment within policies BE3,	
							BE4 to ensure that the quality of the Green	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							Backdrop Zone and the Shoreline Zone is not adversely affected by new development. Similar principles are reiterated in Policies BE6, BE7, BE8 and BE9 which all address modifications to the townscape such as modified shop fronts and building alterations	
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Promoting effective waste management is not	Proposal 8 – 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote		Proposal 9 - 0						
sustainable resource use			traditional surfacing material help to reduce waste gener very localised scale when s				traditional surfacing materials which could	Policy BE1 - 0
				very localised scale when street furniture and	Policy BE2 - 0			
							materials are reviewed as part of public realm improvements. For this reason, overall effects	Proposal 10 - 0
							have been assessed as neutral.	Proposal 11 - 0
								Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy BE9 - +
								Policy BE10 - 0
17) To promote the use of	0	+	+	Benefits largely	Permanent	High	As part of the public realm improvements	Proposal 8 – 0
more sustainable modes of transport				within St. Helier .			proposed throughout this chapter of the plan there is a clear emphasis placed upon	Proposal 9 - +
or transport							increasing pedestrian priority and supporting traffic management schemes which reduce the adverse effects of traffic. Therefore, there is clear support to promoting sustainable modes of transport and making the use of	Policy BE1 - +
								Policy BE2 - 0
								Proposal 10 - 0
							walking and cycling more attractive in the	Proposal 11 - 0
							long-term. other modes of transport	Proposal 12 - 0
								Proposal 13 - 0
								Proposal 14 - 0
								Policy BE3 - 0
								Policy BE4 - 0
								Policy BE5 - 0
								Policy BE6 - 0
								Policy BE7 - 0
								Policy BE8 - 0
								Policy BE9 - 0
								Policy BE10 - 0

Economy

- Policy E1 Protection of Employment Land
- Policy EO1 New Office Development
- Policy EO2 Conversion of Upper Floors of Commercial Buildings for Office Accommodation
- Policy EO3 Other Small Scale Office Developments
- Policy EO4 Businesses Run From Home

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The planning policies need to provide a positive and supportive framework for employment related development.

The Vision for Jersey is of an Island within which 'economic development and prosperity are sustained and residents can be employed in fulfilling work'.

There is a recognition that a balance needs to be struck between continued economic growth and development and a desire to limit the population.

There will be a focus upon containing office uses within the town centre.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island but particularly in the urban areas such as St.Helier where new development will be concentrated.	Permanent	High	Overall the combination of policies is likely to protect terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity in the short through to the long-term. Although it is considered unlikely that these policies would offer any enhancement opportunities. Policies EO2 to EO4 all state that any office development will not be permitted unless it complies with the requirements of Policy GD1. This includes if they have an unacceptable impact on all Protected Sites and Protected Species (by referring to Policies NE1 and NE2).	Policy E1 – 0/+ Policy EO1 – 0 Policy EO2 – + Policy EO3 – + Policy EO4 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
2) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer any direct	Policy E1 – 0
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity							benefits to the marine and coastal biodiversity as office development is likely	Policy EO1 – 0
marine bloarerery							to occur within St. Helier and possibly in	Policy EO2 – 0
							smaller urban and rural centres.	Policy EO3 – 0
								Policy EO4 – 0
3) To provide good quality affordable housing that meets the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer any direct	Policy E1 – 0
							benefits towards the provision of quality affordable housing. However Policies E1 to EO4 state that office development will only be permitted providing they do not lead to the loss of residential dwellings.	Policy EO1 – 0
requirements of the local population								Policy EO2 – 0
								Policy EO3 – 0
								Policy EO4 – 0
4)To encourage the	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	The provision of new office space within St	Policy E1 – +
development of sustainable				the Island but primarily in			Helier and around the surrounding area will contribute to achieving sustainable	Policy EO1 – +
communities ⁴				existing areas of employment			communities on the Island by helping to provide job opportunities. Policy EO4	Policy EO2 – +
				(mainly St Helier)			addresses running businesses from home which could help to reduce travel and	Policy EO3 – +
							promote self sufficient communities. Policy EO3 allows other small scale office	Policy EO4 – 0

_

⁴ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	development and this could provide benefits to communities other than St. Helier by improving the vibrancy of the local area and providing employment opportunities where needed.	
5)To promote sustainable economic	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	The provision of new office space within St Helier and around the surrounding area will contribute to sustainable economic growth by providing the necessary office space for businesses. Policy EO3 permits small-scale office development outside of St.Helier which should positively contribute	Policy E1 – +
growth				primarily in				Policy EO1 – +
				existing areas of employment				Policy EO2 – +
				(mainly St Helier)				Policy EO3 – +
							to the economy of these settlements and provide employment opportunities for the local residents.	Policy EO4 – +
6)To improve physical	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policy	Policy E1 – 0
and mental health for all and reduce health							and the objective.	Policy EO1 – 0
inequalities								Policy EO2 – 0
								Policy EO3 – 0
								Policy EO4 – 0
7) To guard against land	+	+	+	Benefits will be	Permanent	Low	Whilst none of the policies specifically address the need to avoid land contamination, there is a focus upon re-	Policy E1 – +
contamination and encourage the				felt particularly within St Helier				Policy EO1 – +
appropriate re-use of						Policy EO2 – +		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
brownfield sites							avoid land contamination, as there is a low risk of this occurring during the construction of new offices. Making use of existing buildings where possible for office conversion and converting upper floors of commercial buildings for office accommodation (Policy EO2 and also Policy EO3) will contribute to achieving this objective by avoiding the loss of land. Making use of existing buildings where possible is encouraged by Policies E1 and EO1.	Policy EO3 – + Policy EO4 – 0
8) To protect soil functions	+	+	+	Benefits will be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	Ensuring that most development occurs within the built up area of St Helier would indirectly contribute to the protection of soil functions outside of the urban area. New office development outside of St. Helier is encouraged to be the conversion of an existing non-residential building (Policy EO3).	Policy E1 – + Policy E01 – + Policy E02 – + Policy E03 – + Policy E04 – 0
9)To protect and enhance the quality and availability of water resources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the objective. New office buildings are unlikely to cause water pollution (although there may be low risks during the construction process).	Policy E1 – 0 Policy E01 – 0 Policy E02 – 0 Policy E03 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
								Policy EO4 – 0	
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	Policy E1 – 0	
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine							and the objective.	Policy EO1 – 0	
waters								Policy EO2 – 0	
								Policy EO3 – 0	
								Policy EO4 – 0	
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	Low	Although the policies within this section do	Policy E1 – +	
improve air quality				the Island but primarily in St. Helier			not directly deal with improving and protecting air quality, by ensuring new office development or change of use either does not lead to unacceptable traffic	Policy EO1 – +	
								Policy EO2 – +	
							increases or alleviates existing problems, there should be indirect benefits for air	Policy EO3 – +	
							quality. Enabling businesses to be run from home (Policy EO4) could also offer indirect benefits by reducing the need to travel to	Policy EO4 – +	
	ben The and offic St. R	work for some individuals but such benefits are likely to be highly localised. There is a clear focus within the policies							
									and the supporting text upon the need for office development to be concentrated in St. Helier and this in itself could help to
					reduce travel as public transport connections are best into and out of this				

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	settlement to other residential settlements across the Island.	
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island but primarily in St. Helier	Permanent	Low	Although the policies within this section do not directly deal with adapting to climate change there may be indirect benefits realised. Enabling businesses to be run from home (Policy EO4) could also offer indirect benefits by reducing the need to travel to work for some individuals but such benefits are likely to be highly localised thereby reducing carbon dioxide emissions from vehicular sources. There is a clear focus within the policies and the supporting text upon the need for office development to be concentrated in St. Helier and this in itself could help to reduce travel as public transport connections are best into and out of this settlement to other residential settlements across the Island.	Policy E1 – + Policy EO1 – + Policy EO2 – + Policy EO3 – + Policy EO4 – +
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the objective.	Policy E1 – 0 Policy EO1 – 0 Policy EO2 – 0 Policy EO3 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy EO4 – 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	+	+	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Overall the combination of policies is likely to protect cultural heritage resources in the short through to the long-term. Although it	Policy E1 – +/-
				but particularly in the urban areas such as St.Helier			is considered unlikely that these policies would offer any enhancement opportunities. Policies EO2 to EO5 state that office development will not be permitted if it	Policy EO1 – +/-
				where new development will be concentrated.				Policy EO2 – +
							would have an unacceptable impact on buildings of local importance or Conservation Areas.	Policy EO3 – +
								Policy EO4 – +
5) To protect and	+	+	+	There are likely to	Permanent	High	Policies E1 and EO1 will encourage reuse	Policy E1 – +
nhance landscape, eascape and				be benefits across the Island			of existing sites within the town and discourage spread beyond the town	Policy EO1 – +
ownscape character and quality				but particularly in the urban areas			centre. Policies EO2 to EO5 make a commitment to ensuring that new office	Policy EO2 – +
				such as St.Helier where new			development makes a positive contribution to the vitality, character and amenity of the	Policy EO3 – +
				development will be concentrated.			area. New office development must also be appropriate in its scale, form, massing, density and design and its context.	Policy EO4 – +
6) To minimise waste,	+	+	+	There are likely to	Permanent	High	Although recycling is not directly	Policy E1 – +

Environmental Objective	31.		ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
increase re-use and recycling and to promote sustainable resource use				be benefits across the Island but particularly in			mentioned within these policies they do state that new office development should make use of existing buildings where possible and therefore promotes sustainable resource use. The text supporting Policy E01 states that for a new building the Minister may require the builder to re-use materials from the demolished building or re-cycle building materials elsewhere on the site.	Policy EO2 – +
				the urban areas such as St.Helier				Policy EO3 – +
				where new development will be concentrated.				Policy EO4 – +
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport	+	+	+	There are likely to be benefits across the Island but particularly in	Permanent	High	Policies E1 and EO1 encourage development within the town centre which could reduce the distance travelled by some workers.	Policy E1 – 0/+ Policy EO1 – 0/+
			the urban areas such as St.Helier Policies EO2 and EO3 make a commitment to ensuring that new office	Policy EO2 – +				
	development will cyclists and public trans	development is accessible by pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users including those with mobility impairments.	Policy EO3 – +					
						Therefore effects have been assessed as positive.	Policy EO4 – 0	

Economy - Retail Policies

- Policy ER1 Retail Expansion in the Town Centre
- Policy ER2 Protection and Promotion of St Helier for Shopping
- Policy ER3 Protection and Promotion of Local Shopping Centres
- Policy ER4 Development of Local Shops
- Policy ER5 Development of Evening Economy Uses
- Policy ER6 Take Away Food Outlets
- Policy ER7 Food Retailing Proposals
- Policy ER8 Retail Warehouses
- Policy ER9 Retailing within Industrial Sites
- Policy ER10 Retail Development Outside The Built-up Area
- Policy ER11 Farm Shops

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The physical centre of St. Helier has gradually contracted, with the character of a number of areas changing and many becoming secondary shopping areas.

The focus of the strategy is upon redefining the retail centre and ensuring that St. Helier is a vibrant retail centre.

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect				Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and	+	+	+	Across the Island and outside of	Permanent	High	The policies largely focus upon containing retail development within St. Helier or	Policy ER1 - +
freshwater biodiversity				urban areas			existing town/village centres (ER1, ER2,	Policy ER2 - +
							ER3, ER4) and so this should help to indirectly avoid adverse effects upon	Policy ER3 - 0
							ecological resources as most of the designated sites are situated outside of the	Policy ER4 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							settlements and for this reason positive effects have been recorded from a spatial	Policy ER5 - +
							perspective, as opposed to the clarity of wording of some of the policies.	Policy ER6 - +
							However, policies ER1, ER4, ER8 and ER9 could be strengthened by making reference to Policy GD1 as has been done with other policies in this section. This would reinforce the need to avoid adverse effects on protected species and habitats when undertaking new retail development.	Policy ER7 - +
								Policy ER8 - 0
								Policy ER9 - 0
								Policy ER10 - +
							g came confi	Policy ER11 - 0
2) To protect and enhance coastal and	0	0	0	Not applicable	Permanent	High	The development of new retail activities across the Island and particularly within St.	Policy ER1 - 0
marine biodiversity							Helier is unlikely to generate significant	Policy ER2 – 0
							effects upon the marine and coastal environment and so effects have been	Policy ER3 – 0
							assessed as neutral.	Policy ER4 – 0
								Policy ER5 – 0
								Policy ER6 – 0
								Policy ER7 – 0
								Policy ER8 – 0
								Policy ER9 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect			Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High				
								Policy ER10 -+		
								Policy ER11 - 0		
3) To provide good	0	0	ing. In possible that approach again that	Policy ER1 - 0						
quality affordable housing that meets the							retail developments therefore housing is not covered within this section and there is	Policy ER2 – 0		
requirements of the local population	of the local no link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy ER3 – 0								
								Policy ER4 – 0		
								Policy ER5 – 0		
								Policy ER6 – 0		
								Policy ER7 – 0		
								Policy ER8 – 0		
								Policy ER9 – 0		
										Policy ER10 – 0
								Policy ER11 – 0		
4)To encourage the	+	+	+	Benefits would be	Permanent	High	The provision of new retail developments	Policy ER1 - +		

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
development of sustainable communities ⁵	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	felt across the Island but primarily in St Helier and key retail centres outside of St. Helier.	Permanent Temporary	Low High	within built up areas will contribute to achieving sustainable communities on the Island by helping to provide job opportunities. However the supporting text to Policy ER1 states that a recent study carried out by DTZ showed that there was sufficient retailing capacity to meet the Islands needs. However, should need increase, it will be necessary to increase the size of the shopping area. Policy ER3, Policy ER4, Policy ER10 and Policy ER11 seek to protect and promote other small local shopping centres which could provide benefits to communities other than St. Helier by improving the vibrancy of the local area and providing employment opportunities. There is a clear focus upon ensuring that there is retail provision to meet local needs and that new retail development outside of	Policy ER2 - + Policy ER3 - + Policy ER4 - + Policy ER5 - + Policy ER6 - + Policy ER7 - + Policy ER8 - + Policy ER9 - + Policy ER10 - + Policy ER11 - +
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island but	Permanent	High	the built up area should not adversely affect the vitality or viability of St. Helier. The provision of retail development within St Helier and around the surrounding area would contribute to sustainable economic	Policy ER1 - + Policy ER2 - 0

_

⁵ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
				primarily in St Helier.			growth by providing the necessary facilities for local communities. Policies ER3, ER4,	Policy ER3 - +
	ER10 and Policy ER11 all seek to protect and promote other small local shopping		ER10 and Policy ER11 all seek to protect	Policy ER4 - +				
		Policy ER5 - +						
							improving the vibrancy of the local area	Policy ER6 - +
							There is a clear feature upon not	Policy ER7 - +
								Policy ER8 - +
							St. Helier and also local centres which should help to prevent leakage of money	Policy ER9 - +
							from these existing centres which should	Policy ER10 - +
							also help to safeguard jobs for employees. Policy ER12 permits the development of farm shops providing certain conditions are met and this could help to support diversification opportunities for rural enterprises.	Policy ER11 - +
6)To improve physical and mental health for all	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	Improving physical and mental health is clearly not the focus of these policies but	Policy ER1 - 0
and reduce health				Island but in St.			they could offer some very minor indirect	Policy ER2 - +
inequalities				Helier in particular			benefits through their clear emphasis upon promoting access by alternative modes of	Policy ER3 - +
							transport to the private car. Policies ER1 and ER2 promote a retail route and pedestrian safety considerations	Policy ER4 - +
								Policy ER5 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							within St. Helier. This could lead to people feeling safer and could lead to more	Policy ER6 - +
							people walking. Policies ER2, ER3, ER5, ER6 and ER7 all seek to ensure that local shopping centres, local shops, food retailing proposals and retail warehouses are all genuinely accessible by cyclists and pedestrians which could lead to improved physical fitness of the Island. This connection is made through a cross reference within the policies to Policy GD1. There is also a clear focus upon safety and ensuring that unacceptable levels of traffic generation are not created.	Policy ER7 - +
								Policy ER8 - +
								Policy ER9 - +
								Policy ER10 - +
								Policy ER11 - 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	+	+	+	Benefits within St. Helier	Permanent	High	Although land contamination is not covered within these policies ensuring that	Policy ER1 - 0
encourage the				Heller			new retail development is concentrated	Policy ER2 – 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites							within St. Helier will indirectly contribute to achieving this objective as it promotes the	Policy ER3 – 0
							re-use of sites and buildings within the urban centre.	Policy ER4 – 0
								Policy ER5 – 0
								Policy ER6 – 0
								Policy ER7 – 0
								Policy ER8 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
								Policy ER9 – 0	
								Policy ER10 – 0	
								Policy ER11 – 0	
8) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Although soil functions are not covered	Policy ER1 - 0	
functions	within these policies ensuring that new retail development is concentrated within	Policy ER2 – 0							
							St. Helier should help to avoid the loss of soil resources outside of the urban centres. Effects have been assessed as neutral as this is not the intended focus of these policies.	Policy ER3 – 0	
								Policy ER4 – 0	
								Policy ER5 – 0	
								Policy ER6 – 0	
								Policy ER7 – 0	
								Policy ER8 – 0	
								Policy ER9 – 0	
								Policy ER10 – 0	
								Policy ER11 – 0	
9)To protect and 0	O O Not applicable High The provision of new retail developments is unlikely to significantly affect the	0 0	0 0	0	Not applicable		High	1 .	Policy ER1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of water		Policy ER2 – 0							

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
resources							water quality.	Policy ER3 – 0
							Policy ER4 – 0	
								Policy ER5 – 0
								Policy ER6 – 0
								Policy ER7 – 0
								Policy ER8 – 0
								Policy ER9 – 0
								Policy ER10 – 0
								Policy ER11 – 0
10) To protect and enhance coastal,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The development of new retail activities across the Island and particularly within St.	Policy ER1 - 0
intertidal and marine							Helier is unlikely to generate significant	Policy ER2 – 0
waters							effects upon the marine and coastal environment and so effects have been	Policy ER3 – 0
							assessed as neutral.	Policy ER4 – 0
							Policy ER5 – 0	
								Policy ER6 – 0
								Policy ER7 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy ER8 – 0
								Policy ER9 – 0
								Policy ER10 – 0
								Policy ER11 - 0
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	The policies within this section do not directly deal with improving and protecting	Policy ER1 - 0
mprove air quality		trie island			air quality. However, by ensuring new	Policy ER2 - +		
							retail development does not lead to unacceptable traffic increases there should	Policy ER3 - +
								be indirect benefits for air quality. There is a clear focus within the policies
							and the supporting text upon the need for retail development to be concentrated in	Policy ER5 - +
							St. Helier and this in itself could help to	Policy ER6 - +
							reduce travel as public transport connections are best into and out of this	Policy ER7 - +
							settlement to other residential settlements across the Island.	Policy ER8 - +
								Policy ER9 - +
								Policy ER10 - +
								Policy ER11 - 0
2) To limit and adapt to	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	Although the policies within this section do	Policy ER1 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
climate change				the Island			not directly deal with limiting and adapting to climate change, by ensuring new retail	Policy ER2 - +
							development does not lead to unacceptable traffic increases there should	Policy ER3 - +
	be indirect benefits for climate change by helping to avoid an increase in carbon	Policy ER4 - +						
							dioxide emissions from vehicular sources.	Policy ER5 - +
							There is a clear focus within the policies and the supporting text upon the need for	Policy ER6 - +
							retail development to be concentrated in St. Helier and this in itself could help to	Policy ER7 - +
							reduce travel as public transport connections are best into and out of this	Policy ER8 - +
							settlement to other residential settlements	Policy ER9 - +
							across the Island.	Policy ER10 - +
								Policy ER11 - 0
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policy and the Objective.	Policy ER1 - 0
use of renewable energy							There may be scope for some of the retail	Policy ER2 – 0
sources							policies to suggest that such development incorporates renewable energy principles	Policy ER3 – 0
							into their design. This would be particularly appropriate for larger scale proposals such as those that	Policy ER4 – 0
								Policy ER5 – 0
							might be permitted through Policy ER8.	Policy ER6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy ER7 – 0
								Policy ER8 – 0
								Policy ER9 – 0
								Policy ER10 – 0
								Policy ER11 – 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	Overall the combination of policies is likely to protect cultural heritage resources in the	Policy ER1 - 0
heritage resource			ns	Island in particular within			short through to the long-term. Although it is considered unlikely that these policies	Policy ER2 - +
				St. Helier			would offer any enhancement	Policy ER3 - +
							opportunities. Cultural heritage would be protected by	Policy ER4 - +
							policies ER2, ER3, ER4, ER5, ER6, ER7, ERE8, ER9, ER10 and ER11 as they seek	Policy ER5 - +
							to protect buildings of local importance, Conservation Areas and landscape	Policy ER6 - +
							resources (i.e. settings) through a cross	Policy ER7 - +
							reference to Policy GD1. There is a clear focus upon protecting the	Policy ER8 - +
							character and amenity of an area where new retail development may be located.	Policy ER9 - +
								Policy ER10 - +
								Policy ER11 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
15) To protect and enhance landscape,	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	There is a clear focus in most policies	Policy ER1 - 0
seascape and townscape character and quality				Island			upon not adversely affecting the character and amenity of the area which should help	Policy ER2 - +
							to protect the local townscape. Many of the policies also make reference to avoiding	Policy ER3 - +
							visual intrusion and also state that the scale, form, massing, density and design of new retail development should be appropriate to the site and the context.	Policy ER4 - +
								Policy ER5 - +
				This is achieved through a cross reference in the policies to Policy GD1.	Policy ER6 - +			
							an are ponded to 1 only GD 1.	Policy ER7 - +
								Policy ER8 - +
								Policy ER9 - +
								Policy ER10 - +
								Policy ER11 - +
16) To minimise waste,	+	+	+	Benefits would be	Permanent	High	Collectively, there is very little focus in	Policy ER1 - 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote				felt across the Island			these policies upon this issue and this is to be expected as this is not their intended	Policy ER2 – +
sustainable resource use							focus. However, Policy ER7 states that food retailing proposals will be permitted	Policy ER3 – +
							providing they provide facilities for the recycling of waste packaging generated by the proposal and complies with waste	Policy ER4 – 0
								Policy ER5 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							policies. This policy makes a clear commitment to the promotion and the	Policy ER6 – +
							importance of re-cycling therefore effects have been assessed as beneficial.	Policy ER7 – +
							Most policies refer back to Policy GD1	Policy ER8 – 0
							which encourages minimisation of waste and reuse of buildings.	Policy ER9 – 0
								Policy ER10 – 0
								Policy ER11 – +
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	Although not covered by the policies within this section, Policy ER1 states that any	Policy ER1 - +
modes of transport				Island in			development between Broad Street and	Policy ER2 - +
				particular within St. Helier			Commercial Street should first create a new pedestrian route between Broad	Policy ER3 - +
							Street and the Esplanade. Policy ER2 also states that improvements	Policy ER4 - +
							to pedestrian safety within St. Helier will be promoted as this will lead to people feeling	Policy ER5 - 0
							safer and could lead to more people walking, and possibly leaving their cars at	Policy ER6 - 0
							home.	Policy ER7 - +
							Policies ER3, ER4, ER7 and ER8 through reference to Policy GD1all seek to ensure	Policy ER8 - +
							that local shopping centres, local shops, food retailing proposals and retail	Policy ER9 - 0
							warehouses are all genuinely accessible	Policy ER10 - 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							by a choice of transport including cyclists, pedestrians and public transport users including those with mobility impairments. The focus of these policies collectively is upon promoting retail development in St. Helier and avoiding out of town retail developments which itself contributes to the achievement of this objective as public transport links into and out of St. Helier are good which could help to encourage more public transport use.	Policy ER11 - 0

Economy - Light Industry and Warehousing

- Policy EIW1 Provision of Light Industrial and Warehouse Land
- Policy EIW2 Protection of Existing Industrial Sites
- Policy EIW3 Proposals for New Industrial Buildings
- Policy EIW4 Relocation of Bad Neighbour Uses
- Policy EIW5 Extensions or Alterations to Existing Industrial Buildings
- Policy EIW6 New Industrial Development in the Countryside

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The Island Plan seeks to provide opportunities to assist industry to thrive and develop with a focus upon efficiency and being a valuable sector.

There is a commitment to protecting prime industrial sites from change that could compromise the ability of the economy to adapt and develop.

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effect		pe of Effect		pe of Effect		ype of Effect		Exte		Geographical Permanency Extent of		Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High										
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	-	-	-	Areas in close proximity to industrial sites could experience adverse effects	Permanent	High	The purpose of these policies is not to protect and enhance biodiversity. However, the introduction of new industrial uses or modifications to existing industrial sites has the potential to have adverse biodiversity effects during the construction and operational phase. Policies EIW3, EIW5 and EIW6 include a cross reference to Policy GD1 and so indirectly address the need to ensure that there are no adverse effects on aspects of the natural environment when they are developed. It is also recognised that Policy EIW6 does seek to avoid industrial development in the countryside. These policies do include a range of other clauses addressing pollution control and avoiding an unreasonable impact on neighbouring uses	Policy EIW1 – 0 Policy EIW2 – 0 Policy EIW3 – 0 Policy EIW4 – 0 Policy EIW5 – 0 Policy EIW6 – 0								

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							and the local environment but they could be more specific in relation to effects on flora and fauna. For this reason, effects have been assessed as negative overall.	
2) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable			Policy EIW1 – 0	
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity							the coastal and marine environment. However, there is significant uncertainty about what	Policy EIW2 – 0
						development will occur at La Collette and if further industrial development will occur. A	Policy EIW3 – 0	
							masterplanning exercise is currently underway	Policy EIW4 – 0
							which should provide further clarity. It is recommended that irrespective of the	Policy EIW5 – 0
							development type that occurs at La Collette, that the potential effects on the marine and coastal environment should be assessed and mitigated particularly upon the Ramsar Site.	Policy EIW6 – 0
3) To provide good	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	High	Ensuring that new industrial premises are located	Policy EIW1 – +
quality affordable housing that meets the				across the Island			within existing or within the proximity of existing industrial premises will ensure that land that could	Policy EIW2 – 0
requirements of the local population							potentially be used for housing is not developed on for this purpose (Policy EIW1).	Policy EIW3 – 0
r -1 - <i></i>							Policy EIW4 states that relocation of industries	Policy EIW4 – +
							will be particularly encouraged where it would release land within the town of St Helier for	Policy EIW5 – 0
							housing.	Policy EIW6 – 0
4)To encourage the	+	+	+	Benefits will	Permanent	High	The provision of new industrial units within	Policy EIW1 – +

Environmental Objective	7:		fect	Geographical Permanency Level of Extent of Certainty			Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	(5) (5)	Permanent Temporary	Low High					
development of sustainable	·	be felt within the			existing sites will contribute to achieving sustainable communities on the Island, as they	Policy EIW2 – +		
communities ⁶				communities of the Island.			will provide job opportunities for residents and ree up land within St Helier for residential	Policy EIW3 – +
					development (Policy EIW4).	Policy EIW4 – +		
							There is a clear focus in the policies upon ensuring that industrial development does not	Policy EIW5 – 0
							have an unreasonable impact on neighbouring uses which should help to ensure that the quality of life in residential communities is not adversely affected by this type of development.	Policy EIW6 – +
5)To promote sustainable economic	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Overall the combination of policies is likely to promote sustainable economic growth in the short	Policy EIW1 – +
growth				Island.			through to the long-term. It is considered that	Policy EIW2 – +
							these policies would also offer enhancement opportunities, through the provision of light	Policy EIW3 – +
							industrial and warehouse land. The supporting text to Policy EIW2 states that it is	Policy EIW4 – +
	important that prime industrial sites are protected from changes of use that would lead to a loss of	important that prime industrial sites are protected	Policy EIW5 – +					
		Policy EIW6 – 0						
							Policy EIW6 which seeks to avoid new industrial development in the countryside should also	

.

⁶ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							benefit the economy by helping to ensure that this part of Jersey remains unspoilt which could benefit tourism.	
6)To improve physical	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to directly achieve this	Policy EIW1 – 0
and mental health for all and reduce health							objective. However, policies EIW3, EIW4, EIW5 and EIW6 make a commitment to minimising unreasonable impacts on neighbours (through the provision of new industrial buildings) including	Policy EIW2 – 0
inequalities								Policy EIW3 – +
							sound insulation, pollution control and hours of working which could all lead to adverse effects on	Policy EIW4 – +
							human health. Noise and odour could also lead to	Policy EIW5 – +
							detrimental effects to human health however the plan seeks to minimise these issues within policies by referring the reader back to the General Development Principles, GD1.	Policy EIW6 – +
							Policy EIW 4 seeks to encourage the relocation of bad neighbour uses which could also offer indirect health benefits it encourages movement away from sensitive receptors such as residential properties.	
7) To guard against land	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	High	Policies EIW1, EIW2, EIW3 and EIW5 together	Policy EIW1 – +
contamination and encourage the				across the Island			fulfil this Objective as they make a commitment to containing industrial units within existing sites or	Policy EIW2 – +
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites							within the built-up area. This would therefore protect the surrounding areas from potential land	Policy EIW3 – +
							contamination and encourages the use of brownfield sites. Policy EIW6 also makes a	Policy EIW4 – 0
							commitment to guarding against new industrial	Policy EIW5 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							development in the countryside therefore seeks to protect it from potential contamination and helps to protect greenfield land from development.	Policy EIW6 – +
8) To protect soil	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	High	Ensuring that most development occurs within	Policy EIW1 – +
functions	across the Island			existing industrial areas will indirectly contribute to the protection of soil functions around the Island.	Policy EIW2 – +			
							Therefore effects have been assessed as beneficial.	Policy EIW3 – +
							Policy EIW1 seeks to keep new industrial premises within designated sites this will therefore	Policy EIW4 – 0
								help to protect soil functions within other areas of
								the Island. Policy EIW2 will also help to ensure industrial premises are kept within certain areas as it seeks to protect existing industrial sites.
							Policy EIW6 makes a commitment to guarding against new industrial development in the countryside therefore will have indirect benefits to soil protection in other areas of the Island.	
9)To protect and	+	+	+	Not applicable	Permanent	High	Policies EIW3, EIW5 and EIW6 all make	Policy EIW1 – 0
enhance the quality and availability of water							reference to Policy GD1 which should help to avoid water pollution as a result of this type of	Policy EIW2 – 0
resources							development. There are significant risks of pollution during the construction and operation of	Policy EIW3 – +
							these types of land uses.	Policy EIW4 – 0
								Policy EIW5 – +
								Policy EIW6 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High			
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable	Permanent	Low	There are unlikely to be any significant effects on	Policy EIW1 – 0	
enhance coastal, ntertidal and marine							the coastal and marine environment. However, there is significant uncertainty about what development will occur at La Collette and if further industrial development will occur. A masterplanning exercise is currently underway which should provide further clarity.	Policy EIW2 – 0	
vaters								Policy EIW3 – 0	
								Policy EIW4 – 0	
								Policy EIW5 – 0	
							It is recommended that irrespective of the development type that occurs at La Collette, that the potential effects on the marine and coastal environment should be assessed and mitigated particularly upon the Ramsar Site.	Policy EIW6 – 0	
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	Low	Although protecting and improving air quality on	Policy EIW1 – 0	
mprove air quality				across the Island			the Island is not directly covered within this section by ensuring that unacceptable levels of	Policy EIW2 – 0	
				loana			traffic are not created with new development (Policies EIW3, EIW5 and EIW6) will contribute to	Policy EIW3 – +	
							meeting this Objective. These policies also seek	Policy EIW4 – 0	
							to minimise the effects of noise, odour and pollution through the development of new	Policy EIW5 – +	
							industrial premises, which could also have direct effects on air pollution. Therefore effects have been assessed as positive over the short to long term.	Policy EIW6 – +	
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	0 0	0 0	0	Not applicable		Low	There is no clear link between the policies and the	Policy EIW1 – 0
							objective.	Policy EIW2 – 0	

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy EIW3 – 0
								Policy EIW4 – 0
								Policy EIW5 – 0
								Policy EIW6 – 0
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Whilst the focus of these policies is not upon	Policy EIW1 – 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources							energy efficiency and renewable energy use, they could be strengthened to suggest that sustainable	Policy EIW2 – 0
							design principles should be incorporated into new industrial developments including incorporating	Policy EIW3 – 0
							renewable energy systems into the design.	Policy EIW4 – 0
								Policy EIW5 – 0
								Policy EIW6 – 0
14) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	High	Ensuring that new industrial premises are kept	Policy EIW1 – +
enhance the cultural heritage resource				across the Island			within key industrial sites (Policies EIW1 and EIW2) will ensure that effects to cultural heritage	Policy EIW2 – +
							resources are minimised. Policies ElW3, ElW5 and ElW6 also seek to minimise the visual impact	Policy EIW3 – +
							of new development through, for example, landscape screening which will have indirect	Policy EIW4 – +
							benefits to the setting of cultural heritage resources.	Policy EIW5 – +
								Policy EIW6 – +
15) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits	Permanent	High	Most of the policies within this section make a	Policy EIW1 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Et	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
enhance landscape,				across the Island			commitment to ensuring that new development	Policy EIW2 – +
seascape and townscape character and				especially the			does not cause detrimental effects to the character and amenity of an area. Policies EIW3,	Policy EIW3 – +
quality				countryside			EIW5 and EIW6 make a commitment to abide by the General Development Principles, minimising	Policy EIW4 – +
			Policy EIW5 – +					
					benefits landscape resources. Therefore effects have been assessed as beneficial.	Policy EIW6 – +		
							Containing industrial development within key sites should also benefit the wider landscape (Policy EIW2).	
							Policy EIW4 seeks to permit the relocation of bad neighbour uses which could benefit the landscape in one location and the policy states that they would have to be moved to suitable sites within the defined built up area.	
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		Low	Whilst the focus is not directly upon minimising	Policy EIW1 – 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote							waste generation, a number of these policies make reference to Policy GD1 which highlights	Policy EIW2 – 0
sustainable resource use							the need to avoid generation of waste. This could have benefits in the long-term if there is a change	Policy EIW3 – 0
							to waste management attitudes amongst	Policy EIW4 – 0
							developers of new land uses.	Policy EIW5 – 0
								Policy EIW6 – 0
17) To promote the use	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the	Policy EIW1 – 0

Environmental Objective	31		Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
of more sustainable modes of transport					objective.	Policy EIW2 – 0 Policy EIW3 – 0		
								Policy EIW4 – 0
								Policy EIW5 – 0
								Policy EIW6 – 0

Economy – Rural and Marine Economy Policies

- Proposal 15 Stewardship in Agriculture
- Policy ERE1 Safeguarding Agricultural Land
- Policy ERE2 Diversification of Agriculture and the Rural Economy
- Policy ERE3 Enabling or Linked Development
- Policy ERE4 Change of Use and/or Conversion of Traditional Farm Buildings
- Policy ERE5 Change of Use and/or Conversion of Modern Farm Buildings
- Policy ERE6 New Agricultural Buildings, Extensions and Horticultural Structures
- Policy ERE7 Derelict and Redundant Glasshouses
- Policy ERE8 Fishing and Fish Farming

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

A key theme of the countryside policies is the need for a sense of the stewardship of the land with the responsibility placed upon the farmers.

The policies focus upon a precautionary principle which continues to safeguard agricultural land.

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and	+/-	+/-	+/-	Rural parts of the Island will	Permanent	High	Proposal 15 focuses upon encouraging stewardship which itself could help to indirectly	Proposal 15 - +
freshwater biodiversity				be affected.			protect biodiversity resources by promoting	Policy ERE1– 0
							more sensitive use of agricultural land. Stewardship schemes include more appropriate	Policy ERE2 – 0
							use of the land including landscape restoration or woodland planting which should benefit	Policy ERE3 – +
					biodiversity.	Policy ERE4 – +		
							Policy ERE3 states that any proposals for enabling development must not significantly	Policy ERE5 – +/-

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							harm biodiversity or landscape interest whilst Policy ERE4 makes reference back to policy	Policy ERE6 – +/-
							GD1 which should ensure the protection of biodiversity resources if the change of use of traditional farm buildings is pursued. Policies ERE5 and ERE6 state that there will generally be a presumption against the change of use/conversion, or construction of new agricultural buildings. Policy ERE6 links back to Policy GD1 and should indirectly protect biodiversity resources. However, there is no reference in policy ERE5 to protection measures and this is considered an omission as such conversions could have adverse biodiversity effects.	Policy ERE7 – +
								Policy ERE8 - +
2) To protect and enhance coastal and	+	+	+	Marine and coastal areas.	Permanent	High	Policy ERE8 addresses fish farming and seeks to safeguard the marine and land resources	Proposal 15 - 0
marine biodiversity				coastal aleas.			forming the basis of this industry. It states that is	Policy ERE1 – 0
							will be achieved through policies for marine conservation.	Policy ERE2 – 0
							This policy could still be strengthened by the policy specifically referencing the policies which protect the marine environment.	Policy ERE3 – 0
								Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	(5- >10yr)	Епест	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – +
3) To provide good quality affordable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between these policies	Proposal 15 - 0
housing that meets the							and the objective.	Policy ERE1 – 0
requirements of the local population								Policy ERE2 – 0
							Policy ERE3 – 0	
								Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – 0
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between these policies	Proposal 15 - 0
development of sustainable		and the objective	апо тте објестіче.	Policy ERE1 – 0				
communities ⁷								Policy ERE2 – 0

⁻

⁷ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High				
								Policy ERE3 – 0		
								Policy ERE4 – 0		
									Policy ERE5 – 0	
								Policy ERE6 – 0		
								Policy ERE7 – 0		
								Policy ERE8 – 0		
5)To promote sustainable economic	+	+	+	Rural parts of the Island and	Permanent	Low	Policy ERE1 also seeks to safeguard agricultural land and this should help to ensure	Proposal 15 - 0		
growth				also marine fish farming industry			that it is not adversely affected by development e.g. severance effects would affect the economic viability of a landholding.	Policy ERE1 – +		
								Policy ERE2 – +		
							Policy ERE2 permits agricultural diversification which could potentially benefit the economy by	PolicyERE3 – 0		
							providing more stability and a wider range of economic opportunities for farming enterprises.	Policy ERE4 – +		
							Such benefits are more likely to be realised in	Policy ERE5 – +		
							the long-term. Such benefits could also be realised through policies ERE3, 4 and 5 which	Policy ERE6 – +		
							Policy ERE8 addresses the protection of the	Policy ERE7 – 0		
									resources that support the fishing and fish farming which should help to ensure that this remains a viable source of income. However, this will also be achieved through other policies	Policy ERE8 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							in the plan addressing the protection of the marine environment.	
6)To improve physical and mental health for all	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between these policies	Proposal 15 - 0
and reduce health						and the Objective.	Policy ERE1 – 0	
inequalities								Policy ERE2 – 0
								Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
							Policy ERE6 – 0	
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	0	+	+	Rural parts of the Island	Permanent	Low	Policy ERE7 permits the development of redundant and derelict glasshouses for	Proposal 15 - 0
encourage the				lile island			agricultural diversification, or in exceptional	Policy ERE1 – 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites							circumstances, other uses and this is a positive use of a derelict structure in the rural parts of	Policy ERE2 – +
							Jersey and so is assessed as positively contributing to the achievement of this objective.	Policy ERE3 – +
							A number of the policies address change of use or diversification and identify a number of criteria that have to be fulfilled before such	Policy ERE4 – +
								Policy ERE5 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							development can occur. The policies include a reference to avoiding pollution effects which should help to protect soil resources from new contamination.	Policy ERE6 – +
								Policy ERE7 – +
								Policy ERE8 – 0
8) To protect soil functions	0	0	+	Rural parts of the Island		Proposal 15 - +		
Tunctions	environment schemes. The uptake of such	Policy ERE1 – 0						
							schemes, could potentially benefit soil functions in the long-term by encouraging improved use	Policy ERE2– 0
							of the soil and better farming techniques. It is considered unlikely that the other policies	PolicyERE3 – 0
							would affect soil functions.	Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – 0
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
9)To protect and enhance the quality and	+	+	+	Rural parts of the Island	Permanent	High	A number of the policies promote rural diversification and conversion of buildings which	Proposal 15 - +
availability of water				lile island			could pose very low risks to water quality.	Policy ERE1 – 0
resources							However, policies ERE2, ERE4and ERE 6 all make reference to Policy GD1 which indirectly	Policy ERE2 – +
			ensures water resources shou	ensures water resources should not be affected.	Policy ERE3 – 0			

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
				1			Minor positive effects have been recorded.	Policy ERE4 – +
							Proposal 15 which promotes the use of agri- environment schemes should also benefit water resources if it leads to the uptake of more	Policy ERE5 – 0
							resources if it leads to the uptake of more sustainable farming practices in the long-term.	Policy ERE6 – +
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Marine and	Permanent	High	Policy ERE8 addresses fish farming and seeks	Policy ENR2 – 0
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine				coastal areas.			to safeguard the marine and land resources forming the basis of this industry. It states that is	Policy ERE1– 0
waters							will be achieved through policies for marine conservation.	Policy ERE4 – 0
							This policy could be strengthened by the policy specifically referencing the policies which	Policy ERE5 – 0
							protect the marine environment.	Policy ERE6 – 0
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – +
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Rural parts of	Permanent	Low	Localised changes to the rural economy as a	Proposal 15 - 0
improve air quality				the Island			result of diversification are unlikely to lead to significant air pollution issues. However, a number of the policies include reference to Policy GD1 and the need to ensure that such changes do not cause adverse pollution or odour issues (ERE2, ERE6, ERE7, ERE8) and	Policy ERE1 – 0
								Policy ERE2 – +
								PolicyERE3 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							so effects have been assessed as minor positive. A number of the policies also highlight the need for any conversion or change of use not to cause unacceptable traffic problems and preventing such effects should indirectly protect air quality.	Policy ERE4 – +
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – +
								Policy ERE7 – +
								Policy ERE8 – +
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	+	+	+	Rural parts of the Island	Permanent	Low	Although climate change is not directly mentioned within the policies indirect benefits	Proposal 15 - 0
Climate change				uie isiailu			will be felt from ensuring that new development	Policy ERE1 – 0
							does not have an impact on the local environment [pollution] and ensuring new	Policy ERE2 – 0
							development does not lead to unacceptable problems with traffic generation. Therefore	PolicyERE3 – +/-
							effects have been assessed as positive.	Policy ERE4 – +
								Policy ERE5 – +
								Policy ERE6 – +
								Policy ERE7 – +
								Policy ERE8 – +
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between this policy and	Proposal 15 - 0
use of renewable energy							the Objective.	Policy ERE1 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
sources								Policy ERE2 – 0
								Policy ERE3 – 0
								Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – 0
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural	+	+	+	Rural parts of the Island	Permanent	High	Agricultural diversification and the change of use of rural buildings has the potential to	Proposal 15 - 0
heritage resource				ano ioiana			adversely affect the cultural heritage resources and it is acknowledged within the Island Plan	Policy ERE1 – 0
							that many traditional farm buildings are Sites of	Policy ERE2 – +
							Special Interest and are, therefore, of heritage value. A number of the policies include specific	Policy ERE3- +
							clauses stating that the works should not adversely affect Sites of Special Interest or	Policy ERE4 – +
							Conservation Areas and should not affect the character and amenity of the area which could	Policy ERE5 – 0
							indirectly benefit the setting of other heritage resources. Therefore, effects have been	Policy ERE6 – +
							assessed as minor positive.	Policy ERE7 – +
								Policy ERE8 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Extent of Certainty Recommendations		Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
15) To protect and	+	+	+	Rural parts of	Permanent	High	Rural diversification projects have the potential	Proposal 15 - 0
enhance landscape, seascape and				the Island.			to cause localised landscape changes as a result of the modification to building structures	Policy ERE1 – +
townscape character and quality							or the demolition of existing buildings. The policies which could potentially lead to	Policy ERE2 – +
							structural changes include clauses which state that the changes should not unreasonably affect	Policy ERE3 – +/-
							the character and amenity of an area or make reference to the need to adhere to Policy GD1	Policy ERE4 – +
							hich makes reference to the need to protect	Policy ERE5 – +
							character and amenity. Policy ERE1 addresses the safeguarding of	Policy ERE6 – +
							agricultural land and states that the Countryside Character Appraisal will be a factor in the	Policy ERE7 – +
							decision-making process and this should ensure that decisions are made in view of the existing landscape character.	Policy ERE8 – +
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and	Proposal 15 - 0
recycling and to promote							the Objective.	Policy ERE1 – 0
sustainable resource use								Policy ERE2- 0
								Policy ERE3 – 0
						Policy ERE4 – 0		
								Policy ERE5 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy ERE6 – 0
								Policy ERE7 – 0
								Policy ERE8 – 0
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies themselves do not directly promote the development of more sustainable modes of	Proposal 15 - 0
modes of transport							transport. However, many of them highlight the	Policy ERE1– 0
							need for rural diversification proposals not to adversely affect levels of traffic. However,	Policy ERE2– 0
							effects have been assessed as neutral.	Policy ERE3 – 0
								Policy ERE4 – 0
								Policy ERE5 – 0
								Policy ERE6 – 0
						Policy ERE7 – 0		
								Policy ERE8 – 0

Economy Policies – Visitor Economy

- Policy EVE1 Visitor Accommodation, Tourism and Cultural Attractions
- Policy EVE2 Tourist Destination Areas
- Policy EVE3 Tourism Support Facilities in the Countryside
- Policy EVE4 Beach Kiosks

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

There is a clear acknowledgement of the importance of the tourism to the Jersey economy.

Recognition that new attractions will need to be developed to ensure that Jersey remains an attractive destination for visitors.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island.	Permanent	High	Policies EVE1, EVE2 and EVE3 do refer to GD1 which should ensure that new development of this type should not have an unacceptable effect on Protected Species or Sites. Policy EVE3 does make reference to supporting environmental enhancements to the public realm in the Tourist Destination Areas of the Island which could offer indirect biodiversity opportunities.	Policy EVE1 - + Policy EVE2 - + Policy EVE3 - + Policy EVE4 - 0
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits across the Coastal National Park Zone.	Permanent	High	Policy EVE1 commits to the protection of the Coastal National Park Zone from tourism development, therefore effects have been assessed as positive. This is a very high quality environment that should be protected from uncontrolled tourist development.	Policy EVE1 - + Policy EVE2 - + Policy EVE3 - 0 Policy EV5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Policy NE5 'Marine Zone' of the Island Plan' should also be referred to in these tourism policies, as there is potential for new tourism development to adversely affect the marine environment, as the	
							marine zone includes the bays and beaches. Making reference to this policy would strengthen these policies.	
3) To provide good quality affordable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the objective.	Policy EVE1 - 0
housing that meets the							and the objective.	Policy EVE2 - 0
requirements of the local								Policy EVE3 – 0
population								Policy EV4 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Whilst the policies do not focus upon	Policy EVE1 - 0
development of sustainable							encouraging the development of sustainable communities, there is a clear	Policy EVE2 - 0
communities ⁸							emphasis in all of these policies that new	Policy EVE3 - 0
							tourism development should not adversely affect the character and amenity of an area, adversely affect neighbouring land uses, nor have adverse traffic impacts, all of which are essential if quality of life on the Island is not to be adversely affected by tourism.	Policy EV4 - 0

⁸ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							The policies all permit new tourist and visitor development, providing certain key conditions are met and by facilitating new development of this type across the Island it should be possible for the tourist economy on the Island to continue to thrive which should provide employment opportunities for communities. These are all very minor, indirect benefits that could be realised through these policies.	
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	The commitment to improving tourist attractions and accommodation across the Island will contribute to promoting and sustainable economic growth. There are likely to benefits in countryside locations as a result of Policy EVE3 enabling the provision of support facilities. There is a clear emphasis in all of the policies upon ensuring that new tourism development does not adversely affect the environment, nor the surrounding neighbourhoods and this should ensure that economic growth linked to tourism can occur without leading to the erosion of the natural environment which in itself is a tourist attraction.	Policy EVE1 - + Policy EVE2 - + Policy EVE3 - + Policy EVE4 - +
6)To improve physical	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no real relationship between the	Policy EVE1 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities							policies and the objective. However, there may be some very minor, indirect benefits as the policies all highlight the need for new development not to adversely affect public safety and for security by design to be an integral element of designs (Policy EVE1 and EVE2, EVE3) which could benefit mental health and well-being by helping to avoid issues associated with fear of crime (although crime levels are very low on Jersey).	Policy EVE2 - 0 Policy EVE3 - 0 Policy EVE4 - 0
							Policy EVE3 also seeks to encourage development that will promote informal recreational activities.	
7) To guard against land contamination and	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of these tourism policies is upon directing development towards built up	Policy EVE1 - +
encourage the				(potential for re-			areas (Policy EVE1 and EVE3) and there	Policy EVE2 - 0
appropriate re-use of prownfield sites				use of brownfield sites			is a clear presumption against development in the Coastal National Park Zone. For this reason, the policies could indirectly encourage the re-use of vacant and brownfield sites in urban areas and help to protect greenfield areas.	Policy EVE3 - +
				in urban area and protection of greenfield locations).				Policy EVE4 - 0
8) To protect soil + functions	+	+ +	+ +	+ Potential benefits for soil functions in	Permanent	High	The focus of these tourism policies is upon directing development towards built up areas (Policy EVE1 and EVE3) and there	Policy EVE1 - +
			b					Policy EVE2 - 0
			countryside			is a clear presumption against	Policy EVE3 - +	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
				locations and within the Coastal National Park.			development in the Coastal National Park Zone. For this reason, the policies could indirectly encourage the re-use of vacant and brownfield sites in urban areas and help to protect greenfield areas and their soil functions.	Policy EVE4 - 0
9)To protect and	+	+	+	Potential risks	Permanent	High	Whilst the construction of individual hotels	Policy EVE1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of water				particularly in the Tourist			and guest accommodation is unlikely to affect water resource availability or cause	Policy EVE2 - +
resources				Destination Areas			pollution, there is a risk that larger scale activities could adversely affect water	Policy EVE3 - 0
							quality, for example, development promoted in the Tourist Destination Ares (Policy EVE2). However, there is a cross reference to the requirements of Policy GD1 which should ensure that such adverse effects are avoided.	Policy EVE4 - 0
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Coastal and	Permanent	High	There is a presumption within Policies	Policy EVE1 - +
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine				marine waters would be			EVE1 and EVE3 that new and the extension to tourist accommodation and	Policy EVE2 - +
waters				affected.			cultural attractions will not be permitted within the Coastal National Park Zone and	Policy EVE3 - 0
							there should be indirect benefits to the coastal and marine areas waters, if development is generally not permitted in this location.	Policy EVE4 - 0
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	Low	Air Quality although not directly mentioned	Policy EVE1 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
improve air quality			providing that new development lead to problems with traffic general and increase emissions. There is	the Island			within this section will be protected providing that new development does not	Policy EVE2 - +
				lead to problems with traffic generation, and increase emissions. There is a clause	Policy EVE3 - +			
							link to Policy GD1 within policies EVE1, EVE2, and EVE3 which would intend that the development should not cause an unacceptable increase in traffic generation. This is particularly important in St. Helier, where there are already some traffic congestion problems during peak hours.	Policy EVE4 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	Low	Whilst limiting and adapting to climate change is not directly addressed, new	Policy EVE1 - +
				u.o.o.a.a			tourism development could lead to increased traffic levels which could cause	Policy EVE2 - +
							an increase in carbon dioxide emissions	Policy EVE3 - +
							from vehicular sources. There is a clause link to Policy GD1 within policies EVE1, EVE2, and EVE3 which would intend that the development should not cause an unacceptable increase in traffic generation.	Policy EVE4 - 0
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policy	Policy EVE1 - 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy	·			and the objective.	Policy EVE2 - 0			
sources								Policy EVE3 - 0
								Policy EVE4 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Policies EVE1, EVE2, and EVE3 seek to	Policy EVE1 - +
				the Island			ensure that new tourist development does not adversely affect buildings of local importance and Conservation Areas along with the settings around them. Effects are therefore recorded as beneficial.	Policy EVE2 - +
								Policy EVE3 - +
								Policy EVE4 - 0
15) To protect and enhance landscape,	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	Tourist attractions, accommodation and destination developments will not be	Policy EVE1 - +
seascape and townscape character and quality				including the Coastal National Park			permitted if they have an unreasonable impact on buildings of local importance, cause visual intrusions, are not	Policy EVE2 - +
				Zone			appropriate to their surrounding settings or will cause adverse effects in Conservation Areas. Therefore all the policies within this section have positive effects against this	Policy EVE3 - +
							Objective. Policies EVE1 and EVE3 also clearly state that there will be a presumption against new and extensions to existing developments within the Coastal National Park Zone which should benefit landscape, townscape and seascape in this part of Jersey. This is a highly sensitive part of the Island's landscape.	Policy EVE4 - 0
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies	Policy EVE1 - 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote							and the objective.	Policy EVE2 - 0
sustainable resource use								Policy EVE3 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	Geographica Extent of		Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy EVE4 - 0
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	+	+	+	Benefits will largely be felt	Permanent	High	Policy EVE2, states that tourism and recreation facilities will not be permitted if	Policy EVE1 - +
modes of transport				within built up			they are not accessible by pedestrians, cyclists and public transport users	Policy EVE2 - +
				new tourism			therefore these policies contribute to the	Policy EVE2 - +
				development is likely to be			achievement of this objective. By referring to Policy GD1, Policies EVE1 and EVE3	Policy EVE3 - 0
				concentrated.			also make this statement.	Policy EVE4 - 0

Housing

- Proposal 16 Provision of Homes
- Policy H1 –Category A Housing Sites
- Policy H2 Other Category A Housing Sites
- Policy H3 Affordable Housing
- Policy H4 Housing Mix
- Policy H5 –Housing in Rural Centres
- Policy H6 Housing Development within the Built Up Area
- Policy H7 Housing to Meet Special Requirements
- Policy H8 Registered Lodging Accommodation
- Policy H9 Staff and Key Agricultural Worker Accommodation
- Policy H10 Conversion to Flats
- Policy H11 Loss of Housing Units

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

Evidence and surveys conducted to date suggest that the current housing land availability situation is reasonably healthy and there is a predicted surplus of 550 units over the first five years of the plan and a predicted surplus of 625 units over the full Plan period.

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect			Type of Effect Geographical Extent of Effect		Type of Effect		Permanency	manency Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High					
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+/-	+/-	+/-	Across the Island	Permanent	High	New housing development has the potential to result in localised biodiversity effects. The greatest	Proposal 16 - 0 Policy H1 - 0			
							risks in Jersey are considered to be to protected species, as the ecological Sites of Special Interest are situated outside of urban areas	Policy H2 – 0 Policy H3 - 0			
							and the plan is not promoting the	Policy H4 - 0			

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							significant re-zoning of greenfield land for new housing development	Policy H5 - 0
							and so new housing development should occur in regeneration areas,	Policy H6 - 0
							the Category A sites zoned for	Policy H7 - 0
							housing and as infill development within the existing urban area. This	Policy H8 - 0
							should help to reduce the likelihood of adverse effects upon terrestrial	Policy H9 - +
							and freshwater biodiversity.	Policy H10 - 0
							Policy H9 addresses the provision of staff accommodation suggesting that this would normally be permitted where it accords with general policies and criteria applying the conversion of buildings. Recommendations have previously been made regarding the need to strengthen these policies from an ecological perspective.	Policy H11 - 0
2) To protect and enhance coastal and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The development of new housing across the Island is unlikely to result	Proposal 16 - 0
marine biodiversity							in significant effects on the marine	Policy H1 - 0
							and coastal environment.	Policy H2 – 0
								Policy H3 - 0
								Policy H4 - 0
								Policy H5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							-	Policy H6 - 0
								Policy H7 - 0
								Policy H8 - 0
								Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - 0
								Policy H11 - 0
3) To provide good quality affordable	++	++	++	Across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of all of the policies is upon providing the correct number and mix	Proposal 16 - 0
housing that meets the requirements of the local							of houses over the Plan period. There is a need to provide affordable	Policy H1 - +
population							housing across the plan area and an	Policy H2 – +
							appropriate mix to meet housing needs. Policy H3 is particularly	Policy H3 - +
							important from this perspective explicitly outlining the States of	Policy H4 - +
							Jersey requirements for the provision of affordable housing.	Policy H5 - +
							_	Policy H6 - +
								Policy H7 - +
								Policy H8 - +
								Policy H9 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy H10 - +
								Policy H11 - +
To encourage the	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	The focus of the policies is upon	Proposal 16 - 0
levelopment of ustainable				particularly in urban areas e.g. St.			providing appropriate housing requirements and meeting the needs	Policy H1 - +
communities ⁹				Helier			of communities across Jersey and so there would be a positive contribution	Policy H2 – +
							to this policy. Many of the policies also highlight the need for the new	Policy H3 - 0
							housing to be situated within or in close proximity to existing built up	Policy H4 - +
							areas to ensure that new houses are within a convenient distance of	Policy H5 - +
							shopping, community and transport facilities (H5, H6).	Policy H6 - +
							There is a general presumption	Policy H7 - +
							against the development of new houses in rural centres. However,	Policy H8 - +
							Policy H5 states that in exceptional circumstances the provision of small-	Policy H9 - +
							scale housing in such locations will be permitted to support the viability	Policy H10 - +
							and vitality of these settlements and so this policy performs positively	Policy H11 - 0

-

⁹ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	against this objective.	
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	0	0	0	Not applicable		Low	The focus of these policies is upon housing provision and not upon supporting the development of Jersey's economy. However, there could be some indirect benefits linked to these policies. For example, Policy H5 permits community housing in key rural centres which could benefit local services and the vitality of these smaller settlements. Policy H9 also permits the provision of staff accommodation which may be essential to support a business and Policy H8 the provision of new dwellings for agricultural workers where needed. Effects have not been assessed as positive overall as such impacts are likely to be very localised.	Policy H1 - 0 Policy H2 - 0 Policy H3 - 0 Policy H4 - 0 Policy H5 - + Policy H6 - 0 Policy H8 - + Policy H9 - + Policy H10 - 0 Policy H11 - 0
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	0	+	+	In locations where new housing development occurs.	Permanent	Low	The focus of these policies is not upon improving health status. However, ensuring that housing is provided which meets local needs should offer some indirect health benefits in the long-term by ensuring that individuals have access to homes of an appropriate quality.	Policy H1 - + Policy H2 - + Policy H3 - + Policy H4 - + Policy H5 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							There is a focus, particularly within policies H1, H2, H3 and H4 upon	Policy H6 - 0
							providing the appropriate mix of housing and affordable housing.	Policy H7 - +
							Policy H7 focuses upon meeting the	Policy H8 - 0
							needs of certain sectors of the population including the elderly and	Policy H9 - 0
							those with disabilities and ensuring that this sector of the population has	Policy H10 - 0
							access to appropriate housing should have health benefits.	Policy H11 - 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	+/-	+/-	+/-	Across the island but benefits likely in	Permanent	High	The focus of the spatial strategy is	Policy H1 - +
encourage the				rural areas.			upon concentrating new housing development within existing built up	Policy H2 – +
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites							areas and this itself should help to protect greenfield land across Jersey	Policy H3 - 0
							and avoid contamination issues in rural parts of the Island.	Policy H4 - 0
							Policies H1and H2 identify a series of sites zoned for Category A housing.	Policy H5 - =
							Whilst these are largely derelict	Policy H6 - +
							glasshouse sites and not strictly brownfield sites, the use of this type	Policy H7 - =
							of land is preferable to using greenfield land and so effects are	Policy H8 - 0
							assessed as positive. Policies H6, H9, and H10 all make reference to the re-use of existing	Policy H9 - +
								Policy H10 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	buildings and this should again help to avoid the loss of greenfield land.	Policy H11 - 0
8) To protect soil functions	+/-	+/-	+/-	Effects likely to occur in rural areas.	Permanent	High	The focus of the spatial strategy is upon concentrating new housing development within existing built up areas and this itself should help to protect greenfield land across Jersey and hence protect soils from new development of this nature. The sites zoned for Category A housing are also glasshouse sites and the use of these sites in preference to greenfield locations should offer some benefits. Policy H5 could pose some very localised risks to soil functions if development occurs outside of the built up area boundary. Policy H8 which permits new dwellings for agricultural workers in certain circumstance seeks to ensure that such development is within the existing farmstead if it cannot be accommodated within the built-up area.	Proposal 16 - 0 Policy H1 - + Policy H2 - + Policy H3 - 0 Policy H4 - 0 Policy H5 Policy H6 - 0 Policy H7 - 0 Policy H9 - 0 Policy H10 - 0 Policy H11 - 0
9)To protect and enhance the quality and availability of water resources	0	0	0	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Within these policies there are no explicit references to protecting water resources and there is a risk that new housing development could have localised and potentially cumulative	Proposal 16 - 0 Policy H1 - 0 Policy H2 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							effects on the water environment. However, such effects could be	Policy H3 - 0
							managed through the implementation of water saving technologies.	Policy H4 - 0
							The focus of development within the	Policy H5 - =
							built up area rather than in greenfield locations should indirectly protect	Policy H6 - 0
							water resources in rural parts of the Island although Policy H5 will support	Policy H7 - 0
							the provision of small-scale housing in rural centres in exceptional	Policy H8 - 0
							circumstances.	Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - +
								Policy H11 - 0
10) To protect and enhance coastal,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The provision of new housing is unlikely to affect coastal and intertidal	Proposal 16 - 0
intertidal and marine							waters directly. However, there are	Policy H1 - 0
waters							issues associated with the sewage treatment works at Bellozanne and	Policy H2 – 0
							new housing could put increasing pressure on this facility. Issues	Policy H3 - 0
							relating to waste water treatment are addressed in Policy LWM4.	Policy H4 - 0
								Policy H5 - 0
								Policy H6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy H7 - 0
								Policy H8 - 0
								Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - 0
								Policy H11 - 0
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	The spatial strategy focuses upon providing new housing within the built	Proposal 16 - 0
improve all quality							up area where connections and	Policy H1 - 0
							facilities are better which should help to reduce the need to travel to access	Policy H2 – 0
							services and facilities. By reducing the need to travel, there could be	Policy H3 - 0
							indirect air quality benefits in the medium to long term. In Policy H5	Policy H4 - 0
							which relates to the provision of housing in rural centres, there is a	Policy H5 - 0
							clear requirement to ensure that the housing sites are well located in	Policy H6 - 0
							relation to the existing built-up area.	Policy H7 - 0
							Whilst individual policies themselves do not necessarily provide any clear	Policy H8 - 0
							air quality bonofits, collectively they	Policy H9 - 0
							strategy which focuses development	Policy H10 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							in the built-up area.	Policy H11 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	The spatial strategy focuses upon	Proposal 16 - 0
climate change							providing new housing within the bui up area where connections and facilities are better which should help to reduce the need to travel to acces services and facilities. Reducing the need to travel could help to avoid an	Policy H1 - 0
								Policy H2 – 0
								Policy H3 - 0
							increase in car journeys which would increase carbon dioxide emissions	Policy H4 - 0
							from vehicular sources.	Policy H5 - 0
							Any minor benefits derived from this policy would only be realised in the	Policy H6 - 0
							long-term.	Policy H7 - 0
								Policy H8 - 0
								Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - 0
								Policy H11 - 0
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the	Proposal 16 - 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							policies and this Objective. However, there is scope for energy efficient design to be part of new housing developments and this could be	Policy H1 - 0
sources								Policy H2 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
					11111		promoted through the Island Plan.	Policy H3 - 0
								Policy H4 - 0
								Policy H5 - 0
								Policy H6 - 0
								Policy H7 - 0
								Policy H8 - 0
								Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - 0
								Policy H11 - 0
4) To protect and nhance the cultural	0	0	0			High	The development of new housing across Jersey has the potential to	Proposal 16 - 0
eritage resource							have effects on cultural heritage	Policy H1 - 0
							resources. Whilst there are no specific clauses in any of the policies	Policy H2 –
							addressing the protection of these features there are other policies within the plan addressing their protection within Chapter 3 'Historic Environment'.	Policy H3 - 0
								Policy H4 - 0
								Policy H5 - 0
								Policy H6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		Policy H7 - 0 Policy H8 - 0 Policy H9 - 0 Policy H10 - 0 Policy H11 - 0
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	New housing development will be concentrated in built up areas and this could help to protect the quality of the landscape across the rural parts of the Island from such development. Policy H1 and Policy H2 which identify sites for Category A housing could offer localised landscape and visual benefits as these sites are largely derelict glasshouses and so there could be some benefits associated with their restoration, although this would need to be determined on a case by case basis. When developing new housing sites, the other policies in the Island Plan must be followed to ensure that landscape, townscape and seascape	Proposal 16 - 0 Policy H1 - 0 Policy H2 - 0 Policy H3 - 0 Policy H4 - 0 Policy H5 - 0 Policy H6 - 0 Policy H7 - 0 Policy H8 - 0 Policy H9 - 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							are not adversely affected.	Policy H11 - 0
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and	0	0	0			High	There is no clear link between the	Proposal 16 - 0
recycling and to promote							policy and the Objective.	Policy H1 - 0
sustainable resource use								Policy H2 – 0
								Policy H3 - 0
								Policy H4 - 0
								Policy H5 - 0
								Policy H6 - 0
								Policy H7 - 0
								Policy H8 - 0
								Policy H9 - 0
								Policy H10 - 0
								Policy H11 - 0
17) To promote the use	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	The spatial strategy focuses upon	Proposal 16 - 0
modes of transport	of more sustainable modes of transport			but particularly in built up areas and		up area where connections and facilities are better which should help	1	Policy H1 - 0
				St. Helier.			Policy H2 – 0	

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect			Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							services and facilities. Whilst none of the policies specifically provide any	Policy H3 – 0
							benefits, the principles of focussing development in the built-up area work	Policy H4 – 0
							towards achieving this objective in the long-term.	Policy H5 – 0
							the long-term.	Policy H6 – 0
								Policy H7 – 0
								Policy H8 – 0
								Policy H9 – 0
								Policy H10 – 0
								Policy H11 - 0

Social, Community and Open Space

- Policy SCO1 Educational Facilities
- Policy SCO2 Healthcare Facilities
- Policy SCO3 Community Facilities
- Proposal 17 Open Space Strategy
- Policy SCO4 Protection of Open Space
- Policy SCO5 Provision and Enhancement of Open Space
- Policy SCO6 Allotments

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

Information is provided on the current provision of community facilities across the Island and details about pupil numbers and the anticipated future requirements related to new school provision.

Environmental Objective			ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and	+	+	+	Potential indirect benefits in rural	Permanent	High	The purpose of these policies in this chapter is not to protect and enhance biodiversity.	Policy SCO1 - 0
freshwater biodiversity				areas and benefits in urban			However, there may be indirect protection as a result of the supporting clauses within the	Policy SCO2 - 0
				areas.			policies which clearly highlight the need for new facilities to not adversely affect the local	Policy SCO3 - 0
							environment (Proposal 17, Policies SCO4, SCO5 and SCO6). The policies which permit the development of new facilities also indicate	Proposal 17 - +
							that such developments should be integrated into the built-up area and so there should be	Policy SCO4 - +
							no loss of greenfield land. Also the protection and enhancement of open space would result	Policy SCO5 - +
							in indirect beneficial effects to local biodiversity resources.	Policy SCO6 - +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							Policies SCO1, SCO2 and SCO3 could be improved by making reference to the need for landscaping and enhanced areas for biodiversity to be incorporated into new facilities and their grounds. Policy SCO5 should make reference to the need to protect Ramsar sites.	
2) To protect and	+	+	+	Biodiversity	Permanent	High	The purpose of the policies in this chapter is	Policy SCO1 - 0
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity				resources across coastal areas			not to protect and enhance coastal or marine biodiversity. However, there is a general	Policy SCO2 - 0
·						presumption throughout the Plan against	Policy SCO3 - 0	
							development in The Coastal National Park. Policy SCO5 makes a commitment to	Proposal 17 - 0
							protecting this area therefore the effects have been assesses as beneficial.	Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - +
								Policy SCO6 - 0
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Environmental Objective.	Policy SCO2 - 0
requirements of the							,	Policy SCO3 - 0
local population								Proposal 17 - 0
								Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
4)To encourage the development of sustainable	+	+	+	Benefits in settlements where community	Permanent	High	New educational facilities as per Policy SCO1 along with the provision of new healthcare facilities (Policy SCO2) and community	Policy SCO1 - +	
communities				facilities are situated.			facilities (Policy SCO3) will have positive effects on this Environmental Objective as it will help with the development of sustainable	Policy SCO2 - +	
							communities. There is also a clear commitment within policies SCO1 (education facilities), SCO2 (healthcare facilities) and SCO3 (community facilities) to protect the	Policy SCO3 - +	
								existing facilities and to avoid their conversion to another use which should again help to protect community spirit and cohesion in the	Proposal 17 - +
							long-term. The provision of community facilities will also be essential if new housing and economic development opportunities are	Policy SCO4 - +	
							provided as indicated in other parts of the Island Plan. Policy SCO6 deals with the provision of new allotments and they themselves can be important community	Policy SCO5 - +	
							facilities and so effects are assessed as positive.	Policy SCO6 - +	
							The availability of open space is important in creating a place that is desirable to live. There is a clear theme throughout this section of the Plan upon maintaining and improving local amenity and ensuring open space provision.		
5)To promote	0	0	0	Not applicable	Permanent	Low	The provision of new healthcare facilities and	Policy SCO1 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
sustainable economic							new community facilities has the potential to	Policy SCO2 - 0
growth							support the ongoing economic and housing development across the Island. Whilst there	Policy SCO3 - 0
							could be some employment opportunities provided by these types of developments,	Proposal 17 - 0
							albeit, at a very local scale, there are unlikely to be significant job creation. For this reason,	Policy SCO4 - 0
							the effects have been assessed as neutral.	Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy SCO2 states that 'proposals for the development of new or additional primary and secondary healthcare facilities or for the	Policy SCO1 - 0
inequalities							extension and/or alteration of existing healthcare premises will be permitted	Policy SCO2 - +
							providing that the proposal is; 1. Within the grounds of existing healthcare facilities; or 2. Within the Built-up Area'. This policy will	Policy SCO3 - +
							therefore have beneficial effects as it will work towards improving health facilities across the Island.	Proposal 17 - +
							Proposal 17(Open Space Strategy), Policy SCO4 (protection of open space) and SCO5 (provision and enhancement of open space)	Policy SCO4 - +
							will all indirectly improve physical health as the provision of open space can support the	Policy SCO5 - +

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)			Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							promotion of healthy lifestyles. Access to areas of green space is a recognised factor that is vitally important to ensuring health and well-being.	Policy SCO6 - 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	+	+	+	Likely to be benefits for	Permanent	High	The purpose of the policies within this part of the plan are not to guard against land	Policy SCO1 - +
encourage the				greenfield land outside of the			contamination or encourage brownfield land use. However, the focus of the policies which	Policy SCO2 - +
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites				urban areas (including			permit new education, community and	Policy SCO3 - +
				villages)	nges) need for such development to occur within the	Proposal 17 - 0		
							built up area and so this should indirectly avoid the loss of greenfield land and so effects have been assessed as positive.	Policy SCO4 - 0
							mave been assessed as positive.	Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - +
8) To protect soil functions	+	+	+	Likely to be benefits for	Permanent	High	Policies SCO1, SCO2 and SCO3 focus new development of this type to within the	Policy SCO1 - +
				greenfield land outside of the			boundary of the built up area and so there are likely to be indirect benefits for soil functions	Policy SCO2 - +
				urban areas			outside of urban areas. Policies relating to the	Policy SCO3 - +
				(including villages)			protection of open space would also contribute to achieving this objective.	Proposal 17 - +
								Policy SCO4 - +
								Policy SCO5 - +
								Policy SCO6 - 0/+

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
9)To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable	-	-	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of water							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy SCO2 - 0
resources								Policy SCO3 - 0
								Proposal 17 - 0
								Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
10) To protect and	0		Policy SCO1 - 0					
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective. However Policy SCO 5 (provision	Policy SCO2 - 0 Policy SCO3 - 0 Proposal 17 - 0 Policy SCO4 - 0 Policy SCO5 - 0 Policy SCO6 - 0 Policy SCO2 - 0 Policy SCO3 - 0 Policy SCO3 - 0 Proposal 17 - 0 Policy SCO4 - 0 Policy SCO5 - 0
waters							and enhancement of Open Space) does provide some element of protection for the	Policy SCO3 - 0
							Coastal National Park).	Proposal 17 - 0
								Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - 0/+
								Policy SCO6 - 0
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Protecting and improving air quality is not a primary focus of these plan policies. However,	Policy SCO1 - 0
improve an quanty							policies SCO1, SCO2 and SCO3 direct new	Policy SCO2 - 0
							development towards the built-up area, which should help to ensure that such facilities are	Policy SCO3 - 0
					positioned well in relation to the major centres of population which could help to reduce travel	Proposal 17 - 0		

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							distances. However, these development s are likely to be relatively small scale and the	Policy SCO4 - 0
							benefit will be negligible. Effects assessed as neutral.	Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
12) To limit and adapt	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0
to climate change							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy SCO2 - 0
							,	Policy SCO3 - 0
								Proposal 17 - 0
								Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy SCO2 - 0
energy sources								Policy SCO3 - 0
								Proposal 17 - 0
					Policy SCO4 - 0			
			Policy SCO5 - 0					
						Policy SCO6 - 0		
14) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
enhance the cultural							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the	Policy SCO2 - 0
heritage resource							Objective.	Policy SCO3 - 0
								Proposal 17 - 0
								Policy SCO4 - 0
								Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
15) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits within	Permanent	High	Policies SCO1, SCO2 and SCO3 encourage	Policy SCO1 - 0
enhance landscape, seascape and				the urban areas and potentially			development which is within the built up area which should have indirect benefits for other	Policy SCO2 - 0
townscape character				rural areas			areas. Policies SCO4, SCO5, SCO6 and	Policy SCO3 -0
and quality				adjacent to settlements.			Proposal 17 should lead to a sensible open space strategy being developed which should	Proposal 17 - +
							complement the existing landscape and urban form.	Policy SCO4 +
								Policy SCO5 - +
								Policy SCO6 - +
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy SCO1 - 0
increase re-use and recycling and to							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy SCO2 - 0
promote sustainable							,	Policy SCO3 - 0
resource use								Proposal 17 - 0
		Policy SCO4 - 0						

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
	(10).)	10,1,1	7 .03.7		remporary	підп		Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	0	0	+	Benefits in the settlements	Permanent	High	Policies SCO1, SCO2, SCO3, SCO5 and SCO6 all encourage development to be	Policy SCO1 - 0
modes of transport				where new community facilities are	influence or promote sustainable transport.	Policy SCO2 - 0		
				provided.			neutral, as they do not encourage nor discourage sustainable transport options.	Policy SCO3 - 0
							Policy SCO4 and Proposal 17 encourage greater accessibility to open space. From a cumulative perspective, the policies are likely	Proposal 17 - +
							to have benefits in the long-term.	Policy SCO4 - +
								Policy SCO5 - 0
								Policy SCO6 - 0

Travel and Transport

- Policy TT1 Protection of the Island's Footpath and Cycle Network
- Policy TT2 Footpath Provision and Enhancement
- Proposal 18 Pedestrian Priority
- Policy TT3 Cycle Routes
- Policy TT4 Cycle Parking
- Policy TT5 Road Safety

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

Clear emphasis upon the need to shift towards more sustainable ways of travelling.

Acknowledges the need for new developments to carefully consider transport and access and that restrictions may need to be imposed upon some new developments.

To support the travel and transport policies, new mixed use developments i.e. housing and employment will be promoted.

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	0	0	+	Potential indirect benefits across the Island where there is a focus upon road safety, public realm improvements for pedestrians and new cycle routes.	Permanent	High	Policies are encouraging the protection and creation of cycle routes, footpaths and associated environmental features such as trees, woodland and boundary features and heritage assets. Proposal 18 creates pedestrian priority areas and the public realm improvements that are promoted through could also indirectly benefit biodiversity if they involve some planting and landscaping. Effects assessed as neutral. Policies TT4 and TT5 are not likely to have any significant effects on biodiversity.	Policy TT1 - + Policy TT2 - + Proposal 18 - + Policy TT3 - + Policy TT4 - 0 Policy TT5 - 0
2) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	It is considered unlikely that the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5-	LT	Extent of Effect	Permanent	Low	Recommendations	Specific Policies
		10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
enhance coastal and							this part of the plan would significantly affect	Policy TT2 - 0
marine biodiversity							coastal and marine biodiversity.	Proposal 18 - 0
								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0
quality affordable nousing that meets the						this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT2 - 0	
requirements of the local							,	Proposal 18 - 0
population								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	+	+	Potential indirect	Permanent	Low	The provision of safe footpaths and cycle	Policy TT1 - +
development of sustainable				benefits across the Island where there is			routes on the Island could have indirect benefits for communities by improving	Policy TT2 - +
communities ¹⁰				a focus upon road			accessibility and improving the quality of the	Proposal 18 - +
				safety, public realm improvements for		environment. There is a clear link between these policies and improving the quality of	these policies and improving the quality of	Policy TT3 - +
			pedestrians and new cycle routes.			the public realm in certain locations across the Island.	Policy TT4 - +	
				Syste foutes.			aro rotatra.	Policy TT5 - +

⁻

¹⁰ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	o	0	+	Benefits likely to occur in urban areas such as St. Helier.	Permanent	High	The provision of new cycle and pedestrian routes, coupled with enhancements to the public realm and road safety are likely to	Policy TT1 - + Policy TT2 - 0
							help attract inward investment. For example, the focus upon pedestrian priority is likely to be particularly beneficial although it is	Proposal 18 - + Policy TT3 - +
							recognised that for some businesses restrictions on vehicular access may be	Policy TT4 - +
							perceived to have an adverse effect on their business.	Policy TT5 - +
6)To improve physical	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	The provision and enhancement of footpaths	Policy TT1 - +
and mental health for all and reduce health							and cycle routes will help work towards improving the physical fitness of the	Policy TT2 - +
inequalities							population on the Island. Therefore, effects have been assessed as positive. There	Proposal 18 - +
							could also be indirect health benefits as a	Policy TT3 - +
							result of improved air quality in the long-term if the policies are effective in encouraging a	Policy TT4 - +
							modal shift and a reduction in car use. Improvements to road safety would also help to reduce the risk of accidents.	Policy TT5 - +
7) To guard against land	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0
contamination and encourage the							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT2 - 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites								Proposal 18 - 0
Diowillela sites								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
8) To protect soil	O	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0
functions							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT2 - 0
								Proposal 18 - 0
								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0
9)To protect and	0	0	+	Primarily urban areas	Permanent	High	In the long-term these policies could	Policy TT1 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of water				such as St. Helier.			encourage a gradual modal shift which is likely to reduce the use of the private car and there may also be improved road safety as promoted through policy TT5. This could	Policy TT2 - 0
resources								Proposal 18 - 0
							indirectly benefit water quality, as accidents	Policy TT3 - 0
							and runoff can cause water pollution.	Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - +
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT2 - 0
waters								Proposal 18 - 0
								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0
11) To protect and	0	0	+	Across the island but	Permanent	High	Although not directly mentioned in the	Policy TT1 - +

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
improve air quality				potentially more			policies, supporting the provision of and	Policy TT2 - +
				prominent in St. Helier.			enhancement of cycleways and footpaths may have indirect benefits on air quality as	Proposal 18 - +
							people may be more inclined to walk/cycle rather than use their car. Benefits are more	Policy TT3 - +
							likely to be realised in the long-term.	Policy TT4 - +
								Policy TT5 - +
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	+	Across the island but	Permanent	High	Although not directly mentioned in the	Policy TT1 - +
climate change				potentially more prominent in St.			policies, supporting the provision of and enhancement of cycleways and footpaths	Policy TT2 - +
				Helier.			may have minor indirect benefits on climate change as people may be more inclined to	Proposal 18 - +
							walk/cycle rather than use their car which	Policy TT3 - +
							should contribute to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport	Policy TT4 - +
							sources (approximately one third of greenhouse gas emissions in Jersey come from motorised vehicles).	Policy TT5 - +
13) To increase energy	O	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Collectively the policies could lead to more	Policy TT1 - +
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							walking and cycling and public transport use, rather than use of the private car which	Policy TT2 - +
sources							in the long-term could contribute to a reduction in energy use e.g. through fuel consumption.	Proposal 18 - +
								Policy TT3 - +
								Policy TT4 - +
								Policy TT5 - +
14) To protect and	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Collectively the polices could contribute to	Policy TT1 - +

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
enhance the cultural heritage resource					remporary	T light	an improvement to the setting of some cultural heritage resources by encouraging a modal shift and reducing traffic flows, and by retaining these as features along routes. Also, the improvements to the public realm that may be delivered through enhanced cycle and pedestrian areas may also offer	Policy TT2 - + Proposal 18 - + Policy TT3 - + Policy TT4 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy TT3 states that 'the provision or the enhancement of existing cycling infrastructure should seek to minimise the development upon the landscape, through appropriate design' therefore this policy seeks to protect landscape resources and	Policy TT5 - + Policy TT1 - + Policy TT2 - + Proposal 18 - +
							effects have been deemed positive. Policy TT2 also highlights that footpath provision and enhancement will only be considered if it respects the character of the area.	Policy TT3 - +
							Collectively, the policies could benefit the landscape and townscape if they contribute to a reduction in the private car use which should help to reduce the congestion and improve the appearance of the streetscape.	Policy TT5 - +
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT1 - 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT2 - 0
sustainable resource use								Proposal 18 - 0

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low	Recommendations	Specific Policies
		10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
								Policy TT3 - 0
								Policy TT4 - 0
								Policy TT5 - 0
17) To promote the use	++	++	++	Across the Island	Permanent	High	All the policies within this section make a	Policy TT1 - +
of more sustainable modes of transport							commitment to improving safe pedestrian access around the Island whether improving	Policy TT2 - +
							footpaths or maintaining cycle routes therefore effects have been assessed as	Proposal 18 - +
							major positive.	Policy TT3 - +
								Policy TT4 - +
								Policy TT5 - +

Travel and Transport – Public Transport Policies

- Policy TT6 Park and Ride
- Policy TT7 Better Public Transport
- Policy TT8 Access to Public Transport
- Policy TT9 Travel Plans

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

There is some anecdotal evidence that people already 'park and ride' in Jersey using the facilities at Goose Green and Les Quennevais.

There is a clear emphasis upon giving buses greater priority

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	t	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Not applicable		High	Biodiversity resources are covered in a separate section of the Draft Island Plan, but policies TT6 and TT7 ensure that development is carried out in accordance with the General Development Principles, Policy GD1. This will ensure that new development will not cause adverse effects to biodiversity resources and so effects have been recorded as positive.	Policy TT6 – + Policy TT7 – + Policy TT8 – 0 Policy TT9 – 0
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	It is considered unlikely that the policies in this part of the plan would significantly affect coastal and marine biodiversity.	Policy TT6 – 0 Policy TT7 – 0 Policy TT8 – 0 Policy TT9 – 0
3) To provide good quality affordable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the	Policy TT6 – 0 Policy TT7 – 0

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	et	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
housing that meets the requirements of the local population		1391,			тетпрогату	Пgп	Objective.	Policy TT8 – 0 Policy TT9 – 0
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities ¹¹	0	+	+	Potential for benefits across the Island	Permanent	Low	Better public transport facilities across the Island will make places more accessible to the public therefore contributing to the development of sustainable communities. Benefits are more likely to be realised in the medium to long term. Policy TT8 addresses the need for new developments including housing to be accessible to public transport and this should ensure that new	Policy TT6 – + Policy TT7 – + Policy TT8 – + Policy TT9 - +
							communities are not established that cannot access facilities and services.	
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	0	+	+	Potential for benefits across the Island	Permanent	Low	These policies have the potential to contribute to economic growth by providing improved public transport links to support new development across the Island. Improved public transport facilities could help to reduce congestion which could make parts of the Island more attractive for inward investment.	Policy TT6 – + Policy TT7 – + Policy TT8 – + Policy TT9 - +
6)To improve physical and mental health for all	0	+	+	Potential for benefits across the Island	Permanent	Low	Collectively the policies could help to reduce congestion which could reduce driver stress	Policy TT6 – + Policy TT7 – +

⁻

¹¹ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
and reduce health							issues (Highways Agency <i>et al</i> : Design Manual for Roads and Bridges Volume 11 Section 3 Part 9).	Policy TT8 – +
inequalities								Policy TT9 - +
							Reducing congestion could also encourage more individuals to walk and cycle which could indirectly contribute to greater activity levels.	
7) To guard against land	0	0	0			High	Policy TT6 states that provision for park and	Policy TT6 – +
contamination and encourage the							ride should be within the built up area which should encourage the use of brownfield or	Policy TT7 – 0
appropriate re-use of							existing sites and not greenfield sites.	Policy TT8 – 0
brownfield sites								Policy TT9 – 0
8) To protect soil	O	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT6 – 0
functions							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT7 – 0
								Policy TT8 – 0
								Policy TT9 – 0
9)To protect and	0	0	+	Across the Island but	Permanent	High	In the long-term, these policies could	Policy TT6 – +
enhance the quality and availability of water				primarily in urban locations			encourage a gradual modal shift which is likely to reduce the use of the private car.	Policy TT7 – +
resources							This could indirectly benefit water quality, as accidents and runoff from roads can cause	Policy TT8 – +
			Policy TT9 - +					
10) To protect and	0 0 0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT6 – 0		
enhance coastal,							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the	Policy TT7 – 0

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
intertidal and marine							Objective.	Policy TT8 – 0
waters								Policy TT9 – 0
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	Improving public transport services and	Policy TT6 – +
					facilities across Jersey could lead to indired benefits to air quality, as the numbers of	Policy TT7 – +		
							private cars used will be reduced. Therefore	Policy TT8 – +
							effects have been assessed as minor positive in the long-term as a modal shift would be gradual.	Policy TT9 - +
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	+	Across the island but	Permanent	High	Although not directly mentioned in the	Policy TT6 – +
climate change				potentially more prominent in St.			policies, supporting the development of the public transport network and improving	Policy TT7 – +
				Helier.			facilities may have minor indirect benefits on	Policy TT8 – +
							climate change as people may be more inclined to use public transport rather than using their car which should contribute to a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from transport sources (approximately one third of greenhouse gas emissions in Jersey come from motorised vehicles).	Policy TT9 - +
13) To increase energy	0 0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Collectively the policies could lead to more	Policy TT6 – +	
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							public transport use, rather than use of the private car which in the long-term could	Policy TT7 – +
sources							contribute to a reduction in energy use e.g.	Policy TT8 – +
							through fuel consumption.	Policy TT9 - +
14) To protect and	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Collectively the policies could contribute to	Policy TT6 – +

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
enhance the cultural heritage resource							an improvement to the setting of some cultural heritage resources by encouraging a modal shift and reducing traffic flows. Policy	Policy TT7 – +
Themage resource								Policy TT8 – +
							TT6 states that new park and ride facilities should not adversely affect the local environment and that facilities need to be appropriately designed which should help avoid adverse effects on heritage resources.	Policy TT9 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape,	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Collectively, the policies could benefit the landscape and townscape if they contribute	Policy TT6 – +
seascape and townscape character and							to a reduction in the private car use which should help to reduce congestion and	Policy TT7 – +
quality							improve the appearance of the streetscape. Policy TT6 also states that park and ride	Policy TT8 – +
							facilities should be appropriately designed and located to minimise their visual impact.	Policy TT9 - +
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT6 – 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT7 – 0
sustainable resource use								Policy TT8 – 0
								Policy TT9 – 0
17) To promote the use	++	++	++	Across the Island	Permanent	High	All the policies assessed within this section	Policy TT6 – +
of more sustainable modes of transport							make a commitment to either improving existing public transport facilities or the	Policy TT7 – +
·							development of new facilities. Therefore	Policy TT8 – +
							effects have been assessed as major beneficial. There is also a clear commitment in Policy TT9 regarding the need for travel plans to be developed for large	Policy TT9 - +

Environmental	Туре	of Effec	et	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr) MT (5- LT 10yr) >10yr)		Extent of Effect	Permanent	Low	Recommendations	Specific Policies	
		10yr) >10yr)			Temporary	High		
							developments and for progress on the plan	
							to be monitored which is a significant	
							strength as this will demonstrate whether the	
							plans are proving effective.	

Travel and Transport - Parking Related Policies

- Policy TT10 Off-Street Public Parking Provision In St. Helier
- Policy TT11 Private Car Parks in St. Helier
- Policy TT12 Parking Provision Outside St. Helier
- Proposal 19 Parking Guidelines

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The majority of parking spaces in St. Helier are private. However, if a reduction in congestion and private car use is to be achieved then parking provision in St. Helier needs to be regulated and managed.

There will be a focus upon ensuring that the location of new parking provision is appropriate and parking provision which would encourage unnecessary vehicular traffic into and across St. Helier will be discouraged.

The text provides specific details about parking provision in different parts of St. Helier, making reference to specific car parks and the implications of new developments upon parking availability and provision.

The focus is upon releasing road space to support the enhancement of the public realm.

Environmental	Турс	e of Effec	ot	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	, , , ,	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	0	O	O	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective. However, policy TT12 states that proposals for new car parks outside of St. Helier will only be permitted where environmental capacity exists to accommodate the proposals.	Policy TT10 - 0 Policy TT11 - 0 Policy TT12 - + Proposal 19 - 0
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT10 - 0 Policy TT11 - 0 Policy TT12 - 0 Proposal 19 - 0

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT10 - 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT11 - 0
requirements of the local population								Policy TT12 - 0
population								Proposal 19 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	+	Across the Island but	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of the policies is upon	Policy TT10 - +
development of sustainable	concentrated in St.Helier be some indirect benefits for communate processing the some indirect benefits for communate process. The some indirect benefits for communate process. The some indirect benefits for communate process.	parking standards and provision, there may be some indirect benefits for communities	Policy TT11 - +					
communities ¹²					St.Helier			across the Island, particularly in St.Helier. A presumption against off-street parking
							provision is likely to make the urban environment more attractive and there is a clear focus upon encouraging improvements to the public realm through restricting parking and only permitting it in essential locations which could offer some very minor indirect benefits in the long-term. The policies could also encourage a shift towards the use of more sustainable modes of transport which again would benefit communities.	Proposal 19 - 0
5)To promote sustainable economic	0	0	+	Across the Island but likely to be	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of the policies is upon parking standards and provision, there may	Policy TT10 - +
growth				concentrated in			be some indirect benefits for the Island's	Policy TT11 - +

⁻

¹² The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental	Туре	e of Effec	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
				St.Helier			economy, if congestion reduces. A presumption against off-street parking	Policy TT12 - +
							presumption against oir-street parking provision is likely to make the urban environment more attractive and there is a clear focus upon encouraging improvements to the public realm through restricting parking and only permitting it in essential locations which could offer some very minor indirect benefits in the long-term and help to attract inward investment.	Proposal 19 - 0
6)To improve physical and mental health for all		Collectively the policies could help to reduce congestion which could reduce driver stress						
and reduce health				across the lotaria			issues Highways Agency <i>et al</i> : Design Manual for Roads and Bridges Volume 11	Policy TT11 - +
inequalities							Section 3 Part 9).	Policy TT12 - +
							Reducing congestion could also encourage more individuals to walk and cycle which could indirectly contribute to greater activity levels.	Proposal 19 - 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	0	0	0	Potential benefits in urban areas,	Permanent	High	Policy TT10 states that the redevelopment of surface level off-street public car parking	Policy TT10 - +
encourage the				particularly St. Helier			provision in St. Helier will not normally be	Policy TT11 - +
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites						resisted and this indirectly encourages the redevelopment of brownfield sites. Similar	Policy TT12 - 0	
							clauses are included in policy TT11 as the redevelopment of existing private car parks will generally be encouraged if they are not related to a particular building.	Proposal 19 - 0
8) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Discouraging the creation of new car parks	Policy TT10 - 0

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
functions							should indirectly protect loss of land to this	Policy TT11 - 0
							land use and hence soil functions.	Policy TT12 - 0
								Proposal 19 - 0
9)To protect and	-	-	-	Across the Island	Permanent	Low	There is a general presumption throughout	Policy TT10
vailability of water esources				where potential new car parks will be built.			the policies upon discouraging new car parking unless absolutely necessary.	Policy TT11 - 0
		·			However, Policy TT10 and Policy TT12 do	Policy TT12		
							permit new car parking development which could lead to increased areas of hardstanding depending upon where they are situated and the existing site land use. For this reason, negative effects have been recorded. There may be some scope for Policy TT10 to include a clause stating that porous pavements and sustainable drainage systems should be considered as part of new car parking proposals as the development of car parks and hardstanding is likely to lead to an increase in surface water runoff. Something similar is suggested through Policy TT12 where it states that additional car parking should be to a high standard of design and refers to policy GD1, however it could be more explicit.	Proposal 19 - 0
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the	Policy TT10 - 0
enhance coastal,								Policy TT11 - 0

Environmental	Тур	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
intertidal and marine							Objective.	Policy TT12 - 0
waters								Proposal 19 - 0
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	0	+	Across the Island and within St Helier	Permanent	Low	The focus of the policies is largely upon ensuring that car parks are not created or	Policy TT10 - +
							expanded unless absolutely necessary and that the focus is upon redeveloping redundant car park areas. Reducing the number of car parks in St. Helier has the potential to help reduce congestion and this	Policy TT11 - +
								Policy TT12 - +
							could benefit air quality in the long-term. The text supporting the policies states that there will be a priority towards reclaiming road space to improve the public realm which could again help to reduce the risk of congestion. However, this is not apparent in the policy wording and it might be worth including this clearly in the policy. There is some degree of uncertainty about how effective these policies will be in protecting air quality as it will depend upon how they help to reduce congestion and so certainty in the prediction is low.	Proposal 19 - +
2) To limit and adapt to slimate change	+		+ +	Across the Island and within St Helier	Permanent	Low	The focus of the policies is largely upon ensuring that car parks are not created or	Policy TT10 - +
						expanded unless absolutely necessary and that the focus is upon redeveloping redundant car park areas. Reducing the	Policy TT11 - +	
							redundant car park areas. Reducing the number of car parks, particularly in St. Helier	Policy TT12 - +

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
							has the potential to help reduce congestion and car use and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from vehicular sources.	Proposal 19 - +
13) To increase energy	o	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT10 - 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT11 - 0
sources								Policy TT12 - 0
								Proposal 19 - 0
14) To protect and	+	+	+	Across the Island and within St Helier	Permanent	Low	Policy TT10 allows the redevelopment of surface level off-street public car parking	Policy TT10 - +
enhance the cultural heritage resource				and within St Heller			and discourages new temporary surface	Policy TT11 - +
							level off-street parking, both of which can adversely affect cultural heritage resources e.g. Conservation Areas and buildings. There could, therefore, be indirect protection for cultural heritage resources. Similarly all of the policies seek to ensure that only essential car parking is provided and that it is appropriately designed which should again benefit the public realm.	Policy TT12 - +
								Proposal 19 - +
15) To protect and	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of all of the policies is upon	Policy TT10 - +
enhance landscape, seascape and				and within St. Helier			ensuring that only essential parking provision is provided to try and reduce	Policy TT11 - +
townscape character and quality							congestion and facilitate the use of other forms of transport such as walking, cycling	Policy TT12 - +
quanty							and public transport. If a modal shift occurs in the long-term there should be benefits for the townscape of St. Helier and potentially other parts of the Island. Policy TT12 also	Proposal 19 - +

Environmental	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and	Performance of
Objective	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)	Extent of Effect	Permanent Temporary	Low High	Recommendations	Specific Policies
							clearly highlights the need for any new parking provision outside of St. Helier to be appropriately designed. It is recommended that a clause is added to Policy TT10 that is similar to that in TT12 addressing high quality design and referring to policy GD1.	
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the	Policy TT10 - 0
recycling and to promote					Objective.	Policy TT11 - 0		
sustainable resource use								Policy TT12 - 0
								Proposal 19 - 0
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	+	+	+	Within St Helier and surrounding areas	Permanent	High	The focus of all of the policies is upon ensuring that only essential parking	Policy TT10 - +
modes of transport							provision is provided to try and reduce congestion and facilitate the use of other	Policy TT11 - +
							forms of transport such as walking, cycling and public transport. The policies are,	Policy TT12 - +
							therefore, indirectly promoting the use of sustainable modes of transport. In Policy TT10 there is a presumption against new additional off-street public parking spaces, in TT11 there is a presumption against new private, non-residential car parks and support for the redevelopment of existing private car parks.	Proposal 19 - +

Travel and Transport - Highway Network Policies

- Policy TT13 Protection of the Highway Network
- Policy TT14 Highway Improvements

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

Support for the development of improved or new highway infrastructure will only be justified where there are other compelling reasons related to the Island's economy, environmental or highway safety interests or where the proposed change will support and encourage the use of other modes of transport.

New roads or changes to highway capacity should not isolate or exclude pedestrians and cyclists.

Traffic modelling has been undertaken associated with a number of potential new developments in St. Helier including the St. Helier Waterfront, masterplanning the La Collette and St. Helier Harbours and the St. Helier Development and Regeneration Strategy

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Where road improvements are implemented	Permanent	High	New road improvements have the potential to enhance biodiversity if congestion and accident risks are reduced but can also cause adverse effects associated with habitat removal, species disturbance etc. Policy TT13 aims to protect landscape, townscape, cultural heritage and biodiversity resources. Policy TT14 highlights the need for environmental costs and benefits of highway improvement schemes to be assessed which should include biodiversity resources. However the policy wording could be improved slightly to remove any potential ambiguity and to highlight the need for mitigation measures to be developed for potentially adverse effects.	Policy TT13 + Policy TT14 - +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Where road improvements are implemented	Permanent	High	There are unlikely to be effects upon marine biodiversity but coastal biodiversity could potentially be affected. Policy TT13 aims to protect landscape, townscape, cultural heritage and biodiversity resources.	Policy TT13 + Policy TT14 - +
							Policy TT14 highlights the need for environmental costs and benefits of highway improvement schemes to be assessed which should include biodiversity resources. However the policy wording could be improved slightly to remove any potential ambiguity and to highlight the need for mitigation measures to be developed for potentially adverse effects.	
3) To provide good quality affordable housing that meets the requirements of the local population	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT13 - 0 Policy TT14 - 0
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities ¹³	+	+	+	Especially St Helier Ring Road: Francis Street and Beaumont/ Route de la Haule.	Permanent	High	Protection of the highway network and the commitment to highway improvements pays an important role in the development of sustainable communities by ensuring access and helping to reduce congestion. Therefore effects have been assessed as positive. Policy TT14 also states that new road designs and the widening of roads	Policy TT13 - + Policy TT14 - +

-

¹³ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	T	ype of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	should take account of the needs to pedestrian and cyclists.	
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	+	+	+	Across the Island but particularly in St. Helier	Permanent	Low	Policy TT14 states that Highway improvements will only be supported if 'they can facilitate development that is of demonstrable value and significance to the Island's economic interests' therefore effects have been deemed positive. Ensuring that traffic flows are effective and congestion decreases could help to attract inward investors by making the environment more attractive and enhancing the public realm. Modifying the road network is also likely to be essential to facilitate the economic success of some key developments such as the Esplanade Quarter.	Policy TT13 - + Policy TT14 - +
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	Across the Island but particularly in St. Helier	Permanent	Low	Policy TT14 states that highway improvements will be supported if they improve road safety and help to deliver a modal shift. The policy also states that pedestrians and cyclists need to be taken into account in road designs. Whilst not directly contributing to the achievement of this objective, there may be some indirect benefits if a modal shift helps to encourage greater activity levels.	Policy TT13 - + Policy TT14 - +
7) To guard against land contamination and encourage the appropriate re-use of	0	0	O	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT13 - 0 Policy TT14 - 0

Environmental Objective	T	pe of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
brownfield sites								
8) To protect soil	+	+	+	Where road	Permanent	High	TT13 permits the creation of new access routes	Policy TT13 - +
unctions				improvements are implemented			onto the Primary Route Network which could potentially affect soil functions, however with development taking place in line the principles of Policy GD1, there should be few negative impacts and potentially some benefits.	Policy TT14 - +
							Highway improvement schemes have the potential to affect soil resources by causing pollution, compaction or direct loss of soils through excavation. Policy TT14 states that the environmental costs and benefits of a scheme should be assessed which should protect soil resources. However the policy wording could be improved slightly to remove any potential ambiguity and to highlight the need for mitigation measures to be developed for potentially adverse	
9)To protect and enhance the quality and	+	+	+	Where road improvements are	Permanent	High	TT13 permits the creation of new access routes onto the Primary Route Network which could	Policy TT13 - +
availability of water resources		implemented			onto the Primary Route Network which could potentially affect soil functions, however with development taking place in line the principles of Policy GD1, there should be few negative impacts and potentially some benefits. Highway improvement schemes have the potential to affect soil resources by causing pollution, compaction or direct loss of soils through excavation. Policy TT14 states that the environmental costs and benefits of a scheme should be assessed which should protect soil resources. However the policy wording could be improved slightly to remove any potential ambiguity and to highlight the need for mitigation measures to be developed for potentially adverse effects. High TT13 permits the creation of new access routes			
							potential to affect water resources by modifying drainage patterns and affecting water quality.	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							and benefits of a scheme should be assessed which should protect water resources. However the policy wording could be improved slightly to remove any potential ambiguity and to highlight the need for mitigation measures to be developed for potentially adverse effects.	
10) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this	Policy TT13 - 0
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine waters							section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT14 - 0
11) To protect and		Policy TT13 - +						
improve air quality				improvements are implemented			improvements will help to reduce existing congestion levels and support a modal shift. So, whilst road improvements have the potential to adversely affect air quality, the focus is upon benefitting traffic movement and potentially air quality in the long-term. Effects have been assessed as positive but with a low level of certainty. However, Policy TT13 and TT14 could both be strengthened with regards to their environmental protection provisions. Any schemes of this nature that are brought forward would need to be subject to further assessment.	Policy TT14 - +
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	0	Where road	Permanent	Low	The focus is upon ensuring that any new highway	Policy TT13 - +
climate change				improvements are implemented improvements will help to reduce existing congestion levels and support a modal shift. So, whilst road improvements have the potential to adversely affect air quality, the focus is upon benefitting traffic movement and potentially	Policy TT14 - +			

Environmental Objective	T	ype of Ef	fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
					Tomposity Tilgin	reducing carbon dioxide emissions in the long- term. However, such benefits are only likely to be very minor and so effects have been assessed as neutral.		
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Environmental Objective.	Policy TT13 - 0 Policy TT14 - 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	+	+	+	Where road improvements are implemented	Permanent	Low	TT13 permits the creation of new access routes onto the Primary Route Network but aims to protect landscape, townscape, cultural heritage and biodiversity resources. The wording of Policy TT14 could be strengthened to ensure the protection of cultural heritage resources. However, this policy does clearly state that the environmental costs and benefits of highway improvements must be evaluated.	Policy TT13 + Policy TT14 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	+	+	+	Not applicable	Permanent	Low	TT13 permits the creation of new access routes onto the Primary Route Network, but aims to protect landscape, townscape, cultural heritage and biodiversity resources. The wording of Policy TT14 could also be strengthened. However, this policy does clearly state that the environmental costs and benefits of highway improvements must be evaluated. However, the focus of the policies is upon only permitting highway improvements that will benefit	Policy TT13 - Policy TT14 - +

Environmental Objective			fect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							traffic flows and help to reduce congestion which in the long-term could benefit the public realm and the wider townscape/landscape.	
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and recycling and to promote	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT13 - 0 Policy TT14 - 0
sustainable resource use								
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of both of these policies is improving traffic flows, reducing congestion levels and	Policy TT13 - +
modes of transport							supporting the delivery of a modal shift. Effects have, therefore, been assessed as positive.	Policy TT14 - +

Travel and Transport - Port and Airport Policies

- Policy TT15 Operational Development at the Port of St Helier and Jersey Airport
- Policy TT16 Aircraft Noise Zones
- Policy TT17 Airport Public Safety Zones

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The present port facilities are ageing and inefficient as they cannot support 24 hour operations or provide berthing for larger vessels.

Feasibility work has shown that a new port could be sited in various configurations at La Collette. This option, as well as the future development of La Collette, the Port of St. Helier and the Inner Harbours is currently the subject of a feasibility study.

A Masterplan for the airport was drawn up in 2002 to provide the basis for the development of the airport. The Masterplan is in the process of review but a number of projects emerging from it have been or are in the process of being implemented.

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		ct	Geographical Permanency Extent of Effect		Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+/-	+/-	+/-	Within airport public safety zones and the operational areas of the port and airport.	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of the policies is not upon protecting and enhancing biodiversity, Policy TT17 could offer indirect benefits, as it seeks to keep development to a minimum within airport public safety zones which should discourage some development. However, Policy TT15 should be strengthened to ensure that it clearly highlights the need for the environmental effects of new development within the operational area of the Port and airport to be assessed before they are permitted.	Policy TT15 Policy TT16 - 0 Policy TT17 - +
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+/-	+/-	+/-	Within airport public safety zones	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of the policies is not upon protecting and enhancing biodiversity, Policy TT17 could offer indirect benefits, as it seeks to	Policy TT15 Policy TT16 - 0

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							keep development to a minimum within airport public safety zones which should discourage some development.	Policy TT17 - +
							However, Policy TT15 should be strengthened to ensure that it clearly highlights the need for the environmental effects of new development within the operational area of the Port and airport to be assessed before they are permitted.	
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
requirements of the local population								Policy TT17 - 0
4)To encourage the	+	+	+	Within the	Permanent	High	Maintaining the ports and airports of Jersey will	Policy TT15 - +
development of sustainable				communities of the Island			contribute to achieving sustainable communities as they provide employment opportunities and	Policy TT16 - 0
communities ¹⁴				Ioland			are very important to the Island's economy.	Policy TT17 - 0
5)To promote	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	Maintaining the ports and airports of Jersey will	Policy TT15 - +
sustainable economic growth							contribute to achieving sustainable growth.	Policy TT16 - 0
9								Policy TT17 - 0
6)To improve physical	+	+	+	Within the airport	Permanent	Low	The focus of policies TT16 and TT17 are	Policy TT15 - 0

.

¹⁴ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
and mental health for all				safety zones and in			controlling noise sensitive development close to	Policy TT16 - +
and reduce health inequalities				the vicinity of the airport.			the airport and ensuring public safety and so positive effects are recorded against this Objective.	Policy TT17 - +
7) To guard against land	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
contamination and encourage the							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites								Policy TT17 - 0
8) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
functions							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
								Policy TT17 - 0
9)To protect and	+/-	+/-	+/-	Within airport public	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of the policies is not upon	Policy TT15
enhance the quality and availability of water				safety zones and the operational areas of			protecting and enhancing water resources, Policy TT17 could offer indirect benefits, as it	Policy TT16 - 0
resources				the port and airport.			seeks to keep development to a minimum within airport public safety zones which should discourage some development.	Policy TT17 - +
							However, Policy TT15 should be strengthened to ensure that it clearly highlights the need for the environmental effects of new development within the operational area of the Port and airport to be assessed before they are permitted as such development could potentially affect water quality.	
10) To protect and	-	-	_	Marine and coastal	Permanent	High	Policy TT15 allows operational development at	Policy TT15

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
enhance coastal,				areas within and in			the port. Such development has the potential to	Policy TT16 - 0
intertidal and marine waters				close proximity to the port			adversely affect the marine environment and it is recommended that this policy is strengthened from an environmental perspective to ensure any potential adverse effects are mitigated.	Policy TT17 - +
11) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
improve air quality							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
								Policy TT17 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
climate change							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
								Policy TT17 - 0
13) To increase energy	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
sources								Policy TT17 - 0
14) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
enhance the cultural heritage resource							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
3								Policy TT17 - 0
15) To protect and	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	It is considered unlikely that there would be	Policy TT15 - 0
enhance landscape, seascape and							significant changes to the landscape as a result of new development within the operational areas of the port and the airport, however there could be some risks associated with the	Policy TT16 - 0
townscape character and quality								Policy TT17 - 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
		10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							creation of tall or obtrusive structures that would need to be assessed carefully through the planning process.	
							There could be some indirect protection to the landscape around the airport as a result of the limited development that can occur for safety reasons.	
16) To minimise waste,	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
increase re-use and recycling and to promote							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
sustainable resource use								Policy TT17 - 0
17) To promote the use	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in	Policy TT15 - 0
of more sustainable modes of transport							this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Objective.	Policy TT16 - 0
·								Policy TT17 - 0

Natural Resources and Utilities -Water Resources and Energy Resources

- Policy NR1 Protection of Water Resources
- Proposal 20 Water Conservation

Key Assumptions in the Supporting Text

The need to protect the quality and quantity of all of Jersey's waters is identified. The supporting text provides background to the development of a single designation in the new Island Plan of 'Water Pollution Safeguarding Areas'.

Information is provided about existing water consumption rates across the Island. It is also anticipated that there is sufficient raw water storage to meet needs over the plan period.

Environmental Objective			ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy NR1 states that development that would cause an adverse effect on the aquatic environment would not be permitted. Therefore, the protection of the Islands water resources will have a direct effect on certain species. Proposal 20 could have indirect benefits by ensuring that water is conserved which could reduce the need for over abstraction which could adversely affect ecological resources.	Policy NR1 – + Proposal 20 – +
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across coastal areas of the Island	Permanent	High	Policy NR1 states that development that would cause an adverse effect on the aquatic environment would not be permitted. This policy would therefore have direct benefits to marine biodiversity which is of very	Policy NR1 – + Proposal 20 – 0

Environmental Objective	Туро	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	high value.	
3) To provide good quality affordable housing that meets the requirements of the local population	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities ¹⁵	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of the policies is not upon improving health specifically. However, Proposal 20 and Policy NR1 could have long-term benefits for health and well-being as they address the need to encourage improved water conservation and management which could help to reduce risks associated with water supply shortages in the future.	Policy NR1 – + Proposal 20 – +

-

¹⁵ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
7) To guard against land contamination and	0	0	0		Permanent	High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0
encourage the appropriate re-use of prownfield sites							policios and the objective.	Proposal 20 – 0
B) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable	Permanent	High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0
Tanono							policies and the Objective.	Proposal 20 – 0
enhance the quality and	++	++	++	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy NR1 and Proposal 20 make a commitment to protecting the Island's	Policy NR1 - ++
ermance the quality and availability of water resources				actoss the Island			water resources therefore effects have been assessed as positive. Water is a precious resource within Jersey therefore it is important to protect it. The policy advocates water efficient design.	Proposal 20 - ++
(0) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across	Permanent	High	Not only is it important to protect freshwater water resources but it is	Policy NR1 - +
enhance coastal, ntertidal and marine waters				marine areas of the Island			equally important to protect marine water resources therefore effects have been assessed as positive against Policy NR1 and Proposal 20 for the same reasons as above.	Proposal 20 - +
I1) To protect and mprove air quality	0	0	0 0	Not applicable		High There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.		Policy NR1 - 0
inplove all quality							Proposal 20 - 0	

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	Low	It will be important to adapt to the risks posed by climate change and water resource availability is something that could become more scarce in the future. Policy NR1 and Proposal 20 seek to encourage water conservation which should have benefits in the medium to long-term and help the Island adapt to this challenge.	Policy NR1 - + Proposal 20 - +
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural neritage resource	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 - 0 Proposal 20 - 0
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 - 0 Proposal 20 - 0
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and recycling and to promote sustainable resource use	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect			Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR1 – 0 Proposal 20 – 0

Natural Resources and Utilities - Energy

- Policy NR2 Exploratory, Appraisal or Prototype Energy Proposals Off-Shore Utility Scale Renewable Energy Proposals
- Policy NR3 Off-shore Utility Scale Renewable Energy Development
- Policy NR4 Proposals for Onshore Renewable Energy Production

Key Issues and Assumptions in the Supporting Chapter Text

There is a recognised need to ensure a secure, affordable and sustainable energy supply for the Island. It is assumed that on-shore wind turbine development would not be appropriate on the Island.

Promotes the adoption of sustainable energy solutions at all levels including at the local and district scale, although this is not specifically reflected in the wording of the policies in this section.

Environmental Objective	Тур	Type of Effect		Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	Low	All three policies state that an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is	NR2 - +
and freshwater biodiversity							required in order for renewable energy schemes to be considered and ultimately permitted. Therefore appropriate mitigation measures would be needed to protect biodiversity resources from adverse effects and so effects have been deemed positive. Equally, potential positive effects should be maximised through the design process. Policies NR2, NR3 and NR4 would benefit from highlighting the need to clarify bullet point e to ensure no significant impacts on the environment or neighbouring uses	NR3 - +
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	Low	All three policies state that an EIA is required in order for renewable energy schemes to be considered and ultimately	NR2 - +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
piodiversity							permitted. Therefore appropriate mitigation measures should be included in Environmental Statements assessing potential effects on biodiversity resources	NR3 - +
							and ensuring that appropriate mitigation measures have been developed. See recommendations above regarding	NR4 - +
3) To provide good quality affordable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and	NR2 - 0
housing that meets the requirements of the local population	ousing that meets ne requirements of					the Environmental Objective.	NR3 - 0	
ine local population								NR4 - 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Renewable energy schemes on the Island	NR2 - 0
development of sustainable							will contribute to providing sustainable energy for communities. Securing reliable	NR3 - 0
communities							renewable energy could benefit communities by helping to reduce risks to energy prices i.e. by reducing reliability on imported energy sources. However, the policies themselves are considered unlikely to result in significant effects upon this objective.	NR4 - 0
5)To promote	0	0	+	Potential for benefits	Permanent	High	Promoting energy efficiency has the	NR2 - +
sustainable economic growth		potential to benefit companies by reducing their energy bills. There may also be scope	NR3 - +					

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								NR4 - +
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and the Environmental Objective.	NR2 - 0
inequalities								NR4 - 0
7) To guard against land contamination	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island		High	All three policies highlight the need for there to be no adverse pollution effects.	NR2 - 0
and encourage the appropriate re-use				isiana			These policies are not intended to focus	NR3 - 0
of brownfield sites							upon Brownfield land use.	NR4 - 0
8) To protect soil functions	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Whilst the focus of these policies is not	NR2 - +
iunctions				ISIANG			upon protecting soil functions, all of the policies highlight the need for EIAs to be undertaken and the need for there to not be an unreasonable impact on the local environment.	NR3 - +
								NR4 - +
9)To protect and	+/-	+/-	+/-	Potential for effects in	Permanent	Low	All three policies highlight the need for	NR2 - +/-

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
enhance the quality and availability of water resources				coastal areas.	Temporary		there to be no adverse pollution effects. They also state that there should not be an unacceptable impact on hydrology or coastal processes thereby taking on board an earlier recommendation of the SEA process.	NR3 - +/- NR4 - +/-
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Potential for effects in	Permanent	High	All three policies state that an EIA is	NR2 - +
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine				the coastal, intertidal and marine areas.	Temporary		required in order for renewable energy schemes to be considered and ultimately	NR3 - +
waters							permitted. Therefore appropriate mitigation measures should be identified that should protect the coastal, intertidal and marine environment. All policies also specifically make reference to the need to avoid unacceptable impacts on the marine, intertidal and coastal environment as well as coastal processes.	NR4 - +
11) To protect and improve air quality	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	Renewable energy schemes will help to improve the Islands air quality as they do	NR2 - +
							not produce large quantities of greenhouse gasses therefore effects have been assessed as positive.	NR3 - +
								NR4 - +
12) To limit and adapt to climate	++	++	++	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	The policies within this section concentrate on the use of renewable energy schemes	NR2 - ++

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
change							on the Island, whether onshore or offshore. These schemes will contribute to the	NR3 - ++
							Islands renewable energy targets and will help to combat climate change.	NR4 - ++
13) To increase energy efficiency	++	++	++	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	The policies within this section concentrate on the use of renewable energy schemes	NR2 - ++
and require the use of renewable energy sources							on the Island, whether onshore or offshore. These schemes will contribute to the Islands renewable energy targets and will	NR3 - ++
							help to combat climate change.	NR4 - ++
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	Low	All three policies state that an EIA is required in order for renewable energy schemes to be considered and ultimately	NR2 - +
							permitted. Therefore effects on cultural heritage resources will be managed through this assessment process.	NR3 - +
								NR4 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	Low	All three policies state that an EIA is required in order for renewable energy schemes to be considered and ultimately	NR2 - +

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
townscape character and quality							permitted. Therefore effects on landscape/townscape and visual amenity should be assessed and appropriately mitigated.	NR3 - +
								NR4 - +
16) To minimise waste, increase re-	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island.	Permanent	High	The text supporting the policies states that new developments should incorporate	NR2 - 0
use and recycling and to promote sustainable							sustainable design features and that they should seek to reduce consumption of natural resources. This issue is not	NR3 - 0
resource use							specifically addressed in these policies but is reflected in other policies in the plan (GD1, M2)	NR4 - 0
17) To promote the use of more	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies in this section of the Draft Island Plan and	NR2 - 0
sustainable modes of transport	s the Objective.	the Objective.	NR3 - 0					
								NR4 - 0

Natural Resources and Utilities - Safety Zones for Hazardous Installations and Minerals

- Policy NR5 Safety Zones for Hazardous Installations
- Policy NR6 Supply of Aggregates
- Policy NR7 Secondary and Recycled Materials/Alternative Aggregates Production
- Policy NR8 New or Extended Mineral Workings
- Policy NR9 Restoration, Aftercare and After Use
- Policy NR10 Use of Planning Conditions on Mineral Workings
- Policy NR11 Use of Legal Agreements
- Policy NR12 New Off-loading Facilities for Imported Aggregates

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

A summary of information is provided about the mineral reserves available across the Island and the potential future extraction requirements. Only sand and stone are actively worked at present across the Island with the two major rock quarries being Ronez and La Gigoulande.

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits would be	Permanent	High	Policies NR8, NR9 and NR10	Policy NR5 - 0
enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity				felt across the Island			resources at mineral extraction	Policy NR6 – 0
							sites and also offer enhancement opportunities at	Policy NR7 – 0
							the restoration and after use stage. Policy NR11 seeks to	Policy NR8 – +
							obtain agreements with developers relating to operation	Policy NR9 – +
							and site restoration which can include environmental	Policy NR10 – +
							measures. Policy NR12 seeks	Policy NR11 – +

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							to ensure there are minimal impacts on marine or terrestrial habitats.	Policy NR12 – +
2) To protect and	0	+	+	St Helier Harbour	Permanent	Low	Policy NR12 seeks to ensure	Policy NR5 - 0
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity							there are minimal impacts on marine or terrestrial habitats.	Policy NR6 – 0
							Specific reference is made to the need to avoid adverse	Policy NR7 – 0
							impacts on the South East Coast Ramsar.	Policy NR8 – 0
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – +
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer	Policy NR5 - 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							any direct benefits to this Environmental Objective.	Policy NR6 – 0
requirements of the local population							However, using local non imported material to build new	Policy NR7 – 0
							houses could contribute to keeping the costs of building	Policy NR8 – 0
							new houses low.	Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
4)To encourage the development of	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between	Policy NR5 - 0
sustainable							the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR6 – 0
communities ¹⁶								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – 0
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
5)To promote sustainable economic	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer	Policy NR5 - 0
growth							any direct benefits to this objective, however Policy NR8 does state that new or extensions to mineral workings should retain existing	Policy NR6 – 0
								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – +

-

¹⁶ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							employment opportunities.	Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
6)To improve physical and mental health for all	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer	Policy NR5 - 0
and reduce health							any direct benefits to this Environmental Objective.	Policy NR6 – 0
inequalities								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – 0
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
7) To guard against land contamination and	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt at the sites of	Permanent	High	Policy NR10 seeks to protect against land contamination from	Policy NR5 - 0
encourage the				mineral extraction			against land contamination from mineral workings and therefore supports this Environmental Objective.	Policy NR6 – 0
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – +
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
8) To protect soil functions	+/-	+/-	+/-	Effects would be felt at the sites of	Permanent	Low	Policy NR10 states that during working related operations on	Policy NR5 - 0
Turicuoris				mineral extraction			mineral sites it is important to	Policy NR6 – +/-
							ensure good practice when stripping, handling and storing	Policy NR7 – +/-
							soils. Mineral extraction can have several implications for	Policy NR8 – +/-
							soils, including disrupting the soil ecosystem, soil	Policy NR9 – +/-
							degradation, soil contamination and the disruption of the water	Policy NR10 – +/-
							flow through damaged soils	Policy NR11 – 0
							during storage restoration. Therefore effects have been assessed as positive and negative.	Policy NR12 – 0
9)To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits would be	Permanent	High	Policy NR8 states that new or	Policy NR5 - 0
enhance the quality and availability of water	nhance the quality and vailability of water	felt at the mineral extraction sites and	felt at the mineral extraction sites and			extensions to mineral workings must ensure that the proposal	Policy NR6 – 0	
resources				the immediate			will not damage a source of public water supply or other	Policy NR7 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	oe of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
				surrounding area			water resource. Policy NR10 makes a commitment that site drainage is in place during the working operations of a mineral extraction site and that water resources, water supply and	Policy NR8 – +
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – +
							land drainage (taking into	Policy NR11 – 0
							account de-watering effects of adjacent land) are protected at all times. Therefore effects are predicted to be beneficial.	Policy NR12 – 0
10) To protect and enhance coastal,	0	+	+	St Helier Harbour	Permanent	Low	Policy NR12 has the potential to cause adverse effects on the	Policy NR5 - 0
intertidal and marine							marine environment due to	Policy NR6 – 0
waters							increased shipping traffic importing sand and gravel to the	Policy NR7 – 0
							Island, however it does seek to ensure there are minimal	Policy NR8 – 0
							impacts on marine or terrestrial habitats. The need to protect	Policy NR9 – 0
							the South East Coast Ramsar site is specifically mentioned.	Policy NR10 – 0
							one to operation, mentioned	Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – +
11) To protect and improve air quality	0	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	Low	Although this topic is not directly covered within this section	Policy NR5 - 0
improve all quality				Island			Policy NR8 and Policy NR10	Policy NR6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							make a commitment to ensuring that new or extended mineral workings do not lead to unacceptable problems of traffic generation or unacceptable levels of dust released into the air which could both contribute to a decline in air quality. Therefore, effects have been	Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – +
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – +
								Policy NR11 – 0
					assessed as minor beneficial.	Policy NR12 – 0		
To limit and adapt to limate change	adapt to 0	o +	+ +	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	Low	Although this topic is not directly covered within this section,	Policy NR5 - 0
3.				Island			policies NR8 and NR10 make a commitment to ensuring	Policy NR6 – 0
							environmental impacts are	Policy NR7 – 0
							assessed, with significant impacts avoided or mitigated.	Policy NR8 – +
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – +
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
3) To increase energy fficiency and require the	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The policies are unlikely to offer any direct benefits to this Environmental Objective.	Policy NR5 - 0
ise of renewable energy								Policy NR6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
sources								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – 0
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
4) To protect and enhance the cultural	+	+ + ++	++	Benefits would be felt at the sites of	Permanent	High	Policies NR9 and NR10 seek to protect and enhance cultural heritage resources during the mineral extraction process. Policy NR10 makes a clear commitment to protecting, enhancing and recording archaeological remains or any other historic assets. Policy	Policy NR5 - 0
neritage resource				mineral extraction				Policy NR6 – 0
								Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – +
								Policy NR9 – +
							NR8 also makes a commitment to ensuring environmental	Policy NR10 – +
							impacts are assessed, with significant impacts avoided or mitigated.	Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0
15) To protect and	+	+	++	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	Policies NR8, NR9 and NR10	Policy NR5 - 0
enhance landscape, seascape and				Island			all seek to protect landscape resources. However, policies	Policy NR6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Тур	e of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
townscape character and quality							NR9 and NR10 also offer enhancement opportunities at the restoration phase of mineral extraction including landscape	Policy NR7 – 0 Policy NR8 – +
							extraction including landscape improvements, the creation of	Policy NR9 – +
							wildlife habitats (including woodlands), and the retention, protection and enhancement of trees and hedgerows.	Policy NR10 – +
								Policy NR11 – 0
				Policy NR12 – 0				
16) To minimise waste,	0	++	++	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	Policies NR6, NR7 and NR8 all seek to ensure sustainable	Policy NR5 - 0
recycling and to promote				Island			resource use with regard to	Policy NR6 – +
sustainable resource use							mineral extraction to meet a local demand. Policy NR7 also	Policy NR7 – +
							seeks to promote the use of secondary and recycled	Policy NR8 – +
							materials as an alternative to primary aggregates where	Policy NR9 – 0
							appropriate. Therefore these policies have been assessed as	Policy NR10 – 0
							major positive over the medium	Policy NR11 – 0
							to long term.	Policy NR12 – 0
17) To promote the use of more sustainable	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	High The policies are unlikely to offer any direct benefits to this Environmental Objective.	Policy NR5 - 0
modes of transport								Policy NR6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Type of Effect Geographical Permanency Extent of Effect		Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
						<u> </u>		Policy NR7 – 0
								Policy NR8 – 0
								Policy NR9 – 0
								Policy NR10 – 0
								Policy NR11 – 0
								Policy NR12 – 0

Natural Resources and Utilities - Utilities Infrastructure and Masts and Antennae

Policy NR13 – Utilities Infrastructure Facilities

Policy NR14 – Telecommunication Masts

Policy NR15 - Satellite TV Receiving or Communication Antennae

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

There are no known specific requirements for the development of utility infrastructure that cannot be met within the existing service infrastructure over the Plan period.

Environmental Objective			ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	High	Policy NR13 makes a commitment to site new facilities within the grounds of existing development or within the built-up area, which should protect biodiversity which would be affected in other areas. Policy NR14 will ensure environmental aspects are considered when siting masts, by reference to Policy GD1.	Policy NR13 – + Policy NR14 – + Policy NR15 – +
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across coastal areas of the Island	Permanent	High	The text supporting Policy NR13 seeks to avoid adverse effects upon the environment and this could indirectly protect marine and coastal biodiversity. However, this is not explicitly stated in the policy wording.	Policy NR13 – + Policy NR14 - 0

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy NR15 - 0
3) To provide good quality affordable housing that meets the requirements of the local population	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0
4)To encourage the development of sustainable communities ¹⁷	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Whilst none of the policies directly contribute to this policy there could be some indirect benefits associated with the safety and environmental aspects of these policies.	Policy NR13 – +
							Policy NR14 also seeks to protect	Policy NR14 – +
							residential amenity and seeks to ensure that telecommunication masts do not adversely affect residential amenity in accordance with Policy GD1.	Policy NR15 – 0

-

¹⁷ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	et	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
5)To promote sustainable economic growth	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0	
								Policy NR14 – 0	
								Policy NR15 – 0	
6)To improve physical and mental health for all and reduce health inequalities	0	0	0	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	im H N	The focus of the policies is not upon improving health specifically. However, the text supporting Policy NR14 acknowledges the potential	Policy NR13 – 0	
							health concerns surrounding new telecommunications masts and so	Policy NR14 – +	
							should ensure that these issues are considered when such development occurs.	Policy NR15 – 0	
7) To guard against land contamination and encourage the appropriate re-use of brownfield sites	0	0 0	0	0 0	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Policies NR13, NR14 and NR15 are all geared towards reducing the amount of Brownfield land used in development or maximising	Policy NR13 – +
							communal facilities to minimise the amount of infrastructure required.	Policy NR14 – +	
								Policy NR15 – +	

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
8) To protect soil functions	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0
9)To protect and enhance the quality and availability of water resources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0
10) To protect and enhance coastal, intertidal and marine waters	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 - 0
								Policy NR14 - 0
								Policy NR15 - 0

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
11) To protect and improve air quality	O	0	0	Not applicable		High	It is considered that there are unlikely to be significant effects on air quality as a result of these policies.	Policy NR13 - 0
								Policy NR14 - 0
								Policy NR15 - 0
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 - 0
								Policy NR14 - 0
								Policy NR15 - 0
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0

Environmental Objective	Туре	e of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	ance the cultural	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	heritage resources. These policies will protect those buildings within Conservation Areas and Buildings of Local importance. Policy NR14 (by	Policy NR13 - 0			
							reference to Policy GD1) also seeks to ensure that new	Policy NR14 - +
							telecommunication masts will not adversely affect the character of an area which should offer indirect benefits to heritage resources.	Policy NR15 - +
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the Island	Permanent	High	Policies NR13, NR14 and NR15 all seek to ensure that the amenity of an area and the locality will not be affected by new utilities and telecommunications infrastructure.	Policy NR13 - +
, , ,							Therefore, there should be protection of landscape and townscape	Policy NR14 - +
							character and quality.	Policy NR15 - +
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and recycling and to promote sustainable resource use	O	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Type of Effect Geographical Extent of Effect		Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
		10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policies and the Objective.	Policy NR13 – 0
								Policy NR14 – 0
								Policy NR15 – 0

Waste Management

- Proposal 21 Waste Minimisation and New Development
- Policy WM1 Waste Minimisation and New Development
- Policy WM2 New and Expanded Waste Management Facilities
- Policy WM3 Integrated Waste Management
- Policy WM4 Recycling/Composting Facilities
- Policy WM5 Reuse and Recycling Centres
- Policy WM6 Inert Waste Recycling
- Policy WM7 Waste to Energy and Material Recovery Facilities
- Policy WM8 Residual Waste and Terrestrial Landfill Sites
- Policy WM9 Land Reclamation
- Policy WM10 Restoration of Landfill Sites
- Policy WM11 Development in the Vicinity of Waste Management Facilities

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

The text outlines the significant changes that have occurred in relation to waste management since the production of the 2002 Island Plan which include the development of a Solid Waste Strategy and the implementation of the Waste Management (Jersey) Law.

Supporting information is provided about current levels of waste generation across the Island and the current mechanisms for managing waste.

Information is provided about the new waste management facilities proposed across the Island including a new energy from Waste Plant at La Collette, a new in vessel composting facility, a permanent re-use and recycling centre, two additional civic amenity sites serving the east and west of the Island, additional community bring sites and a clinical waste incinerator.

Environmental Objective	Туј	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	- (-			Permanent Temporary	Low High		
To protect and enhance terrestrial and	+	+	+	Benefits would be felt across the	Permanent	High	New waste management developments have the potential to have significant adverse effects on	Proposal 21 – +
ennance terrestrial and				Telt across the			biodiversity resources as a result of direct land take,	Policy WM1 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
freshwater biodiversity				Island			species disturbance, species loss and pollution. However, throughout this chapter of the plan there	Policy WM2 – +
							is a clear emphasis upon limiting the potential	Policy WM3 – 0
							environmental effects of new waste disposal infrastructure.	Policy WM4 – +
						Policies WM2, WM4, WM6, WM7, WM8 and WM9 all seek to ensure the protection of the environment	Policy WM5 – 0	
							which includes terrestrial biodiversity across the Island when developing new waste infrastructure.	Policy WM6 – +
						The emphasis within Policy WM2 is also upon the waste hierarchy, so that the creation of new waste	Policy WM7 – +	
							waste hierarchy, so that the creation of new waste facilities are viewed as a last resort. This policy also	Policy WM8 – +
							states that waste management facilities should use existing quarries as appropriate and this itself	Policy WM9 – +
						should help to reduce the biodiversity effects by limiting the amount of undisturbed land affected by new waste management facilities.	should help to reduce the biodiversity effects by	Policy WM10 – +
								Policy WM11 – +
							Policy WM4 states that new recycling and composting facilities will be encouraged where harmful effects can be avoided and this is assumed to include biodiversity resources. However, the policy could be strengthened to be more specific in relation to biodiversity.	
							Policy WM10 offers enhancement opportunities through the restoration of land reclamation and landfill sites. For example, there are significant opportunities to enhance the dune habitat when restoring the Simon Sand and Gravel facility.	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
2) To protect and	-	-	-	Marine and coastal	Permanent	High	Policy WM9 seeks to protect marine biodiversity as	Proposal 21 – +
enhance coastal and marine biodiversity				environment			it states that no proposals for land reclamation will be approved in the designated Ramsar area unless set criteria are adhered to. This seems to offer some protection to the South East Ramsar Site it does not explicitly rule out development in the	Policy WM1 – 0
								Policy WM2 – 0
								Policy WM3 – 0
						Ramsar, even though environmental impacts will be addressed. For this reason effects have been	Policy WM4 – 0	
							assessed as neutral to negative.	Policy WM5 – 0
								Policy WM6 – 0
								Policy WM7 – 0
								Policy WM8 – 0
								Policy WM9 – 0 /-
								Policy WM10 – 0
								Policy WM11 – 0
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policy and	Proposal 21 – 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							Objective.	Policy WM1 – 0
requirements of the local								Policy WM2 – 0
population								Policy WM3 – 0
								Policy WM4 – 0
								Policy WM5 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy WM6 – 0
								Policy WM7 – 0
								Policy WM8 – 0
								Policy WM9 – 0
								Policy WM10 – 0
								Policy WM11 – 0
4)To encourage the	0	+	+	Effects would be	Permanent	High	The policies (WM2, WM4, WM6, WM7, WM8, WM9)	Proposal 21 – +
development of sustainable				felt within the communities on the			clearly highlight the importance of new waste infrastructure not adversely affecting neighbouring	Policy WM1 – +
communities ¹⁸				Island over the long term			uses or the quality of the environment which should protect the Island's communities, particularly those	Policy WM2 – +
				S			living near to the existing and future waste disposal	Policy WM3 – 0
							sites. The focus upon waste minimisation (Policy WM1) should also help to reduce the amount of waste that needs to be disposed of which in the	Policy WM4 – +
							long-term should benefit communities.	Policy WM5 – +
							Policy WM5 seeks to improve the provision of household waste recycling centres and improving	Policy WM6 – +
							the facilities offered across the island should ensure that all communities have sufficient access to these	Policy WM7 – +
							facilities and could potentially reduce the distances	Policy WM8 – +

-

¹⁸ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							that residents need to travel to recycle.	Policy WM9 – +
							In the long-term, the restoration of waste disposal facilities addressed in Policy WM10 could provide	Policy WM10 – +
							new community recreational areas if the sites are restored to a recreational end use.	Policy WM11 – +
5)To promote	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Whilst these policies are not focused upon providing	Proposal 21 – 0
sustainable economic growth							or supporting economic growth there could be some ndirect economic benefits associated with	Policy WM1 – 0
		promoting waste minimisation and improved waste management. For example, there may be	Policy WM2 – 0					
							efficiencies through-reusing construction materials	Policy WM3 – 0
							as promoted through Policy WM1. Awareness raising should continue to ensure that developers and contractors are aware of the potential economic	Policy WM4 – 0
								Policy WM5 – 0
							benefits associated with greater levels of re-use and recycling.	Policy WM6 – 0
								Policy WM7 – 0
								Policy WM8 – 0
								Policy WM9 – 0
								Policy WM10 – 0
								Policy WM11 – 0
6)To improve physical	+	+	+	Not applicable		High	Whilst the focus of the policies is not upon	Proposal 21 – 0
and mental health for all and reduce health							improving physical and mental health, ensuring that waste disposal is undertaken in an appropriate manner so that it minimises pollution risks and	Policy WM1 – 0
inequalities								Policy WM2 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr))	Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							hazards is critical to protecting human health.	Policy WM3 – 0
							Waste management facilities, can be very controversial developments, owing to public	Policy WM4 – +
							concerns about their operation and the pollution and health issues they can cause. Throughout these	Policy WM5 – 0
							policies there is a clear emphasis upon ensuring that all potential adverse effects of these	Policy WM6 – +
							developments have been assessed and mitigated and so indirect positive effects have been recorded	Policy WM7 – +
							against this objective.	Policy WM8 – +
							There could also be indirect mental health benefits, as the use of stringent development control policies	Policy WM9 – +
							such as those that have been developed, could help to reassure members of the public that waste	Policy WM10 – 0
							management proposals will be subject to the highest levels of scrutiny and thoroughly assessed before being granted planning permission.	Policy WM11 – 0
7) To guard against land	0	+	+	Greenfield land	Permanent	High	Throughout all of these policies there is a focus	Proposal 21 – 0
contamination and encourage the				would benefit.			upon re-using brownfield sites and limiting the land use effects of waste management facilities.	Policy WM1 – +
appropriate re-use of brownfield sites							Policy WM1 seek to reduce waste generation and in the long-term this could help to reduce the need for	Policy WM2 – +
							the provision of new or the expansion of waste disposal facilities. Within Policy WM2 there is a	Policy WM3 – +
							focus upon re-using brownfield sites for new or	Policy WM4 – +
							expanded waste management facilities such as the use of existing quarries or using land with a	Policy WM5 – 0
							previous industrial use, thereby protecting greenfield land from such development.	Policy WM6 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							Development in open countryside would be a final consideration where there is a proven need for the facility and there are no other sites available.	Policy WM7 – 0 Policy WM8 – +
							The co-location of waste management facilities as promoted in Policy WM3 could also help to greenfield locations from waste development.	Policy WM9 – 0 Policy WM10 – 0
							Policy WM6 states that proposals for new or extended inert waste recycling facilities will only be permitted on construction and demolition sites, active mineral or industrial sites and so again performs positively against this objective.	Policy WM11 – 0
							La Gigoulande Quarry is the site that will be used to meet the Island's future landfill needs and there is a presumption against land raising which contributes to the achievement of this objective.	
							Throughout the waste management policies there is also an emphasis upon ensuring that there are no adverse pollution effects which could pollute surrounding soils.	
8) To protect soil functions	0	+	+	Soil functions in parts of the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of all of the policies upon utilising Brownfield sites for new and extended waste	Proposal 21 – +
Turictions				not affected by			management facilities and the protection of	Policy WM1 – +
				waste management facilities would			greenfield locations will protect the Island's soil resources and their associated functions e.g.	Policy WM2 – +
				benefit.			carbon sequestration and infiltration.	Policy WM3 – +
								Policy WM4 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy WM5 – 0
								Policy WM6 – +
								Policy WM7 – 0
								Policy WM8 – +
								Policy WM9 – 0
								Policy WM10 – 0
								Policy WM11 – 0
9)To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	The development of new waste management	Proposal 21 – +
enhance the quality and availability of water				Island as a result of strict site selection			facilities has the potential to adversely affect water resources through both pollution effects (of ground	Policy WM1 – 0
resources				and operational controls at the			and surface water) and as a result of disrupting water supply, for example, by affecting groundwater	Policy WM2 – +
				waste management sites.			flows or interfering with abstraction points.	Policy WM3 – 0
				Siles.			There are clauses within policies WM2, WM4, WM6, WM7, WM8 and WM9 that either specifically	Policy WM4 – +
							mention water quality, flow and drainage issues or state that there should be no adverse environmental	Policy WM5 – 0
							effects.	Policy WM6 – 0
							Policy WM4 could be more specific in relation to the harmful effects that need to be avoided from a water	Policy WM7 – +
							pollution perspective.	Policy WM8 – +
								Policy WM9 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
								Policy WM10 – 0	
								Policy WM11 – 0	
10) To protect and	+	+	+	Coastal and marine	Permanent	Low	Policy WM9 poses risks to the coastal and marine	Proposal 21 – +	
enhance coastal, intertidal and marine			environment would benefit.			environment as it addresses the issue of land reclamation. There is a lot of uncertainty	Policy WM1 – 0		
raters					surrounding future proposals for land reclamation but this poses significant risks to the marine	Policy WM2 – 0			
			environment. However, Policy WM9 includes a	Policy WM3 – 0					
							number of clauses that highlight the environmental issues that would need to be addressed before land	Policy WM4 – 0	
							reclamation could proceed including effects on marine habitat, sedimentation patterns, hydrology	Policy WM5 – 0	
								and saline flow and pollution and this should ensure	Policy WM6 – 0
							protection to marine and coastal waters.	Policy WM7 – 0	
								Policy WM8 – 0	
								Policy WM9 – +	
								Policy WM10 – 0	
								Policy WM11 – 0	
11) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Waste disposal sites can cause a range of air	Proposal 21 – +	
improve air quality				Island.			pollution problems including dust generation and odour. Such effects can be realised at a	Policy WM1 – 0	
							considerable distance from the waste disposal site. A number of the policies include requirements for	Policy WM2 – +	
								Policy WM3 – +	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							this type of development can occur.	Policy WM4 – +
							The development of Energy from Waste plants are	Policy WM5 – 0
							facilities will only be permitted where the site is environmentally acceptable and the highest	Policy WM6 – +
								Policy WM7 – +
							not adversely affect air quality. The introduction of the new plant at La Collette should also help to	Policy WM8 – +
							address the current air quality issues caused by the	Policy WM9 – +
							issues associated with the existing plant at Bellozanne.	Policy WM10 – 0
							Whilst Policy WM8 does not specifically mention air quality it states that issues should be addressed through the EIA process and this should include air pollution.	Policy WM11 – 0
							Policy WM9 also makes a commitment to protecting the Island from inappropriate new land reclamation sites that will have an adverse effect to local air quality causing the release of dust, fumes and gasses.	
12) To limit and adapt to	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Policy WM7 supports the development of energy	Proposal 21 – +
climate change				Island.			from waste schemes whilst incorporating the highest pollution control standards. This should offer	Policy WM1 – +
							some climate change benefits by reducing the amount of waste that is disposed of in landfill sites	Policy WM2 – +
							which should have long-term benefits by helping to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. Burning waste to	Policy WM3 – 0
								Policy WM4 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							on fossil fuel generation (however, Jersey's energy	Policy WM5 – 0
							supply is largely supplied by nuclear sources in France which are low carbon).	Policy WM6 – 0
							Policy WM2 states that new and extensions to waste management facilities will be permitted	Policy WM7 – +
						providing they do not lead to unacceptable P	Policy WM8 – 0	
							problems of traffic generation or airborne emissions, which in turn will contribute to limiting carbon dioxide emissions. Policy WM1 which seeks to minimise waste generation could also help to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide caused during the manufacture of materials and also the waste disposal and transport process.	Policy WM9 – +
								Policy WM10 – 0
								Policy WM11 – 0
							Promoting the development of further recycling and composting facilities could also help to reduce the amount of waste landfilled and help to limit the generation of greenhouse gases from the disposal process.	
13) To increase energy	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Policy WM7 supports this objective as the policy	Proposal 21 – 0
efficiency and require the use of renewable energy				Island.			deals with energy from waste. Energy from waste developments are considered renewable energy	Policy WM1 – 0
sources							developments, therefore, effects have been	Policy WM2 – 0
							assessed as positive.	Policy WM3 – 0
								Policy WM4 – 0
								Policy WM5 – 0
								Policy WM6 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies	
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High			
							_	Policy WM7 – +	
								Policy WM8 – 0	
								Policy WM9 – 0	
								Policy WM10 – 0	
								Policy WM11 – 0	
14) To protect and	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Waste disposal can affect cultural heritage	Proposal 21 – +	
enhance the cultural heritage resource		loss of archaeological resources or as a result o adverse effects on setting. A number of the policies include clear requirement for potential adverse environmental effects to be			Island.			resources either by directly affecting the sites e.g. loss of archaeological resources or as a result of	Policy WM1 – 0
								Policy WM2 – +	
			for potential adverse environmental effects to be assessed before new waste management facilities	Policy WM3 – +					
							will be permitted. These clauses are included in	Policy WM4 – +	
							Policies WM2, WM3, WM4, WM6, WM7, WM8 and WM9.	Policy WM5 – 0	
							Policies WM2, WM8 and WM9 seek to protect the landscape from adverse visual impacts resulting	Policy WM6 – +	
							from inappropriate waste developments. Therefore	Policy WM7 – +	
							these policies will have indirect benefits to the historic landscape of the Island and help to protect cultural heritage resources.	Policy WM8 – +	
								Policy WM9 – +	
							Policy WM10 – 0		
								Policy WM11 – 0	

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
15) To protect and enhance landscape,	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island.	Permanent	High	Policies WM2, WM8 and WM9 seek to protect the landscape from adverse visual impacts resulting	Proposal 21 – +
seascape and							from inappropriate waste developments.	Policy WM1 – 0
townscape character and quality						environmental effects of new waste developments	Policy WM2 – +	
							to be assessed which should ensure consideration of potential effects on the landscape. Effects have therefore been assessed as beneficial. Policy WM10 offers opportunities to enhance the landscape through the restoration of land reclamation and landfill sites by schemes such as the creation of wildlife habitats and new public	Policy WM3 – +
								Policy WM4 – +
								Policy WM5 – 0
								Policy WM6 – +
							access to land.	Policy WM7 – +
								Policy WM8 – +
								Policy WM9 – +
								Policy WM10 – +
								Policy WM11 – 0
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and	++	++	++	Benefits across the Island.	Permanent	High	All policies within this section relate to waste	Proposal 21 – +
recycling and to promote				isianu.				Policy WM1 – +
sustainable resource use						and decide to premiere made imminimental in the in	Policy WM2 – +	
						developments highlighting the need for waste to be minimised, for materials to be recovered where	Policy WM3 – +	
							possible and for materials to be recycled rather than	Policy WM4 – +

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST	MT (5-	LT		Permanent	Low		
	(<5yr)	10yr)	>10yr)		Temporary	High		
							disposed of. In the long-term this could help to reduce the need for waste disposal sites such as	Policy WM5 – +
							landfills.	Policy WM6 – +
								Policy WM7 – +
								Policy WM8 – +
								Policy WM9 – +
								Policy WM10 – +
								Policy WM11 – 0
17) To promote the use	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between this policy and the	Proposal 21 – 0
of more sustainable modes of transport							objective.	Policy WM1 – 0
·								Policy WM2 – 0
								Policy WM3 – 0
								Policy WM4 – 0
								Policy WM5 – 0
								Policy WM6 – 0
								Policy WM7 – 0
								Policy WM8 – 0
								Policy WM9 – 0
								Policy WM10 – 0

Environmental Objective	Ту	pe of Eff	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
								Policy WM11 – 0

Waste Management - Liquid Waste Minimisation and New Development

- Policy LWM1 Liquid Waste Minimisation and New Development
- Policy LWM2 Foul Sewerage Facilities
- Proposal 22 Surface Water Drainage Systems
- Policy LWM3 Surface Water Drainage Facilities
- Policy LWM4 Sewage Treatment Works and Sewerage Outfall

Key Assumptions in Supporting Text

The text identifies a clear commitment to reducing foul waste volumes that are generated and ensuring that they are treated sustainably. Opportunities such as grey water recycling are identified and promoted in the text.

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
1) To protect and enhance terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity	+	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	High	Although Policy LWM2 does not mention biodiversity resources it does state that foul sewerage facilities will not be permitted if they have the potential to cause environmental problems. Proposal 22 and policy LWM3 encourage the use of SUDs which would reduce the risk of pollution incidents and improve biodiversity. For example, reedbeds can provide ecological and water quality benefits. Policy LWM4 directly states that impacts on terrestrial and marine habitats will be minimised to an acceptable level.	Policy LWM1 – + Policy LWM2 – + Proposal 22 - + Policy LWM3 – + Policy LWM4 – +

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
						-	Overall the policies in this part of the plan seek to ensure that waste water management is effective and appropriate which in itself should reduce the likelihood of pollution incidents which will benefit both terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity. LWM1 emphasises the need for levels of effluent to be managed as part of development proposals.	
2) To protect and enhance coastal and marine biodiversity	+	+	+	Marine and coastal environment	Permanent	High	Policy LWM1 addresses the need to reduce sewage effluent from new developments which should reduce the risk of pollution incidents. Although Policy LWM2 does not mention biodiversity resources specifically it states that foul sewerage facilities will not be permitted if they have the potential to cause environmental problems and so there should be some protection to marine and coastal biodiversity. Proposal 22 and policy LWM3 encourage the use of SUDs which would reduce the risk of pollution incidents and improve biodiversity. Policy LWM4 directly states that impacts on terrestrial and marine habitats will be minimised to an	Policy LWM1 – + Policy LWM2 – + Proposal 22 - + Policy LWM3 – + Policy LWM4 – +

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							acceptable level.	
3) To provide good	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the	Policy LWM1 – 0
quality affordable housing that meets the							policies and the Objective.	Policy LWM2 – 0
requirements of the local population								Proposal 22 - 0
population								Policy LWM3 – 0
								Policy LWM4 – 0
4)To encourage the	0	0	0	Potentially some very		High	Whilst the purpose of these policies is	Policy LWM1 – 0
development of sustainable				minor benefits for all communities across			not to encourage the development of sustainable communities, the	Policy LWM2 – 0
communities ¹⁹				the Island			maintenance of an effective sewerage	Proposal 22 - 0
							network is critical to support the new development proposed across Jersey.	Policy LWM3 – 0
							Ensuring wastewater is effectively managed should also help to reduce	Policy LWM4 – 0
							the likelihood of pollution incidents that have the potential to adversely affect	
							human health, the quality of the natural	
							environment and disrupt community life	
							and so there could be some very minor, indirect benefits offered by	
							these policies on a collective basis. In	
							isolation, they are unlikely to have any	
							significant effects.	

⁻

¹⁹ The Department for Communities and Local Government defines a sustainable community as' a place where people want to live and work now and in the future'.

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ect	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
5)To promote	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	Whilst the purpose of these policies is	Policy LWM1 – 0
sustainable economic growth							not to promote economic growth, ensuring that there is a comprehensive	Policy LWM2 – 0
							and effective waste water treatment network in place could reduce the risk	Proposal 22 - 0
							of pollution incidents which could	Policy LWM3 – 0
							potentially be very costly for both developers and the Government to clean up.	Policy LWM4 – 0
						These policies could help to reduce these costs associated with pollution from occurring.		
6)To improve physical	+	+	+	Benefits across the	Permanent	High	Positive effects have been recorded,	Policy LWM1 – +
and mental health for all and reduce health				Island			as by ensuring that there is an efficient and effective waste water treatment	Policy LWM2 – +
inequalities							network in place should reduce the likelihood of adverse pollution effects	Proposal 22 - +
							occurring which could contaminate water supplies and adversely affect	Policy LWM3 – +
							human health.	Policy LWM4 – +
7) To guard against land		All of these policies seek to avoid	Policy LWM1 – +					
contamination and encourage the appropriate re-use of prownfield sites				Island			pollution caused by waste effluent and so this should help to protect soils from	Policy LWM2 – +
							contamination by reducing the likelihood of pollution incidents.	Proposal 22 - +
	However, there is a risk t	However, there is a risk that construction of upgrades to foul	Policy LWM3 – +					

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							sewerage facilities could cause pollution problems although the policies are clear that adverse environmental impacts should be assessed and result in no unacceptable risk of pollution.	Policy LWM4 – +
8) To protect soil	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the	Policy LWM1 – 0
functions							policies and the Objective.	Policy LWM2 – 0
								Proposal 22 - 0
								Policy LWM3 – 0
								Policy LWM4 – 0
9)To protect and	+	+	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	There is a clear emphasis throughout	Policy LWM1 – +
enhance the quality and availability of water							this chapter of the plan upon ensuring that new development does not cause	Policy LWM2 – +
resources							an unacceptable increase in waste effluent which comprises the integrity	Proposal 22 - +
							of the existing treatment facilities and increases the risk of pollution incidents.	Policy LWM3 – +
							Ensuring that the foul sewerage network is appropriate and incorporates SUDs where possible will be critical to maintain the quality of Jersey's waters.	Policy LWM4 – +
							Policy LWM1 specifically seeks to reduce the amount of effluent	

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	of Effect Geographical Extent of Effect Permanency Level of Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations		Performance of Specific Policies			
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
							generated seeking to actively tackle the issue.	
10) To protect and enhance coastal,	+	+	+	Marine and coastal environment	Permanent	High	There is a clear emphasis throughout	Policy LWM1 – +
intertidal and marine				environment			this chapter of the plan upon ensuring that new development does not cause an unacceptable increase in waste effluent which comprises the integrity	Policy LWM2 – +
waters								Proposal 22 - +
							of the existing treatment facilities and increases the risk of pollution incidents.	Policy LWM3 – +
							Ensuring that the foul sewerage network is appropriate and incorporates SUDs where possible will be critical to maintain the quality of Jersey's waters.	Policy LWM4 – +
							Policy LWM1 specifically seeks to reduce the amount of effluent generated seeking to actively tackle the issue.	
11) To protect and	0	+	+	Benefits across the Island	Permanent	Low	When constructing new infrastructure	Policy LWM1 – +
improve air quality				Island			there is the potential to cause air pollution as a result of dust generation	Policy LWM2 – +
							or as a result of the release of airborne contaminants.	Proposal 22 - 0
							The policies include clauses addressing the need to minimise	Policy LWM3 – +
							environmental impacts associated with the construction of new infrastructure which should protect air quality.	Policy LWM4 – +

Environmental Objective	т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
12) To limit and adapt to climate change	0	0	+			Low	In the long-term a reduction in the amount of energy needed to treat waste effluent could help to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from this type of activity. Use of SuDS would also help with long-term adaptability to the risks posed by climate change.	Policy LWM1 – 0 Policy LWM2 – 0 Proposal 22 - + Policy LWM3 – + Policy LWM4 – 0
13) To increase energy efficiency and require the use of renewable energy sources	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	A reduction in the amount of effluent that needs to be treated in the long-term through more grey water recycling could potentially reduce the amount of energy needed to manage and treat liquid waste. Such benefits are only likely to be realised in the long-term as a culture change focused upon minimisation will be needed.	Policy LWM1 – + Policy LWM2 – 0 Proposal 22 - + Policy LWM3 – 0 Policy LWM4 – 0
14) To protect and enhance the cultural heritage resource	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	The construction of new infrastructure to support the waste effluent network has the potential to adversely affect cultural heritage resources e.g. as a result of the direct loss of or damage to archaeological resources. The policies include clauses addressing the need to minimise environmental impacts associated with the construction of new infrastructure which should protect cultural heritage	Policy LWM1 – + Policy LWM2 – + Proposal 22 - 0 Policy LWM3 – + Policy LWM4 – +

Environmental Objective	Т	ype of Effe	ct	Geographical Extent of Effect	Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High	resources.	
15) To protect and enhance landscape, seascape and townscape character and quality	+	+	+	Effect would be felt across the Island in particular within the proximity of new sewerage development	Permanent	High	The policies within this section do not deal directly with landscape /townscape /seascape resources. However, Policy LWM4 does state that new/extensions to treatment works and outfalls will be subject to an EIA process therefore landscape effects should be addressed as part of this process. These policies also state that development will not be permitted if they are likely to cause an adverse visual impact and, therefore, effects have been assessed as positive.	Policy LWM1 – 0 Policy LWM2 – 0 Proposal 22 - 0 Policy LWM3 – 0 Policy LWM4 – +
16) To minimise waste, increase re-use and recycling and to promote sustainable resource use	0	0	+	Across the Island	Permanent	High	The focus of these policies is upon ensuring that waste effluent is effectively managed and adverse effects upon the environment avoided and mitigated. Policy LWM1 specifically contributes to the achievement of this objective as it encourages developers to minimise the volume of sewage effluent that needs to be managed. The benefits of this policy are likely to be greater in the long-term as the effectiveness of Waste Management Plans should improve as they are more widely implemented.	Policy LWM1 – + Policy LWM2 – 0 Proposal 22 - 0 Policy LWM3 – 0 Policy LWM4 – 0

Environmental Objective	Type of Effect		Type of Effect Geographical Extent of Effect Permanency	Level of Certainty	Commentary/Mitigation and Recommendations	Performance of Specific Policies		
	ST (<5yr)	MT (5- 10yr)	LT >10yr)		Permanent Temporary	Low High		
17) To promote the use of more sustainable modes of transport	0	0	0	Not applicable		High	There is no clear link between the policy and the Objective.	Policy LWM1 – 0 Policy LWM2 – 0
								Proposal 22 - 0
								Policy LWM3 – 0
								Policy LWM4 – 0