

OBJECTION TO HOSPITAL PLANNING APPLICATION NOTES FOR PUBLIC INQUIRY

Jersey is the 7th most overpopulated country in the world and Island outstanding natural beauty providing habitat for most of Europe's protected land sea and air wildlife as well as being custodians of numerous Heritage sites of international importance

it is therefore understandable that the islanders and their childrens and the children off future generations rely upon on the very best planning applications which were assessed to the very best assessment processes as handed down from the United Nations to protect public mental and physical health and well-being but also to improve their health and well-being in the face of the climate emergency and ecology emergency

the Westmount plateau parkland has

- ample fresh air
- ample unpolluted rainwater collection
- ample cool sea breezers in the summer
- ample nighttime cooling in the summer
- ample solar gain in the winter
- ample daylight throughout the year
- ample solar power throughout the year
- ample tranquillity
- ample sound of bird song
- ample spectacular views to aid patient recovery to reengage back into normality after trauma
- ample contact with nature to provide a healing environment
- ample contact with nature to provide a distressing environment for staff, volunteers and visitors
- ample space for sports facilities for children to aid treatments and recovery as well as interaction with children and their visiting mates

Which could easily meet the 2012 ISLANDERS PUBLIC INTEREST brief and test for new hospital which is

- Safe to build and operate
- Sustainable to build and operate
- Affordable to build and operate

As well as meeting international design standards and guidelines such as

nhs design guidelines as well as become one of the first zero carbon hospitals which also meets all the guidelines provided in response to the lessons learnt from

covid to ensure that the hospital operation may still continue to provides mental and physical health care for non covid patients when we encounter the next airborne global epidemic

Furthermore it may be for a population 100,000 a rural scale hospital with onsite accommodation for medics and continuing working in association with specialist hospitals in uk as well as explore a practical win-win working relationship north France specialist emergency care serviced by air ambulance helicopter which may land on the campus site

But instead we have a builders factory for the sick which is

Over massed

Over scale

Inadequate siting as we have ended up with a huge tower because we do not have a health care parkland campus utilising the whole site due to ½ land lost for cars use due to not having a green travel plan to limit on site car parking to min

The tower slab block

- Does not optimise ample fresh air but pollutes it with traffic
- Does not optimise cool sea breezes in the summer does not optimise
- does not optimise fresh air nat vent enhanced with wind towers
- does not optimise ample unpolluted rainwater collection
- does not optimise cool sea breezers in the summer
- does not optimise nighttime cooling in the summer
- does not optimise solar gain in the winter
- does not optimise daylight throughout the year
- does not optimise solar power throughout the year
- does not optimise a tranquillity but destroys it with traffic
- Does not optimise sound of bird song but will cause bird stike
- does not optimise the spectacular views to aid patient recovery to reengage back into normality after trauma but gives te best views to a muftistorey car park and energy centre
- does not optimise contact with nature to provide a healing environment
- does not optimise contact with nature to provide a distressing environment for staff, volunteers and visitors
- does not optimise on 24/7 on site power and steam from such as geothermal , especial considering elect from france low carbon elect ends by 2027 and may not be renewed especially when eu are in short supply of elect and especially if oil and gas from Russia are no longer acceptable

- does not provide sports playing fields facilities for children to aid treatments and recovery as well as interaction with children and their visiting mates because land is lost for cars

the planning it is a builders car park and what land is left is for treating sick in deep plan high-rise tower doughnut racetrack

hoital carparks are extremely dangerous due to combining panicing pedertanand panicing drivers and therefe should have parstrans should not walk or iuse their wheel chairs along the traffic isles in at ecar parks

but segregated protected footpaths are needed which have not been prcvded

When provide the car parks area need for grade and multi-story car parks will will increase by say 20 %

and considering car parking is t deriver of the master plan

t master plan ls not deliverable as it is not safe

Also the route from the car park to the hospital is across the emergency vehicle route which is extremely dangerous when public are in a panic

Future generations are expected to p[ay back the £800m loan plus interest for car parks which they not want or need but are expected to pay for from their Payback

Also the prosal is not future proofed

Where is as other I no provision for an onsite heliport for drones

If they can justify locating a lift to fort regent casino

Where is the lift for Westmount health quarter

I object to this over scale and over massing and inappropriate siting caused by excessive car parking planning application is beyond repair and should be our right rejected

It makes me sick , this Self-inflicted urgency to have a new hospital on a new site for builder to profit from harm and expect future generations to pay for its from their pay pack

Design is led by Builder who shareholders profit from the sick who is thinking how to max build profit
min for max price

it is not by an independent consultants with the necessary international expertise in leading a hospital design in consultation with the end users and the patients

We already have a dual site

the existing parade block which I oversaw its construction as a member of the design team for example is only half its design life and provides accommodation for half the patients as well as all critical care etc

There seems to be an unjustifiable need to suddenly have new hospital on one site because the existing Hospital is failing because the government deliberately did not replace the outdated engineering and building components by 2016 and 2018 in accordance with the 2015 NHS fit for purpose six facet survey

they still have to carry out regardless when hospital expands at overdale hospital will be located so what is the real driver to rush

if the replacement of the outdated engineering and building components by 2016 and 2018 in accordance with the 2015 NHS fit for purpose six facet survey we then may take forward the campus expansion of the existing facilities at Overdale in the timely manner expansion as a campus by which time we will all prefer public transport as well as have drivers cars which do not need parking

yes over time eventually the centre of gravity will become westmount but there always be a decentralized medical large gp facilities for example at Gloucester st as most towns have in addition to their local town hospital

Please remember that future generations are going to pay for this hospital and they don't need car parking as we may do now

if we are building for future generations and ask them to pay for it the design should be based on their needs not the short term needs a present generation love affair with car ownership

1/ Catastrophic inadequate, misleading and false planning application

The planning application documents and drawings are inadequate as it does not meeting jerseys requirement of plnning application

The planning application documents and drawings is misleading

The planning application documents and drawings provide false information

The chief officer of planning should consider prosecuting the applicant for misleading the public with inadequate and misleading and false planning application documents and drawings provide false information

2/ Catastrophic inadequate, misleading and false environmental impact assessment planning application of an inadequate, misleading and false planning application

The planning application documents and drawings are inadequate as it does not meeting jerseys requirement of planning application

There was no eia scoping in consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups etc

Inadequate, misleading and false eia baseline surveys without consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups

Inadequate, misleading and false eia site option studies without consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups

Inadequate, misleading and false eia site massing, siting and scale option studies without consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups

Inadequate, misleading and false eia assessment of an inadequate, misleading and false planning application based on an inadequate scoping and base line surveys without consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups etc

The chief officer of planning should consider prosecuting the applicant for misleading the public with inadequate and misleading and false eia of a inadequate, misleading and false environmental planning application and inadequate scoping in consultation with consultees such as local resi groups and env groups etc

3/ No health impact assessment the planning application in accordance with nhs best practice to prove the neighbourhood will improve their mental and physical health and well being

4/ No health impact assessment the planning application in accordance with nhs best practice to prove the occupiers will improve their mental and physical health and well being

5/ no children's impacts assessment provided to ensure the children's right for a healthy and sustainable hospital environment was provided, especially when children and children of future generations will end up paying back the £800 m loan plus interest for it from their pay packs

6/ this major planning application is not informed by an AGREED zero carbon strategy

7/ this major planning application is not informed JAC REVIEW

What caused this catastrophic failure in protecting the public from a builders shareholders profiteering from harmful car use driven unsustainable hospital planning application which future generations do not need or want but are expected by present generations to pay for from their pay pack

I am an engineer

When I'm asked to investigate a catastrophic failure I set about trying to establish the cause of the catastrophic failure than trying to repair

Jersey is a country and has obligations to comply with over 350 interactional and agreement sand directives from United Nations such as eia and hia to protect and improve public mental and physical health and wellbeing in the face of a climate change emergency and ecology emergency

- This planning application is a perceived or experienced violation to human rights for a healthy and sustainable environment
- This planning application is a perceived or experienced violation to children's rights for a healthy and sustainable environment

There are three types of violations of Human Rights been committed

- Planning process not providing the best protection and improvement of people's health and well-being to the best available planning processes handed down from united national etc
- not complying with existing planning policy guidance and Ireland plan without proving by a health risk assessment that by not complying the public's mental and physical health and well-being will be better protected and approved upon
- changing the planning process without providing a health risk assessment prove that such changes in the planning process will improve the protection of public health and well-being

Until the Island re-establishes its independent environmental health and environmental planning (strategic and enforcement) there is no hope of this ONE govt which in accordance with its own statistics is less trusted than the most corrupt countries who profit from human misery like the Putin govt ever delivering a plnning application which is not a violation of human or children's rights for a health and sustainable environment

During 2017 the government recruit to the chief executive from the UK with an estuary experience to monopsony eliminate jersey's independent environmental health and environmental planning (strategic and enforcement) department during the pre and post 2018 election period

resulting in Jersey no longer being a democracy with a wholly independent environmental health environmental planning department to support the assemblies elected minister the environmental health and environmental planning (strategic and enforcement) department

Parker formed which were opposed by the attorney general

- a conflict of interest growth housing and environment(which is subsequently changed it's name to infrastructure housing and environment)
- a conflict of interest growth and population policy department

The conflict of interest strategic population and planning policy department

- the strategic population and planning policy department does not have a Minister in charge
- the strategic population and planning policy department does not have a Minister in charge and there is no SPPP scrutiny panel

Conflict of interest department was responsible for preparing the hospital planning brief for the site without providing a strategic environmental Impact Assessment which would have considered site options

The conflict of interest growth housing and env department responsible for preparing and assessing a planning application and reviewing eia scoping and review

the conflict of interest ghe (renamed ihe) ultimately is responsible for the hospital planning application as well as assessing the planning application

NO CHIEF OFFICE OF PLANNING

Since PCE Parkers restructuring in 2018 Jersey has not had a chief officer of planning in accordance with jersey law

To assess and consult with consultees the scoping opinion of environmental impact assessments in accordance with the law

to review if an applicant has deliberately misinform the public with a planning application which was inadequate or misleading or false

in the absence of a chief officer GHE planning the director of regulations has acted in this role of assessing planning applications and EIA scoping opinions in consultation WITH CONSULTTEES

However there has not been a dir of regulation since Andrews Scate became the director general of ghe in March 2020 and there has not been a replacement dir of regs until recently

There still is no chief officer of planning appointed

CHRIS MCCARTHY

JERSEY SUSTAINABLE COMMISSINER 2014-17

OUR JERSEY COMMISSOINER