



St John's Road Road Safety Improvements Board

Attendees:

Deputy Inna Gardiner (IG) Chair
 ██████████ Haute Vallee School Delegated Representative
 ██████████ Resident and St Helier Roads Committee
 ██████████ Parish of St Helier Representative
 ██████████ IHE Technical Principal
 ██████████ IHE Technical Lead
 ██████████ IHE Technical Officer

Apologies ██████████ Haute Vallee School Lead Representative

Item		Action
	Continuation of meeting of 11 ^h March	
	<p>█████████ noted the need to complete the items on the Agenda and discuss the railings.</p> <p>█████████ had prepared new drawings which were shown to the Board. Option 1 illustration 1 showed the railings and planter in timber, and views of the arches were little impacted from the approach along St John's Road. Option 2 showed black railings and bollards with no planter with intrusion on views of the arch.</p> <p>█████████ noted that a meeting could be requested with the Historic Buildings Officer (HBO) and a member of the Board to see if the longer railings would be an acceptable design, as this was something suggested by the HBO, who would prefer timber. ██████████ had also suggested timber and ██████████ thought it unlikely black railings would be approved.</p> <p>█████████ asked what is the Planning context.</p> <p>█████████ noted that the railings are classed as street furniture and Planning support is required if the setting of a listed building is affected.</p> <p>IG suggested the timber rail be extended instead of black railings.</p> <p>█████████ noted that Heritage would say the view of the arch is impeded by additional railings.</p> <p>█████████ suggested an additional planter be placed next to the proposed one.</p> <p>█████████ noted this would cut across the arches and a full view is being sought.</p> <p>█████████ asked how often is the road used.</p> <p>█████████ noted it was mainly used for street cleaning.</p> <p>█████████ suggested moving the planter over.</p> <p>█████████ noted the need to retain access to Chestnut Farm gardens via the arches and so it was not possible to move the planter in that direction</p> <p>█████████ noted the need to agree with Planning what would be acceptable.</p> <p>█████████ noted from ██████████ and from consultations with 30+ students and staff, ██████████ and ██████████ think that Option 2 is much safer and would prefer it but recognise that Option 1 is more attractive. Suggest Option 2 with Option 1 materials?</p> <p>█████████ noted that there would have to be horizontal elements with the timber fencing, which children may climb.</p>	

	<p>█ noted the main priority is the safety of students so the seating / aesthetics etc are happy to go with the Board's decision on those but really keen on the extended, waist height railing. One of the bollards has already been knocked over.</p> <p>█ noted the further the school side fence can be extended towards the crossing, the better. The low fencing would invite problems.</p> <p>█ suggested going to Planning with the two options, with full length railing being the preferred option. Materials can be discussed with the HBO.</p> <p>IG queried whether the gates are original or have been added.</p> <p>█ confirm the arches are original and listed, the current gates were added at a later date.</p> <p>IG asked for the Board's decision.</p> <p>█ agreed to meet with Heritage to discuss.</p> <p>█ agreed and noted the Parish would back the school.</p> <p>█ noted keen to go for Option 2 so happy to meet with whoever we need to.</p> <p>IG agreed to a meeting with the planners, with a representative from the School, █ for Roads Committee and █ for Parish.</p> <p>Week commencing 12th April would be good for IG.</p>	
<p>Longer term on Belisha Beacons following road safety review of Island wide Jersey Zebra Crossings and Zebra Crossings.</p>	<p>█ noted that the Road Safety Advisor has advised that the crossing needs to be visible. The pilot did not include beacons due to concerns that neighbours could object to the rapid implementation. The RSA has noted a need to review all crossings in Jersey and would also wish to evaluate all collision cases.</p> <p>█ noted that the HBO would not be keen on beacons.</p> <p>█ noted the need to make a safety case and discuss it with the HBO.</p> <p>█ suggested a speed bump.</p> <p>█ noted the road has been narrowed and the crossing is prominent. There would be a reluctance to install a further raised table – noise and vibration can be a nuisance for neighbours.</p> <p>█ noted the speed survey showed that speeds were not excessive. Drivers can see the street furniture and the narrowness of the road so should be aware of the crossing.</p>	
<p>St John's Road</p>	<p>█ noted the interim Island Plan is currently being prepared and there may be development sites in the area.</p> <p>With regard to Cheapside to Tower Road, the Our Hospital Project Team have advised that traffic generation will move to the new hospital site. A travel plan is required but traffic numbers will change. The Planning Application is due to be submitted at the end of the year.</p> <p>IG agreed it would be difficult to move forward now. However, it should be done as one project as all roads in the area will be affected.</p> <p>█ noted that Transport is a consultee. A developer authored Traffic Impact Assessment and traffic counts have been requested. The developer will submit the transport assessment and Transport will comment. The final decision will be with the Planning Applications Committee or Minister appointed Planning Inspector.</p> <p>█ noted St Johns Road has not sanctioned for a specific scheme to be implemented.</p> <p>IG's lobbying will need to be reflected in the transport assessment.</p> <p>IG noted the need to complete the Pet cabin area.</p>	
<p>AOB</p>	<p>IG noted the next step is to meet with the HBO.</p> <p>IG suggested the Board meet with the Our Hospital Project Board.</p> <p>█ suggested speaking with the Our Hospital Team. Proposals are still being developed and the Board would need specific concerns to discuss.</p>	

	<p>IG suggested an official letter from the Board setting out concerns with Tower Road and requesting that development incorporates road improvements for Tower Road, Old St John's Road and St John's Road.</p> <p>█ noted the School is keen to keep ahead of information that may affect students' routes to school. Making them aware of the Board can't do any harm.</p> <p>█ noted the presentation could be included, with options.</p> <p>IG confirmed she would like a letter prepared.</p> <p>█ to arrange a draft.</p>	█
Date of next meeting	It was agreed the next meeting would be arranged once the date for meeting with the HBO was known.	█

End of notes █ 17.03.21