

On 19 Jul 2016, at 13:56, [REDACTED] > wrote:

[REDACTED]
From reviewing my files the letter dated 15th October addressed to Planning did not cover the 'community benefit aspect' (see attached). Planning have not referred to this letter at all. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
I presume however that Planning are in fact referring to a subsequent letter addressed to [REDACTED] dated 22nd March 2016 (again attached). The context of this letter was in relation to what I was informed was a restrictive covenant in relation to the land being used for sporting purposes only. I do not see how this letter can be interpreted to support the comments made by Planning in their conclusion as detailed in item 14 (paragraph 10) of their report reference P/2016/0520. [REDACTED] not [REDACTED] commenting on whether there is adequate planning mechanism/ agreements to protect the community use which is what this conclusion focuses on.

All we have said is that there is clear community value in a project which delivers the services as proposed. What we were not able to do in that letter was be specific on the exact financial benefit to the community, given this was not our focus.

Since my letter you have done more detailed work with various community organisations which no doubt you will advise planning of.

Happy for you to include this email in your update to Planning if that helps.

Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Telephone - +44 1534 [REDACTED]

Direct - +44 1534 [REDACTED]

Web - [REDACTED]

<image001.jpg>

To receive regular updates on our activities and invitations to [REDACTED] events please [click here](#).