## Message # 1

Message Key:	00031454277357FA9DBD17C3B330490727094133	r
From: To:	Jeremy Macon <j.macon@gov.je>  Russell Labey <r.labey@gov.je>,Scott Wickenden <s.wickenden@gov.je>,Carina Alves <c.alves@gov.je>,Mary Le Hegarat <m.lehegarat2@gov.je>,Simon Crowcroft <s.crowcroft@gov.je>,Christopher Taylor <c.taylor@gov.je></c.taylor@gov.je></s.crowcroft@gov.je></m.lehegarat2@gov.je></c.alves@gov.je></s.wickenden@gov.je></r.labey@gov.je></j.macon@gov.je>	
Co: Addressed To:	Lisa Hart , Mark Egan , Mark Egan , Mark Egan , c.taylor@gov.je,s.wickenden@gov.je,simon.crowcroft@posh.gov.je lehegarat2@gov.je,s.crowcroft@gov.je,r.labey@gov.je	۱.
Subject: Date:	Fwd: States Members remuneration, what are your views? Wednesday, February 20, 2019 11:16 GMT	
Dear All,		
Just for your information - th	is being sent to my personal e-mail.	
Here we go again - the surve groups? NO! They don't eve	ey monkey results - has the remuneration committee actually asked members what they do before putting this to focus in properly understand what we do! It's so frustrating- gonna reach the same useless results as the last one.	
That committee is so unprofe	essional that it should be sacked!	
Regards		
Jeremy.		
Sent from my iPhone		
Begin forwarded message:		
	0:29:42 GMT muneration, what are your views? nsight.info <mailto:info@4insight.info>&gt;</mailto:info@4insight.info>	
What is your opinion on State	es Members remuneration? Come and share your views	
We have been asked to carry Assembly. These 90 minute ( They will be taking place at v	y out a number of focus groups talking about factors that may impact people running as a member of the next States group discussions will be held in our studio on The Esplanade with refreshments arrious time slots on:	
<ul> <li>* Tuesday 5th March</li> <li>* Tuesday 12th March</li> <li>* Wednesday 13th March</li> <li>* Thursday 14th March</li> </ul>		
All discussions will be held in	confidence with nothing you say ascribed to you as an individual.	
If you would like to join those	getting involved please contact	
questions to see if you qualify	for more information, register your interest and answer a quick set of	
Best Regards 4insight Team		

4insight are a Jersey based, independent, professional marketing research agency who regularly run focus groups, depth intervilocally and internationally.  We are members of the following marketing research professional bodies: the MRS, AQR, and ESOMAR, and strictly abide by the Conduct, ensuring your confidentiality	
	·
Copyright © 2019 4insight, All rights reserved.  You have opted in to receiving this email after taking part in a 4insight research project  Our mailing address is:	
4insight 1st Floor 17 The Esplanade St Helier, Channel Islands JE2 3QA Jersey (Channel Islands)	
Add us to your address book <a href="https://4insight">https://4insight</a> Want to change how you receive these emails?	
You can update your preferences <https: 4insight.<="" td=""><td></td></https:>	
End Of Message	

Phone: 01534 859300

Email: info@4insight.info<mailto:info@4insight.info?subject=States%20Members>

## Message # 2

Message Key:	0003145490F62315DD1F6D3588A0400094396994	INIII III III Cryoserver
From:	Jeremy Macon <j.macon@gov.je></j.macon@gov.je>	***************************************
То:	Russell Labey <r.labey@gov.je></r.labey@gov.je>	
Cc:	Mark Egan Lisa Hart <, "gerald.g.white	
	"Louise.Read" "lan.Black"	
	"nicolajsantoscosta	
	"awicks	<del>_</del>
Subject:	Re: SMRRB - letter to PPC Chairman	
Date:	Wednesday, February 20, 2019 16:22 GMT	
States Members first to inform	omes to light once I've raised it! Plus what is the point of doing it along side States Members? They m what they put to the public! As the Board has already said to the chairman that even they do known that they put to the public! As the Board has already said to the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even they do known the public is a second of the chairman that even the public is a second of the chairman that even the public is a second of the chairman that even the public is a second of the chairman that even the public is a second of the chairman that even t	ow what we do!
The incompetence of the boa	ard is unbelievable! Wasting more public money. How can Mark as accounting officer justify this?!?	'!
Russel - sack them as clearly obsessed with differential pay conditions. They've complete	y they have an agenda and are not independent this is survey monkey part 2 and the board has lead y and don't care a jot about constituency work who at the end of the day are the people we serve. If it is ignored you.	arnt nothing! The are They are terms and
Hand it over to a professiona	I HR person as clearly this board are not up to scratch!	
Regards, Jeremy		
Sent from my iPhone		
On 20 Feb 2019, at 15:47, Ru	ussell Labey <r.labey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt; wrote:</r.labey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>	
Sent from my iPad		
Begin forwarded message:		
From: Lisa Hart		
Date: 20 February 2019 at 13	0:00:42 GMT	
To: Russell Labey <r.labey@< td=""><td>@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt;</mailto:r.labey@gov.je></td><td></td></r.labey@<>	@gov.je <mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt;</mailto:r.labey@gov.je>	
Cc: Mark Egan		
Subject: FW: SMRRB - letter	to PPC Chairman	
From: Gerald White		
Sent: 20 February 2019 13:00	)	
To: Mark Egan Cc: awicks	'lan Black'	
Read'	; nicolajsantoscosta	; 'Louise ; Lisa Hart
	) modujankoobota	, Lisa Hait
Subject: SMRRB - letter to PF	<sup>2</sup> C Chairman	
⊣i Mark		

Page 3

Would you please send the letter below to Deputy Labey.

Many thanks and regards
Gerald
Dear Deputy Labey
States Members Remuneration Review Body
I undertook to keep PPC apprised of any significant initiatives in the course of our work this year.
This is to advise that the States Greffe has contracted with the local firm 4Insight, on behalf of the SMRRB, to carry out a professional survey of public opinion later this year. They will invite States Members to participate in a specific version of the same survey.
The outputs from these surveys will help inform the content of the report which we have undertaken to present to PPC towards the end of the year
To inform the content and structure of the surveys, 4Insight will be running some focus groups, with members drawn from their standing panel, in the coming weeks.
Your sincerely .
Gerald White
Chairman, SMRRB End Of Message

Message # 3		
Message Key:	0003145423922A43668FBF5DE2323659AA114D65	IIIII ##  ## Cryoserve
From: To: Addressed To: Subject: Date:	Jeremy Macon <j.macon@gov.je> Carina Alves <c.alves@gov.je>,Scott Wickenden <s.wickenden@gov.je> s.wickenden@gov.je,c.alves@gov.je Fwd: SMRRB - letter to PPC Chairman Wednesday, February 20, 2019 16:30 GMT</s.wickenden@gov.je></c.alves@gov.je></j.macon@gov.je>	<b></b>
•	Wednesday, February 20, 2019 10.30 GWT	
FYI J		
Sent from my iPhone		
Begin forwarded messa	age:	
Date: 20 February 2019 To: Russell Labey <r.l "gerald.g.white="" "lan.black<="" "louise.read="" cc:="" egan="" mark="" td=""><td>:J.Macon@gov.je<mailto:j.macon@gov.je>&gt; 9 at 16:22:56 GMT .abey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt; , Lisa Hart</mailto:r.labey@gov.je></mailto:j.macon@gov.je></td><td></td></r.l>	:J.Macon@gov.je <mailto:j.macon@gov.je>&gt; 9 at 16:22:56 GMT .abey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt; , Lisa Hart</mailto:r.labey@gov.je></mailto:j.macon@gov.je>	
"nicolajsantoscosta	, "awicks	
Subject: Re: SMRRB - I	letter to PPC Chairman	
Too little too late and or States Members first to	nly comes to light once I've raised it! Plus what is the point of doing it along side States Membe inform what they put to the public! As the Board has already said to the chairman that even the	ey do know what we do!
The incompetence of the	e board is unbelievable! Wasting more public money. How can Mark as accounting officer justi	ify this?!?!
Russel - sack them as coopsessed with differential conditions. They've com	clearly they have an agenda and are not independent this is survey monkey part 2 and the boa al pay and don't care a jot about constituency work who at the end of the day are the people w npletely ignored you.	ird has learnt nothing! The are we serve. They are terms and
Hand it over to a profess	sional HR person as clearly this board are not up to scratch!	
Regards, Jeremy		
Sent from my iPhone		
On 20 Feb 2019, at 15:4	47, Russell Labey <r.labey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt; wrote:</r.labey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>	
Sent from my iPad		
Begin forwarded messa	ge:	
From: Lisa Hart  Date: 20 February 2019  To: Russell Labey <r.la -="" co:="" egan="" fw:="" i<="" mark="" smrrb="" subject:="" td=""><td>abey@gov.je<mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt;</mailto:r.labey@gov.je></td><td></td></r.la>	abey@gov.je <mailto:r.labey@gov.je>&gt;</mailto:r.labey@gov.je>	

From: Gerald White

Sent: 20 February 2019 13:00

To: Mark Egan	; 'lan Black'			; 'Louise
Read'		intoscosta		; Lisa Hart
Subject: SMRRB - letter to PPC Chairman				
Hi Mark				
Would you please send the letter below to Dep	uty Labey.			
Many thanks and regards				
Gerald				
Dear Deputy Labey				
States Members Remuneration Review Body				
I undertook to keep PPC apprised of any signifi	icant initiatives in the cour	se of our work this year.		
This is to advise that the States Greffe has con public opinion later this year. They will invite S				ssional survey of
The outputs from these surveys will help inform	n the content of the report	which we have undertake	n to present to PPC towards	the end of the year
To inform the content and structure of the surve the coming weeks.	eys, 4Insight will be runnir	ng some focus groups, witl	n members drawn from their	standing panel, in
Your sincerely				•
				,
Gerald White				
Chairman, SMRRB	9			

## Message # 4

Message Key:

00031454A527EEBA00AD095D1462C6A3481D86C0

iiiiii Jiji III Cryoserver"

From:

Jeremy Macon <J.Macon@gov.je>

To:

Russell Labey <R.Labey@gov.je>

Cc:

Carina Alves < C.Alves@gov.je>, Mary Le Hegarat < M.LeHegarat2@gov.je>, aascrowcroft

Simon.Crowcroft@posh.gov.je>, Christopher Taylor <C.Taylor@gov.je>, Mark Egan , Scott Wickenden <S.Wickenden@gov.je>

, Lisa Hart

Addressed To:

c.taylor@gov.je,s.wickenden@gov.je,simon.crowcroft@posh.gov.je

,c.alves@gov.je,m.

lehegarat2@gov.je,r.labey@gov.je

Subject:

Re: SMRRB

Date:

Thursday, February 21, 2019 11:34 GMT

Dear Russel,

Thank you for this. I think I've made my thoughts known.

I don't have a problem with the board seeking informed public opinion - but as stated the board admitted that they didn't fully know what States Members do therefore how can they possibly instruct a focus group with fair, unbiased and accurate information when the board also at our PPC meeting agreed the the public didn't understand what we did!

This is kart before horse and I've trained in social research and this approach would be slated!!!! Imagine for a moment we did the same about the Greffe, the AG or viscount - clearly not giving the public accurate info about what they do would be unacceptable and deliver flawed research so why should it be different for States Members?

My advise would be to halt the public focus groups - yes to the focus groups with the States Members and then once there is an informed brief to give to the public focus groups then the board can do so.

The info that's been passed to the focus group - who's vetted it for accuracy? - I don't believe anyone been asked. It's outrageous!

I'm getting started again so I'll leave it there.

Regards

Jeremy

Sent from my iPhone

On 21 Feb 2019, at 11:19, Russell Labey <R.Labey@gov.je<mailto:R.Labey@gov.je>> wrote:

Dear All,

Re. The situation with SMRRB embarking on focus group market research with members of the public on States Members pay from March 5th.

We may need to convene an emergency meeting of PPC on Monday or Tuesday...

The position as I see is as follows...

- 1. We left Mark in the unenviable and quite possibly impossible position of trying to halt the SMRRB from spending any money without telling them that the future of the Body hangs in the balance for many of us.
- 2. We are agreed, together with the Membership of the Assembly as expressed in my meeting with them at Xmas not to progress any kind of pay claim, especially not publicly, whilst the States remains in dispute over pay with its workforce.
- 3. I understood it that the SMMRB were to begin gaining an understanding of what States Members actually do in terms of workload etc. Via questionnaires to Members.

Questions...

It seems to me that Jeremy has a a valid point. What is the point on spending money on market research without first informing participants comprehensively on what the workload and responsibilities of a States Member actually comprises?

How valuable is uninformed opinion?

How confident are we that the Body itself has appraised itself, finally, of States Members hours and duties? Did anyone get a questionnaire?

Embarking on this process with the public at a time when we are still in the middle of an angry pay dispute is nuts.
Do you want to talk about this?
Do PPC issue an immediate cease and desist?
Thoughts please
Russell
•
Dear Deputy Macon
I apologise for the mis-timing issue yesterday.
I had anticipated that the earliest publicity around the SMRRB's survey initiative would arise from the date of the first focus group, probably 5th March <x-apple-data-detectors: 1="">. I had not thought through that publicity might arise from the earlier recruitment from 4Insight's focus group members panel.</x-apple-data-detectors:>
My advice to Deputy Labey was ready as a draft e mail to be sent in the next few days, so I sent it as soon as I appreciated the problem yesterday. I am sorry that caused understandable concern because you had not yet received prior notification of the survey initiative.
Regards
Gerald White
From Jeremy
Too little too late and only comes to light once I've raised it! Plus what is the point of doing it along side States Members? They should be doing States Members first to inform what they put to the public! As the Board has already said to the chairman that even they do know what we do!
The incompetence of the board is unbelievable! Wasting more public money. How can Mark as accounting officer justify this?!?!
Russel - sack them as clearly they have an agenda and are not independent this is survey monkey part 2 and the board has learnt nothing! The are obsessed with differential pay and don't care a jot about constituency work who at the end of the day are the people we serve. They are terms and conditions. They've completely ignored you.
Hand it over to a professional HR person as clearly this board are not up to scratch!
Regards, Jeremy
Dear Deputy Labey
States Members Remuneration Review Body
I undertook to keep PPC apprised of any significant initiatives in the course of our work this year.

