

Sent from my iPad

----- End Of Message -----

**Message Key:** 00031381E2B5FBC26420211E034ADE4859757C9C  
**From:** Andrew Green <a.green@gov.je>  
**To:** Paul Routier <P.Routier@gov.je>, Ian Gorst <I.Gorst@gov.je>  
**Addressed To:** i.gorst@gov.je, p.routier@gov.je  
**Subject:** Is this Ok?  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 23, 2016 12:03 GMT



Announce

while objective assessments have found that the People's Park site offers the best value for money of all the potential sites - Ministers have come to the view that Members, and more importantly many islanders, are firmly against losing Peoples park for this purpose

- we have decided to accept this proposition from the constable – and take People's Park off the short list of sites for Jersey's new hospital
- We now to ensure with renewed vigour that we can find the right place provide a modern hospital that provides modern, safe, affordable healthcare for all islanders

Senator Andrew Green MBE

Deputy Chief Minister and Minister for Health and Social Services

Government of Jersey

Peter Crill House| Gloucester Street | St Helier | Jersey | JE1 3QS

tel +44(0)1534 | <mailto:01534> | email a.green@gov.je<mailto:a.green@gov.je> | www.gov.je<http://www.gov.je/>

[webkit-fake-url://1b06861d-94d0-43a4-bb70-8106ea846cd7/imagepng] [cid:image001.png@01D0769D.61AEC0D0]



**Message Key:** 0003138167AC8779D7C2E8FE17D5CC84D90DDFA2  
**From:** Anne Pryke <A.Pryke@gov.je>  
**To:** Ian Gorst <I.Gorst@gov.je>, Andrew Green <a.green@gov.je>  
**Subject:** Peoples park  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 17, 2016 19:30 GMT

Hi

Greeting from a warm Barbados!

Just catching up with emails etc

I am concerned that COM is going to be the first that really doesn't or want to listen.

Over 10yrs we have fought many battles but we still had the majority of people behind us and could understand as COM where were coming from

But not now It is at an all time low and those people who supported us no longer do

We have many battles ahead and all need that support, is this one too many

Do we need to rethink our position on PP

It is down to 1 or 2 members and if we did the hugh battles will continue and the new hospital will be years away and fear too we will end up patching up the old and never get what we aim for

It would be difficult I think the idea of choice is gone consultation will just be the anti stirring up and not have a proper debate

Also the public is going to end of paying for it somehow

My ramblings but really concerned for the future of our Government

Anne

**From:** Alan Maclean <A.Maclean@gov.je>  
**To:** "Sir Phillip Bailhache (Senator)" <Phillip.Bailhache@gov.je>  
**Cc:** Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je>, Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je>, Ministers Only <MinistersOnly@exchange.soj>, [Comms Officer] "John Richardson (CMD)" <J.Richardson@gov.je>  
**Subject:** Re: Hospital site  
**Date:** Friday, February 19, 2016 13:08 GMT

I will probably say: "It is the technical assessment that has the People's Park as the top choice but there are many other considerations. We have now gone out to public consultation and will be considering all views and any additional relevant facts before making a final recommendation that will go to the States".

Alan

Sent from my iPhone

On 19 Feb 2016, at 12:42 pm, Sir Phillip Bailhache (Senator) <Phillip.Bailhache@gov.je<mailto:Phillip.Bailhache@gov.je>> wrote:

I agree with Eddie. I think it is quite important that we are clear as to the Government line. Perhaps we already have one that is different, but my understanding of it is that we have gone out to consultation on 4 options and that we have not, as a government, expressed a preference or a non-preference for any of them. That is certainly the line I have been taking in response to members of the public. To that extent Lyndon is slightly out of line in saying that The Park is not his favourite. Equally, Andrew when cornered said the the Park was a preferred option subject to land compensation. But is our government position not that we are listening to what the public have to say during the consultation period? Help, ^ I have not yet responded to the JEP.  
Philip [Comms Officer]

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 12:15, Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je<mailto:L.Farnham@gov.je>> wrote:

Dear all,

I have to say that I was absolutely flabbergasted when I read that minute. I arrived at the October meeting towards the end of the presentation (as I had stopped to assist an elderly lady who had been involved in a minor car accident). Whilst I did not dissent I certainly did not agree that the people's Park was my favoured choice. To be clear, I favour none of the shortlisted sites at present and am going in to this consultation process with a completely open mind and I respectfully suggest that CoM does exactly the same. Failure by CoM to demonstrate impartiality during the process will only lead to further mistrust of the government.

I clarified my position on my website earlier this week @ <http://lyndonfarnham.je/health/peoples-park-removal-from-list-of-sites-under-consideration-for-future-new-hospital-p-32016/>

KR,

Lyndon.

Senator Lyndon Farnham - Minister for Economic Development, Tourism, Sport and Culture.  
Economic Development Department, Cyril Le Marquand House, The Parade, St Helier, Jersey JE4 8UL.  
Tel: (office) +44 1534  
email: [l.farnham@gov.je](mailto:l.farnham@gov.je)  
website: [www.lyndonfarnham.je](http://www.lyndonfarnham.je)

---

From: Edward Noel  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:10  
To: Ministers Only; John Richardson (CMD)  
Subject: Fwd: Hospital site [Comms Officer]

Dear Colleagues

Surely we need a co ordinated response to the JEP's question, given what has been minuted of our October meeting, where no one present decented?

Eddie

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From [JEP journalist]  
Date: 19 February 2016 at 11:03:46 GMT  
To: Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je<mailto:E.Noel@gov.je>>  
Subject: RE: Hospital site

I have, yes, including the Environment Minister for balance, and I have had that response back from him.

Thanks

[JEP journalist]

-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Noel [mailto:E.Noel@gov.je]  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:02  
[JEP journalist]  
Subject: Re: Hospital site

Have you sent this request to all Ministers?

Obviously you will appreciate that the Minister for the Environment has not taken part in discussions regarding this matter due to his Ministerial responsibilities regarding planning matters.

Eddie

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 10:53, [JEP journalist]

Hi Eddie,

I am writing a piece to say that the COM is not unanimous in its support for the People's Park as the preferred site for a new hospital. Lyndon is on the record as saying that he is not in favour of it. Do you have a view?

Thanks

[JEP journalist]

Message Key:

00031381C2A4772C7620107B11D9DFF2D4F2C03D



**From:** Alan Maclean <A.Maclean@gov.je>  
**To:** [Comms Officer]  
**Cc:** Andrew Green <a.green@gov.je> [Comms Officer]  
John Refault <J.Refault@gov.je>  
**Subject:** Re: Senator Maclean interview request  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 17, 2016 12:54 GMT  
**Attachments:** image001.png (3.2 KB), image002.png (3.3 KB), image003.png (3.4 KB), image004.png (2.9 KB), image003.png (3.4 KB), image004.png (2.9 KB)

[Comms Officer]

I have spoken to [journalist] on the telephone, who got this story from a JEP article last weekend, and have confirmed that if the Waterfront were chosen for the hospital then it would not directly stop the JIFC. They seemed to think that the parking was the problem but I explained that alternative parking provision would be made available. They were also trying to link planning approval for building 5 (due this week) as being a trigger to stop the waterfront site for a potential hospital - again I confirmed that was not the case.

As a result I don't think any interviews will result and hopefully this is now shelved.

Alan

Sent from my iPad

On 17 Feb 2016, at 12:27, [Comms Officer]

Dear Alan and Andrew,

Alan, ITV Channel Islands have been in touch (please see below) seeking an interview about the possibility that the building of the IFC could stop the Waterfront site being a possibility for Future Hospital.

[Comms Officer]  
With apologies for so many 'copy ins' but I want to make sure we are all aware of who is being asked which questions. I understand from [journalist] that the same request for interview has been made for Andrew.

[Comms Officer] [journalist]  
Before giving any advice on these interviews [journalist] and I will try and shed a bit more light on the 'current discussions' that [journalist] mentions in the email.

Many thanks

[Comms Officer]  
Chief Minister's Office

Tel: +44(0)1534  
Email:  
Web: [www.gov.je](http://www.gov.je)<<http://www.gov.je>>  
Cyril Le Marquand House, PO Box 140, St Helier, Jersey JE4 8QT

Across States of Jersey social media platforms more than 20,000 users are engaging with the work of government.

<image001.png><<https://www.facebook.com/StatesofJersey>><image002.png><[https://www.linkedin.com/groups?home=&gid=3173232&trk=groups\\_management\\_participants-h-logo](https://www.linkedin.com/groups?home=&gid=3173232&trk=groups_management_participants-h-logo)><image003.png@01D15387.75EC5D10><<https://twitter.com/StatesofJersey>><image004.png@01D15387.75EC5D10><<https://www.youtube.com/user/StatesofJersey/>>

From: [Channel TV]  
Sent: 17 February 2016 11:31  
To: [Comms Officer]  
Subject: Fwd: Senator Maclean interview request

[Comms Officer]  
Sorry just got [journalist] out of office -

To: [Comms Officer]

Hi

I was wondering if Senator Maclean was around for an interview with us tomorrow on the current discussions that the Waterfront carpark / Finance Centre build could stop the Waterfront location being in contention for the new hospital.

Hope you can help  
[Channel TV]

**From:** Paul Routier <P.Routier@gov.je>  
**To:** "Sir Philip Bailhache (Senator)" <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>  
**Cc:** Ministers Only <MinistersOnly@exchange.soj>, "John Richardson (CMD)" <J.Richardson@gov.je>  
**Subject:** Re: Hospital site  
**Date:** Friday, February 19, 2016 13:07 GMT

I would have thought our position was impartial and we want to hear the responses at the end of the 12 week consultation. We will listen to all islanders who want to contribute.

Paul

Sent from my iPhone

On 19 Feb 2016, at 13:01, Sir Philip Bailhache (Senator) <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je<mailto:Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>> wrote:

[Comms Officer]

And now an Out of Office from ^ . too! I shall follow my own instinct. Philip

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sir Philip Bailhache (Senator)" <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je<mailto:Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>>  
 Date: 19 February 2016 at 12:57:05 GMT  
 To: [Comms Officer]  
 Cc: Ministers Only <MinistersOnly@exchange.soj<mailto:MinistersOnly@exchange.soj>>, "John Richardson (CMD)" <J.Richardson@gov.je<mailto:J.Richardson@gov.je>>  
 Subject: Fwd: Hospital site

[Comms Officer]

[Comms Officer]

[Comms Officer]

[Comms Officer]

^ - I have got an Out of Office from L and was referred to L. I have now received an Out of Office from L. Are you the sole survivor, and if so can you help? Philip B

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sir Philip Bailhache (Senator)" <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je<mailto:Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>>  
 Date: 19 February 2016 at 12:42:28 GMT  
 To: Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je<mailto:L.Farnham@gov.je>>  
 Cc: Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je<mailto:E.Noel@gov.je>>, Ministers Only <MinistersOnly@exchange.soj<mailto:MinistersOnly@exchange.soj>>, [Comms Officer] "John Richardson (CMD)" <J.Richardson@gov.je<mailto:J.Richardson@gov.je>>  
 Subject: Re: Hospital site

I agree with Eddie. I think it is quite important that we are clear as to the Government line. Perhaps we already have one that is different, but my understanding of it is that we have gone out to consultation on 4 options and that we have not, as a government, expressed a preference or a non-preference for any of them. That is certainly the line I have been taking in response to members of the public. To that extent Lyndon is slightly out of line in saying that The Park is not his favourite. Equally, Andrew when cornered said the the Park was a preferred option subject to land compensation. But is our government position not that we are listening to what the public have to say during the consultation period? Help. [Comms Officer]

Philip

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 12:15, Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je<mailto:L.Farnham@gov.je>> wrote:

Dear all,

I have to say that I was absolutely flabbergasted when I read that minute. I arrived at the October meeting towards the end of the presentation (as I had stopped to assist an elderly lady who had been involved in a minor car accident). Whilst I did not dissent I certainly did not agree that the people's Park was my favoured choice. To be clear, I favour none of the shortlisted sites at present and am going in to this consultation process with a completely open mind and I respectfully suggest that CoM does exactly the same. Failure by CoM to demonstrate impartiality during the process will only lead to further mistrust of the government.

KR,

Lyndon.

Senator Lyndon Farnham - Minister for Economic Development, Tourism, Sport and Culture.  
Economic Development Department, Cyril Le Marquand House, The Parade, St Heller, Jersey JE4 8UL.  
Tel: (office) +44 1534  
email: l.farnham@gov.je<mailto:l.farnham@gov.je>  
website: www.lyndonfarnham.je<http://www.lyndonfarnham.je>

---

From: Edward Noel  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:10  
To: Ministers Only; John Richardson (CMD)  
Subject: Fwd: Hospital site [Comms Officer]

Dear Colleagues

Surely we need a co ordinated response to the JEP's question, given what has been minuted of our October meeting, where no one present decented?

Eddie  
Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From [JEP journalist]  
Date: 19 February 2016 at 11:03:46 GMT  
To: Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je<mailto:E.Noel@gov.je>>  
Subject: RE: Hospital site

I have, yes, including the Environment Minister for balance, and I have had that response back from him.

Thanks  
[JEP journalist]

-----Original Message-----  
From: Edward Noel [mailto:E.Noel@gov.je]  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:02  
To: [JEP journalist]  
Subject: Re: Hospital site

Have you sent this request to all Ministers?

Obviously you will appreciate that the Minister for the Environment has not taken part in discussions regarding this matter due to his Ministerial responsibilities regarding planning matters.

Eddie

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 10:53,  
[JEP journalist]

Hi Eddie,

I am writing a piece to say that the COM is not unanimous in its support for the People's Park as the preferred site for a new hospital. Lyndon is on the record as saying that he is not in favour of it. Do you have a view?

Thanks  
[JEP journalist]

**Message Key:** 000313815F0689167FC8C054D8427F50734B515C



**From:** Phillip Ozouf <p.ozouf@gov.je>  
**To:** "Sir Phillip Bailhache (Senator)" <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>  
**Cc:** Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je>, Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je>, Ministers Only <MinistersOnly@exchange.sj>, [Comms Officer], "John Richardson (CMD)" <J.Richardson@gov.je>  
**Subject:** Re: Hospital site  
**Date:** Friday, February 19, 2016 13:07 GMT

From what I can see, with huge regret this is not going well.

I'm supposed to be in a couple of days off after some intensive work in South Africa (all very positive) (I'm sure you are all Working similarly long hours and I'm no different just 6000 miles away which makes me a bit disconnected).

I'll email some  
Thoughts later this pm.

Do you have a list of states member expected voting?

Is it finely balanced, lost or won?

It would be helpful to know of each states member - what views do they hold , why do the hold them ? And what is anything is likely to shift them:

This is the kind of detailed planning needed.

The two things that j will say now are

- it is ok at this stage for ministers to hold  
Different views AT THIS STAGE - that is a positive and shows this is a proper consultation. My advice would be to say the truth that ministers do have different CURRENT preliminary views. That's fine and shows it is not a shame consultation but of course all ministers should withhold what their final position is until after the conversation.

- what is unwise is to purport to consult on options which are not realistic (which I suspect are Overdale (access rule or not)the Waterfront (flood risk) and current 58M existing site extension.

Philip

Senator Philip Ozouf  
Assistant Chief Minister – Financial Services, Digital, Competition and Innovation  
Government of Jersey

On 19 Feb 2016, at 14:42, Sir Phillip Bailhache (Senator) <Philip.Bailhache@gov.je<mailto:Philip.Bailhache@gov.je>> wrote:

I agree with Eddie. I think it is quite important that we are clear as to the Government line. Perhaps we already have one that is different, but my understanding of it is that we have gone out to consultation on 4 options and that we have not, as a government, expressed a preference or a non-preference for any of them. That is certainly the line I have been taking in response to members of the public. To that extent Lyndon is slightly out of line in saying that The Park is not his favourite. Equally, Andrew when cornered said the the Park was a preferred option subject to land



[Comms Officer]

compensation. But is our government position not that we are listening to what the public have to say during the consultation period? Help, ^ |  
have not yet responded to the JEP.  
Philip

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 12:15, Lyndon Farnham <L.Farnham@gov.je<mailto:L.Farnham@gov.je>> wrote:

Dear all,

I have to say that I was absolutely flabbergasted when I read that minute. I arrived at the October meeting towards the end of the presentation (as I had stopped to assist an elderly lady who had been involved in a minor car accident). Whilst I did not dissent I certainly did not agree that the people's Park was my favoured choice. To be clear, I favour none of the shortlisted sites at present and am going in to this consultation process with a completely open mind and I respectfully suggest that CoM does exactly the same. Failure by CoM to demonstrate impartiality during the process will only lead to further mistrust of the government.

I clarified my position on my website earlier this week @ <http://lyndonfarnham.je/health/peoples-park-removal-from-list-of-sites-under-consideration-for-future-new-hospital-p-32016/>

KR,

Lyndon.

Senator Lyndon Farnham - Minister for Economic Development, Tourism, Sport and Culture.  
Economic Development Department, Cyril Le Marquand House, The Parade, St Helier, Jersey JE4 8UL.  
Tel: (office) +44 1534  
email: [l.farnham@gov.je<mailto:l.farnham@gov.je>](mailto:l.farnham@gov.je)  
website: [www.lyndonfarnham.je<http://www.lyndonfarnham.je>](http://www.lyndonfarnham.je)

---

From: Edward Noel  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:10  
To: Ministers Only; ← John Richardson (CMD)  
Subject: Fwd: Hospital site [Comms Officer]

Dear Colleagues

Surely we need a co ordinated response to the JEP's question, given what has been minuted of our October meeting, where no one present decented?

Eddie  
Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From [JEP journalist]  
Date: 19 February 2016 at 11:03:46 GMT  
To: Edward Noel <E.Noel@gov.je<mailto:E.Noel@gov.je>>  
Subject: RE: Hospital site

I have, yes, including the Environment Minister for balance, and I have had that response back from him.

Thanks  
[JEP journalist]  
-----Original Message-----

From: Edward Noel [mailto:E.Noel@gov.je]  
Sent: 19 February 2016 11:02  
To: [JEP journalist]  
Subject: Re: Hospital site

Have you sent this request to all Ministers?

Obviously you will appreciate that the Minister for the Environment has not taken part in discussions regarding this matter due to his Ministerial responsibilities regarding planning matters.

Eddie

Sent from my iPad

On 19 Feb 2016, at 10:53, [JEP journalist]

Hi Eddie,

I am writing a piece to say that the COM is not unanimous in its support for the People's Park as the preferred site for a new hospital. Lyndon is on the record as saying that he is not in favour of it. Do you have a view?

Thanks