# Summary of CNP Interim Working Group (IWG) Meeting

**<u>Date of meeting</u>**: 10 November 2014

**Venue**: Les Noyers , Durrell, Trinity

<u>Present</u>: Andrew Terry (AT), Jim Hopley (JH), Mike Stentiford (MS), Ken Thomson (KT), David Hambrook (DH), Nick Aubin (NA), Dan Houseago (Dan), Doug Richardson (DR), Donna Le Marrec (Tourism), Marc Woodhall (MW), Jacob Blamey (JB)

**Apologies:** Bob Tompkins (BT),

1) Update on minutes and progress since last meeting:

Meeting minutes from 13th October 2014 had already been approved by the IWG and circulated to the broader stakeholder group on 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2014.

### **Progress on Action Points from 13/10/14:**

- DLM to make application to TDF on behalf of the IWG for funds to cover brand development and working capital. DLM submitted TDF application – awaiting decision from the Funding Committee.
- AT to investigate the possibility of a student from Durrell being able to support some work around the CNP facts and figures. Durrell have engaged Jacob Blamey until the end of January 2015, to make contact with stakeholders and start building this body of work on behalf of the CNP IWG. See (2) for additional detail.
- MS to discuss the opportunities for media coverage with JEP editors and writers. MS
  has made contact with the JEP and some exposure was given in both the JEP and on
  the CITV website. This will be an ongoing process.
- Dan to provide some input from a UK perspective on organisational structures associated with National Parks and how best they may be applied in Jersey. Dan circulated a paper to outline some of the issues to consider in advancing the governance issue. See (4) for additional detail.
- AT to provide information relating to organisational structures used in protected areas
  in other parts of the world that may be applicable to Jersey. AT delivered a
  presentation to the IWG describing the breadth of global protected areas and how the
  Jersey Coastal National Park and its possible governance stand by comparison. See
  (3) for additional detail.
- MW to incorporate 3<sup>rd</sup> workshop outputs in to CNP draft management plan. MW confirmed that time constraints meant that this had still not been possible but that he would endeavour to complete this by the next meeting to be held on 8<sup>th</sup> December
  - 2) Short presentation on progress in collecting data on the CNP (JB). Attached is the brief presentation JB gave outlining the purpose of his role.

Working Group Presentation.pptx

Action: JB will continue to contact stakeholders for information

3) Presentation on protected areas global processes, management and governance (AT).

Attached is the presentation AT gave to the IWG.



The purpose of both AT presentation and the update from Dan (4) was to continue to inform the IWG of options for the future governance structure of the CNP, given its objectives, and the process of co-production integral during stakeholder engagement.

In summary AT confirmed that there are few examples in protected area conservation of the kind of shared endeavour / co-production model being used in the Jersey CNP. AT highlighted the distinction between management activities and governance models. Having said that AT has found one similar model and the opportunities are both exciting but at the same time ground breaking which creates an amount of uncertainty.

Action: AT to review the example of the Kvarken Archipelago World Heritage Site in respect of governance and how that could look for the Jersey CNP.

4) Update on situation in UK National Parks and potential next steps (Dan) Attached is a paper Dan circulated on the UK National Parks and how this influences governance.



Dan confirmed that he has been in touch with a number of contacts at UK NP's including Dartmoor, New Forest, Peak District, Pembrokeshire & the South Downs. All share various commonalities with the CNP and are able to contribute across various topic areas. This would include experience of how governance can be established and how the relationships with NGO's and stakeholders exists. A number of representatives of these NP's have confirmed that they would be happy to come over to discuss further with the IWG. This was seen as being a good step forward and Dan agreed to follow-up some of these contacts and look into possible dates. Dan also confirmed that he has contacted the organisation - National Parks UK - about the possibility of membership or affiliation but has yet to hear back.

Action: Dan to explore further possible dates for NP officers to come to Jersey and discuss options for governance models with the IWG

5) Discuss and agree next steps for each of the major areas of work for the group – management plan, branding, governance.

### Management Plan

No additional comment see section (1).

Action: MW to incorporate 3<sup>rd</sup> workshop outputs in to CNP draft management plan

### Branding & awareness

Awaiting outcome of TDF application. IWG should hear within the next 2 weeks what the decision of the TDF is.

#### Social Media:

MS confirmed that he had spoken to Dave Cabeldou of Save Our Shoreline. They have a facebook page of people's coastline photos. Maybe demonstrates the value of websites and social media.

DLM also pointed out that Blog's were often well used amongst user groups as well and she quoted an example of the Channel Island Way Blog.

#### Governance

In addition to the two presentations from AT & Dan there was a discussion about the recent changes to the States Assembly and how this might affect the CNP. Dan confirmed that the new Minister for the DoE was Deputy Steve Luce. DH asked if it would be appropriate at this stage to brief the new Ministerial Group about the CNP. Dan confirmed that he felt that part of his role as a stakeholder on the IWG was to present the work of the IWG and broader stakeholder Group in respect of the CNP to political representatives.

Dan suggested that given that the Ministerial team were settling in, it might be more appropriate for the IWG to prepare a brief that he would then use as a part of his Ministerial briefing role. Dan suggested he would circulate a draft brief to the IWG in advance of his ministerial briefing date.

Consequently it was agreed that the relevant Ministers should be engaged in the first instance before setting meeting dates with NP representatives from the UK.

A number of other models were also briefly mentioned:

DLM highlighted the Les Mielles Sub-Committee (1980's & '90's) and asked if there were any lessons to be learnt from the way it functioned.

Dan also pointed out the existence of a number of other broad stakeholder groups including the Marine Resources Panel

Action: Dan to circulate paper to the IWG that he will use in briefing his Ministerial teams. The paper will highlight the progress made to date in terms of broad stakeholder engagement and the work of the IWG.

## **Additional Information:**

- It may also be helpful for members of the IWG to look at how UK National Park websites promote their special qualities and features. I have attached a link to the National Park "Breathing Spaces" website where you can click on any of the parks marked in green on the UK map and go straight to their website to see the diversity of interests at play. These websites allow you to sign up for notifications of events and you are e-mailed direct. <a href="http://www.nationalparks.gov.uk/">http://www.nationalparks.gov.uk/</a>
- Role of CNP Group & Interim Working Group



Date set for the next meeting to be held at RJA & HS, Trinity:

• Monday 8<sup>th</sup> December 2014