



Neighbourhood Forum: St Saviour

DATE	TIME	VENUE
27 June 2023	6.00pm-8pm	St Saviour Parish Hall

PRESENT		
Deputy Tom Binet	GOJ Minister for Infrastructure	MINF
	Healthcare Lead	HL
	Delivery Lead	DL
	Head of Communication and Engagement	HC
Constable Kevin Lewis	Constable of St Saviour	KL
Residents	Approx 20 people	

Presentation slides available under Neighbourhood Forum section of gov.je/NHFP.

1	Political Support for NHFP	Actions
	There was a question about when a formal proposition for the NHFP would be debated in the States, and whether the MINF had the back of the whole Council of Ministers for it. MINF confirmed he did have COM backing, and advised there would be a States debate on the funding towards the end of 2023.	

2	Number of Beds at Health Village	Actions
	There was an enquiry about the number of beds included in the Health Village plans. The team advised there will be 386 beds, which helps the Programme achieve 134 more beds than were included in the Our Hospital project and 99 beds more than currently used across HCS.	

3	Third Sector	Actions
	There was a discussion around the provision for the third sector to have a presence at the Health Village. The team advised that talks with the Health Partnership Group about the use of facilities had taken place, and that queries had already been received about third sector groups using the EQHWC. It was noted that this is partly a matter for HCS to determine how it wants to work with the third sector going forward.	

4	Opportunities for Local Construction Sector	Actions
	<p>There was a discussion around how the Programme might be able to offer more opportunities for the local construction sector. The Delivery Lead advised that there will no longer be one large, single contractor, instead they are working on a Programme of projects. The benefit of this is the potential to break pieces of work down in to smaller packages, ranging in value, so that they can be delivered locally, where possible, within the skill set and experience of the local market. However, the team recognised that the local market might not have the skills required to deliver the larger, more technically complex projects and therefore off-island contractors would be required – but they could work in collaboration with local engineers or architects.</p> <p>It was noted that a balance must be found to ensure that the majority of the local market is not tied up into the hospital projects leading to a deficit of workers left to complete work for the rest of the island.</p> <p>It was also explained that the Programme had developed social value schemes and educational programmes to try to upskill and strengthen the local market, for generations to come, ensuring that the Island has a workforce that will be able to maintain the building long after it has been completed.</p> <p>MINF added that in terms of total costs, the new Health Care Facilities scope now includes an extra 30,000 sqm that the previous Our Hospital scope did not, which invariably will translate to an increase in costs, however the benefits of breaking the build up into stages allows for the economic risk to be diversified.</p>	

5	Maintenance of Government Buildings	Actions
	<p>Concerns were raised about the maintenance of Government buildings. It was noted that across Government there are over 700 freehold properties with a total of 800 properties with a total value of over a Billion pounds. Currently there is an annual spend of around 7-8 million pounds on Government properties, including 5 million for the current Hospital, which falls short of the 4% which should be the baseline for investment into maintenance.</p>	

6	St Saviour's Hospital	Actions
	<p>One resident asked if the Government still owns St. Saviour's Hospital and would consider using that for the NHFP. The team explained that while the Government does own that property, it was not designed with modern healthcare in mind and redevelopment of the Grade One listed building would be too complex and costly. As such they were focusing on development north of the road.</p>	

7	Surrounding Fields	Actions
	There was a question about plans to use two fields to the East of Clinique Pinel and the space in front of Queens house. The team advised that it was currently still designing the concept for the facility, to understand what is feasibly possible, and the Eastern fields could potentially be included in the final design however the land in front of Queens House is less likely to be reused. They added that the existing buildings at Clinique Pinel and Rosewood house would be looked at first to determine what could be reused.	

8	Traffic at Five Oaks	Actions
	Concerns were raised about increased traffic levels at Five Oaks junction as a result of the new facility. The team advised that the potential services earmarked to run from the Health Village do not generate a large amount of traffic, so the impact is expected to be small, but that full traffic modelling would of course be conducted.	

9	Programme Costs	Actions
	Concerns were raised about the potential costs of the NHFP, as compared to the Our Hospital project. MINF explained that as the UK is now facing double digit inflation, the reality is that the cost will be higher, but by breaking the design up into stages the government could better manage the financial risk.	

10	Overdale Property Purchases	Actions
	In response to a question about what would happen to properties in the Overdale and Westmount Road area that were purchased under the Our Hospital scheme, the team advised that some of the contracts included buy-back clauses.	

11	Rehab Facilities	Actions
	There was a discussion about the number of beds allocated for rehabilitation at the Health Village. The team advised there were 15 beds allocated, compared to 14 available currently on Samares Ward. There was also a question about interim plans for the Samares Ward patients when the Westmount Centre at Overdale is demolished. MINF confirmed that a replacement would be found before demolition, and while he wouldn't share the location yet, it would not be in the General Hospital.	

12	Parking	Actions
	In response to a question about parking spaces at the new facility at Les Quennevais (the Enid Quenault Health and Wellbeing Centre) the team advised that there would be 171 bays, with 17 of them allocated for disabled parking.	

13	Sustainable Transport Plan	Actions
	<p>There was a discussion around the need for a sustainable transport plan, with the suggestion for a free bus service to the site. The team advised that as part of its planning application it would have to demonstrate that there was adequate parking, including electric charging. There are also plans to provide facilities for staff to promote cycling to work, such as showers and changing rooms. They added that conversations with Liberty Bus are ongoing to look at how bus services can be increased or amended to meet the needs of the centre.</p> <p>In response to the free bus service query, the team noted that there are already concessions for students and young people and over 65's.</p>	

14	Feedback from Residents	Actions
	<p>Residents asked how their feedback would be incorporated. The team explained that further neighbourhood forums would be held as they move through the concept and feasibility stages into the more design orientated stage, and that residents feedback would be taken into account.</p>	HC